Simulation on Pulsar data

Conner McCloney
11/10/2019

Simulating and comparing error rates for K-fold Cross Validation, Leave One Out Cross Validation, and Support Vector Classification on the Pulsar classification data set. For this dataset, all three methods perform on average almost equivalently.

```
#k-fold CV at K=10
suppressMessages(library(boot))
pulsar <- read.csv("pulsar_stars.csv")</pre>
cv.error.10=rep(0,10)
for (i in 1:10){
  glm.fit=glm(target_class~.,data=pulsar)
  cv.error.10[i]=cv.glm(pulsar,glm.fit,K=10)$delta[1]
cv.error.10
   [1] 0.02640191 0.02641446 0.02639544 0.02641056 0.02641312 0.02640184
## [7] 0.02641136 0.02641101 0.02639539 0.02641079
#Leave One-Out CV
n <- 200
true.pulsar.n <- floor((length(pulsar$target_class[pulsar$target_class == 1]) / length(pulsar$target_cl
false.pulsar.n <- floor((length(pulsar$target_class[pulsar$target_class == 0]) / length(pulsar$target_c
true.pulsar <- subset(pulsar, pulsar$target_class == 1)</pre>
false.pulsar <- subset(pulsar, pulsar$target_class == 0)</pre>
cv.error=rep(0,10)
for (i in 1:10){
  true.index <- sample(1:nrow(true.pulsar),true.pulsar.n)</pre>
  false.index <- sample(1:nrow(false.pulsar),false.pulsar.n)</pre>
  #Create new dataset of size n that has same true/false positive proportion
  #as original dataset
  new.data <- rbind(true.pulsar[true.index,],false.pulsar[false.index,])</pre>
  glm.fit=glm(target_class~.,data=new.data)
  cv.error[i]=cv.glm(new.data,glm.fit)$delta[1]
}
cv.error
   [1] 0.03363685 0.02634641 0.03085726 0.03696694 0.02265951 0.02829977
   [7] 0.02543120 0.03923869 0.03030938 0.03484637
#Support Vector Machine
for (i in 1:10){
  true.index <- sample(1:nrow(true.pulsar),true.pulsar.n)</pre>
  false.index <- sample(1:nrow(false.pulsar),false.pulsar.n)</pre>
  #Create new dataset of size n that has same true/false positive proportion
  #as original dataset
  new.data <- rbind(true.pulsar[true.index,],false.pulsar[false.index,])</pre>
  new.data$target_class <- factor(new.data$target_class)</pre>
  suppressMessages(library(e1071))
```

```
tune.out=tune(svm,target_class~., data=new.data, kernel="linear", ranges=list(cost=c(0.001, 0.01, 0.1
  bestmodel=tune.out$best.model
  ypred=predict(bestmodel, pulsar)
  print(table(predict=ypred, truth=pulsar$target_class))
##
          truth
## predict
               0
                     1
         0 16195
                   383
##
##
              64 1256
##
          truth
## predict
##
         0 16049
                   314
##
             210
                  1325
##
          truth
## predict
         0 16208
                   424
##
##
         1
              51
                 1215
##
          truth
## predict
                    1
         0 16233
                   576
##
##
         1
              26
                  1063
##
          truth
## predict
              0
                     1
         0 16128
                   234
##
##
             131 1405
         1
##
          truth
## predict
              0
                     1
##
         0 16092
                   219
##
         1
             167
                  1420
##
          truth
## predict
              0
                    1
         0 16099
##
                   346
##
         1
             160
                  1293
          truth
## predict
              0
                     1
##
         0 16091
                   267
##
             168
                 1372
         1
##
         truth
## predict
              0
                     1
##
         0 16111
                   295
##
         1
             148 1344
##
          truth
## predict
              0
##
         0 16222
                   483
```

##

37 1156

1