# Graduate Cosmology Spring 2025 Homework 7

due by 11:59pm on Thursday 4/24, 2025.

### Problem 1: Null geodesics in conformal Newtonian gauge [4 points]

For this problem we consider pure scalar modes, in the conformal Newtonian gauge:

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta)[-(1+2\Psi)d\eta^{2} + (1-2\Phi)d\vec{x}^{2}].$$

For all calculations below, you can use the Christoffel symbols for this metric given in Eqs. (6.64)-(6.69) of Baumann.

1) [2 points] Show that, along null geodesics, the zero-th component of a photon's 4-momentum satisfies the following equation, at linear order in perturbations

$$\frac{dP^0}{d\eta}\Big|_{\text{traj}} = \left(-2\mathcal{H} + \Phi' + \Psi' - 2\hat{p}^i\partial_i\Psi\right)P^0, \qquad \hat{p}^i \equiv \frac{P^i}{\sqrt{\delta_{jk}P^jP^k}}.$$

2) [2 points] Compute the components of the 4-velocity of comoving observers at linear order in perturbations, and show that the quantity  $p \equiv aE_{\rm obs}$ , where  $E_{\rm obs}$  is the photon energy measured by comoving observers, evolves as follows along geodesics:

$$\frac{dp}{d\eta}\Big|_{\text{traj}} = (\Phi' - \hat{p}^i \partial_i \Psi) p.$$

This was the last ingredient needed for the photon Boltzmann equation, which we had not derived in class.

## Problem 2: Approximate $C_{\ell}$ 's from your code [5 points]

For this problem you may use either your own cosmological perturbation code, or the one I posted on github – so, not having written or finished your own code before should not prevent you from doing this problem!

- 1) [3 points] When including baryon inertia and polarization, the Silk damping scale at decoupling is  $k_D \approx 7.2$  Mpc. Multiply the output of your perturbation code at  $\eta_*$  (for  $F_0 \equiv \Theta_0 + \Psi$  and  $V_b$ ) by the diffusion damping factor  $e^{-k^2/k_D^2}$ , and compute the Sachs Wolfe and Doppler contributions to the CMB temperature angular power spectrum, as approximated in the lecture notes. Your plots should show  $D_\ell \equiv T_0^2 \ell^2 C_\ell/(2\pi)$  in units of  $\mu K^2$ , where  $T_0 \approx 2.73$  K is the CMB temperature today, as a function of  $\ell$ , for  $20 \le \ell \le 2000$ , and should look like the left figure of Fig. 7.7. of Baumann, i.e. show the SW and Doppler contributions individually, and summed.
- 2) [2 points] Assuming a nearly scale invariant primordial power spectrum with amplitude  $A_s = 2 \times 10^{-9}$  and scalar spectral index  $n_s = 0.96$  at pivot scale  $k_P = 0.05 \; \mathrm{Mpc^{-1}}$ , estimate the rms temperature anisotropy  $\langle \Theta^2 \rangle^{1/2}$  from the above result (this should be a dimensionless number, which is the *fractional* temperature fluctuation).

## Problem 3: Gravitational lensing of the CMB [7 points]

I will spare you the derivation, but the spatial part of the null geodesic equation in the conformal Newtonian gauge implies the following evolution of photon propagation direction along geodesics:

$$\frac{d\hat{p}^i}{d\eta}\Big|_{\text{traj}} = (\delta^{ij} - \hat{p}^i\hat{p}^j)\partial_j(\Phi + \Psi) \approx 2(\delta^{ij} - \hat{p}^i\hat{p}^j)\partial_j\Phi,$$

where the second equality holds when anisotropic stresses are negligible. This implies that, for an unperturbed direction of propagation  $\hat{p}$ , the total deflection angle of a photon between last scattering and today is obtained by the following integral along the line of sight:

$$\Delta \hat{p}^i(\eta_0) = 2(\delta^{ij} - \hat{p}^i \hat{p}^j) \int_{\eta_*}^{\eta_0} d\eta \ (\partial_j \Phi)(\eta, \vec{x} = -\chi \hat{p}), \quad \chi \equiv \eta_0 - \eta.$$

1) [3 points] For a fixed  $\hat{p}$ , express the variance of the deflection angle  $\langle |\Delta \hat{p}|^2 \rangle$ , as an integral involving the primordial curvature power spectrum  $P_{\mathcal{R}}(k)$  and the transfer function of the gravitational potential  $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi}(\eta, k)$ . Approximating the Universe as matter dominated between  $\eta_*$  and  $\eta_0$  (hint: how does  $\Phi$  evolve as a function of  $\eta$  then?), re-express your integral in terms of  $\Delta^2_{\mathcal{R}}(k)$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi}(\eta_*, k)$  and the quantity

$$\mathcal{I}(\vec{k}\cdot\hat{p}) \equiv \int_0^{\chi_*} d\chi \int_0^{\chi_*} d\chi' \ e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\hat{p}(\chi'-\chi)}, \quad \chi_* \equiv \eta_0 - \eta_* \approx \eta_0.$$

2) [1 point] Change variables to  $u \equiv \chi' - \chi$  and  $v \equiv \chi' + \chi$  in the integal above, and show that, in the limit of modes well inside the horizon today  $(k \gg 1/\eta_0)$ , we may approximate

$$\mathcal{I}(\vec{k}\cdot\hat{p}) \approx \pi \eta_0 \delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\vec{k}\cdot\hat{p}).$$

If we align the coordinate system such that the z axis is along  $\hat{p}$ , this means that we may substitute

$$\int d^3k \, \mathcal{I}(\vec{k} \cdot \hat{p}) f(k_x, k_y, k_z) \approx \pi \eta_0 \int dk_y dk_z \, f(k_x, k_y, k_z = 0).$$

3) [1 point] Combining the two previous questions show that the variance of the deflection angle is

$$\langle |\Delta \hat{p}|^2 \rangle \approx 2\pi \int d \ln k \, \eta_0 k \, \Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2(k) \, |\mathcal{T}_{\Phi}(\eta_*, k)|^2.$$

4) [2 points] Argue that the integrand decays quickly (say how quickly) for  $k \gtrsim k_{\rm eq}$ , and approximate  $\mathcal{T}_{\Phi}(\eta_*, k)$  for  $k \lesssim k_{\rm eq}$  by a simple number, making your reasoning very clear (hint: what is the relation between  $\Phi_i$  and  $\mathcal{R}_i$ ?). Assuming a nearly scale invariant primordial power spectrum with amplitude  $A_s = 2 \times 10^{-9}$  and scalar spectral index  $n_s = 0.96$  at pivot scale  $k_P = 0.05$  Mpc<sup>-1</sup>, estimate the rms deflection angle due to lensing in arcmin. Hint: your answer should be of order unity in these units.

### Problem 4: local-type primordial non-Gaussianity [9 points]

The goal of this exercise is to have you practice Isserlis' (or Wick's) theorem for Gaussian random fields.

The standard initial conditions are assumed to be a perfect random Gaussian field. However, non-standard inflationay physics could imply a small amount of primordial non-Gaussianity. Here we consider two examples of a "local-type" non-Gaussianity, for which the primordial curvature perturbation  $\mathcal{R}$  can be expressed as a non-linear function of a statistically isotropic and homogeneous Gaussian random field G (with zero mean) at the same position.

• First, we consider quadratic local-type non-Gaussianity:

$$\mathcal{R}(\vec{x}) = G(\vec{x}) + f(G^2(\vec{x}) - \langle G^2 \rangle),$$
 where f is a constant.

- 1) [1 point] Rewrite the equation above in Fourier space. Don't forget Dirac delta functions where relevant.
- 2) [3 points] Compute the bispectrum or 3-point function of  $\mathcal{R}$  at linear order in f; show that it takes the form

$$\langle \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_1) \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_2) \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_3) \rangle = (2\pi)^2 \delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3) B(k_1, k_2, k_3),$$

and express B explicitly in terms of the power spectrum  $P_G(k)$  of the Gaussian random field G. Hint: for a real field,  $G^*(\vec{k}) = G(-\vec{k})$ ; express your result as  $B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = ... + \text{permutations}(k's)$ 

• Next, consider cubic local-type non-Gaussianity:

$$\mathcal{R}(\vec{x}) = G(\vec{x}) + g G^3(\vec{x}),$$
 where g is a constant.

- 3) [1 point] Rewrite the equation above in Fourier space.
- 4) [4 points] The trispectrum or connected 4-point function of  $\mathcal{R}$  is defined as

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_1) \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_2) \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_3) \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_4) \rangle_c &\equiv \langle \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_1) \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_2) \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_3) \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_4) \rangle \\ &- \langle \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_1) \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_2) \rangle \ \langle \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_3) \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_4) \rangle \\ &- \langle \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_1) \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_3) \rangle \ \langle \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_2) \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_4) \rangle \\ &- \langle \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_1) \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_4) \rangle \ \langle \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_2) \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_3) \rangle. \end{split}$$

In other words, it is the 4-point function from which we have subtracted the value it would have if  $\mathcal{R}$  were Gaussian. Compute the trispectrum of  $\mathcal{R}$  at linear order in g; show that it takes the form

$$\langle \mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_1)\mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_2)\mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_3)\mathcal{R}(\vec{k}_4)\rangle_c = (2\pi)^3 \delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_3 + \vec{k}_4)T(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4),$$

and express T in terms of  $P_G(k)$ . Again, express your result in the form  $T(k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) = \dots + \text{permutations}(k's)$ .