

Assignment 6: Graphs

Christohper Mertin/u1010077

cmertin@cs.utah.edu

April 29, 2017

Overview

In this assignment you will explore different approaches to analyzing Markov chains.

You will use one data sets for this assignment:

- <http://www.cs.utah.edu/~jeffp/teaching/cs5140/A6/M.dat>

These data sets are in matrix format and can be loaded into MATLAB or OCTAVE. By calling

`load filename` (for instance `load M.dat`)

it will put in memory the the data in the file, for instance in the above example the matrix M . You can then display this matrix by typing `M`

As usual, it is highly recommended that you use LaTeX for this assignment. If you do not, you may lose points if your assignment is difficult to read or hard to follow. Find a sample form in this directory: <http://www.cs.utah.edu/~jeffp/teaching/latex/>

1 Finding q_* (50 points)

We will consider four ways to find $q_* = M^t q_0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Matrix Power: Choose some large enough value t , and create M^t . Then apply $q_* = (M^t)q_0$. There are two ways to create M^t , first we can just let $M^{i+1} = M^i * M$, repeating this process $t - 1$ times. Alternatively, (for simplicity assume t is a power of 2), then in $\log_2 t$ steps create $M^{2^i} = M^i * M^i$.

State Propagation: Iterate $q_{i+1} = M * q_i$ for some large enough number t iterations.

Random Walk: Starting with a fixed state $q_0 = [0, 0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0, 0]^T$ where there is only a 1 at the i th entry, and then transition to a new state with only a 1 in the j th entry by choosing a new location proportional to the values in the i th column of M . Iterate this some large number t_0 of steps to get state q'_0 . (This is the *burn in period*.)

Now make t new step starting at q'_0 and record the location after each step. Keep track of how many times you have recorded each location and estimate q_* as the normalized version (recall $\|q_*\|_1 = 1$) of the vector of these counts.

Eigen-Analysis: Compute `eig(M)` and take the first eigenvector after it has been normalized.

A (20 points): Run each method (with $t = 1024$, $q_0 = [1, 0, 0, \dots, 0]^T$ and $t_0 = 100$ when needed) and report the answers.

B (10 points): Rerun the Matrix Power and State Propagation techniques with $q_0 = [0.1, 0.1, \dots, 0.1]^T$. For what value of t is required to get as close to the true answer as the older initial state?

C (12 points): Explain at least one **Pro** and one **Con** of each approach. The **Pro** should explain a situation when it is the best option to use. The **Con** should explain why another approach may be better for some situation.

D (4 points): Is the Markov chain *ergodic*? Explain why or why not.

E (4 points): Each matrix row and column represents a node of the graph, label these from 1 to 10 starting from the top and from the left. What nodes can be reached from node 4 in one step, and with what probabilities?

2 BONUS: Taxation (5 points)

Repeat the trials in part **1.A** above using taxation $\beta = 0.85$ so at each step, with probability $1 - \beta$, any state jumps to a random node. It is useful to see how the outcome changes with respect to the results from Question 1. Recall that this output is the *PageRank* vector of the graph represented by M .

Briefly explain (no more than 2 sentences) what you needed to do in order to alter the process in question 1 to apply this taxation.