# Sequence to Sequence (seq2seq) & Attention

Ling 282/482: Deep Learning for Computational Linguistics

C.M. Downey

Fall 2025




# seq2seq: Overview

#### Sequence to sequence problems


- Many NLP tasks can be construed as sequence-to-sequence problems
  - Machine translations: sequence of source lang tokens to sequence of target lang tokens
  - Parsing: "Shane talks." —> "(S (NP (N Shane)) (VP V talks))"
    - Incl semantic parsing
  - Summarization
- Not the same as tagging, which assigns a label to each position in a given sequence


# Seq2seq vs Tagging


many to many




many to many









Initial hidden state of

decoder = 


A B C <EOS> W X Y Y Z

encoder decoder


#### seq2seq architecture


- Two components:
  - Encoder
    - Input sequence —> vector representation ("context" vector)
  - Decoder
    - Vector ("context" vector) —> Output sequence
- High-level "API"
  - Encoder/decoder can be different architectures (LSTM, GRU, Transformer, convolutional, ...)

#### Training an encoder-decoder RNN




# seq2seq initial results





#### Inference / Generation



#### Seq2seq interim summary

• Effectively, a seq2seq model is a **conditional** language model: the same kind of language model that we have seen, but conditioned on the context of the input sequence

$$P(y | x) = \prod_{i=1}^{|y|} P(y_i | x, y_{< i})$$

#### NMT Evaluation

- "Ideal": human evaluation (fluency, adequacy, ranking)
- BLEU (BiLingual Evaluation Understudy): roughly, n-gram overlap between reference translations and machine translations
  - Penalizes synonymous translations
    - METEOR, BERTScore attempt to alleviate
  - Low correlation with human ratings
- <u>chrF++</u>
  - Refinement of character n-gram F1 score
  - Seems to have better correlations
- In general: still no perfect solution

#### Source

la verdad, cuya madre es la historia, émula del tiempo, depósito de las acciones, testigo de lo pasado, ejemplo y aviso de lo presente, advertencia de lo por venir.

#### Reference

truth, whose mother is history, rival of time, storehouse of deeds, witness for the past, example and counsel for the present, and warning for the future.

#### Candidate 1

truth, whose mother is history, voice of time, deposit of actions, witness for the past, example and warning for the present, and warning for the future

#### Candidate 2

the truth, which mother is the history, émula of the time, deposition of the shares, witness of the past, example and notice of the present, warning of it for coming

JM S11.8



#### Outstanding Issues in NMT

- Evaluation: automated metrics are all flawed
  - "Tangled Up in BLEU"
- Low-resource / unsupervised MT
  - Can we build good translation models in the absence of huge amounts of parallel text?
    - Common technique: backtranslation
  - http://www.statmt.org/wmt20/unsup\_and\_very\_low\_res/
  - http://turing.iimas.unam.mx/americasnlp/st.html
  - https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.acl-main.560/

#### Statistical Machine Translation: Alignment

#### Statistical Machine Translation (90s-2010s)

Goal: find best translation y (e.g. English) of source sentence x (e.g. French)

$$\underset{y}{\operatorname{arg max}} P(y \mid x)$$

Use Bayes to decompose into two components:

$$\underset{y}{\operatorname{arg max}} P(x \mid y) P(y)$$


- Core translation model P(xly)
- Language model P(y): produce good / fluent target language text (e.g. English)

#### Alignment

- Most SMT systems factored through an alignment
  - Correspondence between words/phrases in source and target sentence
  - Typologically different languages have, e.g., very different word order (see JM 11.1 for more examples)
- Add alignment as a latent variable:


$$P(x, a \mid y)$$








Ceci n' est pas une pipe







Ceci n' est pas une pipe

This is not a pipe












#### SMT Difficulties

- Features for alignment:
  - Probability of particular pairs aligning (lexicon / bilingual dictionary)
  - Probability of a word aligning to a phrase (in general)
- More generally:
  - Huge amounts of feature engineering
  - Reliance on human curated resources like dictionaries
  - Most of the above are *language-pair-specific*, have to be repeated
- NMT was one of the first major success stories of neural methods in NLP:
  - End-to-end systems, "language-agnostic" models, equal/better performance


#### Attention







Mooney 2014: "You can't cram the meaning of a whole %%!\$# sentence into a single \$\&!\#\* vector!"



Mooney 2014: "You can't cram the meaning of a Decoder can only whole %&!\$# sentence into a single \$&!#\* vector!" see info in this one <EOS> <EOS>

#### NEURAL MACHINE TRANSLATION BY JOINTLY LEARNING TO ALIGN AND TRANSLATE

**Dzmitry Bahdanau** 

Jacobs University Bremen, Germany

**KyungHyun Cho** Yoshua Bengio\* Université de Montréal

#### **ABSTRACT**

Neural machine translation is a recently proposed approach to machine translation. Unlike the traditional statistical machine translation, the neural machine translation aims at building a single neural network that can be jointly tuned to maximize the translation performance. The models proposed recently for neural machine translation often belong to a family of encoder—decoders and encode a source sentence into a fixed-length vector from which a decoder generates a translation. In this paper, we conjecture that the use of a fixed-length vector is a bottleneck in improving the performance of this basic encoder—decoder architecture, and propose to extend this by allowing a model to automatically (soft-)search for parts of a source sentence that are relevant to predicting a target word, without having to form these parts as a hard segment explicitly. With this new approach, we achieve a translation performance comparable to the existing state-of-the-art phrase-based system on the task of English-to-French translation. Furthermore, qualitative analysis reveals that the (soft-)alignments found by the model agree well with our intuition.



#### NEURAL MACHINE TRANSLATION BY JOINTLY LEARNING TO ALIGN AND TRANSLATE

**Dzmitry Bahdanau** 


Jacobs University Bremen, Germany

**KyungHyun Cho** Yoshua Bengio\* Université de Montréal


#### **ABSTRACT**

Neural machine translation is a recently proposed approach to machine translation. Unlike the traditional statistical machine translation, the neural machine translation aims at building a single neural network that can be jointly tuned to maximize the translation performance. The models proposed recently for neural machine translation often belong to a family of encoder–decoders and encode a source sentence into a fixed-length vector from which a decoder generates a translation. In this paper, we conjecture that the use of a fixed-length vector is a bottleneck in improving the performance of this basic encoder–decoder architecture, and propose to extend this by allowing a model to automatically (soft-)search for parts of a source sentence that are relevant to predicting a target word, without having to form these parts as a hard segment explicitly. With this new approach, we achieve a translation performance comparable to the existing state-of-the-art phrase-based system on the task of English-to-French translation. Furthermore, qualitative analysis reveals that the (soft-)alignments found by the model agree well with our intuition.


#### source









































ullet A query q pays attention to some values  $\{v_k\}$  based on similarity with some keys  $\{k_v\}$ .

- ullet A query q pays attention to some values  $\{v_k\}$  based on similarity with some keys  $\{k_v\}$ .
- Dot-product attention:

$$\alpha_{j} = q \cdot k_{j}$$

$$e_{j} = e^{\alpha_{j}}/\Sigma_{j}e^{\alpha_{j}}$$

$$c = \Sigma_{j}e_{j}v_{j}$$

- A query q pays attention to some values  $\{v_k\}$  based on similarity with some keys  $\{k_v\}$ .
- Dot-product attention:

$$\alpha_{j} = q \cdot k_{j}$$

$$e_{j} = e^{\alpha_{j}}/\sum_{j} e^{\alpha_{j}}$$


$$c = \sum_{j} e_{j} v_{j}$$

• In the previous example: encoder hidden states played *both* the keys and the values roles.

- Incredibly useful (for performance)
  - By "solving" the bottleneck issue


- Incredibly useful (for performance)
  - By "solving" the bottleneck issue
- Aids interpretability (maybe)

- Incredibly useful (for performance)
  - By "solving" the bottleneck issue
- Aids interpretability (maybe)




Badhanau et al 2014

- Incredibly useful (for performance)
  - By "solving" the bottleneck issue
- Aids interpretability (maybe)
- A general technique for combining representations, applications in:
  - NMT, parsing, image/video captioning, ..., everything




Badhanau et al 2014

- Incredibly useful (for performance)
  - By "solving" the bottleneck issue
- Aids interpretability (maybe)
- A general technique for combining representations, applications in:
  - NMT, parsing, image/video captioning, ..., everything



Vinyals et al 2015

- Incredibly useful (for performance)
  - By "solving" the bottleneck issue
- Aids interpretability (maybe)
- A general technique for combining representations, applications in:
  - NMT, parsing, image/video captioning, ..., everything
- Conceptually, let the model *learn to align* representations
  - "Soft" alignment, just like gates = "soft" masks



Vinyals et al 2015