# Inverse Problems Least squares solutions

Clarice Poon University of Bath

January 1, 2020

## Least square solutions

Let  $A:\mathcal{U}\to\mathcal{V}$  be a bounded linear operator between Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  and consider

$$Au = f$$
.

#### Definition 1

An element  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  is called

- $\bullet \ \ \text{a least-squares solution if} \ \|Au-f\|_{\mathcal{V}} = \inf \big\{ \|Av-f\|_{\mathcal{V}} \ ; \ v \in \mathcal{U} \big\}.$
- ullet a minimal-norm solution, denoted by  $u^\dagger$  if

$$\left\| u^{\dagger} \right\|_{\mathcal{U}} \leqslant \left\| v \right\|_{\mathcal{U}}$$

for all least-squares solutions v.

NB: If  $f \notin \mathcal{R}(A)$ , then a least squares solution will not satisfy Au = f.

#### **Definitions**

## Domain, kernel and range

Given  $A: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ , denote

- $\mathcal{D}(A) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \mathcal{U}$  the domain,
- $\mathcal{N}(A) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{ u \in \mathcal{U} ; Au = 0 \}$  the kernel,
- $\mathcal{R}(A) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{ f \in \mathcal{V} ; f = Au, u \in \mathcal{U} \}$  the range.

#### Continuous linear operators

We say that A is continuous at  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  with

$$\|Au - Av\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leqslant \varepsilon \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{U} \quad s.t. \quad \|u - v\|_{\mathcal{U}} \leqslant \delta.$$

If A is a linear operator, then A is continuous if and only if it is bounded.

We will focus on inverse problems with bounded linear operators  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V})$  with  $\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V})} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \sup_{\|u\|_{\mathcal{U}} \leqslant 1} \|Au\|_{\mathcal{V}} < \infty$ .

# **Elementary facts about Hilbert spaces**

Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  where  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are Hilbert spaces. Every Hilbert space  $\mathcal{U}$  is equipped with a scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{U}}$ .

## **Adjoint**

The unique adjoint operator of A is  $A^*$  defined by

$$\langle Au, v \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = \langle u, A^*v \rangle_{\mathcal{U}}, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

## Orthogonal complement

We say that  $u, v \in \mathcal{U}$  are orthogonal if  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$ . Given  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ , the orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{X}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  is

$$\mathcal{X}^{\perp} \stackrel{\text{\tiny def.}}{=} \left\{ u \in \mathcal{U} \; ; \; \langle u, \, v \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{X} \right\}.$$

- $\mathcal{X}^{\perp}$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{U}^{\perp} = \{0\}$ .
- $\overline{\mathcal{X}} = (\mathcal{X}^{\perp})^{\perp}$ .
- If  $\mathcal{X}$  is closed, then  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X}^{\perp})^{\perp}$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$ .

# **Elementary facts about Hilbert spaces**

## Orthogonal projection

Let  $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{U}$  be a closed subspace. Then, for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ , there exists  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $x^{\perp} \in \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$  such that  $u = x + x^{\perp}$ . The mapping  $u \mapsto x$  defines a bounded linear operator  $P_{\mathcal{X}}$  called the orthogonal projection onto  $\mathcal{X}$ .

- $P_{\mathcal{X}}$  is self-adjoint.
- $||P_{\mathcal{X}}|| = 1$  if  $\mathcal{X} \neq \{0\}$ .
- $\operatorname{Id} P_{\mathcal{X}} = P_{\mathcal{X}^{\perp}}$ .
- $\|u P_{\mathcal{X}}u\|_{\mathcal{U}} \leq \|u v\|$  for all  $v \in \mathcal{X}$ .
- $x = P_{\mathcal{X}}u$  if and only if  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $u x \in \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$ .

For  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ , we have

- $\mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp} = \mathcal{N}(A^*)$  and thus,  $\mathcal{N}(A^*)^{\perp} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$ .
- $\mathcal{R}(A^*)^{\perp} = \mathcal{N}(A)$  and thus,  $\mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ .

So, 
$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{N}(A) \oplus \overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$$
 and  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{N}(A^*) \oplus \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$ . Also,  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*A)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ .

# Characterisation of least squares solutions

#### Theorem 2

Let  $f \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ . Then, the following are equivalent.

- (a) u is a least-squares solution to Au = f.
- (b) u satisfies  $Au = P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}f$ .
- (c) u solves the normal equation  $A^*Au = A^*f$ .

NB: For any solution u to the normal equation, its residue Au - f is normal (orthogonal) to  $\mathcal{R}(A)$ : for any  $v \in \mathcal{U}$ ,

$$0 = \langle v, A^*(Au - f) \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} = \langle Av, Au - f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

## Existence and characterisation of least squares solutions

(a) $\rightarrow$ (c), LS solution satisfy the normal equation:

For any 
$$v \in \mathcal{U}$$
,  $F(\lambda) = ||A(u + \lambda v) - f||_{\mathcal{V}}^2$  is smallest at  $\lambda = 0$ . So,  $F'(0) = 2\langle Av, Au - f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = 0$ .

## Existence and characterisation of least squares solutions

(a) $\rightarrow$ (c), LS solution satisfy the normal equation:

For any  $v \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $F(\lambda) = ||A(u + \lambda v) - f||_{\mathcal{V}}^2$  is smallest at  $\lambda = 0$ . So,  $F'(0) = 2\langle Av, Au - f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = 0$ .

(c) $\rightarrow$ (b), Normal equation implies  $Au = P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}f$ : If  $A^*(f - Au) = 0$ , then  $f - Au \in \mathcal{N}(A^*) = \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp} = (\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)})^{\perp}$ . So,  $\forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad \langle P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}(f - Au), \ v \rangle = \langle f - Au, \ P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}v \rangle = 0$ implies  $P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}(f - Au) = P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}(f) - Au = 0$ .

## Existence and characterisation of least squares solutions

(a) $\rightarrow$ (c), LS solution satisfy the normal equation:

For any  $v \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $F(\lambda) = ||A(u + \lambda v) - f||_{\mathcal{V}}^2$  is smallest at  $\lambda = 0$ . So,  $F'(0) = 2\langle Av, Au - f \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = 0$ .

- (c) $\rightarrow$ (b), Normal equation implies  $Au = P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}f$ :

  If  $A^*(f Au) = 0$ , then  $f Au \in \mathcal{N}(A^*) = \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp} = (\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)})^{\perp}$ . So,  $\forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad \langle P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}(f Au), \ v \rangle = \langle f Au, \ P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}v \rangle = 0$ implies  $P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}(f Au) = P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}(f) Au = 0$ .
- (b) $\to$ (a), Any solution to  $Au=P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}f$  is a LS solution: For  $Au=\mathcal{P}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}f$ , we have

$$\|Au-f\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 = \left\| (\operatorname{Id} - \mathcal{P}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}})f \right\| \leqslant \inf_{g \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}} \|g-f\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leqslant \inf_{v \in \mathcal{U}} \|Av-f\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2.$$

## Lemma 3

Let  $f \in \mathcal{V}$  and let  $\mathbb{L}$  be the set of least squares solutions to Au = f.

- (a)  $\mathbb{L} \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $f \in \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$ .
- (b) If  $\mathbb{L} \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a unique minimal norm solution  $u^{\dagger}$  and all least squares solutions are given by  $u^{\dagger} + \mathcal{N}(A)$ .

#### Lemma 3

Let  $f \in \mathcal{V}$  and let  $\mathbb{L}$  be the set of least squares solutions to Au = f.

- (a)  $\mathbb{L} \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $f \in \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$ .
- (b) If  $\mathbb{L} \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a unique minimal norm solution  $u^{\dagger}$  and all least squares solutions are given by  $u^{\dagger} + \mathcal{N}(A)$ .

NB: In the finite dimensional setting,  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  is closed, i.e.  $\mathcal{R}(A) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$  so there always exists a least-squares solution.

## Lemma 3

Let  $f \in \mathcal{V}$  and let  $\mathbb{L}$  be the set of least squares solutions to Au = f.

- (a)  $\mathbb{L} \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $f \in \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$ .
- (b) If  $\mathbb{L} \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a unique minimal norm solution  $u^{\dagger}$  and all least squares solutions are given by  $u^{\dagger} + \mathcal{N}(A)$ .

NB: In the finite dimensional setting,  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  is closed, i.e.  $\mathcal{R}(A) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$  so there always exists a least-squares solution.

For (a):  $u \in \mathbb{L}$ , then  $f = Au + (f - Au) \in \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$  (we just saw that the normal equation implies  $f - Au \in \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$ ).

#### Lemma 3

Let  $f \in \mathcal{V}$  and let  $\mathbb{L}$  be the set of least squares solutions to Au = f.

- (a)  $\mathbb{L} \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $f \in \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$ .
- (b) If  $\mathbb{L} \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a unique minimal norm solution  $u^{\dagger}$  and all least squares solutions are given by  $u^{\dagger} + \mathcal{N}(A)$ .

NB: In the finite dimensional setting,  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  is closed, i.e.  $\mathcal{R}(A) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$  so there always exists a least-squares solution.

For (a):  $u \in \mathbb{L}$ , then  $f = Au + (f - Au) \in \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$  (we just saw that the normal equation implies  $f - Au \in \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$ ).

Conversely, if  $f \in \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus (\mathcal{R}(A))^{\perp}$ , then there exists  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$  such that f = Au + g. Therefore,  $P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}f = Au$ .

#### Lemma 3

Let  $f \in \mathcal{V}$  and let  $\mathbb{L}$  be the set of least squares solutions to Au = f.

- (a)  $\mathbb{L} \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $f \in \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$ .
- (b) If  $\mathbb{L} \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a unique minimal norm solution  $u^{\dagger}$  and all least squares solutions are given by  $u^{\dagger} + \mathcal{N}(A)$ .

NB: In the finite dimensional setting,  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  is closed, i.e.  $\mathcal{R}(A) = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$  so there always exists a least-squares solution.

To show (b): Letting  $B = \min_{u} ||Au - f||$ , the minimal solution is

$$u^{\dagger} = \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in \{v : ||Av - f|| = B\}} ||u||$$

The orthogonal projection of the zero element onto an affine subspace is unique. Given any least squares solution  $\varphi$ ,  $A(\varphi-u^\dagger)=P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}(f)-P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}(f)=0$ . Therefore,  $\varphi-u^\dagger\in\mathcal{N}(A)$ .

Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ , its inverse might not exist but we can define a generalised inverse by restricting its domain and range.

Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ , its inverse might not exist but we can define a generalised inverse by restricting its domain and range.

Consider the restriction of A to  $\mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$ :

$$ilde{A}\stackrel{ ext{ iny def.}}{=} A|_{\mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}}: \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp} 
ightarrow \mathcal{V}$$

 $ilde{A}$  has a bounded inverse  $ilde{A}^{-1}:\mathcal{R}(A) o\mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$  because

Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ , its inverse might not exist but we can define a generalised inverse by restricting its domain and range.

Consider the restriction of A to  $\mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$ :

$$ilde{A}\stackrel{ ext{ iny def.}}{=} A|_{\mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}}: \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp} 
ightarrow \mathcal{V}$$

 $ilde{A}$  has a bounded inverse  $ilde{A}^{-1}: \mathcal{R}(A) o \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$  because

- ullet  $ilde{A}$  is a bounded linear operator.
- Injective: for all  $x \in \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$ ,  $\tilde{A}x = 0$  iff  $x \in \mathcal{N}(A) \cap \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp} = \{0\}$ .
- Range is  $\mathcal{R}(\tilde{A}) = \mathcal{R}(A)$ : Given  $y = Ax \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ , write  $x = x_1 + x_2 \in \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp} \oplus \mathcal{N}(A)$ , then  $\tilde{A}x_1 = Ax_1 = Ax = y$  so  $y \in \mathcal{R}(\tilde{A})$ .

Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ , its inverse might not exist but we can define a generalised inverse by restricting its domain and range.

Consider the restriction of A to  $\mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$ :

$$ilde{A}\stackrel{ ext{ iny def.}}{=} A|_{\mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}}: \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp} 
ightarrow \mathcal{V}$$

 $ilde{A}$  has a bounded inverse  $ilde{A}^{-1}: \mathcal{R}(A) o \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$  because

- ullet  $ilde{A}$  is a bounded linear operator.
- Injective: for all  $x \in \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$ ,  $\tilde{A}x = 0$  iff  $x \in \mathcal{N}(A) \cap \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp} = \{0\}$ .
- Range is  $\mathcal{R}(\tilde{A}) = \mathcal{R}(A)$ : Given  $y = Ax \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ , write  $x = x_1 + x_2 \in \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp} \oplus \mathcal{N}(A)$ , then  $\tilde{A}x_1 = Ax_1 = Ax = y$  so  $y \in \mathcal{R}(\tilde{A})$ .

Extend  $\tilde{A}^{-1}$  by zero on  $\mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$  to obtain  $A^{\dagger}: \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp} \to \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$  which satisfies  $AA^{\dagger}x = x$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ .

Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ , its inverse might not exist but we can define a generalised inverse by restricting its domain and range.

Consider the restriction of A to  $\mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$ :

$$ilde{A}\stackrel{ ext{ iny def.}}{=} A|_{\mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}}: \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp} 
ightarrow \mathcal{V}$$

 $ilde{A}$  has a bounded inverse  $ilde{A}^{-1}: \mathcal{R}(A) o \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$  because

- ullet  $ilde{A}$  is a bounded linear operator.
- Injective: for all  $x \in \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$ ,  $\tilde{A}x = 0$  iff  $x \in \mathcal{N}(A) \cap \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp} = \{0\}$ .
- Range is  $\mathcal{R}(\tilde{A}) = \mathcal{R}(A)$ : Given  $y = Ax \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ , write  $x = x_1 + x_2 \in \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp} \oplus \mathcal{N}(A)$ , then  $\tilde{A}x_1 = Ax_1 = Ax = y$  so  $y \in \mathcal{R}(\tilde{A})$ .

Extend  $\tilde{A}^{-1}$  by zero on  $\mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$  to obtain  $A^{\dagger}: \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp} \to \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$  which satisfies  $AA^{\dagger}x = x$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ .

This extension is called the Moore-Penrose generalised inverse. It is the unique extension of  $\tilde{A}^{-1}$  to  $\mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger}) = \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$  with  $\mathcal{N}(A^{\dagger}) = \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$ .

- Note that  $\mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{U}$ , so  $A^{\dagger}$  is defined on the entire domain  $\mathcal{U}$  only if  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  is closed.
- In fact,  $A^{\dagger}$  is bounded (continuous) if and only if R(A) is closed.

We list a few additional properties of  $A^{\dagger}$ :

#### Lemma 4

The Moore-Penrose inverse  $A^\dagger$  satisfies  $\mathcal{R}(A^\dagger)=\mathcal{N}(A)^\perp$  and the Moore-Penrose equations

- (a)  $AA^{\dagger}A = A$ .
- (b)  $A^{\dagger}AA^{\dagger}=A^{\dagger}$
- (c)  $A^{\dagger}A = \operatorname{Id} P_{\mathcal{N}(A)}$
- (d)  $AA^{\dagger} = P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}}|_{\mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})}$ .

## Theorem 5

For  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^\dagger)$ , the minimal norm solution  $u^\dagger$  is given by  $u^\dagger = A^\dagger f$ .

## Proof.

We already saw that  $u^{\dagger}$  exists and is unique.

#### Theorem 5

For  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$ , the minimal norm solution  $u^{\dagger}$  is given by  $u^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}f$ .

#### Proof.

We already saw that  $u^{\dagger}$  exists and is unique.

Note that  $u^\dagger \in \mathcal{N}(A)^\perp$ : decompose  $u^\dagger = v + w$  for some  $v \in \mathcal{N}(A)$  and  $w \in \mathcal{N}(A)^\perp$ . Then, w is a least squares solution and  $\left\|u^\dagger\right\|^2 = \|v\|^2 + \|w\|^2$ , so v = 0 by minimality of  $u^\dagger$ .

## Theorem 5

For  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$ , the minimal norm solution  $u^{\dagger}$  is given by  $u^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}f$ .

#### Proof.

We already saw that  $u^{\dagger}$  exists and is unique.

Note that  $u^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$ : decompose  $u^{\dagger} = v + w$  for some  $v \in \mathcal{N}(A)$  and  $w \in \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$ . Then, w is a least squares solution and  $\|u^{\dagger}\|^2 = \|v\|^2 + \|w\|^2$ , so v = 0 by minimality of  $u^{\dagger}$ .

So,

$$u^\dagger = (\operatorname{Id} - P_{\mathcal{N}(A)}) u^\dagger = A^\dagger A u^\dagger = A^\dagger P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}} f = A^\dagger A A^\dagger f = A^\dagger f.$$

12 / 24

#### Theorem 5

For  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$ , the minimal norm solution  $u^{\dagger}$  is given by  $u^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}f$ .

#### Proof.

We already saw that  $u^{\dagger}$  exists and is unique.

Note that  $u^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$ : decompose  $u^{\dagger} = v + w$  for some  $v \in \mathcal{N}(A)$  and  $w \in \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$ . Then, w is a least squares solution and  $\|u^{\dagger}\|^2 = \|v\|^2 + \|w\|^2$ , so v = 0 by minimality of  $u^{\dagger}$ .

So,

$$u^\dagger = (\operatorname{Id} - P_{\mathcal{N}(A)}) u^\dagger = A^\dagger A u^\dagger = A^\dagger P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}} f = A^\dagger A A^\dagger f = A^\dagger f.$$

Note also that since  $\mathcal{N}(A^*A)^{\perp}=\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*A)}=\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}=\mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$ , the normal equation  $(A^*A)u^{\dagger}-A^*f=0$  implies that

$$u^{\dagger} = P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}} u^{\dagger} = (A^*A)^{\dagger} (A^*A) u^{\dagger} = (A^*A)^{\dagger} A^* f.$$

## **Definition 6 (Compact operators)**

Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ . Then A is compact if for any bounded set  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{U}$ , the closure of its image  $\overline{A(\mathcal{B})}$  is compact in  $\mathcal{V}$ . We denote the space of compact operators by  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ .

Equivalently, if  $\{u_j\} \subset \mathcal{U}$  is bounded, then  $\{Au_j\}$  has a convergent subsequence in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

**Examples 1** Let  $k \in L^2(\Omega \times \Omega)$ . The operator  $A : L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega)$  defined by

$$(Af)(x) = \int K(x,y)f(y)dy$$

is compact.

**Examples 2** Let  $g \in C([0,1];\mathbb{R})$  and define  $A:C([0,1];\mathbb{R}) \to C([0,1];\mathbb{R})$  by

$$(Af)(x) \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \int_0^x f(t)g(t)dt$$

This is compact by Arzela-Ascoli.

Compact operators are very common in inverse problems. We will see that this is a major source of ill-posedness.

#### Theorem 7

Let  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  with infinite dimensional range. Then, the Moore-Penrose inverse of A is discontinuous.

#### Proof.

Since  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  is infinite dimensional,  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$  are also infinite dimensional.

Define a sequence  $u_k \in \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$  such that  $\|u_j\|_{\mathcal{U}} = 1$  and  $\langle u_k, u_j \rangle = \delta_{jk}$ .

#### Theorem 7

Let  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  with infinite dimensional range. Then, the Moore-Penrose inverse of A is discontinuous.

#### Proof.

Since  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  is infinite dimensional,  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$  are also infinite dimensional.

Define a sequence  $u_k \in \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$  such that  $\|u_j\|_{\mathcal{U}} = 1$  and  $\langle u_k, \ u_j \rangle = \delta_{jk}$ .

Since A is compact,  $f_j=Au_j$  has a convergent subsequence. So, for all  $\delta>0$ , there exists j,k such that  $\|f_j-f_k\|_{\mathcal{V}}\leqslant \delta$ .

#### Theorem 7

Let  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  with infinite dimensional range. Then, the Moore-Penrose inverse of A is discontinuous.

#### Proof.

Since  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  is infinite dimensional,  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$  are also infinite dimensional.

Define a sequence  $u_k \in \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$  such that  $\|u_j\|_{\mathcal{U}} = 1$  and  $\langle u_k, u_j \rangle = \delta_{jk}$ .

Since A is compact,  $f_j = Au_j$  has a convergent subsequence. So, for all  $\delta > 0$ , there exists j, k such that  $\|f_j - f_k\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \delta$ .

However,

$$\left\|A^{\dagger}f_{j}-A^{\dagger}f_{k}\right\|_{\mathcal{U}}^{2}=\left\|A^{\dagger}Au_{j}-A^{\dagger}Au_{k}\right\|_{\mathcal{U}}^{2}=\left\|u_{j}-u_{k}\right\|_{\mathcal{U}}=2.$$

15 / 24

The spectral theorem: If  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U})$  is a self-adjoint operator on Hilbert space  $\mathcal{U}$ , then here exists an orthonormal basis  $\{x_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$  and a sequence of eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_j\}_j$  with  $|\lambda_1|\geqslant |\lambda_2|\geqslant \cdots>0$  and  $\lambda_j\to 0$  such that for all  $u\in\mathcal{U}$ ,

$$Au = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \langle u, x_j \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} x_j.$$

The spectral theorem: If  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U})$  is a self-adjoint operator on Hilbert space  $\mathcal{U}$ , then here exists an orthonormal basis  $\{x_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$  and a sequence of eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_j\}_j$  with  $|\lambda_1|\geqslant |\lambda_2|\geqslant \cdots>0$  and  $\lambda_j\to 0$  such that for all  $u\in\mathcal{U}$ ,

$$Au = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \langle u, x_j \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} x_j.$$

If A is not self-adjoint, then no eigenvalues (and hence no eigenvectors) need to exist. But, we can consider the eigenvalues of  $A^*A$  (which is self-adjoint and compact) to obtain a similar decomposition.

## Theorem 8 (SVD of compact operators)

Let  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$ . Then there exists  $\sigma_1 \geqslant \sigma_2 \geqslant \cdots > 0$  and an orthonormal basis  $\{x_j\}_j$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}^\perp$  and an orthonormal basis  $\{y_j\}_j$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$  such that

$$Ax_j = \sigma_j y_j$$
 and  $A^* y_j = \sigma_j x_j$ ,  $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For all  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ , we have the representation

$$Au = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j \langle u, x_j \rangle y_j.$$

 $\{(\sigma_j, x_j, y_j)\}_j$  is called a singular value decomposition of A. The adjoint is

$$A^*f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j \langle f, y_j \rangle x_j.$$

## **Proof of Theorem 8**

Let  $B = A^*A$ . This is compact, self-adjoint and positive definite, so

$$Bu = \sum_{j} \sigma_{j}^{2} \langle u, x_{j} \rangle x_{j}$$

where  $\{x_j\}_j$  is an orthonormal bases of  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*A)}$ . Define  $y_j \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} A x_j$ .

Recall:  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*A)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)} = \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$ .

## **Proof of Theorem 8**

Let  $B = A^*A$ . This is compact, self-adjoint and positive definite, so

$$Bu = \sum_{j} \sigma_{j}^{2} \langle u, x_{j} \rangle x_{j}$$

where  $\{x_j\}_j$  is an orthonormal bases of  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*A)}$ . Define  $y_j \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} A x_j$ .

Recall:  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*A)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)} = \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$ .

Clearly,

$$A^*y_k = \frac{1}{\sigma_j}A^*Ax_j = \sigma_j x_j.$$

## **Proof of Theorem 8**

Let  $B = A^*A$ . This is compact, self-adjoint and positive definite, so

$$Bu = \sum_{j} \sigma_{j}^{2} \langle u, x_{j} \rangle x_{j}$$

where  $\{x_j\}_j$  is an orthonormal bases of  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*A)}$ . Define  $y_j \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} A x_j$ .

Recall:  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*A)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)} = \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$ .

 $\{y_i\}_i$  is an orthonormal basis:

$$\langle y_j, y_k \rangle = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \langle x_j, A^* A x_j \rangle = \delta_{jk}$$

Let  $B = A^*A$ . This is compact, self-adjoint and positive definite, so

$$Bu = \sum_{j} \sigma_{j}^{2} \langle u, x_{j} \rangle x_{j}$$

where  $\{x_j\}_j$  is an orthonormal bases of  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*A)}$ . Define  $y_j \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} A x_j$ . Recall:  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*A)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)} = \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp}$ .

Extend  $\{x_i\}_i$  to a basis of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Given  $x \in \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{N}(A)^{\perp} \oplus \mathcal{N}(A)$ ,

$$Ax = \sum_{j} \langle x, x_j \rangle Ax_j = \sum_{j} \sigma_j \langle x, x_j \rangle y_j.$$

This also shows that  $\{y_j\}_j$  is a basis of  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$ .

The spectral representation of  $A^*f$  is obtained similarly by extending  $\{y_j\}_j$  to a basis of  $\mathcal{V}$ 

#### Theorem 9

Let  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  with singular system  $\{(\sigma_j, x_j, y_j)\}_j$  and  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$ . Then,

(a)  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$  if and only if the Picard condition is satisfied:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\langle f, y_j \rangle|^2}{\sigma_j^2} < \infty.$$

(b) If  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$ , then  $A^{\dagger}f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j^{-1} \langle f, y_j \rangle x_j$ .

#### Theorem 9

Let  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  with singular system  $\{(\sigma_j, x_j, y_j)\}_j$  and  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$ . Then,

(a)  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$  if and only if the Picard condition is satisfied:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\langle f, y_j \rangle|^2}{\sigma_j^2} < \infty.$$

(b) If  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$ , then  $A^{\dagger}f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j^{-1} \langle f, y_j \rangle x_j$ .

#### Remarks:

The unboundedness of the Moore-Penrose inverse can clearly be seen from the SVD representation since  $\|A^\dagger y_j\| = \sigma_j^{-1} \to \infty$ , even though  $\|y_j\| = 1$ . In general, the series may not converge for a given  $f \notin \mathcal{D}(A^\dagger)$ .

#### Theorem 9

Let  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  with singular system  $\{(\sigma_j, x_j, y_j)\}_j$  and  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$ . Then,

(a)  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$  if and only if the Picard condition is satisfied:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\langle f, y_j \rangle\right|^2}{\sigma_j^2} < \infty.$$

(b) If  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$ , then  $A^{\dagger}f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j^{-1} \langle f, y_j \rangle x_j$ .

#### Remarks:

One can additionally show that if  $f \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{R}(A)$  if and only if the Picard criterion is met.

#### Theorem 9

Let  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V})$  with singular system  $\{(\sigma_j, x_j, y_j)\}_j$  and  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$ . Then,

(a)  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$  if and only if the Picard condition is satisfied:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\left\langle f, y_{j}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{j}^{2}} < \infty.$$

(b) If  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$ , then  $A^{\dagger}f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j^{-1} \langle f, y_j \rangle x_j$ .

#### Remarks:

We say that an ill-posed inverse problem is mildly ill-posed if the singular values decay at most with polynomial speed. There exists  $\gamma, C > 0$  such that  $\sigma_j \geqslant Cj^{-\gamma}$ . We say it is severely ill-posed if its singular values decay faster than polynomial speed, for all  $\gamma, C > 0$ ,  $\sigma_j \leqslant Cj^{-\gamma}$  for all j large enough.

# Proof of (a) [Picard condition for $\mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$ ]

• Recall that  $\mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger}) = \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$ . Suppose that f = Au + w where  $w \in \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}^{\perp}$ . Since  $\{y_j\}_j$  is an ONB for  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$ ,

$$\langle f, y_j \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = \langle Au, y_j \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = \langle u, A^* y_j \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} = \sigma_j \langle u, x_j \rangle.$$

Therefore,  $\sum_{j} \sigma_{j}^{-2} |\langle f, y_{j} \rangle|^{2} \leqslant ||u||^{2} < \infty$ .

# Proof of (a) [Picard condition for $\mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$ ]

• Recall that  $\mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger}) = \mathcal{R}(A) \oplus \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$ . Suppose that f = Au + w where  $w \in \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}^{\perp}$ . Since  $\{y_j\}_j$  is an ONB for  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$ ,

$$\langle f, y_j \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = \langle Au, y_j \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} = \langle u, A^* y_j \rangle_{\mathcal{U}} = \sigma_j \langle u, x_j \rangle.$$

Therefore,  $\sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{-2} |\langle f, y_{j} \rangle|^{2} \leq ||u||^{2} < \infty$ .

• Conversely, first note that we can write any  $f \in \mathcal{V}$  as  $f = f_1 + f_2$  with  $f_1 \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$  and  $f_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}^{\perp}$ . If the Picard criterion hold, define

$$u \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \sum_{i} \sigma_{j}^{-1} \langle f, y_{j} \rangle x_{j}.$$

Then,  $Au=\sum_j \langle f,\, y_j \rangle y_j = P_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}} f = f_1$ . So,  $f_1 \in \mathcal{R}(A)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^\dagger)$ .

Proof of (b) [Spectral representation of  $A^{\dagger}$ ]

We know that since  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$ ,  $u^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}f$  solves  $A^*Au^{\dagger} = A^*f$ .

# Proof of (b) [Spectral representation of $A^{\dagger}$ ]

We know that since  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$ ,  $u^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}f$  solves  $A^*Au^{\dagger} = A^*f$ .

We just saw that

$$A^*Au^\dagger = \sum_j \sigma_j^2 \langle u^\dagger, \, x_j \rangle x_j \quad \text{and} \quad A^*f = \sum_j \sigma_j \langle f, \, y_j \rangle x_j.$$

So, 
$$\sigma_j \langle u^{\dagger}, x_j \rangle = \langle f, y_j \rangle$$
.

# Proof of (b) [Spectral representation of $A^{\dagger}$ ]

We know that since  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\dagger})$ ,  $u^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}f$  solves  $A^*Au^{\dagger} = A^*f$ .

We just saw that

$$A^*Au^\dagger = \sum_j \sigma_j^2 \langle u^\dagger, \, x_j \rangle x_j$$
 and  $A^*f = \sum_j \sigma_j \langle f, \, y_j \rangle x_j.$ 

So, 
$$\sigma_j \langle u^{\dagger}, x_j \rangle = \langle f, y_j \rangle$$
.

Therefore, since  $u^{\dagger} \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(A^*)}$ ,

$$u^{\dagger} = \sum_{j} \langle u^{\dagger}, x_{j} \rangle x_{j} = \sum_{j} \sigma_{j}^{-1} \langle f, y_{j} \rangle x_{j} = A^{\dagger} f.$$

## **Example**

Back to differentiation example.

The forward operator is  $A: L^2[0,1] \rightarrow L^2[0,1]$ ,

$$Au(t) = \int_0^t u(s) ds = \int_0^1 K(s,t)u(s) ds$$

where 
$$K:[0,1]^2 o\mathbb{R}$$
 is  $K(s,t)=egin{cases} 1 & s\leqslant t \ 0 & ext{else}. \end{cases}$ 

A is a compact operator. The adjoint is

$$A^*f = \int_0^1 K(t,s)f(t)dt = \int_s^1 f(t)dt.$$

### **Example**

The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $A^*A$  are such that

$$\sigma^2 x(s) = (A^* A x)(s) = \int_s^1 \int_0^t x(r) dr dt.$$

- x(1) = 0 and  $\sigma^2 x'(s) = -\int_0^s x(r) dr$  so x'(0) = 0 and  $\sigma^2 x''(s) = -x'(s)$ .
- Solutions are of the form  $x(s) = c_1 \sin(\sigma^{-1}s) + c_2 \cos(\sigma^{-1}s)$  for some constants  $c_1, c_2$ .
- To enforce the boundary conditions x(1)=0 and x'(0)=0, we see that  $c_1=0$ ,  $\sigma_j=\frac{2}{(2j-1)\pi}$  for  $j\in\mathbb{N}$ , and choosing  $c_2=\sqrt{2}$  gives the normalised eigenvectors are

$$x_j(s) = \sqrt{2}\cos\left(\left(j - \frac{1}{2}\right)\pi s\right),$$

and

$$y_j(s) = \sigma_j^{-1}(Ax_j)(s) = \sqrt{2}\sin\left((j-\frac{1}{2})\pi s\right).$$

The Picard condition becomes

$$2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sigma_{j}^{-2}\left(\int_{0}^{1}f(s)\sin\left(\sigma_{j}^{-1}s\right)\mathrm{d}s\right)^{2}<\infty.$$

Expanding f in the basis  $\{y_j\}$  gives

$$f(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_0^1 f(s) \sin(\sigma_j^{-1} s) ds \right) \sin(\sigma_j^{-1} t)$$

and formally,

$$f'(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \sigma_j^{-1} \int_0^1 f(s) \sin(\sigma_j^{-1} s) \mathrm{d}s \right) \cos(\sigma_j^{-1} t)$$

The Picard condition is the condition for legitimacy of such differentiation.

From the decay of the singular values, this inverse problem is mildly ill-posed.

### Summary

We looked at properties of least squares solutions

- The minimal norm solution exists when  $f \in \mathcal{R}(A) + \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$  and is unique. It is  $A^{\dagger}f$ .
- $A^{\dagger}$  is continuous iff  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  is closed. For compact operators, this occurs only if  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  is finite dimensional.
- For compact operators, we looked at the SVD representation of  $A^{\dagger}$  ill posedness is related to the decay of the singular values.

### **Summary**

We looked at properties of least squares solutions

- The minimal norm solution exists when  $f \in \mathcal{R}(A) + \mathcal{R}(A)^{\perp}$  and is unique. It is  $A^{\dagger}f$ .
- $A^{\dagger}$  is continuous iff  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  is closed. For compact operators, this occurs only if  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  is finite dimensional.
- For compact operators, we looked at the SVD representation of  $A^{\dagger}$  ill posedness is related to the decay of the singular values.

**Takeway:**  $A^{\dagger}f$  is not a good solution in general! We need to regularize...