Section Detail

Class: SWORK 0610 - COMP APPLIC PRACT SW GERO

Instructor: MARKS, CHARLES HAYDEN

Schedule Number: ***** Spring 2020

Instrument: Faculty Evaluation for School of Social Work

Date Printed: 05/29/2020 18:19:23

Enrolled in class: 25

Responded to this evaluation: 18 Response Percentage: 72.00%

Quantitative

Question #	Question Prompt	Count	Percentage	Mean	St. Dev.	Median
Group 1						
1	Rate the instructor's overall organization and presentation of the course material.	18	100%	4.89	.32	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	2	11.11%			
	5 = Excellent	16	88.89%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
2	Rate the instructor's focus on the student learning outcomes listed in the syllabus.	18	100%	4.94	.24	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	5.56%			
	5 = Excellent	17	94.44%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
3	Rate the instructor's teaching overall.	17	94%	4.76	.44	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	4	22.22%			
	5 = Excellent	13	72.22%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	1	5.56%			
Group 2						

Group 2

3/20/2020						
4	The Instructor has mastery of the subject matter.	18	100%	4.94	.24	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	5.56%			
	5 = Excellent	17	94.44%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
5	The Instructor is able to use course content to broaden your understanding of conceptual theoretical materials.	18	100%	4.78	.55	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	1	5.56%			
	4 = Good	2	11.11%			
	5 = Excellent	15	83.33%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
6	The Instructor stimulates you to pursue further, in a variety of ways, the subject and ideas discussed.	18	100%	4.67	.69	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	2	11.11%			
	4 = Good	2	11.11%			
	5 = Excellent	14	77.78%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
7	The Instructor is responsive to student questions and comments.	18	100%	5.00	.00	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	0	.00%			
	5 = Excellent	18	100.00%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
8	The Instructor stimulates participation.	18	100%	4.78	.43	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	4	22.22%			
	5 = Excellent	14	77.78%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			

9	The objectives of the course were made clear.	18	100%	4.94	.24	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	5.56%			
	5 = Excellent	17	94.44%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
10	Assignments were consistent with objectives of the course.	18	100%	4.94	.24	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	5.56%			
	5 = Excellent	17	94.44%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			
	DID NOT RESPOND	0	.00%			
11	Examination, term papers, and assignments were fair, useful and well designed.	18	100%	4.94	.24	5.00
	1 = Poor	0	.00%			
	2 = Below Average	0	.00%			
	3 = Average	0	.00%			
	4 = Good	1	5.56%			
	5 = Excellent	17	94.44%			
	Not Applicable	0	.00%			

Group Tot	als Mean	St. Dev.	Median
Group 1	4.87 .34 5.00		
Group 2	4.88 .39 5.00		

Overall Total	rall Total Mear	St. Dev.	Median
4.87 .38 5.0	4.87 .38 5.00		

Qualitative

14 What were the instructor's strengths?

- A: They were really good at listening to our questions and thoughts and then correcting us when we were wrong with helpful information.
- A: Charles was the best professor I have had! Amazing teacher; they have great teaching skills, can relate well to students, and are very understanding to the needs of students.
- A: great class, extremely responsive, receptive to students
- A: Charles was extremely organized and knew how to present the material in a way that was easily understandable.
- A: Understanding, knowledgable
- A: Charles was great! They made the material clear and easy to understand, only assigned things that clearly related to us learning the material better, and was extremely easy to ask questions to and get feedback from.

- A: Very straightforward, encourages use of tools in Microsoft Office and online resources professors may be less likely to suggest.
- A: Charles was very informative and responsive
- A: so helpful!
- A: Made self available for support and to answer questions
- A: Very thorough and down to earth explanations
- A: Responsive, approachable, patient, passionate, compassionate.
- A: I really enjoyed their class. Charles kept us engaged throughout the entire semester. Charles showed deep empathy for all the students and was available for help in person/zoom.
- A: Charles was very clear with the course objectives and broke down the final paper so it was very manageable to get it done by the end. They were always quick to respond to questions and realistic about student expectations.

4 student(s) did not respond to this question.

15 In what ways might the instructor improve this course?

- A: N/A
- A: This was their first time teaching the course and at times they seemed a little nervous. This is a very dry topic that many social work majors aren't interested in. Overall, they did a great job teaching in an effective way. However, they could have made the class more engaging by encouraging.
- A: great class
- A: Course material was a little dry but that is part of the class.
- A: more application to social work
- A: n/a
- A: the transition to online teaching was a bit rough for me but Charles did the best they could do in teaching statistics online.
- A: n/a
- A: Honestly best stats class I've had
- A: Becoming more comfortable with lecturing. Projecting his voice and taking control of the classroom.
- A: Including interactive in class practice.
- A: Make lecture more interactive

6 student(s) did not respond to this question.

16 What are some ways the instructor assisted you this semester?

- A: He explained everything super clear
- A: Responded to emails, was understanding about COVID 19 concerns, met on Zoom with my group to help us make sure the final paper met expectations
- A: personalized feedback
- A: Very flexible and willing to give feedback! That was very helpful especially with COVID.
- A: Responsive to all questions, made things understandable
- A: their patience and presence
- A: They were very available to answer questions and were able to help me understand concepts and break down steps of SPSS.
- A: Throughout the entire semester, but especially during this pandemic, they were so accommodating and flexible. In addition, they ensured that we could access SPSS once we went virtual.
- A: Charles went on zoom many times when classes turned online and was always there to help when needed.
- A: This instructor was very approachable and understanding to my personal demands during this course.
- A: Available to answer questions and assist with assignments.
- A: Made self available to meet with our group for extra support
- A: they answered all my questions about sass

5 student(s) did not respond to this question.

18 What is your major?

- A: Social Work
- A: social work
- A: Master of Social Work
- A: Socail work
- A: SOcial Work
- A: Social Work Masters
- A: social work
- 11. 500101 ...011
- A: social work
- A: Social Wowk

2 student(s) did not respond to this question.