

Mathematical Tripos Part III: Michaelmas Term 2017/18

Topic in Mathematics of Information – Exercise Sheet II

Linear and nonlinear approximation

1. Prove that for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, if $\|f\|_V < \infty$, then $\|f\|_\infty < \infty$. Given an example of a function $f \in L^2([0, 1]^2)$ such that $\|f\|_V < \infty$ and $\|f\|_\infty = +\infty$.
2. Let $a, b > 0$. Let f be defined on the interval $[0, 1]$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^a \sin(x^{-b}) & x \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Show that f is bounded and continuous, but not of bounded variation when $a \leq b$. Is f of bounded variation when $a = 2$ and $b = 1$?

3. Let $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) := C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. The space of distributions on Ω is the set of real continuous linear functionals on $\varphi : \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
 - (a) Prove that every $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ can be understood as a distribution via the definition

$$T_u(\varphi) := \int u(x)\varphi(x) dx, \quad \text{for } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

- (b) Show further that if $u, v \in L^1_{loc}$ and $\langle u, \varphi \rangle = \langle v, \varphi \rangle$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, then $u = v$ a.e.
 - (c) A distribution that corresponds to a locally integrable function is called *regular*, otherwise it is called *singular*. Can you give an example for a singular distribution?
4. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Show that f is uniformly Lipschitz- $(m + \varepsilon)$ (as defined in the lectures) if and only if f is m -times continuously differentiable and $f^{(m)}$ satisfies $|f^{(m)}(x) - f^{(m)}(y)| \leq C|x - y|^\varepsilon$.
5. Consider a wavelet basis of $L^2([0, 1])$ constructed with wavelets having $q > s$ vanishing moments and that are C^q . Construct functions $f \in W^{s,2}([0, 1])$ for which the linear and nonlinear approximation errors in this basis are identical, $\varepsilon_l(f, M) = \varepsilon_n(f, M)$ for all $M \geq 0$.
6. Let f be a piecewise polynomial of degree q defined on $[0, 1]$, with K discontinuities. Given a wavelet basis of $L^2([0, 1])$ with $q + 1$ vanishing moments, give upper bounds as a function of K and M , the linear approximation error $\varepsilon_l(f, M)$ and the nonlinear approximation error $\varepsilon_n(f, M)$.
7. Let $f \in L^\infty([0, 1]^2)$ be uniformly Lipschitz- α with $\alpha \in (1/2, q)$ except on a smooth curve of length L . Consider its approximation error with a compactly supported wavelet basis whose wavelet is q -times differentiable and has q vanishing moments. Show that $\varepsilon_n(f, M) = \mathcal{O}(M^{-1})$.

Compressed sensing

1. Show that if $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $\Lambda \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ are such that

$$\sum_{j \in \Lambda} |v_j| < \sum_{l \in \Lambda^c} |v_l| \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{N}(A), \mathbb{R}^n \ni v \neq 0,$$

then

$$\sum_{j \in \Lambda} \sqrt{v_j^2 + w_j^2} < \sum_{l \in \Lambda^c} \sqrt{v_l^2 + w_l^2}, \quad \forall v, w \in \mathcal{N}(A), \mathbb{R}^n \ni v, w \neq 0.$$

2. Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, show that every k -sparse vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where $x \geq 0$ (this means all entries are non-negative) is the unique solution to

$$\min \|z\|_{l^1} \quad \text{subject to} \quad Az = Ax \quad z \geq 0$$

if and only if

$$v_{\Lambda^c} \geq 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n v_j > 0$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{N}(A) \setminus \{0\}$ and all $\Lambda \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|\Lambda| \leq k$.

3. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N}$. Suppose that A has ℓ^2 -normalized columns, i.e. for each column a_j of A , $\|a_j\|_2 = 1$. Show that for all s -sparse vectors

$$(1 - \mu_1(s-1))\|x\|_2^2 \leq \|Ax\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \mu_1(s-1))\|x\|_2^2,$$

where $\mu_1(s) = \max_k \max \left\{ \sum_{j \in S} |\langle a_j, a_k \rangle| ; S \subset [N], |S| = s, k \notin S \right\}$ is the ℓ^1 coherence function of A .

You may use without proof Gershgorin's disk theorem: Let λ be an eigenvalue of a square matrix $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Then, there exists an index $j \in [n]$ such that

$$|\lambda - M_{j,j}| \leq \sum_{k \in [n] \setminus \{j\}} |M_{j,k}|.$$

4. * This question discusses the converse to Theorem 19 of the notes. For a given matrix A , consider the following condition:

$$\left| \sum_{j \in S} \text{sgn}(x_j) v_j \right| < \|v_{S^c}\|_1, \quad v \in \mathcal{N}(A) \setminus \{0\}. \quad (1)$$

- (a) Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and let $x = (e^{-i\pi/3}, e^{i\pi/3}, 0)$. Check that (1) is false for $S = \text{Supp}(x)$ and verify that x is the unique solution to

$$\min_z \|z\|_{\ell^1} \quad \text{subject to} \quad Az = Ax, \quad (2)$$

- (b) Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\text{supp}(x) = S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$. Show that x is the unique minimiser to (2) (where we minimize only over real vectors) implies that (1) holds.