(A) The naive evaluation uses (2N-1)N2(zk(k-1)) laboration to Calculate all of it's powers, (2N-1) N2k Colculation multip you everthing together yer a botal of  $(2N-1)N^2(\frac{1}{2}h(k-1))$  to  $(2N-1)N^2(\frac{1}{2}h(k-1))$  operation. Do the junction runs in  $O(N^3k^2)$  time. B.) Part III uses a mox of 2k operation for the initial forloop, 2k2 operations for 6he for-loop inside the Main loop, R for the size comparison and log\_ k + log\_ k-1 + ... + log\_) = log\_ k! operation for the jost powers of the \*(2N-1)N2+2) + k operation for the forst powers. kn2(2N-1) +kn2 yer the multiplication and addition of coexilies to the botal, and NON-1) w for the final multiplication - In botal we have: Do ble junction runs in O(N°k) bine ig kt > Lg2 k! DDk! <2" or O ((log\_k!) N3) bine obteruse (ig k >, 4 then k! >2k) got have N2(k-1) + N2k(zN-1) + log k!((2N-1)N2+2)+k operation

So ble judicin my in O(N3log k!) time or O(N3k) time.

The latter is h > low k! or the somer observise (R>4) The latter is k > log 2 k! or the James obherise ( k > 4) For large values of Rand N B and ( are in O(N3log\_k!) time Which is juster blan  $O(N^3k^2)$  time in part A since  $\lfloor \log_2 k! \rfloor = \mathcal{O}(k^2)$ 1 log 2 k! | = B | k2 | for 6=0 B=1 Sime Bord C have ble some order they are similarly experient, however a reguing less overall calculations since (who we look at bernswith N2(k-1) + N2k(2N-1) < 2k+2k2+k+ kN2(2N-1+1+2N-1) COM the not have the next in highest order. N2(2NK1) => 2N3K < 4N3k or which is brue So C is

the most egyilist for large 1 and 12