## Hand in Friday, March 15.

1. Suppose that X and Y are topological spaces, that  $A \subset X$ , and that f and g are continuous maps from X to Y that satisfy, for all  $x \in A$ , f(x) = g(x). If Y is Hausdorff, show that, for all z in the closure of A, f(z) = g(z).

Proof. Assume X and Y are topological spaces, that  $A \subset X$ , and that f and g are continuous maps from X to Y that satisfy, for all  $x \in A$ , f(x) = g(x). Assuming Y is Hausdorff and that there there exists some point z in the closure of A where  $f(z) \neq g(z)$ . Then as Y is Hausdorff we have two neighborhoods  $U_f$  of f(z) and  $U_g$  of g(z) where  $U_f \cap U_g = \emptyset$ . As f, g are continuous we have that  $f^{-1}(U_f)$  and  $g^{-1}(U_g)$  are both open. We have that  $z \in f^{-1}(U_f) \cap g^{-1}(U_g)$  but we have that z is a limit point as if  $z \in A$  then that would be an immediate contraction on  $f(z) \neq g(z)$  hence we have  $A \cap f^{-1}(U_f) \cap g^{-1}(U_g) \setminus \{z\} \neq \emptyset$  hence we have for some  $a \in A \cap f^{-1}(U_f) \cap g^{-1}(U_g) \setminus \{z\}$  then we have a neighborhood  $U_a$  of a with  $U_a \subset f^{-1}(U_f) \cap g^{-1}(U_g)$  hence we have  $f(a) \in U_f \cap U_g$  which contradicts  $U_f$  and  $U_g$  being disjoint.

**2.** Let  $f: X_1 \to Y_1$  and  $g: X_2 \to Y_2$  be continuous maps between topological spaces. Give the products  $X_1 \times X_2$  and  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  their product topologies. Show that the map  $H: X_1 \times X_2 \to Y_1 \times Y_2$  defined by  $H((x_1, x_2)) = (f(x_1), g(x_2))$  is continuous.

Proof. Assume that  $f: X_1 \to Y_1$  and  $g: X_2 \to Y_2$  are continuous maps between topological spaces. Assume we have  $X_1 \times X_2$  and  $Y_1 \times Y_2$  with their product topologies with the map  $H: X_1 \times X_2 \to Y_1 \times Y_2$  where  $H((x_1, x_2)) = (f(x_1), g(x_2))$ . Then consider an arbitrary basis element  $U_1 \times U_2 \subset Y_1 \times Y_2$  then we have by the definition of product topology that  $U_1$  is open in  $Y_1$  and  $U_2$  is open in  $Y_2$  and as f, g are continuous we have  $f^{-1}(U_1)$  and  $g^{-1}(U_2)$  are open hence  $f^{-1}(U_1) \times g^{-1}(U_2)$  is open in  $X_1 \times X_2$  this implies  $H^{-1}(U_1 \times U_2) = f^{-1}(U_1) \times g^{-1}(U_2)$  is open which shows that H is continuous.

**3.** An injective (one-to-one) continuous map  $f: X \to Y$  between topological spaces is a bijection from X to the image f(X). Give f(X) the subspace topology induced by Y's topology. We call such an f an imbedding if it is a homeomorphism from X to f(X).

In this context, let Y be  $X \times X$  with the product topology. Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary element of X.

**a.** Show that  $f: X \to X \times X$  defined by  $f(x) = (x, x_0)$  is an imbedding.

Proof. Assume that  $f: X \to X \times X$  is a map defined by  $f(x) = (x, x_0)$  where  $x_0$  is an arbitrary element of X. Suppose  $x, y \in X$  with f(x) = f(y) then we have  $(x, x_0) = (y, x_0)$  hence x = y which shows that f is injective. Let  $U_1 \times U_2 \subset f(X)$  be an arbitrary basis element. Then as  $f(X) = X \times \{x_0\}$  we get that  $U_2 = \{x_0\}$  additionally from the definition of subspace topology we get  $U_1 = X \cap U_3$  where  $U_3$  is some open set in X hence  $U_1$  is also open. As  $f^{-1}(U_1 \times U_2) = U_1$  we have  $f^{-1}(U_1 \times U_2)$  is open. Hence f is continuous. We have  $f^{-1}: f(X) \to X$  where  $f^{-1}(x,y) = x$  is a bijection as we have shown that f is injective and it is surjective with its own range. So we just need to show that  $f^{-1}$  is continuous. Given an arbitrary basis element U of X. We have that  $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f$  hence  $f(U) = U \times \{x_0\}$  and as  $U \times \{x_0\} = f(X) \cap (U \times X)$  and  $U \times X$  is open in the product topology we get  $U \times \{x_0\}$  is open in the subspace topology hence which shows that  $f^{-1}$  is continuous which implies f is a homeomorphism which shows that f is an imbedding.

**b.** Show that  $g: X \to X \times X$  defined by g(x) = (x, x) is an imbedding.

Proof. Let  $g: X \to X \times X$  be defined by g(x) = (x, x). Let  $x, y \in X$  be two elements with g(x) = g(y) then (x, x) = (y, y) which implies x = y hence g is injective. Now let  $U_1 \times U_2 \subset g(X)$  be an open set. Then we have  $U_1 \times U_2 = \{(x, x) : x \in X\} \cap A \times B$  for some open sets A, B in X which implies  $U_1 \times U_2 = \{(x, x) : x \in A \cap B\}$ . Then  $g^{-1}(U_1 \times U_2) = A \cap B$  and as A, B are open we get  $A \cap B$  is open which shows that g is continuous.

Let the map  $g^{-1}:g(X)\to X$  be defined by  $g^{-1}(x,x)=x$  we have already shown g is injective and as g is surjective with its own range we have that  $g^{-1}$  is a bijection. Let  $U\subset X$  be an open set then we have  $(g^{-1})^{-1}=g$  hence  $(g^{-1})^{-1}(U)=g(U)=\{(x,x):x\in U\}$ . As  $\{(x,x):x\in U\}=(U\times U)\cap g(X)$  we get  $\{(x,x):x\in U\}$  is open in the subspace topology hence  $g^{-1}$  is continuous which implies that g is an imbedding.

**4.** Suppose that  $h: X \to Y$  is a homeomorphism of topological spaces. If Z is any other topological space and if  $g: Y \to Z$  is a continuous map, we know that the composition  $g \circ h$  is a continuous map from X to Z. Show that every continuous map  $f: X \to Z$  arises this way, i.e. for any continuous  $f: X \to Z$ , there exists a continuous  $G: Y \to Z$  for which  $f = G \circ h$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $h: X \mapsto Y$  is a homeomorphism of topological spaces and Z is some other topological space. Then given an arbitrary continuous function  $f: X \to Z$  we need to create a function  $G: Y \to Z$  such that  $G \circ h = f$ . First I will prove the existence and then that it is continuous. Define  $G: Y \to Z$  where  $G(y) = f(h^{-1}(y))$ .

Now to prove that G is continuous let  $U \subset Z$  be an open set. Then as  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open in X we have that  $h(f^{-1}(U))$  is open in Y as homeomorphisms preserve open sets (this is immediate based off both directions of homeomorphisms being continuous) we just need to show  $G^{-1}(U) = h(f^{-1}(U))$ .

Let  $y \in G^{-1}(U)$  as h is a homeomorphism we have for some unique  $x \in X$  that h(x) = y and as  $G(y) = f(x) \in U$  we get  $x \in f^{-1}(U)$  which implies  $y = h(x) \in h(f^{-1}(U))$  hence  $y \in h(f^{-1}(U))$  which gives  $G^{-1}(U) \subset h(f^{-1}(U))$ 

Now let  $y \in h(f^{-1}U)$ . Then as h is a homeomorphism we have for some  $x \in f^{-1}(U)$  that y = h(x) but then  $G(y) = f(h^{-1}(y)) = f(x) \in U$  which implies  $y \in G^{-1}(U)$  hence  $h(f^{-1}U) \subset G^{-1}(U)$  then  $h(f^{-1}U) = G^{-1}(U)$  which implies G is continuous. As for any  $x \in X$  we have  $G \circ h(x) = f(h^{-1}(h(x))) = f(x)$  we get  $f = G \circ h$ .  $\square$ 

**5. a.** Show that a linearly ordered set with the order topology is Hausdorff.

*Proof.* Suppose X is a linearly ordered set with the order topology. Let  $a, b \in X$  with  $a \neq b$  and without loss of generality assume a < b. I will proceed by cases.

- (1) If there is no element  $c \in X$  with a < c < b and a is the minimum element of X and b is the maximum element of X consider the open sets  $a \in [a,b)$  and  $b \in (a,b]$  we have each of the sets is open and  $[a,b) \cap (a,b] = \emptyset$ .
- (2) If there exists an element  $c \in X$  with a < c < b and a is the minimum element of X and b is the maximum. Then consider the open sets  $U_a \cap [a, c)$  and  $U_b \cap (c, b]$  then we have  $a \in [a, c)$  and  $b \in (c, b]$  but  $[a, c) \cap (c, b] = \emptyset$ .
- (3) If there is no element  $c \in X$  with a < c < b and a is not a minimum element of X and b is not a maximum of X then we have the sets (d,b) where  $d \in X$  with d < a is open and the set (a,l) where  $l \in X$  with b < l is open. We have  $a \in (d,b)$  and  $b \in (a,l)$  but  $(d,b) \cap (a,l) = \emptyset$
- (4) If there exists some element  $c \in X$  with a < c < b and a is not a minimum of X and b is not a maximum of X then we have the sets (d, c) where  $d \in X$  and d < a is open and the set (c, l) where  $l \in X$  with b < l is open. We have  $a \in (d, c)$  and  $b \in (c, l)$  where  $l \in X$  with b < l but  $(d, c) \cap (c, l) = \emptyset$
- (5) If there exists no element  $c \in X$  with a < c < b and without loss of generality a is a minimum of X and b is not the maximum (the case where a is not min of X and b is max of X will follow by almost the same exact reasoning) then consider the open sets  $a \in [a, b)$  and  $b \in (a, d)$  where  $d \in X$  with b < d then we have  $[a, b) \cap (a, d) = \emptyset$ .
- (6) If there exists some element  $c \in X$  with a < c < b and without loss of generality a is a minimum of X and b is not the maximum. Then  $a \in [a,c)$  and  $b \in (c,d)$  where  $d \in X$  with b < d then  $[a,c) \cap (c,d) = \emptyset$

This completes all the cases hence we get X is a Hausdorff space.

**b.** Suppose that X is a topological space. Show that X is Hausdorff if and only if the diagonal subset  $\{(x,x):x\in X\}$  of the product  $X\times X$  is a closed subset of the product. Assume here that the topology on  $X\times X$  is the product topology.

Proof.  $(\Rightarrow)$ 

Suppose X is a topological space and X is Hausdorff. Now assume that  $X \times X$  has the product topology. Assume that  $(a,b) \in X \times X$  with  $a \neq b$  is a limit point of  $\{(x,x) : x \in X\}$ . Then as  $a \neq b$  and X is Hausdorff we have two neighborhoods  $U_a, U_b$  of a, b respectively with  $U_a \cap U_b = \emptyset$  then we have  $(a,b) \in U_a \times U_b$  but as  $U_a \cap U_b = \emptyset$  we get  $(U_a \times U_b) \cap \{(x,x) : x \in X\} = \emptyset$  hence (a,b) is not a limit point of  $\{(x,x) : x \in X\}$ .

This implies either there are no limit points of  $\{(x,x):x\in X\}$  or  $\{(x,x):x\in X\}'\subset \{(x,x):x\in X\}$  in either case we get  $\overline{\{(x,x):x\in X\}}=\{(x,x):x\in X\}\cup \{(x,x):x\in X\}'=\{(x,x):x\in X\}$  hence  $\{(x,x):x\in X\}$  contains it's limit points so its closed.  $(\Leftarrow)$ 

Assume that X is a topological space and that  $X \times X$  has the product topology and  $\{(x,x) : x \in X\}$  is closed in  $X \times X$ . Then for any  $a, b \in X$  with  $a \neq b$  we have  $(a,b) \in \{(x,x) : x \in X\}^c$  as there exists a basis element of the form  $(a,b) \in U_a \times U_b \subset \{(x,x) : x \in X\}^c$  but as we have  $U_a \times U_b \cap \{(x,x) : x \in X\} = \emptyset$  we get that there exists no  $x \in X$  such that  $(x,x) \in U_a \times U_b$  which implies  $U_a \cap U_b = \emptyset$  this implies that X is Hausdorff.

- Hausdorff.  $\Box$
- **6.** Let Y be an ordered set in the order topology. Let  $f, g: X \to Y$  be continuous.
  - (1) Show that the set  $\{x: f(x) \leq g(x)\}\$  is closed in X.

*Proof.* Assume that X is a topological space and Y is an ordered set in the order topology and  $f, g: X \to Y$  are continuous. We just need to show that  $\{x: f(x) \le g(x)\}^c = \{x: f(x) > g(x)\}$  is open. Let  $x \in \{x: f(x) > g(x)\}$  then  $f(x) \ne g(x)$ . I will proceed by cases.

(a) If there exists no  $y \in Y$  such that f(x) > y > g(x) and  $f(x) = \max(Y)$  and  $g(x) = \min(Y)$  we have the neighborhoods  $f(x) \in (g(x), f(x)]$  and  $g(x) \in [g(x), g(x))$  as f, g are continuous we have  $f^{-1}((g(x), f(x)])$  and  $g^{-1}([g(x), f(x)])$  are open in X with for all  $x_f \in f^{-1}((g(x), f(x)))$  for all  $x_g \in g^{-1}([g(x), f(x)))$  we have  $f(x_f) > g(x_g)$  hence we get

$$f^{-1}((g(x),f(x)]) \cup g^{-1}([g(x),f(x))) \subset \{x:f(x)>g(x)\}$$

(b) If there exists some  $y \in Y$  such that f(x) > y > g(x) and  $f(x) = \max(Y)$  and  $g(x) = \min(Y)$  then we have the neighborhoods  $f(x) \in (y, f(x)]$  and  $g(x) \in [g(x), f(x))$  and  $f^{-1}((y, f(x)])$  is open and  $g^{-1}([g(x), f(x)))$  is open. Using the same reasoning as above we have

$$f^{-1}((y,f(x)]) \cup g^{-1}([g(x),y)) \subset \{x: f(x) > g(x)\}$$

(c) If there exists no  $y \in Y$  with f(x) > y > g(x) and  $f(x) \neq \max(Y)$  and  $g(x) \neq \min(Y)$  then we have the neighborhoods  $f(x) \in (g(x), a)$  where  $a \in Y$  with a > f(x) and  $g(x) \in (b, f(x))$  where  $b \in Y$  with b < g(x) then using same reasoning

$$f^{-1}((g(x),a)) \cup g^{-1}((b,f(x))) \subset \{x: f(x) > g(x)\}$$

(d) If there exists some  $y \in Y$  where f(x) > y > g(x) and  $f(x) \neq \max(Y)$  and  $g(x) \neq \min(Y)$  then we have the neighborhoods  $f(x) \in (y, b)$  where  $b \in Y$  with b > f(x) and  $g(x) \in (c, y)$  where  $x \in Y$  with c < g(x). Then

$$f^{-1}((y,b)) \cup g^{-1}((c,y)) \subset \{x: f(x) > g(x)\}$$

(e) If there exists no  $y \in Y$  where f(x) > y > g(x) and without loss of generality  $f(x) = \max(Y)$  and  $g(x) \neq \min(Y)$  then we have the neighborhoods  $f(x) \in (g(x), f(x)]$  and  $g(x) \in (c, f(x))$  where  $c \in Y$  with c < g(x). Then

$$f^{-1}((g(x),f(x)]) \cup g^{-1}((c,f(x))) \subset \{x:f(x)>g(x)\}$$

4

(f) If there is some  $y \in Y$  where f(x) > y > g(x) and without loss of generality  $f(x) = \max(Y)$  and  $g(x) \neq \min(Y)$  then we have the neighborhoods  $f(x) \in (y, f(x)]$  and  $g(x) \in (b, y)$  where  $b \in Y$  with b < g(x) then

$$f^{-1}((y,f(x)]) \cup g^{-1}((b,y)) \subset \{x:f(x)>g(x)\}$$

Hence for all  $x \in \{x: f(x) > g(x)\}$  we have the existence of two open sets U, V in Y with  $x \in f^{-1}(U) \cup g^{-1}(V) \subset \{x: f(x) > g(x)\}$  then we get

$$\bigcup_{x \in \{x: f(x) > g(x)\}} f^{-1}(U_x) \cup g^{-1}(V_x) = \{x: f(x) > g(x)\}$$

This implies that  $\{x: f(x) > g(x)\}$  is open which implies that  $\{x: f(x) \leq g(x)\}$  is closed.

(2) Let  $h: X \to Y$  be the function

$$h(x) = \min\{f(x), g(x)\}\$$

. Show that h is continuous.

*Proof.* Assume that X is a topology and Y is an ordered set with the order topology. Let  $g, f: X \to Y$  be continuous maps. Let  $h: X \to Y$  be the function where  $h(x) = \min\{f(x), g(x)\}$ . We have  $X = \{x: f(x) \le g(x)\} \cup \{x: g(x) \le f(x)\}$  both  $\{x: g(x) \le f(x)\}, \{x: f(x) \le g(x)\}$