Online Resource 1

Contents

Related article	1
Goal	1
Data loading and preparation	1
Database excerpt	2
Graphical representation of the relationship	2
Comparing models with and without slope differences	3
References	3

Related article

Article title: Bird seasonal beta-diversity in the contiguous United States

Journal name: Journal of Ornithology

Author name: Charles A. Martin

Affiliation : Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières

E-mail address: charles.martin1@uqtr.ca

Goal

This online resource acts as an additional result to *Bird seasonal beta-diversity in the contiguous United States*, exploring the gamma:alpha ratio, as it was an important contributor to differences in beta-diversity along species pool size changes in Kraft et al. (2011).

In our study, gamma diversity is total number of species for a state in a 10-year period. Alpha diversity is the average number of species per week per state in a 10-year period.

Data source is the same as in the related article (eBird frequency histograms from 2006 to 2016).

Data loading and preparation

```
library(tidyverse)
db <- read_csv("../data/distances.csv") %>%
  filter(complete.cases(.)) %>%
  select(alpha, gamma, latitude, location) %>%
  gather(key = metric, value = diversity, alpha, gamma)
```

Database excerpt

```
db
# A tibble: 96 \times 4
  latitude location metric diversity
     <dbl>
              <chr> <chr>
                               <dbl>
   32.5901
                 AL alpha 237.2500
1
2
   34.7336
                 AR alpha 219.0000
3
   34.2192
                 AZ alpha 366.5417
4
   36.5341
                 CA alpha 469.3125
                 CO alpha 288.3958
5
   38.6777
6
   41.5928
                 CT alpha 225.2708
7
   38.6777
                 DE alpha
                            217.1667
8
   27.8744
                 FL alpha 349.8333
   32.3329
9
                 GA alpha
                            255.1042
10 41.9358
                 IA alpha 188.5417
# ... with 86 more rows
```

Graphical representation of the relationship

Latitude

```
Compare with Fig. 1A in Kraft et al. 2011
db %>%
  ggplot(aes(x = latitude, y = diversity, col = metric, shape = metric)) +
  geom_point(size = 3) +
  geom_smooth(method = "lm", se = FALSE) +
  theme_minimal() +
  labs(x = "Latitude", y = "Diversity") +
  scale_color_manual(values = c("alpha" = "tomato", "gamma" = "royalblue"))
   600
   500
                                             metric
Diversity
                                              alpha
   400
                                              📤 gamma
   300
   200
           30
                   35
                           40
                                   45
```

Comparing models with and without slope differences

```
m1 <- lm(diversity~latitude * metric, data = db)</pre>
m2 <- lm(diversity~latitude + metric, data = db)
AIC(m1,m2) # Lower AIC = better model, i.e. no slope difference
   df
          AIC
m1 5 1054.911
m2 4 1052.963
summary(m2)
Call:
lm(formula = diversity ~ latitude + metric, data = db)
Residuals:
   Min
            1Q Median
                            3Q
                                   Max
-102.99 -39.18 -11.06
                        18.47 208.48
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 450.081 49.294
                               9.131 1.41e-14 ***
latitude
             -5.056
                        1.235 -4.095 9.01e-05 ***
metricgamma 169.157
                        11.593 14.591 < 2e-16 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 56.8 on 93 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.7118,
                               Adjusted R-squared: 0.7056
F-statistic: 114.8 on 2 and 93 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

References

Kraft NJ, Comita LS, Chase JM, et al (2011) Disentangling the Drivers of Beta Diversity Along Latidunial and Elevational Gradients. Science (80) 333:1755–1758. doi: 10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2