## Graduate Cosmology Spring 2025 Homework 4 solutions

How to self-grade: full points for correct answer with correct reasoning; half-points for correct reasoning but incorrect answer due to algebra error; zero point for incorrect reasoning (even if final answer is correct out of luck).

## Problem 1

The Saha equilibrium equation for the process  $He^{++} + e^{-} \leftrightarrow He^{+} + \gamma$  is a simple generalization of the one we wrote for hydorgen, before making any simplification for charge neutrality:

$$\frac{n_e n_{\text{He}^{++}}}{n_{\text{He}^{+}}} = \frac{(2\pi m_e T)^{3/2}}{h^3} e^{-E_2/T}.$$

Likewise, the Saha equilibrium equation for the process  $He^+ + e^- \leftrightarrow He^0 + \gamma$  is

$$\frac{n_e n_{\text{He}^+}}{n_{\text{He}^0}} = (2\pi m_e T)^{3/2} e^{-E_1/T}.$$

We now enforce charge neutrality by writing that the total number density of positive charges equals the number density of free electrons:  $n_e = n_p + n_{\text{He}^+} + 2n_{\text{He}^{++}}$ . Since hydrogen is fully ionized at the relevant epochs, the number density of protons is  $n_p = n_{\text{H}}$ .

• During the first<sup>1</sup> helium recombination  $\text{He}^{++} + e^- \leftrightarrow \text{He}^+ + \gamma$ , we assume that the abundance of neutral helium is negligible, so that  $n_{\text{He}^{++}} + n_{\text{He}^+} = n_{\text{He}} = f_{\text{He}} n_{\text{H}}$ . Charge neutrality then gives  $n_{\text{He}^{++}} = n_e - (n_p + n_{\text{He}^+} + n_{\text{He}^{++}}) = n_e - n_{\text{H}} (1 + f_{\text{He}})$ . We moreover write  $n_{\text{He}^+} = n_{\text{He}} - n_{\text{He}^{++}} = f_{\text{He}} n_{\text{H}} - n_e + n_{\text{H}} (1 + f_{\text{He}}) = n_{\text{H}} (1 + 2f_{\text{He}}) - n_e$ . Dividing everything by  $n_{\text{H}}$ , the Saha equation becomes

$$\frac{x_e(x_e - (1 + f_{\text{He}}))}{1 + 2f_{\text{He}} - x_e} = \frac{(2\pi m_e T)^{3/2}}{h^3 n_{\text{H}}} e^{-E_2/T}$$

• During the second helium recombination  $\text{He}^+ + e^- \leftrightarrow \text{He}^0 + \gamma$ , we assume that the abundance of doubly-ionized helium is negligible, so that  $n_{\text{He}^+} + n_{\text{He}^0} = n_{\text{He}} = f_{\text{He}} n_{\text{H}}$ . Charge neutrality then gives  $n_{\text{He}^+} = n_e - n_p = n_e - n_{\text{H}}$ , and the abundance of neutral helium is then  $n_{\text{He}^0} = f_{\text{He}} n_{\text{H}} - n_{\text{He}^+} = (1 + f_{\text{He}}) n_{\text{H}} - n_e$ . Again, dividing everything by  $n_{\text{H}}$ , the Saha equation becomes

$$\frac{x_e(x_e - 1)}{1 + f_{He} - x_e} = \frac{(2\pi m_e T)^{3/2}}{h^3 n_{H}} e^{-E_1/T}$$

The last thing we need to figure out is the conversion from  $Y_{\text{He}}$  (the fraction of Helium to baryons by mass) to  $f_{\text{He}}$  (the ratio of Helium to Hydrogen number densities). This is given by

$$f_{\rm He} = \frac{n_{\rm He}}{n_{\rm H}} = \frac{m_{\rm H}}{m_{\rm He}} \frac{\rho_{\rm He}}{\rho_{\rm H}} = \frac{m_{\rm H}}{m_{\rm He}} \frac{Y_{\rm He}}{1 - Y_{\rm He}} \approx 0.08 \text{ for } Y_{\rm He} = 0.24.$$

See github repository for the implementation of theses equations and plots: https://github.com/alihaimoud/Cosmology/blob/main/HW4.ipynb

## Problem 2

1) Newton's law tells us that  $m_e \ddot{\vec{r}} = -(e^2/r^2)\hat{r}$ , hence  $\ddot{\vec{D}} = -e\ddot{\vec{r}} = \frac{e^3}{m_e r^2}\hat{r}$ . The power radiated is then  $P = \frac{2}{3}(\ddot{\vec{D}})^2 = \frac{2}{3}e^6/(m_e^2r^4)$ , as advertised.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note that it is the *second* ionization energy that is relevant to the "first" (i.e. earliest) helium recombination, and the *first* ionization energy that is relevant to the "second" (i.e. latest) helium recombination...

2) a) The total energy radiated is

$$E_{\rm rad} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \frac{dE_{\rm rad}}{dt} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{e^6}{m_e^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{r^4(t)} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{e^6}{m_e^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{(b^2 + (vt)^2)^2} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{e^6}{m_e^2 b^4} \frac{b}{v} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{(1 + u^2)^2}.$$

where we used  $r^2 = b^2 = (vt)^2$  along a straight-line trajectory with contant velocity v and impact parameter b. The last integral is  $\pi/2$ , so we find, as advertized,

$$E_{\rm rad} = \frac{\pi}{3} \frac{e^6}{m_e^2 b^3 v}.$$

(b) The condition  $E_{\rm rad} > \frac{1}{2} m_e v^2$  translates to

$$b < b_{\text{max}}(v) = (2\pi/3)^{1/3} \frac{e^2}{m_e v}.$$

If this condition is satisfied, then

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}m_e v^2}{e^2/b} = \frac{1}{2}m_e v^2 b/e^2 < \frac{1}{2}(2\pi/3)^{1/3}v = \frac{1}{2}(2\pi/3)^{1/3}(v/c),$$

where I explicitly put back the c dependence in the last equation. Since electrons are non-relativistic,  $v/c \ll 1$ , hence the condition for straight-line trajectories is not satisfied for impact parameters below  $b_{\text{max}}(v)$ .

3) (a) Again, the total energy radiated is the integral of P over time, but now we will substitute  $dt = d\theta/\dot{\theta} = \frac{r^2}{bv}d\theta$ , so we get

$$E_{\rm rad} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{e^6}{m_e^2 b v} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{r^2(\theta)} = \frac{1}{6} \frac{e^6}{m_e^2 b v} \frac{1}{r_0^2} \int_0^{2\pi} (1 + \cos(\theta - \pi))^2 = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{e^6}{m_e^2 b v r_0^2},$$

since the last integral is  $3\pi$ . Next, substituting  $v_0 = bv/r_0$  into  $\frac{1}{2}m_ev_0^2 = e^2/r_0$ , we obtain  $\frac{1}{2}m_e(bv/r_0)^2 = e^2/r_0$ , implying  $r_0 = \frac{1}{2}m_e(bv)^2/e^2$ , hence the advertized result

$$E_{\rm rad} = 2\pi \frac{e^{10}}{m_s^4 (bv)^5}.$$

(b) Requiring  $E_{\rm rad} > \frac{1}{2} m_e v^2$  implies

$$b < b_{\text{max}}(v) = (4\pi)^{1/5} \frac{e^2}{m_e v^{7/5}}$$

Let us now check consistency with the parabolic trajectory assumption if  $b < b_{\text{max}}$ :

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}m_e v^2}{e^2/b} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{m_e v^2 b}{e^2} < \frac{1}{2}(4\pi)^{1/5}v^{3/5} = \frac{1}{2}(4\pi)^{1/5}(v/c)^{3/5} \ll 1.$$

So, this time, the calculation is indeed self-consistent.

4) (a) We have again  $\ddot{\vec{D}}=-e\ddot{\vec{r}}=e^3/(m_er^2)\hat{r},$  and  $\dot{\vec{D}}=-e\vec{v},$  so

$$\frac{d\vec{L}_{\rm rad}}{dt} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{e^4}{m_e r^3} \vec{r} \times \vec{v} = \frac{e^4}{m_e^2 r^3} \vec{L}.$$

(b) We proceed similarly as for the energy calculation (and use the fact that  $\vec{L}_{\rm rad}$  has constant direction along  $\vec{L}$ )

$$L_{\rm rad} = \int dt \frac{dL_{\rm rad}}{dt} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{e^4}{m_e^2} L \int \frac{dt}{r^3} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{e^4}{m_e^2} L \int \frac{d\theta}{\dot{\theta}r^3} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{e^4}{m_e^2} \frac{m_e b v}{b v} \int \frac{d\theta}{r} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{e^4}{m_e} \frac{2\pi}{2r_0} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{e^6}{m_e^2} \frac{1}{(bv)^2}.$$

The condition  $L_{\rm rad} > \hbar$  translates to

$$b < b_{\text{max}}(v) = (4\pi/3)^{1/2} \frac{e^3}{\sqrt{\hbar} \ m_e v}$$

The ratio of this maximum impact parameter to the previously found  $b_{\text{max,cl}}(v)$  is of order

$$\frac{b_{\text{max}}}{b_{\text{max,cl}}} \sim \frac{e^3/(\sqrt{\hbar}m_e v)}{e^2/(m_e v^{7/5})} = \frac{e}{\sqrt{\hbar}} v^{2/5}$$

Now, the ratio  $e^2/\hbar$  is the famous fine-structure constant  $\alpha \approx 1/137$ . Hence, we see that the ratio of maximum impact parameters if of order  $\sqrt{\alpha}v^{2/5} \ll 1$ , since both  $\alpha$  and v (in units of the speed of light) are small compared to unity.

(c) The Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution of electron velocities at temperature T is

$$P(\vec{v}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi T/m_e)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{m_e v^2}{2T}\right),$$

which integrates to unity over  $d^3v$ . Hence the semi-classical recombination coefficient is

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{\rm cl}(T) &= \int d^3 v \ \pi b_{\rm max}^2(v) v P(\vec{v}) = \frac{4\pi^2}{3} \frac{e^6}{m_e^2 \hbar} \int d^3 v \ \frac{1}{v} P(\vec{v}) \\ &= \frac{4\pi^2}{3} \frac{e^6}{m_e^2 \hbar} \frac{1}{(2\pi T/m_e)^{3/2}} \int 4\pi v dv \exp\left(-\frac{m_e v^2}{2T}\right) = \frac{2}{3} (2\pi)^{3/2} \frac{e^6}{m_e^2 \hbar} (m_e/T)^{1/2} = \frac{2}{3} (2\pi)^{3/2} \alpha \frac{e^4}{m_e^2} (T/m_e)^{-1/2}. \end{split}$$

We now put the coefficient in the desired form

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm cl}(T) = A T_4^{-1/2}, \quad A \equiv \frac{2}{3} (2\pi)^{3/2} \alpha \frac{e^4}{(m_e c^2)} c (10^4 {\rm K}/m_e)^{-1/2} \approx 1.4 \times 10^{-13} {\rm cm}^3 {\rm s}^{-1}.$$

where I re-instated all the c's in the final expression.

## Problem 3

See code in github repository https://github.com/alihaimoud/Cosmology/blob/main/HW4.ipynb Note that in order to get the curvature, you actually need h (or to input the big  $\Omega$ 's rather than the small  $\omega$ 's.