

**Problem 1.** Prove or provide a counterexample: For any  $\alpha \in V_{alt}^{(4)}$ , the set

$$U = \{(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4) \in V^4 : \alpha(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4) = 0\}$$

is a subspace of  $V^4$ .

This is not true.

*Proof.* Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^4$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$  vector space. Define  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{alt}^{(4)}$  by

$$\alpha(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = \det \begin{pmatrix} v_{1,1} & v_{1,2} & v_{1,3} & v_{1,4} \\ v_{2,1} & v_{2,2} & v_{2,3} & v_{2,4} \\ v_{3,1} & v_{3,2} & v_{3,3} & v_{3,4} \\ v_{4,1} & v_{4,2} & v_{4,3} & v_{4,4} \end{pmatrix}$$

Which is an alternating 4-multilinear form by Theorem 9.4.5.

Now take the two vectors of  $V^4$  (I will put them in the form of a matrix for clarity).

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The fact that each of these vectors have a zero determinant is based off the fact that each contain a column of all 0s hence any permutation will have a 0 in the product. But

$$\alpha(v_1 + v_2) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1$$

We have this is a diagonal matrix hence by problem 3 we have it's determinant is 1.

□

**Problem 2.** Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} & A_{1,3} \\ A_{2,1} & A_{2,2} & A_{2,3} \\ A_{3,1} & A_{3,2} & A_{3,3} \end{pmatrix}$ . Let's compute the determinant from the definition, regarding it as a map  $\det : \mathbb{K}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  given by

$$\det(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3) = \sum_{\substack{\text{perm.} \\ \sigma}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) A_{\sigma(1),1} \cdots A_{\sigma(3),3}.$$

1. Fill out the table to describe all permutations of the triple  $(1, 2, 3)$ , and find their signs.
2. Compute  $\det(A)$ .

Using the equations

1. Fill out the table below.

Permutation $\sigma$	Explicit Description	$\text{sgn}(\sigma)$
$\sigma_1 = \text{Id}$	$(1, 2, 3) \mapsto (1, 2, 3) = (1)(2)(3)$	1
$\sigma_2$	$(1, 2, 3) \mapsto (2, 1, 3) = (12)(3)$	-1
$\sigma_3$	$(1, 2, 3) \mapsto (3, 2, 1) = (13)(2)$	-1
$\sigma_4$	$(1, 2, 3) \mapsto (1, 3, 2) = (23)(1)$	-1
$\sigma_5$	$(1, 2, 3) \mapsto (3, 1, 2) = (132)$	1
$\sigma_6$	$(1, 2, 3) \mapsto (2, 3, 1) = (123)$	1

- 2.

$$\det(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3) = \sum_{i=1}^6 \text{sgn}(\sigma_i) A_{\sigma_i(1),1} A_{\sigma_i(2),2} A_{\sigma_i(3),3}$$

$$= A_{1,1}A_{1,2}A_{1,3} - A_{2,1}A_{1,2}A_{3,3} - A_{3,1}A_{2,2}A_{1,3} - A_{1,1}A_{3,2}A_{2,3} + A_{3,1}A_{1,2}A_{2,3} + A_{2,1}A_{3,2}A_{1,3}$$

**Problem 3.** Suppose  $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,1} & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & A_{2,2} & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$\det(A) = A_{1,1}A_{2,2} \cdots A_{n,n}.$$

It may be helpful to acknowledge that  $A$  can be described by the fact that  $A_{i,j} = 0$  whenever  $j < i$ .

*Proof.* Using the fact that  $A_{i,j} = 0$  whenever  $j < i$ . It suffices to show for any permutation other than the identity we have some  $\sigma(i) < i$ .

Assume that there exists a permutation of  $n$  elements  $\sigma \neq \text{Id}$  such that  $\sigma(i) \geq i$  for all  $i$ . Then  $\sigma(n) = n$  (because  $n$  is the max of the  $n$  elements) based on the fact that this is a bijection we get that  $\sigma(n-1) = n-1$ . Continuing this process we get that  $\sigma(i) = i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  which contradicts the fact that  $\sigma \neq \text{Id}$ . Hence we have that  $\sigma(i) < i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Then all the terms in the sum are 0 except for the identity permutation. Hence we get  $\det(A) = A_{\text{Id}(1),1}, \dots, A_{\text{Id}(n),n} = A_{1,1}, \dots, A_{n,n}$

□