## CS 4124

## Solutions to Homework Assignment 4 Collin McDevitt

March 29, 2024

[30] 1. Let  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ , the binary alphabet. Let

$$F = \{h \mid h : \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*\},\$$

the set of functions from strings to strings.

Prove that F is uncountable.

HINT: Use the fact that  $\Sigma^*$  is countably infinite and employ a proof by diagonalization.

My proof strategy:

I will prove that the set of functions  $F' = \{h : h : \Sigma^* \to \{0, 1\}\}$  is uncountable and as this set is a subset of F that would imply that F is uncountable. I will do this by constructing a bijection between  $H : F' \to \mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$  (the power set of  $\Sigma^*$ ) in the last homework we proved that no set can have a bijection with its power set and as  $\Sigma^*$  is countable we get that  $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$  is uncountable hence if a bijection H exists we would have that F' is uncountable.

Let  $H: F' \to \mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$  where  $H(f) = \{w \in \Sigma^* : f(w) = 1\}$  (informally this is the set of elements from  $\Sigma^*$  who get mapped to 1).

Now to show that this is a bijection I will come up with an inverse. We create the function

$$H^{-1}:\mathcal{P}(\Sigma^{\star})\to F'$$

where for  $A \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$  we have  $H^{-1}(A) = f$  where for all  $w \in \Sigma^*$  we have f(w) = 1 if and only if  $w \in A$ . The fact that  $H^{-1}$  is not a multivalued function can be proven by assuming that for some  $A \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$  that there exists two  $f_1, f_2 \in F'$  such that  $H^{-1}(A) = f_1$  and  $H^{-1}(A) = f_2$  then we have that for all  $w \in \Sigma^*$  that  $f_1(w) = 1$  and  $f_2(w) = 1$  if and only if  $w \in A$  which directly implies that for any  $\omega \in \Sigma^*$  where  $\omega \notin A$  that  $f_1(\omega) = 0$  and  $f_2(\omega) = 0$ . As  $f_1, f_2$  are equal at every input we have that  $f_1 = f_2$ .

Now to show these functions are each others inverses.

Let  $f \in F'$  then we have  $H(f) = \{w \in \Sigma^* : f(w) = 1\}$ . Then take  $H^{-1}(\{w \in \Sigma^* : f(w) = 1\}) = f_0$  for some  $f_0 \in F'$ . But from the definition of  $H^{-1}$  we get that  $f_0(\omega) = 1$  if and only if  $\omega \in \{w \in \Sigma^* : f(w) = 1\}$  which is the same as  $f(\omega) = 1$  so we have that  $f_0 = f$ . This implies that  $H^{-1} \circ H = \operatorname{Id}_{F'}$ . Now let  $A \in \mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$  then we have that  $H^{-1}(A) = f$  for some  $f \in F'$ . Then taking  $H(f) = \{w \in \Sigma^* : f(w) = 1\}$  but from the definition of f we have that for all  $\omega \in \Sigma^*$  that  $f(\omega) = 1$  if and only if  $\omega \in A$ . This implies that H(f) = A so we have  $H \circ H^{-1}(A) = A$  so we have that H is the inverse of  $H^{-1}$  so we have that H is a bijection.

Then as a bijection between  $F' \subset F$  to  $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$  exists and  $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$  is uncountable we get F' is uncountable and so F is uncountable as well.

Program  $P_x$ Input: yif x halts on input xif y has even length
then accept
else reject
else loop forever

[30] 2. Let

$$E = \{w \in \{0,1\}^* \mid \ell(w) \text{ is even}\},\$$

the language of binary strings of even length. See Figure 2 for a sample program that decides E, just for  $\LaTeX$  formatting purposes. Let

$$L = \{x \mid \text{program } x \text{ decides } E\}$$

be the language of programs that decide E.

Prove that L is undecidable by using m-reducibility.

We have that DHP is undecidable hence I will create the m-reduction  $DHP \leq_M L$ . We do this by taking any program  $x \to P_x$  where  $P_x$  is the program above. Note that E is regular as a simple FTA that has two states could recognize it. Hence I am justified in asking weather y has even length in P.

Now let  $x \in \Sigma^*$  and suppose that  $x \in DHP$  then we have that from the definition of  $P_x$  that for any input y that  $P_x(y)$  will accept if y has even length and reject if y has odd length. This implies that  $P_x$  decides E so we have that  $P_x \in L$ . Now for any  $x \in \Sigma^*$  consider  $P_x \in L$  then we have that  $P_x$  would have decided E hence it halts so we have that x halts on input x hence  $x \in DHP$ . This implies that  $x \in DHP$  if and only if  $x \in L$  so we have that  $x \in L$  have that  $x \in L$  so  $x \in L$  is undecidable as  $x \in L$  is undecidable.

[40] 3. Let

DOUBLE = 
$$\{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}.$$

Let

$$W = \{x \mid \text{program } x \text{ decides DOUBLE}\}$$

be the language of programs that decide DOUBLE.

- A. Is DOUBLE decidable? Justify your answer.
- B. Is W decidable? Prove your answer.

```
Program P_{\text{DOUBLE}}
Input: y
if y has odd length
then reject
else
length \leftarrow len(y)
for i \leftarrow 0 to length/2
if y[i] \neq y[length/2 + i]
then reject
accept
```

```
Program P_x
Input: y
if x halts on input x
if y \in DOUBLE
then accept
else reject
else loop forever
```

A: Yes DOUBLE is decidable. An example of a program that decides DOUBLE is the program  $P_{\text{DOUBLE}}$  which is shown above. Note that I am having the range loop be noninclusive for range like how they are in python.

B: We have that W is not decidable. I will show this by creating the m-reduction  $DHP \leq_M W$ . We do this by taking any program  $x \to P_x$  where  $P_x$  is the program above. Note that DOUBLE is decidable using the same reasoning as in part A. Hence I am justified in asking weather  $y \in DOUBLE$  in  $P_x$ .

Now to show that this is a valid m-reduction. Suppose that  $x \in DHP$  then we have from the definition of  $P_x$  that for any input y that it either accepts or rejects as DOUBLE is decidable hence we have that  $P_x \in W$ . Now suppose that  $P_x \in W$  then we have that  $P_x$  would have decided DOUBLE hence it halts so we have that x halts on input x hence  $x \in DHP$ . This implies that  $x \in DHP$  if and only if  $P(x) \in W$  so we have that  $DHP \leq_M W$  so W is undecidable as DHP is undecidable.