## Matching Theory Notes

## Collin McDevitt

**Lemma 1.0.1.** For any graph, G,  $\alpha(G) + \tau(G) = |V(G)|$ .

Proof. Let G be an arbitrary graph and let M be an arbitrary point cover where  $|M| = \tau(G)$ . Then V(G) - M is an independent set of points. This is true because if V(G) - M was not an independent set of points then there are at least two points  $u, v \in V(G) - M$  where u and v are adjacent. If they are adjacent the line incident with u and v is not covered by M which is a contradiction. As V(G) - M is an independent set of points we get the inequality

$$\alpha(G) \ge |V(G) - M| = |V(G)| - \tau(G)$$

Now assume that L is an arbitrary independent set of points where  $|L| = \alpha(G)$ . Then V(G) - L is a point cover of G. If V(G) - L is not a point cover of G then there exists a line l such that l is not covered by V(G) - L. However this would imply that l is incident with two points in L this is a contradiction on the fact L is independent points hence V(G) - L is a point cover of G. As V(G) - L is a point cover of G we get the inequality

$$\tau(G) \le |V(G) - L| = |V(G)| - \alpha(G)$$

Combining both inequalities we get  $\alpha(G) \ge |V(G)| - \tau(G)$  and  $\tau(G) \le |V(G)| - \alpha(G)$ . This implies that

$$\alpha(G) + \tau(G) = |V(G)|$$

.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 1.0.2.** For any graph G with no isolated points,  $v(G) + \rho(G) = |V(G)|$ .

Proof. Let G be an arbitrary graph with no isolated points and let C be a line cover of G where  $|C| = \rho(G)$ . Let  $\langle C \rangle$  be the graph formed from lines the set of lines C and the set of points V(C). We have that  $\langle C \rangle$  is a union of stars. This is because if  $\langle C \rangle$  was not a union of stars. Then there would be two points in the graph that that are adjacent two one or more point in  $\langle C \rangle$ . If they are adjacent to a single point then removing either of the lines incident would create a smaller minimal line cover. If they are adjacent to two or more points then removing any of the incident lines would create a smaller minimal line cover. Now if we let n be the number of components in  $\langle C \rangle$  we get that  $n = |V(G)| - \rho(G)$  which arises because in each star there is one more point than there are lines. If we take one line from each star we get a matching hence

$$v(G) \ge |V(G)| - \rho(G)$$

Now let M be an arbitrary matching of G where |M| = v(G), and U be the set of points that are not covered by M. We get that U is an independent set of points because if U was not an independent set of points then there would be two points  $u, v \in U$  such that u and v are adjacent. If u and v are adjacent then there is a line incident with u and v that is not covered by M which is a contradiction. As U is an independent set of points and G has no isolated points we get that |U| = |V(G)| - 2v(G). Let S be a line covering of U we get that  $M \cup S$  is a line covering. Then we get the inequality

$$\rho(G) \le |M \cup S| = v(G) + |V(G)| - 2v(G) = |V(G)| - v(G)$$

Combining both inequalities we get

$$v(G) + \rho(G) = |V(G)|$$

Exercise 1.0.3.

- 1. A minimal line cover is minimum if and only if it contains a maximum matching.
- 2. A maximal matching is maximum if and only if it is contained in a minimum line cover.

Proof. (1)

Let L be a line cover where  $|L| = \rho(G)$ . As L is a minimum line cover we have that it forms the lines from a union of stars on G. We have that the number of stars is v(G) which comes from selecting one matching from each star. Hence L contains a maximum matching.

Let L be a minimal line cover that contains a maximum matching. Then as L is a union of stars we get a maximum matching by selecting a line from each star. Hence  $|L| = |V(G)| - v(G) = \rho(G)$  hence it is a minimum line cover.

*Proof.* (2) Let M be a maximum matching. Let L be an line cover obtained by taking an arbitrary line covering of the edges incident with V(G) - V(M) and M. We have that  $|L| = |V(G)| - 2v(G) + v(G) = \rho(G)$  hence L contains a minimum line cover.

Let M be a maximal matching that is contained in a minimum line cover L. Then we have that the matchings in M are formed from individual lines of the stars formed by L. Hence  $|M| = V(G) - \rho(G) = v(G)$  hence M is a maximum matching.  $\square$ 

**Exercise 1.0.4.** For any graph G,  $v(G) \leq \tau(G)$ 

*Proof.* Let G be an arbitrary graph and let M be a maximum matching in G. As M is a maximum matching we get that it is contained in a minimum line cover L. As L is a minimum line cover we have that it is the union of stars where each matching in M comes from a single line in each star. We get that the number of stars is  $\tau(G)$  hence  $v(G) \leq \tau(G)$ 

**Theorem 1.1.1.** König's Minimax Theorem: If G is bipartite, then  $v(G) = \tau(G)$ 

Proof. Remove lines from G as long as possible while keeping  $\tau(G)$  the same. Denote the resulting minimal graph by G'. Hence  $\tau(G') = \tau(G)$ , but for any line  $l \in E(G)$  we have  $\tau(G'-l) < \tau(G)$ . Suppose that G' does not consist of independent lines. Then there are two lines  $l_1, l_2 \in E(G')$  that are both incident with a point  $a \in V(G')$ . As G' is minimal we have that there exists a point cover of G' - x we denote this point cover by  $S_x$  where  $|S_x| = \tau(G') - 1$ . We also have the point cover  $S_y$  which is covering G' - y with  $|S_x| = |S_y|$ . Form the induced subgraph G'' of G',  $G'' = G'[\{a\} \cup S_x \cup S_y \cap \overline{S_x \cap S_y}]$  and let  $t = |S_x \cap S_y|$ . Then we have  $|V(G'')| = 2(\tau(G') - 1 - t) + 1$ . As G'' is a subgraph of a bipartite graph we have that it is bipartite. Hence we have a set T which is a point cover of G'' where  $|T| = \tau(G') - 1 - t$ . We claim that the set of points  $T' = T \cup (S_x \cap S_y)$  covers G'. Let z be a line in G' if  $z \neq x$  or  $z \neq y$  then we have that z is covered by  $S_x$  and  $S_y$  hence it is covered by  $S_x \cap S_y$  or it connects  $S_x - S_y$  to  $S_y - S_x$ . If z = x or z = y then we have it is covered by T. So  $\tau(G') \leq |T'| = |T \cup (S_x \cap S_y)| = |T| + |S_x \cap S_y| \leq \tau(G') - 1 - t + t = \tau(G') - 1$  a contradiction. Hence G' is an independent set of lines. Hence

$$\tau(G) = \tau(G') = v(G') \le v(G)$$

**Theorem 1.1.2.** In any (possibly infinite) bipartite graph there exists a matching M and a point cover P such that every line in M contains exactly one point in P and every point in P is contained in exactly one line of M.

*Proof.* Let G be an arbitrary bipartite graph and let L be a minimum point cover of G. From each point in the point cover select one line that is incident with it. Assume that this is not a matching then there are two points that are incident