

**CS 4124**  
**Solutions to Homework Assignment 3**  
**Collin McDevitt**

March 15, 2024

[25] 1. Let

$$M_1 = (Q^{(\text{poll,acc})}, Q^{(\text{poll,rej})}, Q^{(\text{aut})}, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0)$$

be the OTM with the following algebraic specification

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{(\text{poll,acc})} &= \{q_0\} \\ Q^{(\text{poll,rej})} &= \{q_1\} \\ Q^{(\text{aut})} &= \emptyset \\ \Sigma &= \{0, 1\} \\ \Gamma &= \{0, 1, \boxed{\text{B}}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the specification of  $\delta$  is given in a transition table; see Table 1.

**A. On input  $w = 01100$ , give the computation (sequence of configurations) that  $M_1$  goes through.**

**B. Let  $L_1$  be the language accepted by  $M_1$ . What is  $L_1$ ? Explain.**

The sequence of configurations is

$$\begin{aligned} C_0^{M_1}(01100) &= \langle \varepsilon, \boxed{\text{B}}q_0\boxed{\text{B}}\boxed{\text{B}} \rangle \\ C_1^{M_1}(01100) &= \langle 0, \boxed{\text{B}}0q_1\boxed{\text{B}} \rangle \\ C_2^{M_1}(01100) &= \langle 01, \boxed{\text{B}}01q_0\boxed{\text{B}} \rangle \\ C_3^{M_1}(01100) &= \langle 011, \boxed{\text{B}}011q_1\boxed{\text{B}} \rangle \\ C_4^{M_1}(01100) &= \langle 0110, \boxed{\text{B}}0110q_0\boxed{\text{B}} \rangle \\ C_5^{M_1}(01100) &= \langle 01100, \boxed{\text{B}}01100q_1\boxed{\text{B}} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The language that  $M_1$  accepts is given by

$$L_1 = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* : w \text{ has even length}\}$$

$q$	$\delta((q, 0), 0)$	$\delta((q, 1), 0)$	$\delta((q, 0), 1)$	$\delta((q, 1), 1)$	$\delta((q, 0), \boxed{\text{B}})$	$\delta((q, 1), \boxed{\text{B}})$
$q_0$	$(q_1, 0, R)$	$(q_1, 1, R)$	$(q_1, 0, R)$	$(q_1, 1, R)$	$(q_1, 0, R)$	$(q_1, 1, R)$
$q_1$	$(q_0, 0, R)$	$(q_0, 1, R)$	$(q_0, 0, R)$	$(q_0, 1, R)$	$(q_0, 0, R)$	$(q_0, 1, R)$

Table 1: Transition table for Problem 1.

. We have no autonomous states and all the state transition functions only ever move right hence we only have to examine when the final state is  $q_0$ . Looking at the transition table we see that on any input and any tape symbol the state goes from  $q_0$  to  $q_1$  or from  $q_1$  to  $q_0$  informally the state flips at each step in the configuration. As the initial state is  $q_0$  then for us to end up in  $q_0$  again we need to have flipped an even number of times which implies that the word was even length.

---

[25] **2.** Let  $L_2 \subseteq \{a, b\}^*$  be the language

$$L_2 = \{(aab)^i \mid i \geq 0\}.$$

Three example strings in  $L_2$  are  $\epsilon$ ,  $aabaab$ , and  $aabaabaabaabaab$ . Three example strings not in  $L_2$  are  $baa$ ,  $aaaab$ , and  $aabaa$ .

**Design an OTM  $M_2$  that accepts  $L_2$ . Give the complete algebraic specification for  $M_2$ :**

$$M_2 = (Q^{(\text{poll}, \text{acc})}, Q^{(\text{poll}, \text{rej})}, Q^{(\text{aut})}, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0).$$

**You may want to put  $\delta$  in a transition table; otherwise, you can specify all the transitions as equations in an eqnarray\* or a displaymath environment.**

**HINT: You will need a dead state that is in  $Q^{(\text{poll}, \text{rej})}$ .**

Let  $M_2 = (Q^{(\text{poll}, \text{acc})}, Q^{(\text{poll}, \text{rej})}, Q^{(\text{aut})}, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0)$  be an OTM with the following algebraic specification.

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{(\text{pull}, \text{acc})} &= \{q_0, q_3\} \\ Q^{(\text{pull}, \text{rej})} &= \{q_1, q_2, q_4\} \\ Q^{(\text{aut})} &= \emptyset \\ \Sigma &= \{a, b\} \\ \Gamma &= \{a, b, \boxed{\text{B}}\} \end{aligned}$$

Where  $\delta$  is given by the state transition Table 2. Note each of the transition functions will be doing the same thing regardless of what is on the tape (for same input and same state) so for the sake of readability I will construct the table with an arbitrary tape element  $d \in \Gamma$ .

Explanation of why  $M_2$  works. If  $M_2$  reads in an  $a$  from the initial configuration, then we have it enter state  $q_1$  (non-accepting state). If we get a  $b$  in state  $q_1$ , then we would have had a string of the form  $ab$ , hence we go to the dead state.  $q_4$  If instead we get a  $a$  in  $q_1$ , we go on to state  $q_2$ . If we get another  $a$  in  $q_2$  (non-accepting state), then we would have read three continuous  $a$ 's, hence we enter the dead state  $q_4$ . If  $q_2$  reads a  $b$ , then we enter the accepting state  $q_3$ . If  $q_3$  reads a  $b$ , then we would have read two  $b$ 's in a row, hence we enter  $q_4$ . If we read another  $a$  in  $q_3$ , we enter state  $q_1$ , and the process continues.

$q$	$\delta((q, a), d)$	$\delta((q, b), d)$
$q_0$	$(q_1, a, R)$	$(q_4, b, R)$
$q_1$	$(q_2, a, R)$	$(q_4, b, R)$
$q_2$	$(q_4, a, R)$	$(q_3, b, R)$
$q_3$	$(q_1, a, R)$	$(q_4, b, R)$
$q_4$	$(q_4, a, R)$	$(q_4, b, R)$

Table 2: Transition table for Problem 2 with  $d \in \Gamma$

[20] **3.** Let  $D$  be a set of cards from a standard deck, 52 cards in all. Let  $C$  be a set of 50 pennies, each with a different year so they are easily distinguished.

**Prove by contradiction that there is no injection**

$$h : D \rightarrow C.$$

**HINT: Assume that such an injection  $h$  exists and derive a contradiction. Think about the Pigeonhole Principle.**

---

Assume that  $D, C$  are sets as described above. Also assume that there exists an injective function  $h : D \rightarrow C$ . Then from the definition of injective we get for all  $x, y \in D$  with  $x \neq y$  we have  $h(x) \neq h(y)$  but as  $|D| = 52$  and  $|C| = 50$  we have by the Pigeonhole Principle that there exists two distinct elements  $a, b \in D$  where  $h(a) = h(b)$  this contradicts the assumption that  $h$  is injective hence we get that no such injective function exists.

---

[30] **4.** Let  $A$  be any nonempty set. Let  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  be the power set of  $A$ , the set of all subsets of  $A$ ; see Page 492.

**Prove by contradiction (diagonalization) that there is no bijection**

$$h : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A).$$

**HINT: Assume that such a bijection  $h$  exists and derive a contradiction. Be careful with your argument.**

---

Let  $A$  be an arbitrary set and assume that such a bijection  $h : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$  exists. Then we create the set  $\{x : x \notin h(x)\} \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ . As  $h$  is a bijection we have that for some  $a \in A$  that  $h(a) = \{x : x \notin h(x)\}$ . We have two cases  $a \in h(a)$  or  $a \notin h(a)$  if  $a \in h(a)$  then  $a \in \{x : x \notin h(x)\}$  but from the definition we get  $a \notin h(a)$  this is a contradiction. Now in the other case if  $a \notin h(a)$  then from the definition of the set we get  $a \in h(a)$  again a contradiction. This implies that the assumption that there exists some  $a \in A$  such that  $h(a) = \{x : x \notin h(x)\}$  is not correct. Hence we have that  $h$  can not be surjective which is sufficient to prove it is not a bijection this contradicts the assumption that  $h$  is a bijection which implies there exists no bijection.

---