Supplementary File: Methods

Christian A. Maino Vieytes

Contents

1	Die	tary Patterns Extraction with Penalized Logit
	1.1	Rationale
		Implementation
		Dependent and Explanatory Variables
	1.4	Implementation in R
_	<i>a</i> 1	
2		pic Splines
		Background
	2.2	Basis Representation for Cubic Splines
		Natural Cubic Splines
	2.4	Implementation in R

1 Dietary Patterns Extraction with Penalized Logit

1.1 Rationale

We implemented penalized logistic regression with an elastic net penalty to extract dietary patterns from observed dietary intake data from 24-hour recalls as explained in the main text. The theory and rationale for the elastic net is proposed by Zou and Hastie. The idea involves having a regularization technique that accomplishes both *shrinkage* and *variable selection* simultaneously. The goal was to extract dietary patterns associated with a given binary characteristic. We chose the elastic net given the high correlation amongst dietary variables in epidemiologic studies and the unique ability of elastic net to handle correlated variables. Unlike ridge regression, elastic net allows us to achieve parsimony and also avoids the sparsity that often accompanies the LASSO model. 1

1.2 Implementation

The elastic net algorithm begins by the specification of a logistic regression model with the elastic net penalty, which is often conceptualized as a mixture of the LASSO penalty (ℓ_1) and ridge regression penalty (ℓ_2) terms. Equation

1 demonstrates the formulation of the objective function. The penalty term is introduced for logistic regression case is introduced into the likelihood function used to fit the model:

$$-2[\ell(\beta)] = -2\sum_{i=1}^{n} log(Pr(Y_i|X_i,\beta) + \lambda[(1-\alpha)]||\beta||_2^2 + \alpha||\beta||_1])$$
 (1)

The $-2\log$ -likelihood includes the $-2\log$ -likelihood function for a logistic regression model plus an additional penalty term, $\lambda[(1-\alpha)]||\beta||_2^2 + \alpha||\beta||_1]]$, which involves a combination of the (ℓ_1) and (ℓ_2) penaltities and two tuning parameters, λ and α , which are unknown and conventionally optimized via K-fold cross-validation. Optimizing this objective function relies on numerical methods.² We used 10-fold cross-validation and minimized the deviance to find the optimal combination of λ and α . A grid of α values from 0 to 1 in 0.1 increments was used.

1.3 Dependent and Explanatory Variables

As indicated in the main text, we selected four outcome variables associated with food insecurity or directly measuring food insecurity. These included household food insecurity status (Constructed using variable FSDHH in the NHANES dataset), Age \geq 60 (constructed from the RIDAGEYR variable in NHANES), household receipt of SNAP benefits in the previous 12 months (constructed from the FSQ17 and FSD170N variables in NHANES), and household size \geq 5 (constructed from DMDHHSIZ in NHANES).

The explanatory variables were intake equivalents for 26 food group variables from the USDA Food Patterns Equivalents Database (FPED) and the MyPyramid Equivalents Database (MPED) data. The exact variables are provided in the main text in Table 2. All food group variables were centered and scaled (by their standard deviation) prior to fitting the elastic net models.

1.4 Implementation in R

The glmnet and caret packages in R can be used to fit models with elastic net, LASSO, and ridge penalization. R code to extract the dietary patterns in available in the following GitHub repository: https://github.com/cmainov/NHANES-Diet-Penalized-Regression/blob/main/R/04-Dietary-patterns-extraction.R.

2 Cubic Splines

2.1 Background

Using splines in regression models is a popular method for flexibly modeling exposure-outcome relationships in epidemiological studies.^{3,4} Splines in

a regression context can be conceptualized as a series of local polynomials fit over the domain of the regression function. It provides a nice alternative to a categorical dose-response analysis, which has several limitations. One of those key limitations is the assumption that the response is uniform over all observations within a category for which a parameter estimate is made, resulting in the appearance of a step-wise function for the relationship between exposure and response. In contrast, fitting a model with spline terms is a parametric technique that generates a smooth curve, allowing the user to visualize the dose-response relationship. The parameter estimates are rarely of interest owing to their lack of interpretability. However, given that a model specifying the exposure as a continuous variable is nested in a model with an expansion of spline terms for that variable, the departure from a linear relationship can be formally tested with a Likelihood Ratio Test. 4

2.2 Basis Representation for Cubic Splines

Fitting spline models involves applying a set of transformations to the exposure variable, X. In the regression model, we replace the original variable with the set of transformations (which we term *basis functions*) and estimate parameters for each of those transformations:

$$Y = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \beta_m h_m(X) + \gamma V$$

which is a linear basis expansion in X, where h_m is the m^{th} basis function (of which there are M), and γV is a term for an additional covariate we may want to adjust for (no basis expansion on this term).⁶ We generalize this approach to the multivariable setting where we desire basis expansions in several variables:

$$Y = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} \beta_{jm} h_{jm}(X_j) + \gamma V$$

where j is the index of variables. The set of fixed basis functions is of interest, as are the knots and knot locations, which are the regions along the domain where separate local piecewise polynomials are fit. Uniform or non-uniform spacing can be used to specify the knots, although a set of quantiles of the exposure are conventionally used as the knot locations.⁷ To achieve smoothness in the spline curve across these disjoint regions, a continuity constraint is applied. Using a truncated power basis, we achieve the continuity constraint:

$$(x - \xi_{\ell})_{+}^{g} = \begin{cases} (x - \xi_{\ell})^{g}, & \text{if } x > \xi_{\ell} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where ξ_{ℓ} is the ℓ^{th} knot and g is the order of the polynomial we choose to fit. We arrive at the number of basis functions by beginning with basis functions for the

degree of the polynomial we desire and then include one truncated power basis function for each knot we specify (an additional basis function where we apply a constant–i.e., 1–can also be included for the intercept).⁷ For a cubic spline (where g=3) with two interior knots, we use the following basis functions:

$$h_1(x) = 1, h_2(x) = x, h_3(x) = x^2, h_4(x) = x^3, h_5(x) = (x - \xi_1)^3_+, h_6(x) = (x - \xi_2)^3_+$$

It is shown that for a degree g polynomial, the basis functions will results in a continuous curve (i.e., smooth) up to the (g-1) derivative.⁷ For the cubic spline basis representation above, we have that Y will be continuous up to its second derivative at each knot boundary. For a cubic spline, we determine the degrees of freedom by counting the number of parameters we must estimate within each interval and the number of constraints. That is, we require 4 parameters within each interval and 3 constraints (continuity in Y, Y', and Y'') at each knot. If we specify two interior knots (K=2) we have:

$$4(K+1) - 3K = 4(2+1) - (3)(2) = 6 df$$

and K+1=2+1=3 intervals. We can fit the model using standard statistical software, and estimation is carried out in a routine manner (e.g., least squares for linear regression or maximum likelihood estimation for generalized linear models).

2.3 Natural Cubic Splines

A cited limitation of cubic splines is the high variance in the spline estimates at the extremes of the data (i.e., in the highest and lowest intervals). Natural cubic splines take cubic splines and apply two additional constraints: that the function is linear beyond the boundary knots (i.e., the exterior knots—if we have two interior knots, then we have two additional exterior or boundary knots). Y'' and Y''' will be zero at these knot boundaries, and we will have K basis functions for a natural cubic spline with K knots (K here assumes we count the two exterior or boundary knots in addition to the interior knots—for a spline with two interior knots we have K = 4).

In our analysis, we specify the diet quality indices using a basis expansion for a natural cubic spline with one interior knots (K=3). These basis functions are provided below.

In a general form⁶:

$$h_1(x) = 1, h_2(x) = x, h_{k+2}(x) = d_k(x) - d_{K-1}(x)$$
 where $d_k(x) = \frac{(x - \xi_k)_+^3 - (x - \xi_K)_+^3}{\xi_K - \xi_k}$ and $k \in \{1...K - 2\}$

And in the case of K = 3:

$$h_1(x) = 1, h_2(x) = x, h_3(x) = d_1(x) - d_2(x)$$

2.4 Implementation in R

Natural cubic splines are implemented in R using the ns() function from the splines package. The R code we specified for this analysis is found at: https://github.com/cmainov/nhanes-fi-ca-mortality/blob/main/R/utils.R. We demonstrate the use of ns() with a simple, reproducible example. First, we generate some data by sampling from two Gaussian distributions and then use the ns() function to generate a matrix with the basis functions in its columns. We want to use two interior knots (K=4), so we will specify the df=3 argument. ns() counts the interior knots in the following way:

$$K_{interior} = df - intercept - 1$$

where $intercept \in \{0,1\}$ and is controlled by the intercept = argument in the ns() function. Note: the default value is intercept = FALSE, which results in intercept = 0. The lm() function will compute the intercept for us, and, thus, there is no need to redundantly specify it within ns()

We then can use this matrix and specify a linear regression model, regressing y on the basis expansion in x:

```
# linear regression model with 'ns'
lm( y ~ ns( x, df = 3 ) )

# Call:
# lm(formula = y ~ ns(x, df = 3))
#
# Coefficients:
# (Intercept) ns(x, df = 3)1 ns(x, df = 3)2 ns(x, df = 3)3
# 80.999 6.804 -13.666 -2.166
```

The output reflects the parameter estimates for the four basis functions we detailed above (including one for the intercept).

Note: we can supply R with the exact locations of the interior and boundary knots and get the same basis matrix (instead of specifying the df argument—note there might be a slight rounding error in this matrix compared to the one above).

A final note: the ns() implements a slightly modified version of the basis functions for the natural cubic spline (which is why we do not get the exact values in the basis matrix that we would have otherwise predicted). Indeed, ns() implements a linear transformation of the basis to generate a B-spline basis matrix for the natural cubic spline we seek to fit (done for computational reasons).

References

- 1. Zou, H. & Hastie, T. Regularization and variable selection via the elastic net. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology* **67**, 301–320 (2005).
- 2. Jin, B., Lorenz, D. A. & Schiffler, S. Elastic-net regularization: error estimates and active set methods. *Inverse Problems* **25**, 115022 (2009).
- 3. Greenland, S. Dose-response and trend analysis in epidemiology: alternatives to categorical analysis. eng. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)* **6,** 356–365. doi:10.1097/00001648-199507000-00005 (July 1995).
- 4. Witte, J. S. & Greenland, S. A nested approach to evaluating dose-response and trend. en. *Annals of Epidemiology* 7, 188–193. doi:10.1016/S1047-2797(96)00159-7 (Apr. 1997).
- 5. Steenland, K. & Deddens, J. A. A Practical Guide to Dose-Response Analyses and Risk Assessment in Occupational Epidemiology. *Epidemiology* **15.** Publisher: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 63–70 (2004).
- Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R. & Friedman, J. The Elements of Statistical Learning ISBN: 978-0-387-84857-0 978-0-387-84858-7. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-84858-7 (Springer New York, New York, NY, 2009).
- James, G., Witten, D., Hastie, T. & Tibshirani, R. An Introduction to Statistical Learning ISBN: 978-1-4614-7137-0 978-1-4614-7138-7. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-7138-7 (Springer New York, New York, NY, 2013).