

Analysis of Variance

Cathy Maugis-Rabusseau

INSA Toulouse / IMT
GMM 116
cathy.maugis@insa-toulouse.fr

2021-2022

- 1 Introduction
- 2 One-way ANOVA
- 3 Two-way ANOVA
- 4 Conclusion

1 Introduction

- ANOVA = analysis of variance
- Aim: Explain a **quantitative variable** Y using **qualitative** explanatory variables called **factors**
- The modalities of a factor = **levels** (sub-groups in the sample)

- Here we will not address the issue of **experimental design**, just this vocabulary:

Definition

- ① A **block** of an experimental design = group of observations associated to a combination of controlled factors
- ② An experimental design is called **full** if there is at least one observation in each block
- ③ An experimental design is called **repeated** if there are several observations per block
- ④ An experimental design is called **balanced** if there is the same number of observations per block

2 One-way ANOVA

- Context and Example
- Regular model
- Singular model
- Predictions, residuals and variance
- Confidence interval
- Test: effect of the factor?

2 One-way ANOVA

- Context and Example
- Regular model
- Singular model
- Predictions, residuals and variance
- Confidence interval
- Test: effect of the factor?


- Data: One quantitative response variable Y and **one** factor having I levels
- Notation:
 - Y_{ij} = value for individual j in group i (level of the factor)
 - Group i has n_i individuals
 - $Y_{i.}$ is the mean value for group i : $Y_{i.} = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}$
 - $n = \sum_{i=1}^I n_i$ is the total number of individuals
- Question: potential effect of the factor on the response Y ? \Leftrightarrow
Difference of the average response per group

Example

- We are interested in the marks obtained by students in an oral examination.
- Is there a potential effect of the examiner on the mark obtained?

Examiner (i)	A	B	C
Mark Y_{ij}	10, 11, 11 12, 13, 15	8, 10, 11, 12 14, 15, 16, 16	10, 13, 14, 14 15, 16, 16
Number n_i	6	8	7
Average $Y_{i.}$	12	12.75	14

Example



2 One-way ANOVA

- Context and Example
- Regular model
- Singular model
- Predictions, residuals and variance
- Confidence interval
- Test: effect of the factor?

Regular model

- Regular model:

$$\begin{cases} Y_{ij} = m_i + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, I, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n_i \\ \varepsilon_{ij} \text{ i.i.d } \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \end{cases}$$

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{1,1} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{1n_1} \\ Y_{21} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{In_I} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{n_1} & 0_{n_1} & 0_{n_1} & \cdots & 0_{n_1} \\ 0_{n_2} & 1_{n_2} & 0_{n_2} & \cdots & 0_{n_2} \\ 0_{n_3} & 0_{n_3} & 1_{n_3} & \cdots & 0_{n_3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0_{n_I} & 0_{n_I} & 0_{n_I} & \cdots & 1_{n_I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \\ \vdots \\ m_I \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon$$

with $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}_n(0_n, \sigma^2 I_n)$.

- Unknown parameters: $\theta = (m_1, \dots, m_I)'$ [$k = I$] and σ^2 .



- $X'X = \text{diag}(n_1, \dots, n_I)$ is invertible \Rightarrow regular model
- $\hat{\theta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y$ thus $\hat{m}_i = Y_{i.} = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}$

```
anReg<-lm(Marks~Exam -1)
summary(anReg)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = Marks ~ Exam - 1)
```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-4.75	-1.00	0.00	2.00	3.25

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
ExamA	12.0000	0.9789	12.26	3.58e-10 ***
ExamB	12.7500	0.8478	15.04	1.23e-11 ***
ExamC	14.0000	0.9063	15.45	7.88e-12 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 2.398 on 18 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.9716, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9668

F-statistic: 205 on 3 and 18 DF, p-value: 4.226e-14

Estimation of θ



```
import statsmodels.api as sm
from statsmodels.formula.api import ols
anRegpy = ols('Marks ~ Exam-1', data=Datapy).fit();
anRegpy.summary()
```

```
<class 'statsmodels.iolib.summary.Summary'>
```

```
"""
```

OLS Regression Results

```
=====
Dep. Variable:          Marks    R-squared:          0.115
Model:                  OLS      Adj. R-squared:    0.017
Method:                 Least Squares    F-statistic:      1.170
Date:                  Ven, 12 nov 2021    Prob (F-statistic): 0.333
Time:                  12:57:59    Log-Likelihood:   -46.546
No. Observations:      21    AIC:              99.09
Df Residuals:          18    BIC:              102.2
Df Model:               2
Covariance Type:       nonrobust
=====
```

	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Exam[A]	12.0000	0.979	12.258	0.000	9.943	14.057
Exam[B]	12.7500	0.848	15.039	0.000	10.969	14.531
Exam[C]	14.0000	0.906	15.447	0.000	12.096	15.904

```
=====
Omnibus:                0.750    Durbin-Watson:      1.388
Prob(Omnibus):          0.687    Jarque-Bera (JB):    0.773
Skew:                   -0.356    Prob(JB):            0.679
Kurtosis:               2.386    Cond. No.            1.15
=====
```

Notes:

[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

2 One-way ANOVA

- Context and Example
- Regular model
- **Singular model**
- Predictions, residuals and variance
- Confidence interval
- Test: effect of the factor?

Singular model

- For interpretation reasons, we may be interested in an other parametrization

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, I, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n_i$$

where

- μ = average effect
- $\alpha_i = m_i - \mu$ = differential effect of group i .
- But this model is over-parameterized [$I+1$ parameters]
 \Rightarrow one constraint is required to have an identifiable model
 - Orthogonal constraint : $\sum_{i=1}^I n_i \alpha_i = 0$
 - By default in R: $\alpha_1 = 0$


Estimation of θ - Orthogonal constraints

- Model:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \theta = (\mu, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_I)'$$

- The orthogonal constraint $\sum_{i=1}^I n_i \alpha_i = 0$

- Estimators:
$$\begin{cases} \hat{\mu} = Y_{..} \\ \hat{\alpha}_i = Y_{i.} - Y_{..} \end{cases}$$




Estimation of θ - By default in R

- Model:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad \theta = (\mu, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_I)'$$

- The constraint by default in R: $\alpha_1 = 0$

- Estimators:
$$\begin{cases} \hat{\mu} = Y_{1.} \\ \hat{\alpha}_i = Y_{i.} - Y_{1.} \end{cases}$$



```
anSing <- lm(Notes~Exam,data=Data)
summary(anSing)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = Notes ~ Exam, data = Data)
```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-4.75	-1.00	0.00	2.00	3.25

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	12.0000	0.9789	12.258	3.58e-10 ***
ExamB	0.7500	1.2950	0.579	0.570
ExamC	2.0000	1.3341	1.499	0.151

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 2.398 on 18 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.115, Adjusted R-squared: 0.01669

F-statistic: 1.17 on 2 and 18 DF, p-value: 0.333

Example



```
anSingly = ols('Marks ~ Exam', data=Datapy).fit()
anSingly.summary()
```

```
<class 'statsmodels.iolib.summary.Summary'>
"""
```

OLS Regression Results

```
=====
Dep. Variable:          Marks      R-squared:                0.115
Model:                  OLS        Adj. R-squared:           0.017
Method:                 Least Squares    F-statistic:          1.170
Date:                  Ven, 12 nov 2021    Prob (F-statistic):    0.333
Time:                  12:58:01           Log-Likelihood:       -46.546
No. Observations:      21             AIC:                 99.09
Df Residuals:          18             BIC:                 102.2
Df Model:              2
Covariance Type:       nonrobust
=====
```

	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	12.0000	0.979	12.258	0.000	9.943	14.057
Exam[T.B]	0.7500	1.295	0.579	0.570	-1.971	3.471
Exam[T.C]	2.0000	1.334	1.499	0.151	-0.803	4.803

```
=====
Omnibus:                0.750    Durbin-Watson:           1.388
Prob(Omnibus):          0.687    Jarque-Bera (JB):        0.773
Skew:                  -0.356    Prob(JB):                0.679
Kurtosis:              2.386    Cond. No.:               4.00
=====
```

Notes:

[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

```
"""
```

2 One-way ANOVA

- Context and Example
- Regular model
- Singular model
- Predictions, residuals and variance
- Confidence interval
- Test: effect of the factor?

Predictions, residuals and variance

- Predicted values: $\hat{Y} = X\hat{\theta}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall i, \forall j, \quad \hat{Y}_{ij} = \hat{m}_i = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i = Y_i.$$

- Residuals: $\hat{\varepsilon} = Y - \hat{Y}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall i, \forall j, \quad \hat{\varepsilon}_{ij} = Y_{ij} - \hat{Y}_{ij} = Y_{ij} - Y_i.$$

- Estimator of the variance σ^2 :

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{\|Y - \hat{Y}\|^2}{n - I} = \frac{1}{n - I} \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \hat{Y}_{ij})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n - I} \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (\hat{\varepsilon}_{ij})^2 = \frac{SSR}{n - I}\end{aligned}$$

Proposition

- The mean of residuals per block is null: $\forall i = 1, \dots, I, \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \hat{\varepsilon}_{ij} = 0$.
- The mean of residuals is null: $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \hat{\varepsilon}_{ij} = 0$.
- $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \hat{Y}_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}$.
- $\text{cov}(\hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{Y}) = 0$.
- $\text{var}(Y) = \text{var}(\hat{Y}) + \text{var}(\hat{\varepsilon})$.

Proof in exercise

Decomposition of the variance

- **Between-group variance :**

$$\text{var}(\hat{Y}) = \sum_{i=1}^I \frac{n_i}{n} (Y_{i.} - Y_{..})^2$$

- **Within-group variance (or residual variance):**

$$\text{var}(\hat{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - Y_{i.})^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^I n_i \text{var}_i(Y)$$

- The equality $\text{var}(Y) = \text{var}(\hat{Y}) + \text{var}(\hat{\varepsilon})$:
Total Variance = Between Variance + Within Variance.

Coefficient of determination R^2

The coefficient of determination R^2 is the ratio of the between-group variance on the total variance:

$$R^2 = \frac{\text{var}(\hat{Y})}{\text{var}(Y)} = 1 - \frac{\text{var}(\hat{\varepsilon})}{\text{var}(Y)}.$$

It is a measure of connection between a quantitative variable and a qualitative variable.

Remarks:

- ① $R^2 = 1 \Leftrightarrow \hat{\varepsilon} = 0_n \Leftrightarrow \forall j = 1, \dots, n_i, Y_{ij} = Y_i.$
- ② $R^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{var}(\hat{Y}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall i = 1, \dots, I, Y_{i.} = Y_{..}$

2 One-way ANOVA

- Context and Example
- Regular model
- Singular model
- Predictions, residuals and variance
- Confidence interval
- Test: effect of the factor?

Confidence interval for m_i

- m_i is estimated by $\widehat{m}_i = Y_{i.} \sim \mathcal{N}(m_i, \sigma^2/n_i)$

since Y_{ij} i.i.d $\mathcal{N}(m_i, \sigma^2)$ ($j = 1, \dots, n_i$)

- By Cochran's theorem, $\frac{(n-l)\widehat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-l)$ and $\widehat{m}_i \perp\!\!\!\perp \widehat{\sigma}^2$

- We deduce that

$$\sqrt{n_i} \frac{\widehat{m}_i - m_i}{\widehat{\sigma}} \sim \mathcal{T}(n-l).$$

- Let $t_{n-l, 1-\alpha/2}$ be the $(1 - \alpha/2)$ quantile of $\mathcal{T}(n-l)$. Then,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(m_i \in \left[\widehat{m}_i \pm t_{n-l, 1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\widehat{\sigma}^2}{n_i}} \right] \right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

- With R:

```
anReg<-lm(Marks~Exam -1)
confint(anReg)
```

	2.5 %	97.5 %
ExamA	9.943313	14.05669
ExamB	10.968857	14.53114
ExamC	12.095878	15.90412

- With python:

```
anRegpy.conf_int(alpha=0.05)
```

	0	1
Exam[A]	9.943313	14.056687
Exam[B]	10.968857	14.531143
Exam[C]	12.095878	15.904122

Exercise

- Build the following confidence intervals:

```
anSing<-lm(Marks~Exam)
confint(anSing)
```

	2.5 %	97.5 %
(Intercept)	9.9433129	14.056687
ExamB	-1.9707414	3.470741
ExamC	-0.8027921	4.802792

```
anSingpy.conf_int(alpha=0.05)
```

	0	1
Intercept	9.943313	14.056687
Exam[T.B]	-1.970741	3.470741
Exam[T.C]	-0.802792	4.802792

Indications: determine the law of $\hat{\mu} = Y_{1.}$ and $\hat{\alpha}_i = Y_{i.} - Y_{1.}$.

2 One-way ANOVA

- Context and Example
- Regular model
- Singular model
- Predictions, residuals and variance
- Confidence interval
- Test: effect of the factor?

Test: effect of the factor?

- Testing procedure:

$$\mathcal{H}_0 : m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_l = m \iff \forall i = 1, \dots, l, \alpha_i = 0$$

against

$$\mathcal{H}_1 : \exists(i, i') \text{ such that } m_i \neq m_{i'}.$$

- Fisher's test of the sub-model:

$$(M_0) : Y_{ij} = m + \varepsilon_{ij} \text{ with } \hat{m} = Y_{..} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}$$

$$(M_1) : Y_{ij} = m_i + \varepsilon_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

Test: effect of the factor?

- Fisher's statistics:

$$F = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^I n_i (Y_{i.} - Y_{..})^2 / (I - 1)}{\sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - Y_{i.})^2 / (n - I)} = \frac{SSE / (I - 1)}{SSR / (n - I)} \underset{\mathcal{H}_0}{\sim} \mathcal{F}(I - 1, n - I),$$

- We reject \mathcal{H}_0 if $F > f_{1-\alpha, I-1, n-I}$.



• With R:

```
anmequal<-lm(Marks~1)
anova(anmequal,anReg)
```

Analysis of Variance Table

Model 1: Marks ~ 1

Model 2: Marks ~ Exam - 1

	Res.Df	RSS	Df	Sum of Sq	F	Pr(>F)
1	20	116.95				
2	18	103.50	2	13.452	1.1698	0.333

• With Python:

```
from statsmodels.stats.anova import anova_lm
anmequalpy = ols('Marks ~1', data=Datapy).fit();
anova_lm(anmequalpy,anRegpy)
```

	df_resid	ssr	df_diff	ss_diff	F	Pr(>F)
0	20.0	116.952381	0.0	NaN	NaN	NaN
1	18.0	103.500000	2.0	13.452381	1.169772	0.332952

Summary table of one-way anova

	df	Sum of squares	Average of squares	F	$f_{1-\alpha}$
Factor	$I - 1$	$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^I n_i (Y_{i.} - Y_{..})^2$	$\frac{SSE}{I-1} = MSE$	$\frac{MSE}{\widehat{\sigma^2}}$	$f_{1-\alpha, I-1, n-I}$
Residual	$n - I$	$SSR = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - Y_{i.})^2$	$\frac{SSR}{n-I} = \widehat{\sigma^2}$		
Total	$n - 1$	$SST = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - Y_{..})^2$			

3 Two-way ANOVA

- Introduction
- Models
- Estimation of θ
- Predicted values, residuals and variance
- Decomposition of the variability
- Interaction plot
- Testing procedures
- Summary table of two-way anova

3 Two-way ANOVA

- Introduction
- Models
- Estimation of θ
- Predicted values, residuals and variance
- Decomposition of the variability
- Interaction plot
- Testing procedures
- Summary table of two-way anova

Example (Husson et Pagès, 2013)

In a study of factors influencing wheat yield, three varieties of wheat (L, N and NF) and two nitrogen inputs were compared (normal supply = dose 1, intensive supply = dose 2).

Three repetitions for each couple (variety, dose) were performed and the yield (in q / ha) was measured.

We are interested in the differences that could exist from one variety to another, and in the possible interactions of varieties with nitrogen inputs.

```
summary(Ble)
```

Dose	Variety	Yield
1:9	L :6	Min. :55.65
2:9	N :6	1st Qu.:62.82
	NF:6	Median :65.50
		Mean :66.58
		3rd Qu.:69.75
		Max. :79.83

Notation

- Two factors (qualitative explanatory variables):
 - First factor = factor A [*Dose*] with $I [=2]$ levels
 - Second factor = factor B [*Variety*] with $J [=3]$ levels
- Y = quantitative response variable [*wheat yield*]
 - Y_{ijk} = measure of the k -th individual for level i of factor A and level j of factor B
 - n_{ij} = nb of obs. for level i of factor A and level j of factor B
 - $Y_{ij.}$ = mean of observations for block (i, j)

- Notation:

$$Y_{i..} = \frac{1}{n_{i+}} \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} Y_{ijk} \text{ with } n_{i+} = \sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij}$$

$$Y_{.j.} = \frac{1}{n_{+j}} \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} Y_{ijk} \text{ with } n_{+j} = \sum_{i=1}^I n_{ij}$$

$$Y_{...} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} Y_{ijk} \text{ with } n = \sum_{i=1}^I n_{i+} = \sum_{j=1}^J n_{+j}$$

Example

Variety	L ($j = 1$)	N ($j = 2$)	NF ($j = 3$)
Dose 1 ($i = 1$)			
($Y_{1,j,k}$)	70.35 63.59 79.83	62.56 58.89 55.65	69.45 64.84 66.12
$n_{1j} = 3$	$Y_{11.} = 71.26$	$Y_{12.} = 59.03$	$Y_{13.} = 66.80$
Dose 2 ($i = 2$)			
($Y_{2,j,k}$)	74.97 69.12 77.18	58.78 64.39 60.83	69.85 64.89 67.15
$n_{2j} = 3$	$Y_{21.} = 73.76$	$Y_{22.} = 61.33$	$Y_{23.} = 67.30$

- We are interested in the effect of **Variety** and **Dose** on **wheat yield** with a possible interaction between the two factors.

3 Two-way ANOVA

- Introduction
- Models
- Estimation of θ
- Predicted values, residuals and variance
- Decomposition of the variability
- Interaction plot
- Testing procedures
- Summary table of two-way anova

Regular model vs Singular model

- **Regular** model:

$$Y_{ijk} = m_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}, \varepsilon_{ijk} \underset{i.i.d}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

but all the effects are included in m_{ij}

- **Singular** model (with interaction):

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}, \varepsilon_{ijk} \underset{i.i.d}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

distinction of effects ... but constraints are required for parameter estimation.

$1 + I + J + IJ$ parameters and IJ df thus $1 + I + J$ constraints

Parameters of the singular model

The IJ parameters m_{ij} are thus decomposed into:

- μ = centering parameter (intercept),
- α_i , $I - 1$ parameters (main effect of factor A),
- β_j , $J - 1$ parameters (main effect of factor B),
- γ_{ij} , $(I - 1)(J - 1)$ parameters (interaction effects of factors).

Proposition

In the two-way anova framework with interaction, there exist some constraints such that the model is orthogonal if and only if

$$n_{ij} = \frac{n_{i+}n_{+j}}{n}.$$

In this case, the constraints (called Type I) are

$$\sum_{i=1}^I n_{i+} \alpha_i = 0; \sum_{j=1}^J n_{+j} \beta_j = 0; \forall i, \sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij} \gamma_{ij} = 0; \forall j, \sum_{i=1}^I n_{ij} \gamma_{ij} = 0.$$

Other constraints

- In practice, the following constraints (Type III) may be used:

$$\sum_i \alpha_i = 0, \sum_j \beta_j = 0, \forall i, \sum_j \gamma_{ij} = 0 \text{ et } \forall j, \sum_i \gamma_{ij} = 0$$

With these constraints, the model is orthogonal only if n_{ij} are constant.

- With R, the constraints by default are

$$\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0, \gamma_{1j} = 0 \forall j, \gamma_{i1} = 0 \forall i$$

In the sequel, the model is assumed to be orthogonal.

Additive two-way ANOVA

- The additive two-way ANOVA model = two-way ANOVA model without interaction

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ijk}.$$

- Exercise : Determine the constraints such that this model is orthogonal.

3 Two-way ANOVA

- Introduction
- Models
- Estimation of θ
- Predicted values, residuals and variance
- Decomposition of the variability
- Interaction plot
- Testing procedures
- Summary table of two-way anova

Estimation - Regular model

- Model:

$$\begin{cases} Y_{ijk} = m_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk} \\ \varepsilon_{ijk} \text{ i.i.d } \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow Y = X\theta + \varepsilon \text{ with } \varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}_n(0_n, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

- $\theta = (m_{11}, \dots, m_{IJ})'$ is estimated by $\hat{\theta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y$

Proposition

$$\hat{m}_{ij} = \frac{1}{n_{ij}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} Y_{ijk} = Y_{ij.} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(m_{ij}, \frac{\sigma^2}{n_{ij}}\right).$$

Estimation - Singular model

- Model: $Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}$
- Orthogonal constraints: If $n_{ij} = \frac{n_{i+}n_{+j}}{n}$, the orthogonal constraints (type I) are

$$\sum_{i=1}^I n_{i+} \alpha_i = 0; \sum_{j=1}^J n_{+j} \beta_j = 0; \forall i, \sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij} \gamma_{ij} = 0; \forall j, \sum_{i=1}^I n_{ij} \gamma_{ij} = 0.$$

Proposition

Under the orthogonal constraints,

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\mu} = Y_{...} \\ \hat{\alpha}_i = Y_{i..} - Y_{...} \\ \hat{\beta}_j = Y_{.j.} - Y_{...} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{ij} = Y_{ij.} - Y_{i..} - Y_{.j.} + Y_{...} \end{cases}$$

Estimation - Singular model

- Model: $Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}$
- By default in R: $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \gamma_{1j} = \gamma_{i1} = 0, \forall i, \forall j$

Proposition

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\mu} = Y_{11.} \\ \hat{\alpha}_i = Y_{i1.} - Y_{11.} \\ \hat{\beta}_j = Y_{1j.} - Y_{11.} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{ij} = Y_{ij.} - Y_{i1.} - Y_{1j.} + Y_{11.} \end{cases}$$

```
anov2 = lm(Yield~Dose*Variety,data=Ble)
summary(anov2)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = Yield ~ Dose * Variety, data = Ble)
```

Residuals:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-7.667	-2.296	-0.325	2.623	8.573

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)
(Intercept)	71.257	2.536	28.101	2.55e-12 ***
Dose2	2.500	3.586	0.697	0.49899
VarietyN	-12.223	3.586	-3.409	0.00519 **
VarietyNF	-4.453	3.586	-1.242	0.23801
Dose2:VarietyN	-0.200	5.071	-0.039	0.96919
Dose2:VarietyNF	-2.007	5.071	-0.396	0.69928

 Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 4.392 on 12 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.6725, Adjusted R-squared: 0.536

F-statistic: 4.928 on 5 and 12 DF, p-value: 0.01105



Example

```
import statsmodels.api as sm
from statsmodels.formula.api import ols
Blepy=r.Ble
Blepy['Dose']=Blepy['Dose'].astype(str)
Blepy['Variety']=Blepy['Variety'].astype(str)
anov2Singpy = ols('Yield ~ Dose * Variety', data=Blepy).fit();
anov2Singpy.summary()
```

```
<class 'statsmodels.iolib.summary.Summary'>
"""
```

OLS Regression Results

```
=====
Dep. Variable:          Yield      R-squared:                0.672
Model:                  OLS        Adj. R-squared:           0.536
Method:                 Least Squares   F-statistic:             4.928
Date:                  Ven, 12 nov 2021   Prob (F-statistic):      0.0111
Time:                  12:58:04         Log-Likelihood:         -48.528
No. Observations:      18             AIC:                   109.1
Df Residuals:          12             BIC:                   114.4
Df Model:              5
Covariance Type:       nonrobust
```

```
=====
               coef      std err          t      P>|t|      [0.025      0.975]
-----
Intercept          71.2567      2.536     28.101     0.000     65.732     76.781
Dose[T.2]           2.5000      3.586      0.697     0.499     -5.313     10.313
Variety[T.N]       -12.2233      3.586     -3.409     0.005    -20.037     -4.410
Variety[T.NF]      -4.4533      3.586     -1.242     0.238    -12.267     3.360
Dose[T.2]:Variety[T.N] -0.2000      5.071     -0.039     0.969    -11.250     10.850
Dose[T.2]:Variety[T.NF] -2.0067      5.071     -0.396     0.699    -13.056     9.043
=====
```

```
Omnibus:              1.202      Durbin-Watson:           2.764
Prob(Omnibus):        0.548      Jarque-Bera (JB):         0.100
```

3 Two-way ANOVA

- Introduction
- Models
- Estimation of θ
- Predicted values, residuals and variance
- Decomposition of the variability
- Interaction plot
- Testing procedures
- Summary table of two-way anova

Predicted values, residuals and variance

- Predicted values:

$$\hat{Y}_{ijk} = \hat{m}_{ij} = Y_{ij.} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_j + \hat{\gamma}_{ij}$$

- Residuals:

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{ijk} = Y_{ijk} - Y_{ij.}$$

- Estimator of the variance σ^2 :

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n - IJ} \sum_{ijk} (\hat{\varepsilon}_{ijk})^2 = \frac{1}{n - IJ} \sum_{ijk} (Y_{ijk} - Y_{ij.})^2 = \frac{SSR}{n - IJ}$$

and

$$\frac{(n - IJ) \hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n - IJ)$$

3 Two-way ANOVA

- Introduction
- Models
- Estimation of θ
- Predicted values, residuals and variance
- Decomposition of the variability
- Interaction plot
- Testing procedures
- Summary table of two-way anova

Decomposition of the variability

- Decomposition of SST:

$$\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} (Y_{ijk} - Y_{...})^2}_{\text{SST}} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij} (Y_{ij.} - Y_{...})^2}_{\text{SSE}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij} \text{var}_{ij}(Y)}_{\text{SSR}}$$

with $\text{var}_{ij}(Y) = \frac{1}{n_{ij}} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} (Y_{ijk} - Y_{ij.})^2$.

- Under the orthogonal constraints, $\text{SSE} = \text{SSA} + \text{SSB} + \text{SSI}$

$$\text{SSA} = \sum_{i=1}^I n_{i+} (Y_{i..} - Y_{...})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^I n_{i+} (\hat{\alpha}_i)^2$$

$$\text{SSB} = \sum_{j=1}^J n_{+j} (Y_{.j.} - Y_{...})^2 = \sum_{j=1}^J n_{+j} (\hat{\beta}_j)^2$$



$$\text{SSI} = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij} (Y_{ij.} - Y_{i..} - Y_{.j.} + Y_{...})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J n_{ij} (\hat{\gamma}_{ij})^2$$

3 Two-way ANOVA

- Introduction
- Models
- Estimation of θ
- Predicted values, residuals and variance
- Decomposition of the variability
- Interaction plot
- Testing procedures
- Summary table of two-way anova


Interaction plot

- Graphical control of the possible interaction



```
attach(Ble)
interaction.plot(Variety,Dose,Yield,col=c(2,4),pch=c(18,24),main="Interaction plot",type="b")
```


Interaction plot



Example



```
from statsmodels.graphics.factorplots import interaction_plot
from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
interaction_plot(Blep[ 'Variety' ],Blep[ 'Dose' ],Blep[ 'Yield' ]);
plt.show()
```



3 Two-way ANOVA

- Introduction
- Models
- Estimation of θ
- Predicted values, residuals and variance
- Decomposition of the variability
- Interaction plot
- Testing procedures
- Summary table of two-way anova

- Warning: If there is an interaction between two factors, then the principal effect of each factor must be integrated into the model.
- In order to simplify the model, it is necessary to
 - firstly test if there is an interaction effect,
 - if we retain the model without interaction, we can then test the effect of each factor

Non-interaction testing

- Hypothesis:

$$\mathcal{H}_I : \gamma_{ij} = 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, I, \forall j = 1, \dots, J$$

- Fisher's test of sub-model:

- $[M_1]$ $Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}$ (model with interaction)
- $[M_0]$ $Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ijk}$ (additive model)

- Test statistics:

$$F = \frac{SSI/(I-1)(J-1)}{SSR/(n-IJ)} \underset{\mathcal{H}_0}{\sim} \mathcal{F}((I-1)(J-1), n-IJ).$$



• With R:

```
anov2add = lm(Yield ~ Variety + Dose, data=Ble)
anova(anov2add, anov2)
```

Analysis of Variance Table

Model 1: Yield ~ Variety + Dose

Model 2: Yield ~ Dose * Variety

	Res.Df	RSS	Df	Sum of Sq	F	Pr(>F)
1	14	235.14				
2	12	231.47	2	3.6654	0.095	0.91

• With python:

```
from statsmodels.stats.anova import anova_lm
anov2addpy = ols('Yield~Dose + Variety', data=Blepy).fit()
anovaResults = anova_lm(anov2addpy, anov2Singpy)
print(anovaResults)
```

	df_resid	ssr	df_diff	ss_diff	F	Pr(>F)
0	14.0	235.137778	0.0	NaN	NaN	NaN
1	12.0	231.472400	2.0	3.665378	0.09501	0.910041

Test for the effect of factor A

- Hypothesis: $\mathcal{H}_A : \alpha_i = 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, I$
- Fisher's testing of sub-model:
 - $[M_1] Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ijk}$ (additive model)
 - $[M_0] Y_{ijk} = \mu + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ijk}$ (one-way ANOVA)
- Test statistics:

$$F = \frac{SSA/(I-1)}{SSRAB/(n-(I+J-1))} \underset{\mathcal{H}_0}{\sim} \mathcal{F}(I-1, n-(I+J-1)),$$

where $SSRAB$ = residual sum of squares for the additive model.

• With R:

```
anovA = lm(Yield ~ Variety, data=Ble)
anova(anovA, anov2add)
```

Analysis of Variance Table

Model 1: Yield ~ Variety

Model 2: Yield ~ Variety + Dose

	Res.Df	RSS	Df	Sum of Sq	F	Pr(>F)
1	15	249.15				
2	14	235.14	1	14.01	0.8341	0.3765

• With python:

```
anovApy = ols('Yield~Variety', data=Blepy).fit()
print(anova_lm(anovApy, anov2addpy))
```

	df_resid	ssr	df_diff	ss_diff	F	Pr(>F)
0	15.0	249.147467	0.0	NaN	NaN	NaN
1	14.0	235.137778	1.0	14.009689	0.834131	0.376541

Test for the effect of factor B

- Hypothesis: $\mathcal{H}_B : \beta_j = 0, \forall j = 1, \dots, J$
- Fisher's testing of sub-model:
 - $[M_1]$ $Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ijk}$ (additive model)
 - $[M_0]$ $Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{ijk}$ (one-way ANOVA)
- Test statistics:

$$F = \frac{SSB/(J-1)}{SSRAB/(n-(I+J-1))} \underset{\mathcal{H}_0}{\sim} \mathcal{F}(J-1, n-(I+J-1)),$$

where $SSRAB$ = residual sum of squares for the additive model.

• With R:

```
anovB = lm(Yield~Dose,data=Ble)
anova(anovB,anov2add)
```

Analysis of Variance Table

Model 1: Yield ~ Dose

Model 2: Yield ~ Variety + Dose

	Res.Df	RSS	Df	Sum of Sq	F	Pr(>F)
1	16	692.72				
2	14	235.14	2	457.58	13.622	0.0005192 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

• With python:

```
anovBpy = ols('Yield~Dose', data=Blepy).fit()
print(anova_lm(anovBpy,anov2addpy))
```

	df_resid	ssr	df_diff	ss_diff	F	Pr(>F)
0	16.0	692.719511	0.0	NaN	NaN	NaN
1	14.0	235.137778	2.0	457.581733	13.622108	0.000519

3 Two-way ANOVA

- Introduction
- Models
- Estimation of θ
- Predicted values, residuals and variance
- Decomposition of the variability
- Interaction plot
- Testing procedures
- Summary table of two-way anova

Summary table

- Two-way Anova with interaction + Orthogonal design
- Decomposition of the variance

$$SST = SSE + SSR = SSA + SSB + SSI + SSR.$$

Source	df	Sum of squares	Average of squares	F	$f_{1-\alpha}$
Factor A	$I - 1$	SSA	$MSA = \frac{SSA}{I-1}$	$MSA/\hat{\sigma}^2$	$f_{1-\alpha, I-1, n-IJ}$
Factor B	$J - 1$	SSB	$MSB = \frac{SSB}{J-1}$	$MSB/\hat{\sigma}^2$	$f_{1-\alpha, J-1, n-IJ}$
Interaction	$(I - 1)(J - 1)$	SSI	$MSI = \frac{SSI}{(I-1)(J-1)}$	$MSI/\hat{\sigma}^2$	$f_{1-\alpha, (I-1)(J-1), n-IJ}$
Residual	$n - IJ$	SSR	$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{SSR}{n-IJ}$		
Total	$n - 1$	SST			

4 Conclusion

Summary

- Know how to write an ANOVA model with one and two factors (individually and matrix), regular and singular
- Know how to distinguish a regular model from a singular model
- Know how to estimate the parameters of the ANOVA model in the regular case and in the singular case (by adapting to the chosen constraint (s))
- Know how to construct a confidence interval for a parameter of the ANOVA model
- Know how to build a procedure to test the effect of a factor, the interaction effect between factors, ... and know how to organize these tests
- Know how to interpret an interaction plot
- Know how to handle SSA, SSB, SSI, SSE, SSR in the case of an orthogonal design.

