

Graduate Cosmology Spring 2025

Homework 1 solutions

How to self-grade: for each question, full credit for correct answer with correct reasoning; half-credit for correct reasoning but incorrect answer due to algebra error; no credit for incorrect reasoning (even if final answer is correct out of luck).

Problem 1

1) The line element $ds^2 = a^2(\eta)[-d\eta^2 + \gamma_{ij}(\vec{x})dx^i dx^j]$ corresponds to metric components $g_{00} = -a^2(\eta)$, $g_{0i} = 0$, $g_{ij} = a^2(\eta)\gamma_{ij}(\vec{x})$, hence inverse-metric components $g^{00} = -1/a^2(\eta)$, $g^{0i} = 0$, $g^{ij} = \gamma^{ij}(\vec{x})/a^2(\eta)$. Let's plug this into the formula for the Christoffel symbols,

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\sigma}(\partial_{\mu}g_{\sigma\nu} + \partial_{\nu}g_{\mu\sigma} - \partial_{\sigma}g_{\mu\nu}).$$

First, with $\lambda = 0$, we find

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^0 = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{a^2}(\partial_{\mu}g_{0\nu} + \partial_{\nu}g_{0\mu} - \partial_0 g_{\mu\nu}).$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{00}^0 &= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{a^2}\partial_{\eta}g_{00} = \frac{a'(\eta)}{a(\eta)}, \\ \Gamma_{0i}^0 &= 0 \\ \Gamma_{ij}^0 &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{a^2}\partial_{\eta}g_{ij} = \frac{a'(\eta)}{a(\eta)}\gamma_{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

Next, with $\lambda = i$, we get

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^i = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{a^2}\gamma^{ik}(\partial_{\mu}g_{k\nu} + \partial_{\nu}g_{\mu k} - \partial_k g_{\mu\nu}).$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{00}^i &= 0, \\ \Gamma_{0j}^i &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{a^2}\gamma^{ik}2a'a\gamma_{kj} = \frac{a'}{a}\delta_k^i, \\ \Gamma_{jl}^i &= \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{ik}(\partial_j\gamma_{kl} + \partial_l\gamma_{jk} - \partial_k\gamma_{jl}).\end{aligned}$$

We see that the $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^i$ coefficients take the same form as in the (t, \vec{x}) coordinates, up to the replacement $\dot{a}/a \rightarrow a'/a$. In contrast, the $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^0$ coefficients are different, in particular because $\Gamma_{00}^0 \neq 0$ in the conformal coordinates.

2) The geodesic equation is

$$0 = P^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}P^{\nu} = P^{\mu}(\partial_{\mu}P^{\nu} + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu}P^{\lambda}).$$

Consider the $\nu = 0$ component first. The geodesic equation gives

$$0 = P^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}P^0 + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^0 P^{\mu}P^{\lambda} = P^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}P^0 + \left(\frac{a'}{a}P^0P^0 + \gamma_{ij}P^iP^j\right).$$

Dividing everything by P^0 and recalling that $P^{\mu}/P^0 = dx^{\mu}/dx^0 = dx^{\mu}/d\eta$, we arrive at

$$\frac{dP^0}{d\eta} + \frac{a'}{a}\left(P^0 + \frac{1}{P^0}\gamma_{ij}P^iP^j\right) = 0.$$

Now, for a massless particle, $a^2(\eta) [-(P^0)^2 + \gamma_{ij} P^i P^j] = 0$, implying $\gamma_{ij} P^i P^j = (P^0)^2$. Hence the geodesic equation becomes

$$\frac{dP^0}{d\eta} + 2\frac{a'}{a}P^0 = 0.$$

This has a simple solution $P^0 \propto 1/a^2(\eta)$. **Beware that in these coordinates P^0 is not the energy measured by comoving observers! It does not have immediate physical meaning.**

Now consider comoving observers. By definition, this means they have constant (spatial) comoving coordinates x^i . Hence their 4-velocity takes the form $U_{\text{obs}}^\mu = dx^\mu/d\tau = (U_{\text{obs}}^0, 0, 0, 0)$. To find the value of U_{obs}^0 , we impose the normalization condition $-1 = g_{\mu\nu} U_{\text{obs}}^\mu U_{\text{obs}}^\nu = g_{00} (U_{\text{obs}}^0)^2 = -a^2(\eta) (U_{\text{obs}}^0)^2$. So we conclude that $U_{\text{obs}}^0 = 1/a(\eta)$ in conformal coordinates.

The energy measured by comoving observers is $E_{\text{obs}} = -g_{\mu\nu} P^\mu U_{\text{obs}}^\nu = -g_{00} P^0 U_{\text{obs}}^0 = a^2 \times 1/a \times P^0 = a(\eta) P^0$. Hence we conclude again that $E_{\text{obs}} \propto 1/a(\eta)$, as we already found in (t, \vec{x}) coordinates.

3) Let us start by writing the Christoffel symbols explicitly in the case of flat spatial geometry, in cartesian coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{00}^0 &= \frac{a'}{a}, & \Gamma_{0i}^0 &= 0, & \Gamma_{ij}^0 &= \frac{a'}{a} \delta_{ij} \\ \Gamma_{00}^i &= 0, & \Gamma_{0j}^i &= \frac{a'}{a} \delta_j^i, & \Gamma_{jl}^i &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us apply the equation $\nabla_\mu A^\nu = \partial_\mu A^\nu + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\nu A^\lambda$ to $A^\nu = \nabla^\nu \phi$, and contract the indices:

$$0 = \nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi = \partial_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\mu \nabla^\lambda \phi = \partial_\eta \nabla^0 \phi + \partial_i \nabla^i \phi + \Gamma_{\mu 0}^\mu \nabla^0 \phi + \Gamma_{\mu i}^\mu \nabla^i \phi.$$

Next, recall that $\nabla^\mu \phi = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu \phi = g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \phi$, so that $\nabla^0 \phi = g^{00} \partial_\eta \phi = -\frac{1}{a^2} \partial_\eta \phi$ and $\nabla^i \phi = \frac{1}{a^2} \gamma^{ij} \partial_j \phi = \frac{1}{a^2} \partial_i \phi$. We also need the sum of Christoffel symbols,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\mu 0}^\mu &= \Gamma_{00}^0 + \Gamma_{0i}^i = \frac{a'}{a} + \frac{a'}{a} \delta_i^i = 4\frac{a'}{a}, \\ \Gamma_{\mu i}^\mu &= \Gamma_{0i}^0 + \Gamma_{ji}^j = 0 + 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging in the equation of motion, we obtain

$$0 = -\partial_\eta \left(\frac{1}{a^2} \partial_\eta \phi \right) + \frac{1}{a^2} \partial_i (\partial_i \phi) - 4\frac{a'}{a} \frac{1}{a^2} \partial_\eta \phi.$$

Simplifying and multiplying by a^2 , we arrive at

$$\phi'' + 2\frac{a'}{a}\phi' - \partial_i \partial_i \phi = 0.$$

4) As we saw earlier, comoving observers have 4-velocity $U_{\text{obs}}^\mu = (1/a(\eta), 0, 0, 0)$ in conformal coordinates. Hence they observe $\rho_{\text{obs}} = U_{\text{obs}}^\mu U_{\text{obs}}^\nu T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{a^2} T_{00}$. Plugging in the equation given to us:

$$T_{00} = (\nabla_0 \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} g_{00} (\nabla_\alpha \phi \nabla^\alpha \phi) = (\phi')^2 + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \left(-\frac{1}{a^2} (\phi')^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} (\vec{\nabla} \phi)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} (\phi')^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{\nabla} \phi)^2,$$

hence

$$\rho_{\text{obs}} = \frac{1}{2a^2} \left((\phi')^2 + (\vec{\nabla} \phi)^2 \right).$$

On to the pressure. Let us first compute the trace of the stress-energy tensor:

$$T^\mu_\mu = g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} = g^{00} T_{00} + g^{ij} T_{ij} = -\frac{1}{a^2} T_{00} + \frac{1}{a^2} \delta^{ij} T_{ij}.$$

We see that we need to compute

$$T_{ij} = \nabla_i \phi \nabla_j \phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} (\nabla_\alpha \phi \nabla^\alpha \phi) = \partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi - \frac{1}{2} a^2 \delta_{ij} \left(-\frac{1}{a^2} (\phi')^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} (\vec{\nabla} \phi)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} (\phi')^2 + \partial_i \phi \partial_j \phi - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} (\vec{\nabla} \phi)^2.$$

We thus get $\delta^{ij} T_{ij} = \frac{3}{2} (\phi')^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\vec{\nabla} \phi)^2$, implying $T^\mu_\mu = \frac{1}{a^2} ((\phi')^2 - (\vec{\nabla} \phi)^2)$, hence

$$P_{\text{obs}} = \frac{1}{2a^2} \left((\phi')^2 - \frac{1}{3} (\vec{\nabla} \phi)^2 \right).$$

For a homogeneous scalar field, $\vec{\nabla} \phi = 0$, hence the equation of motion is $\phi'' + 2(a'/a)\phi' = 0$, implying $\phi'(\eta) \propto 1/a^2(\eta)$. A homogeneous scalar field thus has

$$P_{\text{obs}} = \rho_{\text{obs}} = (\phi')^2 / 2a^2 \propto 1/a^6.$$

5) The conservation of the stress-energy tensor reads (using metric-compatibility of covariant derivatives)

$$0 = \nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu (\nabla^\mu \phi \nabla^\nu \phi) - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu (\nabla^\lambda \phi \nabla_\lambda \phi) = (\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi) \nabla^\nu \phi + \nabla^\mu \phi \nabla_\mu \nabla^\nu \phi - \nabla^\lambda \phi \nabla^\mu \nabla_\lambda \phi.$$

Using the commutation of covariant derivatives of scalar fields, we see that the second and last terms cancel out, hence

$$0 = \nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = (\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi) \nabla^\nu \phi.$$

Hence unless $\nabla^\nu \phi = 0$ everywhere, which implies a boring constant scalar field, we conclude that $\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi = 0$.

Problem 2

This is just a matter of plugging in and using the Christoffel symbols we gave in class for FLRW in (t, x^i) coordinates. First, let us compute the $\nu = 0$ component of $\nabla_\mu G^\mu_\nu$:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu G^\mu_0 &= \partial_\mu G^\mu_0 + \Gamma^\mu_{\mu\sigma} G^\sigma_0 - \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu 0} G^\mu_\sigma \\ &= \partial_0 G^0_0 + \cancel{\partial_i G^0_i} + \Gamma^\mu_{\mu 0} G^0_0 + \Gamma^\mu_{\mu i} \cancel{G^0_i} - \cancel{\Gamma^0_{00} G^0_0} - \Gamma^i_{00} \cancel{G^0_i} - \Gamma^0_{0i} \cancel{G^0_i} - \Gamma^i_{j0} G^j_i \\ &= \partial_t G^0_0 + 3 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} G^0_0 - \frac{\dot{a}}{a} G^i_i = a^{-3} \partial_t (a^3 G^0_0) - \frac{\dot{a}}{a} G^i_i, \end{aligned}$$

where we have kept only the nonvanishing terms and replaced the Christoffel symbols by the values we gave in class. Now substituting with the given expressions, we get

$$\nabla_\mu G^\mu_0 = -\frac{3}{a^3} [2\dot{a}\ddot{a}a + \dot{a}^3 + k\dot{a}/R_0^2] + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} [2\ddot{a}/a + \dot{a}^2/a^2 + k/(a^2 R_0^2)] = 0.$$

Next, let us consider the $\nu = i$ component:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu G^\mu_i &= \partial_\mu G^\mu_i + \Gamma^\mu_{\mu\sigma} G^\sigma_i - \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu i} G^\mu_\sigma \\ &= \partial_i \cancel{G^0_i} + \cancel{\partial_j G^j_i} + \Gamma^\mu_{\mu 0} \cancel{G^0_i} + \Gamma^\mu_{\mu j} G^j_i - \cancel{\Gamma^0_{0i} G^0_0} - \Gamma^j_{ji} \cancel{G^0_0} - \Gamma^j_{0i} \cancel{G^0_j} - \Gamma^j_{ki} G^k_j = \Gamma^k_{kj} G^j_i - \Gamma^j_{ki} G^k_j. \end{aligned}$$

Now, with $G^k_j = \frac{1}{3} (G^l_l) \delta^k_j$, we get

$$\nabla_\mu G^\mu_i = \frac{1}{3} G^l_l [\Gamma^k_{ki} - \Gamma^k_{ki}] = 0.$$

Problem 3

1. For a perfect fluid with positive density and pressure, Raychaudhuri's Equation gives

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} (\rho + 3P) < 0.$$

Hence there can be no static solution, with constant a .

2. We now consider a Universe with non-relativistic matter with density ρ_m and negligible pressure, and a cosmological constant Λ , which can be equivalently cast as an ideal fluid with $\rho_\Lambda = \Lambda/(8\pi G)$ and $P_\Lambda = -\rho_\Lambda$. Raychaudhuri's equation is then

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\rho_m + \rho_\Lambda + 3P_\Lambda) = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}(\rho_m - 2\rho_\Lambda).$$

The right-hand-side vanishes if $\rho_\Lambda = \frac{1}{2}\rho_m$, which translates to $\Lambda = 4\pi G\rho_m$.

But to get a static solution we also need $\dot{a} = 0$. So, from Friedmann's equation, this implies

$$0 = (\dot{a}/a)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}(\rho_m + \rho_\Lambda) - \frac{k}{a^2 R_0^2} = 4\pi G\rho_m - \frac{k}{a^2 R_0^2}.$$

Thus this requires $k = +1$ (spherical 3-D slices) with a radius of curvature $R_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi G\rho_m}}$, where we have set $a = 1$ since this solution is static, hence has $a(t) = \text{constant}$.

3. Let us now consider small perturbations: $\rho_m(t) = \rho_{m,0}(1 + \delta(t))$ and $a(t) = 1 + \epsilon(t)$, with $\rho_{m,0} = \Lambda/(4\pi G)$. Since matter density scales as $\rho_m \propto a^{-3}$, we conclude that, at linear order, $\delta(t) = -3\epsilon(t)$. Let us now plug into Raychaudhuri's equation:

$$\ddot{\epsilon} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}\rho_{m,0}\delta(t) = 4\pi G\rho_{m,0}\epsilon(t).$$

We see that ϵ grows exponentially on a timescale $\tau = 1/\sqrt{4\pi G\rho_{m,0}}$.

Problem 4

We saw that $\rho \propto a^{-3(1+w)}$. So if $w < -1$, the energy density of this strange fluid actually increases with scale factor. Friedmann's equation then reads

$$(\dot{a}/a)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_0 a^{-3(1+w)} = H_0^2 a^{-3(1+w)},$$

implying (for $da/dt > 0$)

$$\frac{da}{dt} = H_0 a^{1-3(1+w)/2}.$$

This can be solved as

$$dt = H_0^{-1} a^{3(1+w)/2-1} da \quad \Rightarrow \quad t - t_0 = \frac{2H_0^{-1}}{3(1+w)} \left(a^{3(1+w)/2} - 1 \right) = \frac{2H_0^{-1}}{3|1+w|} \left(1 - a^{-3|1+w|/2} \right),$$

where we have written $(1+w) = -|1+w|$ since $w < -1$. We see that $a \rightarrow +\infty$ at finite time

$$t_{\text{rip}} - t_0 = \frac{2H_0^{-1}}{3|1+w|}.$$

We may then rewrite the equation above as

$$t_{\text{rip}} - t = (t_{\text{rip}} - t_0) a^{-3|1+w|/2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a(t) = \left(\frac{t_{\text{rip}} - t_0}{t_{\text{rip}} - t} \right)^{\frac{2}{3|1+w|}}.$$

If you want to read more about this strange idea, see the famous short paper by Caldwell, Kamionkowski and Nevin (<https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0302506>).