

# Graduate Cosmology Spring 2025

## Homework 2

due by 11:59pm on Wednesday 2/12, 2025.

### Problem 1: age of the Universe [13 points]

- [1 point] For a general Universe with arbitrary  $\Omega_i$ , express the function  $t(a)$  as an integral.
- (a) [2 points] Neglecting curvature, and focusing on  $a \ll a_{m\Lambda}$  (matter-radiation era), simplify the integral, and express  $t(a)$  explicitly as a function of  $a/a_{eq}$ . Do *not* use Mathematica: instead, first simplify the integrand so it becomes independent of cosmological parameters, and then use the result  $\int dx x/\sqrt{1+x} = (2/3)(x-2)\sqrt{1+x} + \text{const.}$
- (b) [4 points] Find the leading-order asymptotic solutions at  $a \ll a_{eq}$  and  $a \gg a_{eq}$ , by doing a Taylor expansion if needed (again, do it by hand, not with Mathematica). Make sure that your results are consistent with the expressions we derived in class for  $a(t)$  in both matter and radiation domination. You may need the Taylor expansion  $\sqrt{1+x} = 1 + x/2 - x^2/8 + \mathcal{O}(x^3)$ . [2 points for each limit].
- (c) [1 point] Compute  $t_{eq} = t(a_{eq})$  in years, showing your reasoning.
- (a) [2 points] Now focus on  $a \gg a_{eq}$  (matter- $\Lambda$  era), and find an explicit equation for  $t(a)$ . Again, do not use Mathematica: first write the integral as a function of  $a/a_{m\Lambda}$ , with an integrand that is independent of cosmological parameters, then use  $\int x^{1/2} dx/\sqrt{1+x^3} = \frac{2}{3} \text{Arcsinh}(x^{3/2}) + \text{const.}$  Check that your result is consistent with Eq. (2.177) of Baumann.
- (b) [1 point] Compute the age of the Universe  $t_0$  in years, showing your reasoning.
- [2 points] What is the conformal time today,  $\eta_0$ , expressed both in years and in Mpc? Give a detailed reasoning. This requires performing a numerical integral, feel free to use the software of your choice.

### Problem 2: cosmological distances [15 points]

- [1 point] Express the comoving radial distance  $\chi(z) = \eta_0 - \eta(z)$  as a function of redshift in the form of an integral, as a function of  $H_0$  and of the dimensionless density parameters  $\Omega_r, \Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda, \Omega_k$ .
- [3 points] Write a function in Python (or programming language of your choice) for  $\chi(z; H_0, \Omega_i)$ . Assuming Planck 2018 best-fit values of the cosmological parameters (look them up!), and  $\Omega_k = 0$ , plot  $\chi(z)$  in units of Gpc, as a function of  $(1+z)$  for  $z \in (0, 10^4)$ . Use a log-scale for  $1+z$  and a linear scale for  $\chi(z)$ .
- [4 points] Write functions in Python (or programming language of your choice) for the angular-diameter distance  $d_A(z; H_0, \Omega_i)$  and the luminosity distance  $d_L(z; H_0, \Omega_i)$ . Note that you will first need to express the radius of curvature  $R_0$  (for non-flat space cases) as a function of  $H_0$  and  $\Omega_k$ . On two separate figures (one for  $d_A$ , one for  $d_L$ ), plot each distance in Gpc as a function of redshift for  $z \in (0, 10)$ , for the following cosmological parameters:
  - $\Omega_k = 0$  and Planck 2018 best-fit cosmology
  - $\Omega_k = +0.5$ ,  $H_0 = H_0^{\text{Planck}}$ , and other  $\Omega_i = 0.5 \Omega_i^{\text{Planck}}$
  - $\Omega_k = -0.5$ ,  $H_0 = H_0^{\text{Planck}}$ , and other  $\Omega_i = 1.5 \Omega_i^{\text{Planck}}$
  - $\Omega_k = \Omega_\Lambda = \Omega_r = 0$ ,  $\Omega_m = 1$ ,  $H_0 = H_0^{\text{Planck}}$ .
- [1 point] In the remainder of this problem, we assume a spatially flat Universe, and focus on low redshifts  $z \ll z_{eq}$  so that we may safely neglect the contribution of radiation to the Hubble rate. Show that in that case the luminosity distance takes the form  $d_L(z) = H_0^{-1} F(z; \Omega_\Lambda)$ , and write  $F$  explicitly. Write a separate Python function for  $F(z; \Omega_\Lambda)$ .
- The Pantheon dataset consists of a large number of supernovae, with known redshifts  $z_i$ , and an estimate of the (log) of their luminosity distance [called distance modulus]  $\mu_i$ , up to an overall additive constant corresponding to a

global multiplicative offset in supernovae luminosities; explicitly, the distance modulus for each supernova  $i$  is

$$\mu_i = 5 \log_{10}(d_{L,i}/\text{Mpc}) + M,$$

where  $M$  is the global additive constant. Each measurement of  $\mu_i$  is assorted with an error bar  $\sigma_i$ . Our goal is to find the cosmological parameters (and global constant  $M$ ) that minimize the  $\chi^2$ :

$$\chi^2(\Omega_\Lambda, H_0, M) = \sum_i \frac{(5 \log_{10}[d_L(z_i, H_0, \Omega_\Lambda)/\text{Mpc}] + M - \mu_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2} = \sum_i \frac{(5 \log_{10}[F(z_i; \Omega_\Lambda)] - \mu_i + K)^2}{\sigma_i^2},$$

where  $K \equiv M + 5 \log_{10}(H_0^{-1}/\text{Mpc})$ . We see that  $M$  and  $H_0$  are fully degenerate, in that they always appear through the single combination  $K$ .

(a) [2 point] For a given value of  $\Omega_\Lambda$ , calculate the parameter  $K(\Omega_\Lambda)$  that minimizes the  $\chi^2$ . Plug back in the expression for  $\chi^2$  and show that the partially minimized  $\chi^2$  is now

$$\tilde{\chi}^2(\Omega_\Lambda) = \sum_i \frac{(5 \log_{10}[F(z_i; \Omega_\Lambda)] - \mu_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2} - \frac{1}{\sum_i 1/\sigma_i^2} \left( \sum_i \frac{5 \log_{10}[F(z_i; \Omega_\Lambda)] - \mu_i}{\sigma_i^2} \right)^2$$

(b) [4 points] Download the luminosity-distance data from the Pantheon sample (Scolnic et al. 2018) given in the “Pantheon SN Parameters (.txt)” file at this link: [https://archive.stsci.edu/prepds/ps1cosmo/scolnic\\_datatable.html](https://archive.stsci.edu/prepds/ps1cosmo/scolnic_datatable.html). The columns are (1)  $i$ -th supernova name, (2)  $z_i$ , (3)  $z_i$  again (4)  $\Delta z$  (neglect these last two columns), (5) distance modulus  $\mu_i$ , and (6) error  $\sigma_i$  on the distance modulus. Plot  $\tilde{\chi}^2(\Omega_\Lambda)$  as a function of  $\Omega_\Lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Use your favorite minimization routine to find the parameter  $\Omega_\Lambda$  minimizing  $\tilde{\chi}^2$ .