# Technical report

# Lasse Letager Hansen Kira Kutscher

# April 29, 2019

# Contents

1 Introduction				
2	Theory and existing frameworks           2.1	2 2 3		
3	3.2 Translation from Rml to typed $\lambda$ -calculus	3 3 4 5		
4	Our contribution			
5	Comparisons and future work			
6	Conclusion			
7	Appendix			

#### 1 Introduction

### 2 Theory and existing frameworks

#### 2.1 $\mathcal{R}$ ml

We have two representations of Rml, continuations and distributions. Both build on a monad, for ease of use.

The data structure used to represent Rml terms is as follows:

```
\begin{split} & \text{Inductive } \text{Rml} : \text{Type} := \\ & | \text{Var} : (\mathbb{N} * \text{Type}) \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Const} : \forall \ (A : \text{Type}), \ A \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Let\_stm} : (\mathbb{N} * \text{Type}) \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{If\_stm} : \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{App\_stm} : \text{Type} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \\ & | \text{Let\_rec} : (\mathbb{N} * \text{Type}) \to (\mathbb{N} * \text{Type}) \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml} \to \text{Rml}. \end{split}
```

We use all cog types, as possible types of Rml expressions, since there are no real restrictions on the types. We encode variables, as a type and a natural number, so two variables are the same only if they have the same number and refer to the same type.

We have defined a relation well\_formed, that checks that no variables are escaping the scope of an Rml program, that is there is always a binding for an expression of type Var p. We furthermore define a relation rml\_valid\_type, which checks that a given Rml expression can be typed under a given type. We have shown that if a Rml program is valid then it is well formed. We have then constructed a simplified form of Rml called sRml (for simple Rml), to make it easier to reason about and evaluate expressions, with the following data structure:

```
Inductive sRml : Type :=  \mid \text{sVar} : (\mathbb{N} * \text{Type}) \to \text{sRml}   \mid \text{sConst} : \forall \ (A : \text{Type}), \ A \to \text{sRml}   \mid \text{sIf} : \text{sRml} \to \text{sRml} \to \text{sRml} \to \text{sRml}   \mid \text{sApp} : \text{Type} \to \text{sRml} \to \text{sRml} \to \text{sRml}   \mid \text{sFix} : \forall \ (p \ p0 : (\mathbb{N} * \text{Type})), \ @\text{sRml} \ p.2 \to @\text{sRml} \ (p.2 \to A) \to \text{sRml}.
```

That is Rml where we remove expressions with variables, from let\_stm statements (not let\_rec statements). We then show that given a valid typing of an Rml expression, we can simplify that expression, and maintain the valid typing (under the same type). With this we can make an interpreter from an

interpreter of sRml, which can be constructed as (for continuations). We have a similar function for Rml, using the posibility distributions as interpretations. We see similar patterns arising, since both interpretations are monadic.

#### 2.2 pwhile

### 3 Our approach

#### 3.1 Translating while to a functional language

In order to do the translations properly, let us first have a look at a translation from the simple, widely known while language to a simple functional language resembling  $\mathcal{R}ml$ . The thought behind this is that once this translation is in place, all we have to do to translate pwhile to  $\mathcal{R}ml$  is to add nondeterminism.

(1) 
$$exp ::= x|n|\text{true}|\text{false}|f|x$$

(2) 
$$stm ::= skip|x := e|if e then s_1 else s_2|while e do s|s_1; s_2$$

The syntax of our functional language is the same as  $\mathcal{R}ml$  modulo the pre-defined randomised functions. The translation of expressions is completely straightforward: variables are mapped to variables, constants to constants, and function applications to function applications.

In order to translate statements we choose a set of SML-style matching rules; this choice is due to the translation of sequences being dependent on what the first statement is. We will in the following write the translation of a while statement s to an expression in our functional language as

Furthermore we need to handle the fact that while the imperative while has a return memory that one could extract the wished results from, a functional language has no such thing. We therefore need to choose the memory positions we are interested in and encapsulate those in a variable. We will, in the following, choose  $x_r$  to be the name of said variable.

(3) 
$$skip; s \mapsto [s]$$

(4) 
$$skip \mapsto x_r$$

#### 3.2 Translation from Rml to typed $\lambda$ -calculus

Rml	typed $\lambda$ -calculus
Var(x,A)	x:A
Const $A c$	c:A
Let $(x,A) e_1 e_2$	$(\lambda x : A.e_2) \ e_1$
Fun $(x, A)$ e	$\lambda x:A.e$
App $e_1 e_2$	$e_1 \; e_2$
Let rec $(f, A \to B)$ $(x, A)$ $e_1$ $e_2$	$(\lambda f: A \to B.e_2) \ (Y \ (\lambda f: A \to B.\lambda x: A.e_1))$

The problem here is that we need to translate e1 and e2 to their simple forms, so we do an intermediate translation:

Let

#### 3.2.1 Example: Fib

Expression:

$$\begin{array}{c} \texttt{Let\_rec}\ (f,\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N})\ (x,\mathbb{N})\\\\ (\texttt{if}\ x\leq 0\\\\ \texttt{then}\ 0\\\\ \texttt{else}\ f\ (x-1)+f\ (x-2))\\\\ (f\ 3) \end{array}$$

Typing:

```
\begin{split} \text{Let\_rec } (f, \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \ (x, \mathbb{N}) \\ & ((\text{if } (x \leq 0 : \mathbb{B}) \\ & \text{then } (0 : \mathbb{N}) \\ & \text{else } (f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \ (x - 1 : \mathbb{N}) + (f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \ (x - 2 : \mathbb{N}) : \mathbb{N}) : \mathbb{N}) \\ & ((f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \ (3 : \mathbb{N}) : \mathbb{N}) \end{split}
```

Semi-simple

```
\begin{split} \text{Let\_stm } f \\ \text{sFix} \\ \text{sFun } (f, \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \\ \text{sFun } (x, \mathbb{N}) \\ & ((\text{if } (x \leq 0) \\ \text{then } 0 \\ & \text{else } f \ (x-1) + f \ (x-2))) \end{split}
```

Simple form:

```
\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{sApp\ sFix} \\ \mathrm{sFun\ } (f,\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}) \\ \mathrm{sFun\ } (x,\mathbb{N}) \\ ((\mathrm{if\ } (x\leq 0) \\ \mathrm{then\ } 0 \\ \mathrm{else\ } f\ (x-1)+f\ (x-2))) \\ 3 \end{array}
```

## 3.3 All translations (forward)

Rml	@sRml A	typed $\lambda$ -calculus
$\operatorname{Var}(x,A)$	sVar x	x:A
Const $A c$		c:A
Let $(x,A)$ $e_1$ $e_2$		$(\lambda x:A,e_2)\ e_1$
Fun $(x,A)$ e		$\lambda x:A,e$
App $e_1 e_2$		$e_1 \; e_2$
Let rec $(f, A \to B)$ $(x, A)$ $e_1$ $e_2$		$(\lambda f: A \to B, e_2) \ (Y \ (\lambda f: A \to B, \lambda x: A, e_1))$

- 4 Our contribution
- 5 Comparisons and future work
- 6 Conclusion

### 7 Appendix

```
Example - Error: Stack Overflow.
Fixpoint replace_all_variables_aux_type
         A (x : Rml) (env : seq (nat * Type * Rml))
         (fl : seq (nat * Type)) '{env_valid : valid_env env fl}
         '{x_valid : @rml_valid_type A (map fst env) fl x} : @sRml A
with replace_all_variables_aux_type_const
       A0 A a (env : seq (nat * Type * Rml))
       (fl : seq (nat * Type)) '{env_valid : valid_env env fl}
       '{x_valid : @rml_valid_type A0 (map fst env) fl (Const A a)} : @sRml A0
with replace_all_variables_aux_type_let
      A p x1 x2 (env : seq (nat * Type * Rml))
       (fl : seq (nat * Type)) '{env_valid : valid_env env fl}
       '{x_valid : @rml_valid_type A (map fst env) fl (Let_stm p x1 x2)} : @sRml A
with replace_all_variables_aux_type_fun
      A T p x (env : seq (nat * Type * Rml))
       (fl : seq (nat * Type)) '{env_valid : valid_env env fl}
       '{x_valid : @rml_valid_type A (map fst env) fl (Fun_stm T p x)} : @sRml A
with replace_all_variables_aux_type_if
       A x1 x2 x3 (env : seq (nat * Type * Rml))
       (fl : seq (nat * Type)) '{env_valid : valid_env env fl}
       '{x_valid : @rml_valid_type A (map fst env) fl (If_stm x1 x2 x3)} : @sRml A
with replace_all_variables_aux_type_app
       A T x1 x2 (env : seq (nat * Type * Rml))
       (fl : seq (nat * Type)) '{env_valid : valid_env env fl}
       '{ x_valid : @rml_valid_type A (map fst env) fl (App_stm T x1 x2)} : @sRml A
with replace_all_variables_aux_type_let_rec A T T0 n n0 x1 x2 (env : seq (nat * Type
     (fl : seq (nat * Type)) '{env_valid : valid_env env fl}
     '{x_valid : @rml_valid_type A (map fst env) fl (Let_rec T T0 n n0 x1 x2)} : @sRr
Proof.
  (** Structure **)
    induction x; intros; refine (sVar (0,A)).
  all: refine (sVar (0,A)).
Defined.
```