# Technical report

### Lasse Letager Hansen Kira Kutscher

# April 30, 2019

# Contents

1	Introduction			
2	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 9 9		
3		3 4 5		
4	Our contribution			
5	Comparisons and future work			
6	Conclusion			
7	Appendix			

#### 1 Introduction

### 2 Theory and existing frameworks

#### 2.1 $\mathcal{R}$ ml

We have two representations of Rml, continuations and distributions. Both build on a monad, for ease of use.

The data structure used to represent Rml terms is as follows:

```
\begin{split} & \text{Inductive } \text{Rml} := \\ & | \text{Var} : (\mathbb{N} * \text{Type}) \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Const} : \forall (A: \text{Type}), A \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Let\_stm} : (\mathbb{N} * \text{Type}) \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Fun\_stm} : \text{Type} \to (\mathbb{N} * \text{Type}) \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{If\_stm} : \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{App\_stm} : \text{Type} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Let\_rec} : \text{Type} \to \text{Type} \to \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Let\_rec} : \text{Type} \to \text{Type} \to \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ \\ & | \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml}
```

We use all cog types, as possible types of Rml expressions, since there are no real restrictions on the types. We encode variables, as a type and a natural number, so two variables are the same only if they have the same number and refer to the same type.

We have defined a relation well\_formed, that checks that no variables are escaping the scope of an Rml program, that is there is always a binding for an expression of type Var p. We furthermore define a relation rml\_valid\_type, which checks that a given Rml expression can be typed under a given type. We have shown that if a Rml program is valid then it is well formed. We have then constructed a simplified form of Rml called sRml (for simple Rml), to make it easier to reason about and evaluate expressions, with the following data structure:

```
Inductive sRml \{A : Type\} :=  | sVar : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow sRml | sConst : A \rightarrow sRml | sFun : \forall C (p : \mathbb{N} * Type), A = (p.2 \rightarrow C) \rightarrow \cdot sRml C \rightarrow sRml | sIf : \cdot sRml \ bool \rightarrow sRml \rightarrow sRml \rightarrow sRml | sApp : \forall T, \cdot sRml \ (T \rightarrow A) \rightarrow \cdot sRml \ T \rightarrow sRml | sFix : \forall B \ (nf \ nx : \mathbb{N}), \cdot sRml \ (B \rightarrow A) \rightarrow \cdot sRml \ B \rightarrow sRml.
```

That is Rml where we remove expressions with variables, from let\_stm statements (not let\_rec statements). We then show that given a valid typing of an Rml expression, we can simplify that expression, and maintain the valid typing (under the same type). With this we can make an interpreter from an interpreter of sRml, which can be constructed as (for continuations). We have a similar function for

Rml, using the posibility distributions as interpretations. We see similar patterns arising, since both interpretations are monadic.

#### 2.2 pwhile

#### 2.3 Complete partial orders

A partially ordered set (poset) is a set with an associated binary ordering relation  $\leq$  which is both reflexive and transitive. The order is partial when the ordering relation is not defined on every pair of elements in the set.

There exist a number of different completeness properties that a poset can have. We will here look at directed-complete partial orders (also sometimes known as up-complete poset) as well as  $\omega$ -complete partial orders; these are needed to interpret general recursion and randomised programs respectively.

#### **Definition 1.** Directed-complete partial order (dcpo).

A set X is a directed set if every pair of elements in X has an upper bound in X. A set is a dcpo if all its directed sets have a supremum. (Wikipedia definition)

A set is a dcpo if every monotone sequence of elements of the set has an upper bound. (Haskell-wiki definition)

A set X is called directed if every inhabited finite subset of it has a least upper bound in X. A dcpo is now a poset with an operation that calculates the supremum for every directed subset of A. (Definition in http://rwd.rdockins.name/pubs/domains.pdf)

#### 2.3.1 Recursive definitions as fixed point iterations

#### 2.3.2 Interpreting random definitions in $\omega$ -cpos

### 3 Our approach

#### 3.1 Translating while to a functional language

In order to do the translations properly, let us first have a look at a translation from the simple, widely known while language to a simple functional language resembling  $\mathcal{R}\mathtt{ml}$ . The thought behind this is that once this translation is in place, all we have to do to translate pwhile to  $\mathcal{R}\mathtt{ml}$  is to add nondeterminism.

(1) 
$$exp ::= x|n|\text{true}|\text{false}|f|x$$

$$(2) \hspace{1cm} stm ::= \hspace{0.1cm} \mathtt{skip}|x := e| \mathtt{if} \hspace{0.1cm} e \hspace{0.1cm} \mathtt{then} \hspace{0.1cm} s_1 \hspace{0.1cm} \mathtt{else} \hspace{0.1cm} s_2 | \mathtt{while} \hspace{0.1cm} e \hspace{0.1cm} \mathtt{do} \hspace{0.1cm} s | s_1; s_2$$

The syntax of our functional language is the same as  $\mathcal{R}ml$  modulo the pre-defined randomised functions. The translation of expressions is completely straightforward: variables are mapped to variables, constants to constants, and function applications to function applications.

In order to translate statements we choose a set of SML-style matching rules; this choice is due to the translation of sequences being dependent on what the first statement is. We will in the following write the translation of a while statement s to an expression in our functional language as

Furthermore we need to handle the fact that while the imperative while has a return memory that one could extract the wished results from, a functional language has no such thing. We therefore need to choose the memory positions we are interested in and encapsulate those in a variable. We will, in the following, choose  $x_r$  to be the name of said variable.

Note that in 10 and 11 we create recursive functions with a name and an argument, both of which are not present in the while construct we translate from. This means that we have to be careful about the translation: Both f and x have to be chosen fresh; and even fresher than that, they can not occur in the body of the while loop we are translating either, because that would break the recursive call.

Further notice that the recursive functions are always called with a dummy argument. This is because they act as procedures, but since our syntax requires an argument for recursive definitions, we give a dummy argument.

#### 3.2 Translation from Rml to typed $\lambda$ -calculus

Rml	typed $\lambda$ -calculus
$\operatorname{Var}(x,A)$	x:A
Const $A c$	c:A
Let $(x,A) e_1 e_2$	$(\lambda x : A.e_2) \ e_1$
Fun $(x,A)$ e	$\lambda x:A.e$
App $e_1 e_2$	$e_1\ e_2$
Let rec $(f, A \to B)$ $(x, A)$ $e_1$ $e_2$	$(\lambda f: A \to B.e_2) \ (Y \ (\lambda f: A \to B.\lambda x: A.e_1))$

The problem here is that we need to translate e1 and e2 to their simple forms, so we do an intermediate translation:

#### 3.2.1 Example: Fib

Expression:

```
\begin{array}{c} \texttt{Let\_rec}\ (f,\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N})\ (x,\mathbb{N})\\\\ (\texttt{if}\ x\leq 0\\\\ \texttt{then}\ 0\\\\ \texttt{else}\ f\ (x-1)+f\ (x-2))\\\\ (f\ 3) \end{array}
```

Typing:

```
\begin{split} \text{Let\_rec } (f, \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \ (x, \mathbb{N}) \\ & ((\text{if } (x \leq 0 : \mathbb{B}) \\ & \text{then } (0 : \mathbb{N}) \\ & \text{else } (f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \ (x - 1 : \mathbb{N}) + (f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \ (x - 2 : \mathbb{N}) : \mathbb{N}) : \mathbb{N}) \\ & ((f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \ (3 : \mathbb{N}) : \mathbb{N}) \end{split}
```

Semi-simple

```
\begin{aligned} \text{Let\_stm } f \\ \text{sFix} \\ \text{sFun } (f, \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \\ \text{sFun } (x, \mathbb{N}) \\ & ((\text{if } (x \leq 0) \\ & \text{then } 0 \\ & \text{else } f (x-1) + f (x-2))) \\ & (f \ 3) \end{aligned}
```

Simple form:

```
\begin{array}{c} \mathtt{sApp\ sFix} \\ \mathtt{sFun\ } (f,\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}) \\ \mathtt{sFun\ } (x,\mathbb{N}) \\ \\ ((\mathtt{if\ } (x\leq 0) \\ \mathtt{then\ } 0 \\ \mathtt{else\ } f\ (x-1)+f\ (x-2))) \\ 3 \end{array}
```

# 3.3 All translations (forward)

Rml	@sRml A	typed $\lambda$ -calculus
$\operatorname{Var}(x,A)$	sVar x	x:A
Const $A c$	sConst c	c:A
Let $(x,T)$ $e_1$ $e_2$	$e_2'$	$(\lambda x : T, e_2 : A) \ (e_1 : T) : A$
Fun $(x,T)$ e	sFun $S(x,T) e'$	$(\lambda x:T,e:S):T o S$
App $T e_1 e_2$	sApp $T e_1' e_2'$	$(e_1:T\to A)\ (e_2:T):A$
Let rec $T S f x e_1 e_2$	sApp $(T \to S)$ (sFun $A$ $(f, T \to S)$ $e'_2$ ) (sFun $S$ $(x, T)$ (sFix $T$ $f$ $x$ $e'_1$ (sVar $x$ )))	$(\lambda f: T \to S, e_2: A)$ $(Y (\lambda f: T \to S, \lambda x: T, e_1: S): T \to S): A$

- 4 Our contribution
- 5 Comparisons and future work
- 6 Conclusion

### 7 Appendix

```
Example - Error: Stack Overflow.
Fixpoint replace_all_variables_aux_type
        A (x : Rml) (env : seq (\mathbb{N} * Type * Rml))
        (fl: seq(N*Type)) `{env\_valid: valid\_env: env: fl}
        \{x\_valid : \cdot rml\_valid\_type A (map fst env) fl x\} : \cdot sRml A
with replace_all_variables_aux_type_const
      A0 A a (env : seq (\mathbb{N} * Type * Rml))
      (fl: seq(N*Type)) '{env_valid: valid_env env fl}
      '{x_valid: rml_valid_type A0 (map fst env) fl (Const A a)}: sRml A0
with replace_all_variables_aux_type_let
      A p x1 x2 (env : seq (\mathbb{N} * Type * Rml))
      (fl: seq(N*Type)) `{env\_valid: valid\_env: env: fl}
      '{x_valid: rml_valid_type A (map fst env) fl (Let_stm p x1 x2)}: .sRml A
with replace_all_variables_aux_type_fun
      A T p x (env : seq (\mathbb{N} * Type * Rml))
      (fl: seq(N*Type)) '{env_valid: valid_env env fl}
      '{x_valid: rml_valid_type A (map fst env) fl (Fun_stm T p x)}: sRml A
with replace_all_variables_aux_type_if
      A x1 x2 x3 (env : seq (\mathbb{N} * Type * Rml))
      (fl: seq(N*Type)) '{env_valid: valid_env env fl}
      `{x_valid: rml_valid_type A (map fst env) fl (If_stm x1 x2 x3)}: sRml A
with replace_all_variables_aux_type_app
      A T x1 x2 (env : seq (\mathbb{N} * Type * Rml))
      (fl: seq(N*Type)) `{env\_valid: valid\_env env fl}
      '{x_valid: rml_valid_type A (map fst env) fl (App_stm T x1 x2)}: sRml A
with replace_all_variables_aux_type_let_rec A T T0 n n0 x1 x2 (env : seq (N * Type * Rml))
     (fl: seq (N * Type)) `{env\_valid: valid\_env env fl}
     '{x_valid: ·rml_valid_type A (map fst env) fl (Let_rec T T0 n n0 x1 x2)}: ·sRml A.
Proof.
  (** Structure **)
   induction x; intros; refine (sVar(0,A)).
  all: refine (sVar(0,A)).
Defined.
```