- 3. [10 points] prove that the Hessian is positive semidefinite.
 - A matrix is positive semidefinite if and only if $\mathbf{b}^T \mathcal{H} \mathbf{b} > \vec{0} \ \forall \ \mathbf{b} \neq \vec{0}$, where \mathbf{b} is a vector. $\mathcal{H}(f(\mathbf{x}_i))$ can be re-written as, by using Einstein Summation Notation

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{||\mathbf{w}||^2 y_i^2 e^{\varsigma_i}}{(e^{\varsigma_i} + 1)^2} & \frac{y_i [e^{\varsigma_i} (\varsigma_i - 1) - 1]}{(e^{\varsigma_i} + 1)^2} \\ \frac{y_i [e^{\varsigma_i} (\varsigma_i - 1) - 1]}{(e^{\varsigma_i} + 1)^2} & \frac{||\mathbf{x}_i||^2 y_i^2 e^{\varsigma_i}}{(e^{\varsigma_i} + 1)^2} + \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (56)

where $\varsigma_i = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i y_i$

We only care about if it is going to be negative, so without loss of generality we can remove all the norms, squares, and denominators since they are *always* positive. We can also generalize our vector \mathbf{b} as $\mathbf{b} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)^T$, where α_1 and α_2 can be anything

$$\mathcal{H}' = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\varsigma_i} & e^{\varsigma_i}(\varsigma_i - 1) - 1 \\ e^{\varsigma_i}(\varsigma_i - 1) - 1 & e^{\varsigma_i} + \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (57)

where $\mathbf{b}^T \mathcal{H}' \mathbf{b}$ can be generalized with the following result

$$\mathbf{b}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} & x_{2} \\ x_{3} & x_{4} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} = x_{1}\alpha_{1}^{2} + x_{4}\alpha_{2}^{2} + \alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}(x_{2} + x_{3})$$
 (58)

when applied to \mathcal{H}' results in

$$\mathbf{b}^{T} \mathcal{H}' \mathbf{b} = \alpha_1^2 e^{\varsigma_i} + \alpha_2^2 \left(e^{\varsigma_i} + \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \right) + 2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \left(e^{\varsigma_i} (\varsigma_i - 1) - 1 \right)$$
(59)

Where there's two instances that we need to prove. (1) If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ then $\alpha_1\alpha_2(x_2+x_3) > 0$ and (2) if $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $\alpha_2 < 0$, then $\alpha_1^2x_1 + \alpha_2^2x_2 > \alpha_1\alpha_2(x_2+x_3)$.

$$\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_1 \alpha_2 (x_2 + x_3) > 0 \tag{60}$$

$$\alpha_1 \alpha_2 (x_2 + x_3) = 2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \varsigma_i e^{\varsigma_i} - 2e^{\varsigma_i} - 2 > 0 \tag{61}$$

$$\underbrace{\varsigma_i e^{\varsigma_i} - e^{\varsigma_i} - 1}_{> 0 \text{ if } \varsigma_i e^{\varsigma_i} > e^{\varsigma_i} - 1}; \quad \varsigma_i \neq 0$$
(62)

$$\Rightarrow \varsigma_i e^{\varsigma_i} > e^{\varsigma_i} - 1 \tag{63}$$

$$\Rightarrow \varsigma_i > 1 - e^{-\varsigma_i} \quad \Box \tag{64}$$

where $\varsigma_i \geq 1 \ \forall i$. Now for the alternative case where if $\alpha_1 > 0$ and $\alpha_2 < 0$, that $\alpha_1^2 x_1 + \alpha_2^2 x_2 > \alpha_1 \alpha_2 (x_2 + x_3)$

$$\alpha_1^2 e^{\varsigma_i} + \alpha_2^2 \left(e^{\varsigma_i} + \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \right) > \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \left[e^{\varsigma_i} (\varsigma_i - 1) - 1 \right] \tag{65}$$

$$\left[\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \frac{2}{\sigma^2 e^{\varsigma_i}}\right] > \left[\alpha_1 \alpha_2 (\varsigma_i - 1) - e^{-\varsigma_i}\right]$$
(66)