# In-Class Lab 11

## ECON 4223

## October 17, 2023

The purpose of this in-class lab is to use R to practice with instrumental variables estimation. The lab should be completed in your group. To get credit, upload your .R script to the appropriate place on Canvas.

# For starters

You may need to install the packages AER, flextable and modelsummary. (AER may have already been installed when you previously installed car and zoo.)

Open up a new R script (named ICL11\_XYZ.R, where XYZ are your initials) and add the usual "preamble" to the top:

```
# Add names of group members HERE
library(tidyverse)
library(wooldridge)
library(broom)
library(AER)
library(magrittr)
library(modelsummary)
```

# Load the data

We're going to use data on fertility of Botswanian women.

```
df <- as_tibble(fertil2)</pre>
```

## Summary statistics

Let's look at summary statistics of our data by using the modelsummary package. We can export this to a word document format if we'd like:

```
df %>% datasummary_skim(histogram=F,output="myfile.docx")
```

```
## [1] "myfile.docx"
```

1. What do you think is going on when you see varying numbers of observations across the different variables?

# Determinants of fertility

Suppose we want to see if education causes lower fertility (as can be seen when comparing more- and less-educated countries):

$$children = \beta_0 + \beta_1 educ + \beta_2 age + \beta_3 age^2 + u$$

where children is the number of children born to the woman, educ is years of education, and age is age (in years).

2. Interpret the estimates of the regression:

```
est.ols <- lm(children ~ educ + age + I(age^2), data=df)
```

(Note: include I(age^2) puts the quadratic term in automatically without us having to use mutate() to create a new variable called age.sq.)

We can also use modelsummary to examine the output. It puts the standard errors of each variable in parentheses under the estimated coefficient.

```
modelsummary(est.ols)
```

#### Instrumenting for endogenous education

We know that education is endogenous (i.e. people choose the level of education that maximizes their utility). A possible instrument for education is firsthalf, which is a dummy equal to 1 if the woman was born in the first half of the calendar year, and 0 otherwise.

Let's create this variable:

```
df %<>% mutate(firsthalf = mnthborn<7)</pre>
```

We will assume that firsthalf is uncorrelated with u.

3. Check that *firsthalf* is correlated with *educ* by running a regression. (I will suppress the code, since it should be old hat) Call the output est.iv1.

#### IV estimation

Now let's do the IV regression:

```
est.iv <- ivreg(children ~ educ + age + I(age^2) | firsthalf + age + I(age^2), data=df)
```

The variables on the right hand side of the | are the instruments (including the x's that we assume to be exogenous, like age). The endogenous x is the first one after the  $\sim$ .

Now we can compare the output for each of the models:

```
modelsummary(list(est.ols,est.iv1,est.iv))
```

We can also save the output of modelsummary() to an image, a text file or something else:

	(1)	(2)	(3)
(Intercept)	-4.138	6.363	-3.388
	(0.241)	(0.087)	(0.548)
educ	-0.091		-0.171
	(0.006)		(0.053)
age	0.332		0.324
	(0.017)		(0.018)
	-0.003		-0.003
	(0.000)		(0.000)
firsthalfTRUE		-0.938	
		(0.118)	
Num.Obs.	4361	4361	4361
R2	0.569	0.014	0.550
R2 Adj.	0.568	0.014	0.550
AIC	15681.2	24249.6	15864.3
BIC	15713.1	24268.7	15896.2
Log.Lik.	-7835.592	-12121.779	
F	1915.196	62.620	
RMSE	1.46	3.90	1.49

```
modelsummary(list(est.ols,est.iv1,est.iv), output="results.jpg")
```

## save\_kable will have the best result with magick installed.

```
modelsummary(list(est.ols,est.iv1,est.iv), output="results.docx")
```

4. Comment on the IV estimates. Do they make sense? Discuss why the IV standard error is so much larger than the OLS standard error.