

Cornell University ILR School DigitalCommons@ILR

Visiting Fellow Working Papers

International Programs

February 2005

Personality and Rating Error

Eul-Teo Lee Korea University, School of Business Administration, el239@cornell.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/intlvf Thank you for downloading an article from DigitalCommons@ILR. Support this valuable resource today!

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the International Programs at DigitalCommons@ILR. It has been accepted for inclusion in Visiting Fellow Working Papers by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@ILR. For more information, please contact https://link.pipers.nih.gov/ administrator of DigitalCommons@ILR. For more information, please contact https://link.pipers.nih.gov/ administrator of DigitalCommons@ILR. For more information, please contact https://link.pipers.nih.gov/ administrator of DigitalCommons@ILR. For more information, please contact https://link.pipers.nih.gov/ administrator of DigitalCommons@ILR. For more information, please contact https://link.pipers.nih.gov/ administrator of DigitalCommons@ILR. For more information, please contact https://link.pipers.nih.gov/ administrator of DigitalCommons@ILR.

Personality and Rating Error

Abstract

This study was designed to investigate the relationship between rater's personality and rating errors. For this, I propose a model that is Big Five Factors may relate in rating errors. This study predicted (1) Extraversion and Agreeableness is hypothesized to positively relate to the leniency error (2) Conscientiousness, Neuroticism may be positively correlated to the severity error and halo effect. Particular attention was paid to the consistency of rating error according to personality factors.

The Crosstable results showed that the Agreeableness and Extraversion were significantly correlated in with leniency. But rater's other personality factors were not significantly related in leniency, severity, and halo error. The result of ANOVA indicated that Agreeableness had significant level between leniency and severity group. There was no correlation between personality factor and halo effect. Based on the empirical findings, implications and limitations were discussed. Suggestions for future research also follow.

Keywords

Big Five Model, Extraversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness

Comments

The paper is available in Korean only.

Personality and Rating Error

Eul-Teo Lee, Visiting Fellow, The New York State School of Industrial and Labor Relations, Cornell University

ABSTRACT

This study was designed to investigate the relationship between rater's personality and rating errors. For this, I propose a model that is Big Five Factors may relate in rating errors. This study predicted (1) Extraversion and Agreeableness is hypothesized to positively relate to the leniency error (2) Conscientiousness, Neuroticism may be positively correlated to the severity error and halo effect. Particular attention was paid to the consistency of rating error according to personality factors.

The Crosstable results showed that the Agreeableness and Extraversion were significantly correlated in with leniency. But rater's other personality factors were not significantly related in leniency, severity, and halo error. The result of ANOVA indicated that Agreeableness had significant level between leniency and severity group. There was no correlation between personality factor and halo effect. Based on the empirical findings, implications and limitations were discussed. Suggestions for future research also follow.

Key words:

Big Five Model, Extraversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, Neuroticism, Rating error, Leniency, Severity, Halo effect

This paper was submitted to the Korean Personnel Administration Journal on 16th of February, 2005 and is under examination

```
500
                                 (rating scale)
                                              (Kane, et al., 1995).
                           (Feldman, 1981).
              1950
                                                              1952
                                                                       Wherry
       (Wherry & Bartlett, 1982).
                                        Landy & Farr(1980)
                                 (Ilgen & Feldman, 1983; Mitchell, 1983; Wexley & Klimoski, 1984;
Judge & Ferris, 1993).
                                                                       , 2000;
                                                                                    , 2003)
                                                  , 1998;
        1990
                                         (Neuman & Kickul, 1998; Organ, 1994; Barrick, Mount, &
Strauss, 1992; Organ & Lingl, 1992; Smith, Organ, & Near, 1983),
                                                                                      (Barrick &
Mount, 1991; Smith, Hanges, & Dickson, 2001),
                                                      (Barrick & Mount, 1991; Hurtz & Donovan,
2000; Salgado, 1997),
                              (Judge, Bono, & Locke, 2000),
                                                                         (Judge & Bono, 2000),
       (Costa, & McCrae, & Holland, 1984)
                                                          (Hogan, 1991)
                                            5
                                                                                    (Piedmont &
Weinstein, 1995; Kane et al., 1995; Ployhart, Lim, & Chan, 2001),
                       (Saal, Downey, & Lahey, 1980; Murphy & Cleveland, 1991; Villanova, et al.,
1993: Kane et al., 1995).
                                                                                       (Guilford,
1959)
                                  (social-stimulus)
   2.
                                                         (Goldberg, 1990; Digman, 1990; 1997;
   5
            (Five Factor Model
                                     Big 5 Model)
```

```
Digman & Inouye, 1986; McCrae & Costa, 1987; 1988; 1991).
                                                                      Tupes & Christal(1961)
                                                                           , 1963
         30
                                                                                     Norman
   McCrae & Costa(1987, 1988, 1991) Digman(1990, 1997)
                                                                      5
   2.1
            (leniency error)
       (Guilford, 1954; Tsui & Barry, 1986).
       (Villanova et al., 1993; Saal, et al., 1980).
                                                                (agreeableness)
                                             (service orientation)
       . Hogan, Hogan, & Busch(1984)
                                                    (Longenecker, Gioia, & Sims, 1987).
                                                     . Schoorman(1988)
                                                                               . Klores(1966)
Ohio
        (extraversion)
                                       . Eysenck(1967)
Gray(1971; 1981; 1987)
                            (Larsen & Ketelaar, 1991)
   Gray
                                                  (emotional susceptibility),
                             (behavioral activation system: BAS)
                                                                                    (behavioral
inhibitation system: BIS)
                              . BAS
                                                                            , BIS
                                                                                        , BIS
                                                                 BAS
                                                            BAS
                         , BIS
                                                        BAS
```

,

```
. Gray
                                                      Larsen & Ketelaar(1991)
                                 . Larsen & Ketelaar(1991)
Tusi & Barry(1986)
                                                                344
                                 . Mandell(1956)
              (2002)
        1:
        2:
          5
   2.2
             (severity error)
                                                                         (Saal et al., 1980).
                                                   (neuroticism)
                                                  (emotional stability)
                                              (Hogan, 1991).
        BIS
                                  . Schneier(1977)
                                                                        (conscientiousness)
                                               (Costa & McCrae, 1992),
   (Barrick & Mount, 1991; Hurtz & Donovan, 2000; Salgado, 1997).
                                              Bernardin, Cooke, & Villanova(2000)
                                                       (r=-.37)
        3:
        4:
   2.3
           (halo effect)
```

```
(Tsui & Barry, 1986; Murphy &
Anhalt, 1992).
                                                                            (self-effacing)'
                  . Bernardin, et al.(2000)
                               . McCrae & Costa(1991)
       5:
       6:
           5
   2.4
                                                   (West & Graziano, 1989).
                                                                                   Finn(1986)
459
                         1947
                                   1977
                                                                            328
            . Kane et al.(1995)
   , 243
                                                     376
                                                                 Guilford(1954)
                                               . Villanova et al.(1993)
              (r=.63, p<.01)
                                                             . Vance, Winne, & Wright(1983)
90
                  350
                                                    3 6
    7:
   3.
   3.1
                  K
                                                             119
                                                                          85
                                   14 (16.5%), 3
                                                       34 (40.0%), 4
                                                                               37%(43.5%)
```

67 (78.8%),

18 (21.2%)

```
23
                                                       4~5
                                (overall rating)
                                                                                                3
                                                                      .
20
                                  .
7
                                     2
                                           7 10
                          Digman & Inouye(1986)
                                                                                          43
                            , 5:
8
   11
Digman & Inouye(1986) 5 1.
                      1.3
                                                                20
           . 1~6
        5
(A~E 5
                                                    (global rating)
   (Smith, 1976; James, 1973; Campbell et al., 1970: Landy & Farr, 1980).
                                                                (1~6
                                                                                   (7)
                                                                         ),
                              < 1>
                                                                  , (Bernardin, et al., 2000).
   (Tsui & Barry, 1986).
```

				<u> </u>
	×			
	^			
/	(skewness)			
/	(kurtosis)			
/	(intraclass cor	relations)		
	×			
: (graphic rating sca : Saal, Downey, & Lahey(1	les), (mixed standard 980), p. 421.	rating scales)		
•				
<u>-</u>				Barry, 1986; Wayne &
Liden, 1995), 1976: Landy & Farr, 1	980)	(Hamner, e	t al., 1974;	London & Poplawski,
)				(
		5		
20 293 (85% .)	14.65 . 2	0	(
12.3%)	, (87.7%)		(
•	_		•	
3.7920	(67)	3.7933 ,	(18)	3.7873

.

```
4.
    3>
                                                                               20
                                            James(1982)
                                                                                                     ICC,
                                                           (Shrout & Fleiss, 1979; James, 1982).
                (intraclass correlation coefficient)
   ICC
                                        ICC(1)
                                                  ICC(2)
                                                                  . ICC(1)
                                                                     . ICC(2)
                                    , 1994).
            0.7~0.8
                                                                                                   ICC(2)
                                                                        < 2>
.9685(F=31.7250, p<.001)
                                                                                                (< 2>).
            ICC(1)
                           .1184
                ICC(1)
                                                  ICC(2)
                                                                                         F
                                                                                      12.4137***
                .1184
                                                   .9194
    ICC(1):
                               (single measure intraclass correlation)
    ICC(2):
***: p<.001
                               (average measure intraclass correlation)
                           Varimax
                                                                                                      59.2%
          , Digman & Inouye(1986)
                     0.5
                                       1
                                                   2
                                                                 3
                                                                              4
                                                                                           5
                                    .743
                                                .096
                                                              .360
                                                                           -.163
                                                                                        -.114
                                                                                                    .730
                                    .637
                                                -.249
                                                              -.210
                                                                           .171
                                                                                        -.130
                                                                                                    .559
                                                -.077
                                                              .019
                                                                           .271
                                                                                        .160
                                    .614
                                                                                                    .482
 (\alpha = .7611)
                                    .601
                                                -.105
                                                              .449
                                                                           -.294
                                                                                         .006
                                                                                                    .660
                                    .708
                                                -.151
                                                              .101
                                                                           -.035
                                                                                        -.140
                                                                                                    .555
```

.623

.078

.082

.142

.035

.422

	(R)	212	572	022	.257	.520	.709
$(\alpha = .5792)$	(R)	052	048	.088	092	.838	.724
(0. 10.72)	(R)	.020	.012	205	038	.670	.494
		.116	.076	.213	.680	031	.528
(50.67)		.030	.096	.141	.609	295	.488
$(\alpha = .5067)$		041	110	156	.719	.160	.580
		396	.757	011	.131	.028	.748
		.029	.671	028	258	523	.792
$(\alpha = .7194)$		178	.663	190	.002	.019	.508
		.039	.698	.070	.224	017	.544
		048	028	.804	.020	.079	.657
$(\alpha = .6803)$.219	086	.620	.153	153	.487
(w 10005)		.140	019	.780	017	090	.637
		3.673	2.952	2.204	1.715	1.295	
	(%)	18.364	14.760	11.019	8.574	6.476	
	(5)	18.364	33.124	44.144	52.718	59.193	

: R

4.2

. (Tusi &

Barry, 1986).

.

(r=-.256, p<0.05), (r=.282, p<0.01), (r=-.273, p<0.05)

,

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	9 10 11 12
-----------------	------------

1. -

2. -.173 -

3. .092 -.138 -

```
4.
              -.131
                       .113
                               -.016
5.
               .057
                     -.244**
                              -.356**
                                        .128
              -.092
                      .321**
                                        .038
                                                -.105
6.
                               -.115
7.
              .533**
                      -.164
                                .164
                                        -.035
                                                .106
                                                        -.097
8.
              .671**
                      -.019
                                        -.101
                                                -.011
                                                        -.033 .735** -
                                .124
              .638**
                      -.256*
                               .282**
                                        -.165
                                                .083
                                                               .471** .582**
10.
              .685**
                       -.097
                                .190
                                        -.273*
                                                -.041
                                                        -.002 .475** .670** .827** -
11.
              .734**
                                .124
                                        -.201
                                                .044
                                                         .018
                                                              .539** .728** .690** .779**
              .738**
                      -.095
                                                .072
                                                              .500** .725** .692** .799** .895** -
12.
                                .061
                                        -.159
                                                         .069
```

**: p<0.01, *: p<0.05

1 4

.

.

34.4%

. 3.7920 , ,

(Tusi & Barry, 1986; Bernardin et al., 2000).

35.3% 2

		1	13	3	17
		.4	10.2	6.4	17.0
	%	5.9%	76.5%	17.6%	100.0%
	%	50.0%	25.5%	9.4%	20.0%
%		1.2%	15.3%	3.5%	20.0%
		0	18	5	23
		.5	13.8	8.7	23.0
	%	.0%	78.3%	21.7%	100.0%
	%	.0%	35.3%	15.6%	27.1%
%		.0%	21.2%	5.9%	27.1%
		0	11	11	22
		.5	13.2	8.3	22.0
	%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
	%	.0%	21.6%	34.4%	25.9%
%		.0%	12.9%	12.9%	25.9%

			0	3	3	6
			.1	3.6	2.3	6.0
		%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		%	.0%	5.9%	9.4%	7.1%
	%		.0%	3.5%	3.5%	7.1%
			0	4	3	7
			.2	4.2	2.6	7.0
		%	.0%	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%
		%	.0%	7.8%	9.4%	8.2%
	%		.0%	4.7%	3.5%	8.2%
,			0	1	0	1
,			0	0	1	1
,			0	1	1	2
,			0	0	2	2
,			1	0	0	1
,			0	0	1	1
, ,			0	0	1	1
, ,			0	0	1	1

Chi-square : Value=17.298, df=11, Asymp. Sig=.099 Lamda : .130 (approx. sig=.008)

< 6>

	(s.d)		F
3.7510(.5885)	3.7464(.5076)	3.7564(.6785)	.006
3.6510(.7144)	3.5000(.7295)	3.8291(.6617)	4.674**
3.7882(.5394)	3.8152(.5589)	3.7564(.5209)	.249
2.9618(.7515)	2.9728(.7476)	2.9487(.7656)	.021

^{**:} p<0.05

7 . Epstein(1977) 20 (test-retest)

			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	:	
		1 2	1 2	1 2	1 2	
1	3.7662	3.6224 3.8929	3.4167 3.7823	3.9018 3.7194	2.7054 3.0765	
		F=3.9226**	F=4.725**	F=2.295	F=4.393**	
2	4.0676	3.7121 3.4474	3.5818 3.7719	3.8455 3.6842	2.9682 3.1184	
2	4.0676	F=6.242**	F=.983	F=1.206	F=.527	
2	2.2007	3.8373 3.7333	3.6667 3.6000	3.7976 3.8500	2.8393 3.1071	
3	3.3896	F=.631	F=.171	F=.193	F=2.512	
4	4 1 1 0 4	3.8075 3.5463	3.6034 3.6481	3.8707 3.5972	3.0603 2.8889	
4	4.1184	F=2.602	F=.053	F=3.655*	F=.728	
		3.8167 3.7092	3.4167 3.6863	3.9250 3.7500	3.2500 2.8824	
5	3.9014	5.8167 5.7092 F=.465	F=2.061	5.9230 5.7300 F=1.640		
			1-2.001	1=1.0+0	F=3.294*	
6	3.8625	3.9762 3.6442	3.6190 3.6218	3.8304 3.7885	2.7054 3.1010	
Ü	2.0020	F=5.931**	F=.000	F=.122	F=5.177**	
7	3.7284	3.8238 3.6957	3.5429 3.7101	3.9000 3.7337	2.9429 2.9891	
	3.7204	F=.912	F=1.065	F=1.882	F=.072	
8	3.9242	3.7685 3.7604	3.4444 3.6250	3.7500 3.8177	2.9583 3.0313	
		F=.002	F=.765	F=.225	F=.122	
9	3.5526	3.8547 3.5946	3.6667 3.6396	3.8397 3.6959	2.9038 2.9662	
		F=3.635*	F=.026	F=1.294	F=.121	
10	3.8281	3.8696 3.6463	3.5507 3.6911	3.8696 3.7500	3.1087 2.9573	
		F=2.202	F=.653	F=.693	F=.626	
11	11 3.7867	3.6319 3.7843	3.4028 3.8170	3.8438 3.8039	2.9271 2.9167	
		F=1.022	F=6.375**	F=.103	F=.003	
12	4.0000	3.8214 3.5714	3.6508 3.6905	3.8690 3.6250	3.0417 2.9286	
		F=2.084	F=.039	F=2.031	F=.267	
13	4.1875	3.6636 3.8733 F=2.207	3.6606 3.5867 F=.117	3.8136 3.7800 F=.071	3.0000 2.9700 F=.027	
		3.7752 3.7745	3.6822 3.3.8547	3.8547 3.7721	2.8721 3.0294	
14	4.3506	F=.000	F=.396	F=.450	F=.875	
15	1 1156	3.8062 3.6667	3.6357 3.6275	3.8198 3.7794	3.0465 2.8971	
15	4.4156	F=1.036	F=.003	F=.105	F=.876	
16	4.0270	3.6944 3.7500	3.6914 3.5833	3.8472 3.7500	3.0000 2.8250	
	10 4.0270	F=.124	F=.366	F=.472	F=.766	
17	4.0658	3.7485 3.7456 F=.000	3.6784 3.7368 F=.091	3.8421 3.6184 F=2.543	2.9254 2.9211 F=.000	
		3.8506 3.7333	3.6437 3.6286	3.7672 3.7571	3.0259 2.9429	
18	3.6406	F=.636	F=.007	F=.005	F=.201	
19	3.9867	3.7353 3.7701	3.6474 3.7471	3.9118 3.7069	3.0588 2.8578	
19	3.980/	F=.046	F=.312	F=1.940	F=1.057	
20	4.0667	3.7712 3.7431	3.7059 3.7639	3.7157 3.8333	2.8775 2.9583	
-0		F=.037	F=.130	F=.782	F=.210	

^{: 1- , 2} *: p<0.1, **: p<0.05

5.

5 , 20

```
Klores(1966), Schoorman(1988), Bernardin et
al.(2000)
                                                                   (Hogan et al., 1984)
                                        ,
BAS,
                 Larsen & Ketelaar(1991)
                                       (Cardy & Dobbins, 1986). Feldman(1981)
                               . Tusi & Barry(1986)
                   Arvey & Campion(1982)
                       (Barrick & Mount, 1991; Salgado, 1997; Hurtz & Donovan, 2000)
                                                Arvey & Campion(1982) Tusi & Barry(1986)
```

```
(2002), "
                                                             ," 2002
                   , 148-157.
(2003), "
                                                              11 1 , 113-131.
(1998), "
             6 1 , 69-92.
?
               (2000),
?
        (2001), "
           9 1 , 109-136.
?
       (1997), "
                                                              21 1 , 33-52.
       (1994), "
                                                                 , 23
```

- Arvey, R. D., & Campion, J. E.(1982), "The Employment Interview: A Summary and Review of Recent Research, *Personnel Psychology*, 35: 281-322.
- Barrick, M. R., & Mount, M. K.(1991), "The Big Five Personality Dimensions and Job Performance: A Meta-Analysis," *Personnel Psychology*, 44: 1-26.
- Barrick, M. R., Mount, M. K., & Strauss, J. P.(1992), "The Big Five and Ability Predictors of Citizenship, Delinquency, and Sales Performance," Paper presented at Seventh Annual Conference of the Society of Industrial and Organizational Psychology, Montreal.
- Bernardin, H. J., Cooke, D. K., & Villanova, P.(2000), "Conscientiousness and Agreeableness as Predictors or Rating Leniency," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85(2): 232-234.
- Bretz, R. D. Jr., Milkovich, G. T., & Read, W.(1992), "The Current State of Performance Appraisal Research and Practice: Concerns, Directions, and Implications," *Journal of Management*, 18(2): 321-352.
- Cardy, R. L., & Dobbins, G. H.(1986), "Affect and Appraisal Accuracy: Liking as an Integral Dimension in Evaluating Performance," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 71(4): 672-678.
- Costa, P. T. Jr., & McCrae, R. R.(1992), Revised NEO Personality Inventory and the Neo Five-Factor Inventory. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources.
- Costa, P. T. Jr., McCrae, R. R., & Holland, J. L.(1984), "Personality and Vocational Interests in an Adult Sample," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 69(3): 390-400.
- Digman, J. M.(1990), "Personality Structure: Emergence of the Five-Factor Model," *Annual Review of Psychology*, 41: 417-440.
- Digman, J. M.(1997), "Higher-Order Factors of the Big Five," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 73(6): 1246-1256.
- Digman, J. M., & Inouye, J.(1986), "Further Specification of the Five Robust Factors of Personality," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 50(1): 116-123.
- Epstein, S.(1977), "Traits are Alive and Well," In D. Magnusson & N. Endler (eds), *Personality at the Crossroads: Current Issues In Interactional Psychology*, NJ: Erlbaun.
- Feldman, J. M.(1981), "Beyond Attribution Theory: Cognitive Processes in Performance Appraisal," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 66(2): 127-148.
- Finn, S. E.(1986), "Stability of Personality Self-Ratings Over 30 Years: Evidence for an Age/Cohort Interaction, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 50(4): 813-818.
- Goldberg, L. R.(1990), "An Alternative 'Descriptive of Personality': The Big-Five Factor Structure," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 59(6): 1216-1229.
- Guilford(1954), Psychometric methods. NY: McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- Guilford(1959), Personality. McGraw-Hill, Inc.

- Harris, M. M.(1994), "Rater Motivation in the Performance Appraisal Context: A Theoritical Framework," *Journal of Management*, 20(4): 734-756.
- Hogan, J., Hogan, R., & Busch, C. M.(1984), "How to Measure Service Orientation," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 74: 273-279.
- Hogan, R. T.(1991), "Personality and Personality Measurement," In M. D. Dunnette and L. M. Hough(2nd.), *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology* (pp. 876-919). Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologist Press. Inc.
- Hurtz, G. M., & Donovan, J.(2000), "Personality and Job Performance: The Big Five Revisited," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85(6): 869-879.
- Ilgen, D. R., & Feldman, J. M.(1983), "Performance Appraisal: A Process Focus," *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 5: 141-197.
- Jacobs, R., & Kozlowski, S. W.(1985), "A Closer Look at Halo Error in Performance Ratings, *Academy of Management Journal*, 28: 201-212.
- James, L. R.(1982) "Aggregation Bias in Estimates of Perceptual Agreement," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 67(2): 219-229.
- Judge, T. A., & Bono, J. E.(2000), "Five-Factor Model of Personality and Transformational Leadership," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85(5): 751-765.
- Judge, T. A., Bono, J. E., & Locke, E. A.(2000), "Personality and Job Satisfaction: The Mediating Role of Job Characteristics," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85(2): 237-249.
- Kane, J. S., Bernardin, H. J., Villanova, P., & Peyrefitte, J.(1995), "Stability of rater leniency: Three studies," *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(4): 1036-1051.
- Klores, M. S.(1996), "Rater Bias in Forced-Distribution Ratings," *Personnel Psychology*, 19: 411-421.
- Landy, F. J., & Farr, J. L.(1980), "Performance Rating," Psychological Bulletin, 87(1): 72-107.
- Larsen, R. J., & Ketelaar, T.(1991), "Personality and Susceptibility to Positive and Negative Emotional States," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 61(1): 132-140.
- Longenecker, C. O., Gioia, D. A., & Sims, H. P.(1987), "Behind the mask: The politics of employee appraisal," Academy of Management Executive, 1: 183-193.
- Mandell, M. M.(1956), "Supervisory Characteristics and Ratings: A Summary of Recent Research," *Personnel Psychology*, 32: 435-440.
- McGrae, R. R.(1996), "Social Consequences of Experiential Openness," *Psychological Bulletin*, 120: 323-337.
- McGrae, R. R., Costa, P. T. Jr.(1987), "Validation of the Five-Factor Model of Personality Across Instruments and Observers," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52(1): 81-90.
- McGrae, R. R., Costa, P. T. Jr.(1988), "Personality in Adulthood: A Six-Year Longitudinal Study of Self-Reports and Spouse Ratings on the NEO Personality Inventory," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54(5): 853-863.
- McGrae, R. R., Costa, P. T. Jr.(1991), "Adding Liebe and Arbeit: The Full Five-Factor Model and Well-being," *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 17: 227-232.
- Murphy, K. R., & Anhalt, R. L.(1992), "Is Halo Error a Property of the Rater, Ratees, or the Specific Behaviors Observed?" *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 77(4): 494-500.
- Murphy, K. R., & Cleveland, J. N.(1991), *Performance Appraisal: An Organizational Perspective*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Neuman, G. A., & Kickul, J. R.(1998), "Organizational Citizenship Behaviors: Achievement Orientation and Personality," *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 13(2): 263-279.
- Norman, W. T.(1963), "Toward and Adequate Taxonomy of Personality Attributes: Replicated Factor Structure in Peer Nomination Personality Ratings," *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*,

- 66: 574-583.
- Organ, D. W.(1994), "Personality and Organizational Citizenship Behavior," *Journal of Management*, 20(2): 465-478.
- Organ, D. W., & Lingl, A.(1992), "Personality, Satisfaction, and Organizational Citizenship Behavior," Paper presented at Academy of Management Meetings, Las Vegas, NE.
- Piedmont, R., & Weinstein, H. P.(1996), "Predicting Supervisor Ratings of Job Performance Using the NEO Personality Inventory," *The Journal of Psychology*, 128(3): 255-265.
- Ployhart, R. E., Lim, B. C., & Chan, K. Y.(2001), "Exploring relations between typical and maximum performance ratings and the five factor model of personality," Personnel Psychology, 54: 809-843.
- Robbins, T. L., & DeNisi, A. S.(1993), "Moderators of Sex Bias in the Performance Appraisal Process: A Cognitive Analysis," *Journal of Management*, 19(1): 113-126.
- Roberts, B. W., & DelVecchio, W. F.(2000), "The Rank-Order Consistency of Personality Trait From Childhood to Old Age: A Quantitative Review of Longitudinal Studies," *Psychological Bulletin*, 126(1): 3-25.
- Saal, F. E., Downey, R. G., & Lahey, M. A.(1980), "Rating the Ratings: Assessing the Psychometric Quality of Rating Data," *Psychological Bulletin*, 88(2): 413-428.
- Salgado, J. F.(1997), "The Five Factor Model of Personality and Job Performance in the European Community," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 82(1): 30-43.
- Schneier, C. E.(1977), "Operational utility and psychometric characteristics of behavioral expectation scales: A cognitive reinterpretation," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 62: 541-548.
- Schoorman, F. D.(1988), "Escalation Bias in Performance Appraisals: An Unintended Consequence of Supervisor Participation in Hiring Decisions," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 73: 58-62.
- Shrout, P. E., & Fleiss, J. L.(1979), "Intraclass Correlations: Uses in Assessing Rater Reliability," *Psychological Bulletin*, 86(2): 420-428.
- Smith, C. A., Organ, D. W., & Near, J. P.(1983), "Organizational Citizenship Behavior: Its Nature and Antecedents," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 68: 653-663.
- Smith, D. B., Hanges, P. J., & Dickson, M. W.(2001), "Personnel Selection and the Five-Factor Model: Reexamining the Effects of Applicant's Frame of Reference," *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(2): 304-315.
- Trapnell, P. D(1994), "Openness Versus Intellect: A Lexical Left Turn," *European Journal of Personality*, 8: 273-290.
- Tupes, E. C., & Christal, R. E.(1961), *Recurrent Personality Factors Based on Trait Ratings*. USAF ASD Technical Report, No. 61-97, TX: Lackland Air Force Base.
- Tusi. A. S., & Barry, B.(1986), "Interpersonal Affect and Rating Errors," *Academy of Management Journal*, 29(3): 586-599.
- Vance, R. J., Winne, P. S., & Wright, E. S.(1983), "A Longitudinal Examination of Rater and Ratee Effects in Performance Ratings, *Personnel Psychology*, 36: 609-620.
- Villanova, P., Bernardin, H. J., Dahmus, S. A., Sims, R. L.(1993), "Rater Leniency and Performance Appraisal Discomfort," *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 53: 789-799.
- Wayne, S. J., & Liden, R. C.(1995), "Effects of Impression Management on Performance Ratings: A Longitudinal Study," *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(1): 232-260.
- West, S. G., & Graziano, W. G.(1989), "Long-Term Stability and Changing Personality: An Introduction," *Journal of Personality*, 57: 175-196.
- Wherry, R. J., & Bartlett, C. J.(1982), "The Control of Bias in Ratings: A Theory of Ratings," *Personnel Psychology*, 35: 521-551.