Conceptual Modeling Language
Specification
Version 1.0 (Draft)

Quenio Cesar Machado dos Santos

Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina*

November 2017

Contents

CONTENTS vii

Listings

Figures

Tables

Part I

Language and Compiler

One

The Language

This document specifies the *Conceptual Modeling Language*, or CML for short. CML enables the modeling of the information of software systems. It focuses on modeling the structural aspects of such systems, having less emphasis on the behavioral aspects. Using CML, it is possible to represent the information as understood by the system users, while disregarding its physical organization as implemented by target languages or technologies.

In this first part of the CML specification, the first chapter will provide an overview of the CML compiler's architecture, and the second chapter describes the organization and notation used in the remainder of this document. The second part describes that structural constructs of the language that enable conceptual modeling. The third part covers values and expressions. The fourth part focuses on the semantics of type checking. The fifth part describes code generation. The last part will cover organization and sharing of conceptual models.

Two

The Compiler

The CML compiler has as *input*, source files defined using its own conceptual language (as specified in this document), which provides an abstract syntax similar to (but less comprehensive than) a combination of UML [4] and OCL [3]; and, as *output*, any target languages based on extensible templates, which may be provided by the compiler's base libraries, by third-party libraries, or even by developers.

The CML compiler's overall architecture follows the standard compiler design literature [2]. An overview diagram of the architecture is shown in figure 2.1. The two main components of the compiler, and the

Figure 2.1: An architectural overview of the CML compiler.

artifacts they work with, are presented in the next sections.

2.1 The Compiler Frontend

The frontend receives as input the *CML source files*. It will parse the files and generate an internal representation of the *CML model*.

Syntactical and semantic validations will be performed at this point. Any syntax and constraint errors are presented to the developer, interrupting the progress to the next phase. If the *source files* are parsed and validated successfully, then the internal representation (the AST) of the *CML model* is provided as the input to the *backend* component.

2.2 The Compiler Backend

The backend receives the *CML model AST* as input. Based on the *target specification* provided by the AST, chooses which *extensible templates* to use for code generation. The *target files* are then generated, and become available to be consumed by other tools. The *task declaration* plays the key role of determining the kind of *target* to be generated.

CML extensible templates are implemented in StringTemplate [7]. The CML compiler uses StringTemplate for two purposes:

- *File names and directory structure:* each type of target generated by the CML compiler requires a different directory structure. The CML compiler expects each target type to define a template file named "files.stg" (also known as *files template*), which will contain the path of all files to be generated. The *files template* may use information provided by the *task declaration* (specified in chapter §41) in order to determine the file/directory names.

- *File content generation:* each file listed under the *files template* will have a corresponding *content template* that specifies how the file's content must be generated. The *content template* will receive as input one root-level element of the CML model, which will provide information to generate the file's content. Each type of top-level model element should have a corresponding *content template*. Templates are described in *Code Generation* part of this specification.

Three

Specification and Notations

The first two parts of this specification specify all elements of CML metamodel needed for conceptual modeling. Every chapter in these two parts starts with a definition, which is followed by: an example; the specification of the concrete syntax; the abstract syntax; and then how to transform the concrete syntax into the abstract one.

The first paragrah of each chapter has an informal description of a CML metamodel element. If a correspondence exists to an element of the Entity-Relationship (ER) [1] metamodel, or to an element of the Unified Modeling Language (UML) [4] metamodel, it is provided with some comments of their differences.

Examples are provided in a separate section for each declaration of a metamodel element. These sections refer to a `verbatim` figure containing the examples, and describes them as needed. The examples are provided for illustrative purposes only, and they are *not* intended to be normative. They may be excerpts of larger CML source files, and thus may not be successfully compiled on their own.

The concrete syntax of each CML metamodel element is described on its own section. This type of section refers to a `verbatim` figure, which contains the actual ANTLR [6] grammar specifying the syntax for the CML metamodel element in question, and it must be considered normative. The appendix § A presents all the grammar rules in a single listing.

The abstract syntax of each CML metamodel element is described on the next section. This type of section refers to two types of figure: the first figure presents a class diagram with the EMOF [5]-based metamodel of the element being described; the second figure specifies the transformation from the concrete syntax into instances of the metamodel classes, which are the nodes of the abstract syntax tree (the intermediate representation described in the *Compiler Overview*). The notation used to

specify the transformations is presented in the appendix § E. Both figures must be considered normative.

The constraints of each CML metamodel element are described on its own section. These sections refer to a `verbatim` figure, which contains the OCL [3] invariants (and its definitions) of the CML metamodel element in question, and it must be considered normative. Each invariant has a name in the format `inv_name` so that it can be referred by the compiler's error messages and users. Derived properties may also be defined before the constraints in order to simplify the constraint expressions. The appendix § D presents all the constraint rules in a single listing.

All metamodel elements referred by one of the descriptions defined above (definitions, examples, etc.) are emphasized in *italic*. If the descriptions of a CML metamodel element refer to another metamodel element, the corresponding chapter or section defining the other element is provided in parenthesis, like so (§ 3).

Some sections may not follow the structure defined above. These normally provide additional semantic information in plain English, which cannot be described using the notations presented above.

Part II

Conceptual Modeling

Four

Concepts

A *concept* in CML represents anything that has a coherent, cohesive and relevant meaning in a domain. In the ER [1] metamodel, it corresponds to an *entity set* (or an *entity type*); in UML [4], to a *class*. The CML *concept* differs, however, from the UML *class*, because it has only *properties* (§ 5), while the UML *class* may also have *operations*.

4.1 Example

Listing 4.1 presents some examples of *concepts* declared in CML. As shown, a *concept* may have zero or more *properties* (§ 5), and a *property* may optionally declare a *type* (§ 30). Also, as shown in the concept **EBook** of the example, a *concept* may specialize (§ 9) another *concept*.

4.2 Syntax

Listing 4.2 specifies the syntax used to declare a *concept*. The **concept** keyword is followed by a NAME. Optionally, a list of other NAMEs may be enumerated, referring to other *concepts* that are generalizations (§ 9) of the declared *concept*. A list of *properties* (§ 5) may be declared under the **concept** block. And the **abstract** keyword may precede the **concept** keyword, making a *concept* abstract (§ 10).

Figure 4.1 presents the *Concept* metaclass in an EMOF [5] class diagram, and listing 4.3 specifies the *concept* transformation from its concrete syntax to its abstract syntax. For each *concept* parsed by the compiler, an instance of the *Concept* class will be created, and its properties will be assigned according to parsed information:

8

```
// Empty concept:
@concept Book;

// Property without a type:
@concept TitledBook
{
    title;
}

// Property with the String type:
@concept StringTitledBook
{
    title: String;
}

// Specializing another concept:
@concept Ebook: Book;
```

Listing 4.1: Concept Examples

```
conceptDeclaration returns [TempConcept concept]:
  (ABSTRACTION | CONCEPT) NAME
  (':' generalizations)?
  (';' | propertyList);

generalizations:
  NAME (',' NAME)*;
```

Listing 4.2: Concept Concrete Syntax

- *name*: assigned with the value of the terminal node NAME.

- *abstract*: set to *true* if the **abstract** keyword is found before the **concept** keyword; otherwise, set to *false*.

- *elements*: an *ordered set* referencing all *properties* parsed in the **concept** block.

- *generalizations*: an *ordered set* referencing all *concepts* whose NAMEs were enumerated in the *GeneralizationList*.

Figure 4.1: Concept Abstract Syntax

```
node Concept:
    'abstract'?
    'concept' NAME
    (':' GeneralizationList)?
    (';' | PropertyList)
{
    name = NAME;
    abstract = 'abstract'?;
    elements = PropertyList.Property*;
    generalizations = for name in GeneralizationList.NAME*
        | yield Model.concept[name];
}

node GeneralizationList: NAME (',' NAME)*;
```

Listing 4.3: Concept AST Instantiation

```
context Concept

inv unique_concept_name:
    parent.concepts
        ->select(c| c != self and c.name = self.name)
        ->isEmpty()
```

Listing 4.4: Concept Constraints

4.3   Model Validation

Listing 4.4 presents the invariants of the *Concept* metaclass:

- *unique_concept_name*: Each *concept* must have a unique NAME within its *module* (§ 42).

Five

Properties

A *property* in CML may hold *values* of *primitive types* (§ 30), in which case they correspond to *attributes* (§ 6) on the ER [1] and UML [4] metamodels. Or they may hold references, or sequences of references, linking to instances of other *concepts* (§ 4), in which case they correspond to a *relationship* on the ER metamodel, or to *associations* (§ 7) on the UML metamodel.

5.1 Example

Listing 5.1 shows some *properties* declared in CML. As shown, a *property* may be an *attribute* (§ 6) of a *primitive type* (§ 30), or represent the *role* (or *end*) of an *association* (§ 7).

```
-- Attributes of primitive types:
@concept Book
{
    title: String;
    quantity: Integer;
}

-- Role in unidirectional association:
@concept Order
{
    customer: Customer;
}
```

Listing 5.1: Property Examples

```
propertyList:
  '{' (propertyDeclaration ';')* '}';

propertyDeclaration returns [Property property]:
 DERIVED? NAME (':' typeDeclaration)? ('=' expression)?;

DERIVED: '/';
```

Listing 5.2: Property Concrete Syntax

```
node PropertyList: '{' (Property ';')* '}';

node Property: '/'? NAME (':' Type)? ('=' STRING)?
{
    name = NAME;
    derived = '/'?;
    value = unwrap(STRING?);
    type = Type?;
}
```

Listing 5.3: Property AST Instantiation

5.2 Syntax

Listing 5.2 specifies the syntax used to declare a *property*. The NAME is followed by a *typeDeclaration* (§ 30). Optionally, an *expression* (§ 11) may be specified in order to set the initial value.

Figure 4.1 presents the *Property* metaclass in an EMOF [5] class diagram of the CML metamodel, and listing 5.3 specifies the transformation from the *property* concrete syntax to its abstract syntax. For each *property* parsed by the compiler, an instance of the *Property* class will be created, and its properties will be assigned according to parsed information:

- *name*: assigned with the value of the terminal node NAME.

- *type*: if *typeDeclaration* is provided, *type* is set with the instance of the *Type* class matching the *typeDeclaration*.

- *expression*: if provided, it contains the instance of the *Expression* class matching the parsed *expression*.

```
context Property
inv unique_property_name:
    self.scope.properties
        ->select(p| p != self and p.name = self.name)
        ->isEmpty()

context Property
inv property_type_specified_or_inferred:
    type->notEmpty() or expression->notEmpty()

context Property
inv property_type_assignable_from_expression_type:
    type->notEmpty() and expression->notEmpty() implies
        type.isAssignableFrom(expression.type)
```

Listing 5.4: Property Constraints

5.3 Model Validation

Listing 5.4 presents the invariants of the *Property* metaclass:

- *unique_property_name*: Each *property* must have a unique NAME within its *concept* (§ 4).

- *property_type_specified_or_inferred*: Either the *property* explicitly defines a *type* or it defines an *expression*, from which the type is inferred. That is required for both regular, slot-based *properties* (which may provide an *initialization expression*) and *derived properties* (which may have an *expression* defining the derivation).

- *property_type_assignable_from_expression_type*: When both a *type* and *expression* are defined for a *property*, the *type* inferred from the *expression* should be assignable to the declared *type*. That is required for both regular, slot-based *properties* (which may provide an *initialization expression*) and *derived properties* (which may have an *expression* defining the derivation).

Six

Attributes

In CML, *attributes* are *properties* (§ 5) of *primitive types* (§ 30). They correspond to the *Attribute* metaclass in the ER [1] metamodel; in the UML [4] metamodel, to the association *attribute* between the metaclass *Class* and the metaclass *Property*.

Attributes serve as a *slot* that holds a value of the specified *primitive type*. An initial value may be specified as an *expression* (§ 11). Some *attributes*, however, may be continuosly derive their *value* from an *expression* (not only initially), in which case they are called *derived attributes* (§ 6.3).

While initial values are only set when a *concept* (§ 4) is instantiated, the value of *derived attributes* is always evaluated from the given *expression*, and they cannot be set any other way.

6.1 Example

Listing 6.1 presents some examples of *attributes* declared in CML. As shown, the attribute **a** is a regular attribute definition that specifies the *primitive type* (§ 30) of the values that can be held by the *attribute*'s slot. The attribute **b** is an example showing how an *attribute* can be defined with an initial value. As shown by the attribute **c**, an attribute may be derived from an *expression* that refers to other *attributes*. In order to differentiate *attributes* with initial values from *derived attributes*, a forward slash ("/") prefixes the name of the latter. Attributes **d** and **e** are examples where the type of the attribute, instead of being specified, is inferred from the given *expression*. Type inference is possible for both regular, slot-based *attributes* and *derived attributes* that provide an *expression*.

```
@concept Attributes
{
    —— Attribute with a slot for values of a primitive type:
    a: Integer;

    —— An attribute with an initial value:
    b: String = "initial_value";

    —— A derived attribute:
    /c: Decimal = 2.0 * a;

    —— An attribute with type inferred from its initial value:
    d = 3; —— Inferred as Integer based on constant "3"

    —— A derived attribute with type inferred from expression:
    /e = 2.0d * a; —— Inferred as Double based on "2.0d * a"
}
```

Listing 6.1: Attribute Example

6.2 Syntax

Listing 5.2 specifies the syntax used to declare any kind of *property* (§ 5), including *attributes*. The NAME of an *attribute* is followed by a *typeDeclaration* of a *primitive type* (§ 30). Optionally, an *expression* (§ 11) may be specified in order to set the initial value. A *derived attribute* must be prefixed with the forward-slash character, as specified by DERIVED, in which case the given *expression* defines the value of the *attribute* at all times.

Since an *attribute* in CML is just a *property* (§ 5) with *primitive types* (§ 30), the *property* metaclass in the CML metamodel is used to represent *attributes*. Figure 4.1 presents the *property* metaclass in an EMOF [5] class diagram, and listing 5.3 specifies the *property* transformation from its concrete syntax to its abstract syntax.

6.3 Derived Attributes

A *concept* in CML may have *attributes* (§ 6) that do not hold specific *values*, but instead provide a *value* derived from an *expression* (§ 11). These are called *derived attributes*. Unlike an *expression* used to initialize a *non-derived attribute*, the expression of a derived attribute is evaluated every time the attribute is queried.

In the UML [4] metamodel, the *Property* metaclass has a meta-attribute named *isDerived*, which determines whether an *attribute* is derived or not. A *derived attribute* in UML may be defined using a OCL [3] constraint; while CML has *expressions* as part of the language.

The ER [1] metamodel, in its original form, does not allow for the differentiation of *derived attributes* as part of an *entity set*, but it is possible to define *retrieval operations* whose results would equal to *values* of *derived properties* in CML. It can be said, however, that ER, by defining an *attribute* as a function from the *entity set* to the *value set*, does not prescribe that all *attributes* are memory-based, nor does it prevent the definition of an *attribute* function as an *expression*.

The CML metamodel and its syntax, on the other hand, define whether an *attribute* is memory-based (a *non-derived attribute*) or it is derived from an *expression* (a *derived attribute*).

Seven

Associations

In CML, an *association* represents a relation between two *concepts* (§ 4), where a reference to an *instance* of each *concept* is found in every tuple that is part of the relation. When *concepts* have an *association* between themselves, its *instances* are linked in such way that it is possible to access an *instance* of one *concept* from an *instance* of the other *concept*.

The UML [4] metamodel has a metaclass named *Association* that has *Property* instances, whose *types* are the *Class* instances that are part of the *association*. In UML, the name of each *Property* instance in the *Association* metaclass is known as the *role* of the corresponding *Class* in the *association*.

On the CML metamodel, on other hand, the *Association* metaclass is only needed when it is necessary to define *bidirectional associations*, whose *links* are accessible from either *association end*. For *unidirectional associations*, where only one *association end* is accessible, only a *property* is defined in the source *concept*, making its *type* the target *concept*.

On the ER [1] metamodel, each *association* is known as a *relationship set*, and each tuple in this set is called a *relationship*. Unlike CML and UML, the tuples in a *relationship set* of an ER model can be queried directly, and no notion of *property* is required as part of the *entity type* in order to access those *relationships*.

As it is case for *attributes* (§ 6), *associations* in CML can also be derived from other *associations* (just as well as in UML); they are called *derived associations* (§ 8).

7.1 Example

Listing 7.1 presents some examples of *associations* declared in CML. The concept **Vehicle** contains the property **driver**, which may optionally refer

to an instance of **Employee**, meaning that a **driver** may or may not be assigned to a single **Vehicle**. The concept **Vehicle** also has the property **owner**, which always refers to an instance of **Organization**, meaning that an **owner** must always be assigned to each instance of **Vehicle**. Similarly, the concept **Employee** has the property **employer**, which must always be assigned to an instance of **Organization**.

Just below the declaration of **Organization**, we observe an association named **Employment**, which enumerates two *properties*: the first is **employer** from the concept **Employee**; the second is **employees** from the concept **Organization**. What this *association* implies is a correspondence between these two properties. Every time a reference to an instance of **Organization** is assigned to the slot **employer** of an instance of **Employee**, a reference to this same instance of **Employee** must be assigned to the slot **employees** of the **Organization** instance. However, since the *type* of **employees** in the concept **Organization** is a sequence (**??**) of **Employee** instances, the reference to the instance of **Employee** will actually be appended to the sequence being held by the slot **employees** of the concept **Organization**, and maintained along with the other **Employee** instances already found in the sequence. Thus, the association **Employment** actually characterizes a *bidirectional association*.

The association **VehicleOwnership** is another example of a *bidirectional association*; in this case, between **Vehicle**'s **owner** property and **Organization**'s **fleet** property. It can be noticed, though, in this second *bidirectional association*, that the *types* of the *properties* are declared along with their names; such a *type* declaration, in the *association* declaration, is optional in CML, but must match the original *property* declaration under the *concept* declaration, if present.

The **driver** property in the concept **Vehicle** is a different case, since this *property* does not participate in any *association* declaration on listing 7.1. That's because there is no corresponding *property* in the concept **Employee** representing the other end of the *association*. As such, the property **driver** is representing the source end of a *unidirectional association*.

The property **drivers** in the concept **Organization** is *derived association* (§ 8).

7.2 Syntax

The concrete syntax used to declare an *association* in CML is specified by listing 7.2. First, the **association** keyword is followed by a NAME. Then, a list of *association ends* are declared under the **association** block. For each

```
@concept Vehicle
{
    plate: String;
    driver: Employee?;
    owner: Organization;
}

@concept Employee
{
    name: String;
    employer: Organization;
}

@concept Organization
{
    name: String;
    employees: Employee*;
    fleet: Vehicle*;
    /drivers = fleet.driver;
}

@association Employment
{
    Employee.employer;
    Organization.employees;
}

@association VehicleOwnership
{
    Vehicle.owner: Organization;
    Organization.fleet: Vehicle*;
}
```

Listing 7.1: Association Example

```
associationDeclaration
  returns [Association association]:
  ASSOCIATION NAME
  '{' (associationEndDeclaration ';')* '}';

associationEndDeclaration
  returns [Association association]:
  conceptName=NAME '.' propertyName=NAME
  (':' typeDeclaration)?;
```

Listing 7.2: Association Concrete Syntax

declaration of an *association end*, The **conceptName** and **propertyName** are optionally followed by a **typeDeclaration**.

The *Association* metaclass is presented in the EMOF [5] class diagram of figure **??**, and its instantiation from the concrete syntax is specified by listing 7.3. For each parsed *association*, an instance of the *Association* metaclass will be created, and its meta-properties will be assigned according to parsed information:

- *name*: assigned with the value of the token NAME.

- *members*: an *ordered set* referencing all *associationEnd* instances parsed in the **association** block.

7.3 Model Validation

The invariants of the metaclasses *Association* and *AssociationEnd* are specified by listing 7.4:

- *association_end_property_found_in_model*: Each *association end* enumerated under an *association* must correspond to a *property* of the same name under the specified *concept*.

- *association_end_type_matches_property_type*: If a *type* is specified for a given *association end*, its *name* and *cardinality* must match the *type* of the corresponding *property*.

- *association_must_have_two_association_ends*: An *association* must have exaclty two *association ends*, since only *binary associations* are supported in CML.

```
node Association:
    'association' NAME '{' (AssociationEnd ';')* '}'
{
    name = NAME;
    members = AssociationEnd*;
}

node AssociationEnd:
    conceptName=NAME '.' propertyName=NAME (':' type=Type)?
{
    concept = Model.concepts
                ->select(concept.name = conceptName)
                ->first()

    property = concept.allProperties
                ->select(property.name = propertyName)
                ->first()
}
```

Listing 7.3: Association AST Instantiation

- *association_end_types_must_match*: The *concept* of one *association end* must correspond to the *type* of the *property* of the other *association end*, and vice-versa.

7.4 Model Translation

```
context AssociationEnd
inv association_end_property_found_in_model:
    concept ->notEmpty() and property ->notEmpty()

context AssociationEnd
inv association_end_type_matches_property_type:
    self.propertyType ->notEmpty() and
    self.property ->notEmpty() implies
        self.propertyType.name = property.type.name and
        self.propertyType.cardinality = property.type.cardinality

context Association
inv association_must_have_two_association_ends:
    associationEnds ->count() = 2

context Association
def: first = associationEnds ->first()
def: last = associationEnds ->last()
inv association_end_types_must_match:
    associationEnds ->count() = 2 and
    first ->notEmpty() and last ->notEmpty() and
    first.concept ->notEmpty() and
    first.property ->notEmpty() and
    last.concept ->notEmpty() and
    last.property ->notEmpty() implies
        first.concept.name = last.property.type.name and
        last.concept.name = first.property.type.name

inv property_must_be_part_of_single_association:

inv no_associations_of_primitive_types:
```

Listing 7.4: Association Constraints

Eight

Derived Associations

Derived associations are declared by *derived properties* (§ 5), which associate a *source-concept* to a *target-concept* via an *expression* (§ 11). In order to obtain a reference to a *target-concept* (§ 4), it is necessary to evaluate the *expression* of the *derived property*. Among the possible *sub-expressions* that are part of the *expression* of the *derived association*, there are *path expressions* (§ 21) of other *associations*, which server as the base for the *derived association*.

Nine

Generalization / Specialization

A *concept* (§4) in CML may be generalized by another *concept*. In other words, a *concept* may be considered a specialization of another *concept*. Generalized *concepts* have *properties* (§5) that apply to a larger set of instances, while specialized *concepts* have *properties* that only apply to a subset of those instances.

In the UML [4] metamodel, such generalization/specialization relationship between *classes* is known as *generalization*, which is the name of the metaclass in the UML metamodel. The original version of the ER [1] metamodel lacked this kind of relationship between *entity types*.

9.1   Example

Figure 9.1 presents some examples of generalization/specialization relationships declared in CML. As shown, a *concept* (§4) may specialize zero or more other *concepts*. The latter are called the generalizations, while the former is called the specialization. A generalization, such as **Shape**, may define *attributes* (§6), such as **color** and **area**, or also the *roles* in *unidirecional associations* (§7). Both *attributes* and *roles* are *properties* (§5) shared among all its specializations. Some of these *properties* may be redefined by the some of the specializations, as it is the case with the *area* property, which is redefined by **Rectangle**, **Rhombus** and **Square**. Some specializations may also define new *properties*, such as **width** and **height** in **Rectangle**, which characterize only instances of this specialization. A *concept* may be a specialization of two or more other *concepts*, as seen with **Square**, which specializes both **Rectangle** and **Rhombus**, and thus can redefine *properties* of both generalizations. If a *property* has been defined by more than one generalization, then it must be redefined by the

specialization in order to resolve the definition conflict, which is the case with **area** in **Square**. If a redefinition suitable for both generalizations is unattainable, it may be an indication that either the specialization or the generalizations are unsound from the domain's prospective.

9.2   Syntax

Figure **??** specifies the syntax used to declare a *concept* (§ 4), and in turn its generalizations. A list of NAMEs may be enumerated after the declared *concept*'s NAME, referring to other *concepts* that this concept is a specialization of.

Figure 4.1 presents the *Concept* metaclass in an EMOF [5] class diagram of the CML metamodel, and figure **??** specifies the *concept* transformation from its concrete syntax to its abstract syntax. There is a unidirecional association in the *Concept* class that keeps track of the generalization/specialization relationships, which is named *generalizations*. It is an *ordered set* referencing all *concepts* whose NAMEs were enumerated in the *GeneralizationList* of the declared *concept*.

9.3   Model Validation

Figure 9.2 presents the invariants of the *Concept* and *Property* classes related to *generalizations*:

- *not_own_generalization*: A *concept* (§ 4) may not be listed on its own *GeneralizationList*, nor on the *GeneralizationList* of its direct or indirect generalizations.

- *compatible_generalizations*: The *generalizations* of a *concept* must all be compatible between themselves, that is, no two *generalizations* may have a *property* with the same name but a different type.

- *generalization_compatible_redefinition*: A *property* may only be redefined with the same type defined in the *generalizations*.

- *conflict_redefinition*: A *concept* is required to redefine a *property* that has been defined by two or more of its *generalizations* in order to resolve the definition conflict. That is required only if the *property* has been initialized or derived in at least one of the *generalizations*. Otherwise, the redefinition is not required.

```
—— Generalization of Circle and Rectangle:
@concept Shape
{
    —— Specializations below share the color attribute as−is:
    color: String;

    —— Specializations below redefine the area attribute:
    area: Double;
}

—— Specialization of Shape:
@concept Rectangle: Shape
{
    —— New attributes that characterize a rectangle:
    width: Double;
    height: Double;

    —— Redefinition of the area attribute:
    /area = width * height;
}

—— Another specialization of Shape:
@concept Rhombus: Shape
{
    —— Diagonal attributes that characterize a rhombus:
    p: Double;
    q: Double;

    —— Another redefinition of the area attribute:
    /area = (p * q) / 2.0d;
}

—— Specialization of both Rectangle and Rhombus:
@concept Square: Rectangle , Rhombus
{
    —— Only attribute needed to characterize a square:
    side_length: Double;

    —— Redefinitions of Rectangle's attributes:
    /width = side_length;
    /height = side_length;

    —— Redefinitions of Rhombus' attributes:
    /p = side_length * 1.41421356237d; —— square root of 2
    /q = p;

    —— Required to redefine area in order to resolve conflict
    —— between Rectangle's area and Rhombus' area:
    /area = side_length ^ 2.0d;
}
```

Figure 9.1: Generalization Examples

```
context Concept:: all_generalizations: Set(Concept)
derive:
    generalizations ->closure(generalizations)

context Concept:: all_properties: Set(Property)
pre:
    all_generalizations ->excludes(self)
derive:
    elements ->union(
        generalizations.all_properties ->select(p1|
            not elements ->exists(p2| p1.name == p2.name)
        )
    )

context Concept:: generalization_pairs
                : Set(Tuple(left: Concept, right: Concept))
derive:
    generalizations ->collect(g1|
        generalizations
            ->select(g2| g1 != g2)
            ->collect(g2| Tuple { left: g1, right: g2 })
    )->flatten()

context Concept:: generalization_property_pairs
                : Set(Tuple(left: Property, right: Property))
derive:
    generalization_pairs ->collect(pair|
        pair.left.all_properties ->collect(p1|
            pair.right.all_properties
                ->select(p2| p1 != p2 and p1.name = p2.name)
                ->collect(p2| Tuple { left: p1, right: p2 })
        )->flatten()
    )->flatten()

context Concept
inv not_own_generalization:
    all_generalizations ->excludes(self)

context Concept
inv compatible_generalizations:
    generalization_property_pairs
        ->forAll(
            left.type.name = right.type.name and
            left.type.cardinality = right.type.cardinality
        )

context Concept
inv conflict_redefinition:
    generalization_property_pairs
        ->select(left.type = right.type)
        ->select(left.derived or left.expression ->notEmpty() or
                    right.derived or right.expression ->notEmpty())
        ->forAll(self.elements ->exists(name = left.name))

context Property
inv generalization_compatible_redefinition:
    self.scope.generalizations.all_properties
        ->select(property| self.name = property.name)
        ->forAll(property|
            self.type.name = property.type.name and
```

Ten

Abstractions

An *abstraction* in CML is a *concept* (§ 4) that cannot create instances on its own, but instead serves as a *generalization* (§ 9) for other *concepts*, which in turn can create their own instances. Thus, all instances of an *abstraction* are first instances of its *specializations*.

In CML, an *abstraction* may also define a *derived property* (§ 5) without providing an *expression* (§ 11) in its definition; such *properties* are called *abstract properties*.

CML's support for *abstractions* matches UML's [4], which allows the declaration of *abstract classes* by setting the *isAbstract* attribute of a *Class* instance to *true*. UML also allows the declaration of *abstract attributes* and *abstract operations*.

The original version of the ER [1] metamodel, however, as a consequence of lacking the *generalization/specialization* relationship, has not considered the notion of *abstract entities*.

10.1   Example

Listing 10.1 presents an example of an *abstract concept* declared in CML. As shown, the concept **Shape** is tagged as *abstract*, and as such no direct instances of *Shape* are ever instantiated. As an *abstract concept*, **Shape** can define *abstract properties*, like **area**, which is just a *derived property* (§ 5) without an *expression* (§ 11). An *abstract concept* may also define concrete *properties*, such as **color** in **Shape**. The concept **Circle** is a *especialization* of **Shape** that must redefine the property **area** (and provide an *expression*) if it is to be considered a *concrete concept*. As a *concrete concept*, **Circle** may have direct instances, which are in turn instances of *Shape* as well. **Circle** may also redefine *concrete properties* of **Shape**, like **color**, but the redefinition is not a requirement in this case. In **UnitCircle**, we can observe that

the redefinition of an *abstract property*, such as **area**, may be made *concrete*; meaning it does not need to be redefined as a *derived property*. The converse situation is also allowed in CML, where a *concrete property* is redefined by as a *derived property*, as illustrated with the property **radius** in **UnitCircle**.

10.2 Syntax

Listing 4.2 specifies the syntax used to declare a *concept* (§ 4) in CML. It shows that a *concept* may be tagged with the **abstract** keyword in order to convey it as an *abstract concept*. Listing 5.2 specifies the syntax used to declare a *property* (**??**) in CML. It shows that a *property* may be prefixed with a forward slash ("/") in order to mark it as a *derived property*. If the optional **expression** is not provided, the property is then considered an *abstract property*.

Figure 4.1 presents the *concept* metamodel in an EMOF [5] class diagram, and listing 4.3 specifies the *concept* transformation from its concrete syntax to its abstract syntax. There is a **Boolean** attribute named **abstract** in the *Concept* class that determines whether a *concept* is *abstract* or not.

10.3 Model Validation

Listing 10.2 presents the invariants of the *Concept* and *Property* classes in CML's EMOF [5] metamodel related to *abstract concepts*:

- *abstract_property_redefinition*: A *concrete concept* must redefine concretely all *abstract properties* of its *generalizations*.

- *abstract_property_in_abstract_concept*: Only *abstract concepts* may have *abstract properties*.

```
-- As an abstract concept,
-- no direct instances of Shape are ever created.
@abstraction Shape
{
    -- A derived property without an expression
    -- is considered abstract.
    -- Only abstract concepts may have abstract properties.
    /area: Double;

    -- Abstract concepts may also have concrete properties:
    color: String;
}

-- All instances of Circle are in turn instances of Shape.
@concept Circle: Shape
{
    radius: Double;

    -- In order to be considered a concrete concept,
    -- Circle must redefine the abstract properties
    -- inherited from Shape.
    /area = 3.14159d * radius ^ 2;

    -- Circle may also redefine concrete properties of Shape.
    -- However, the redefinition is not required in this case.
    color = "Blue";
}

@concept UnitCircle: Circle
{
    -- Observe below that the redefinition of
    -- an abstract property may be concrete;
    -- that is, it does not have to be derived
    -- as it was done in Circle.
    area = 3.14159d;

    -- In the case above, however,
    -- it is desirable to redefine "area" as a derived property,
    -- in order to guarantee area's value cannot be modified
    -- after the instantiation of UnitCircle.
    -- This is done with the redefinition of "radius" below.
    -- Notice that, in Circle, radius was concrete,
    -- but its redefinition below makes it derived.
    -- That's allowed in CML just as the other way around,
    -- as it was done with "area" above.
    /radius = 1.0d;
}
```

Listing 10.1: Abstract Concept Example

```
context Property :: abstract : Boolean
derive :
    self . derived and self . expression ->isEmpty ()

context Property :: concrete : Boolean
derive :
    not self . abstract

context Concept
inv abstract_property_redefinition :
    self . concrete implies
        self . generalizations . all_properties
            ->select ( abstract )
            ->forAll ( p1 |
                self . properties
                    ->select ( p2 | p1 . name = p2 . name )
                    ->reject ( abstract )
                    ->notEmpty ()
            )

context Property
inv abstract_property_in_abstract_concept :
    self . abstract implies self . scope . abstract
```

Listing 10.2: Abstract Concept Constraints

Part III

Expressions

Eleven

Expressions

An *expression* in CML is used to compute *values* (§ 30) or *references* (§ 35) to *concept* instances (§ 4). They are used to initialize or derive *properties* (§ 5). On the UML [4] metamodel, it corresponds to the *Expression* metaclass; in OCL [3], to *OclExpressionCS*.

CML *expressions* are designed to provide the same level of expressivity provided by OCL *expressions*, but the CML syntax varies from OCL's; sintactically, they differ especially on OCL's *collection operations*, which correspond to *comprehensions* (§ 24) in CML.

CML allows the use of *operators* in *expressions*. The categories of *operators* in CML are: *arithmetic operators* (§ 13), *relational operators* (§ 15), *logical operators* (§ 14), *referential operators* (§ 16), *type-checking operators* (§ 19) and *type-casting operators* (§ 20). Most of the *operators* in CML are infixed, with just three of them (not, + and -) being used as a prefix. The use of parenthesis is allowed to estabilish precedence.

Besides the *operators* listed above, CML also offers the following *expressions*: *paths* (§ 21), *invocations* (§ 22), *lambdas* (§ 23) and *comprehensions* (§ 24). They are all presented in the following chapters.

11.1   Examples

Listing 11.1 has some examples of *expressions* in CML. As shown, there are different types of expressions: *literal values* (§ 12), *prefixed/infixed expressions* (§ 13, § 14, § 15, § 16, § 20, § 20), *conditional expressions* (§ 18), *path expressions* (§ 21) and *comprehension expressions* (§ 24), among others.

34

```
@concept Expressions
{
    -- Literal Values:
    c: String = "SomeString";
    d: Integer = 123;

    -- Prefix Expression:
    prefixed_subtraction = -2;

    -- Infix Expressions:
    arithmetic = 1 + 2;
    relational = 3 == 3;
    logical = q and p;

    -- Conditional Expression:
    if_then_else = if a > 0 then a else b;

    -- Path Expression:
    path = somePath.bar;

    -- Comprehension Expression:
    selection = items | select: name == "this";
}
```

Listing 11.1: Expression Example

11.2 Syntax

Listing 11.2 specifies the syntax of all kinds of *expressions* in CML. It also lists them in their order of precedence.

Observe that the grammar in listing 11.2 is ambiguous. ANTLR [6] resolves the ambiguity based on the order in which the *expression* alternatives are listed. Thus, the order in listing 11.2 defines the precedence order among all *operators* in CML.

Also, according to ANTLR, and as required by CML, all *expressions* in the grammar are left-to-right associative, except for the *exponentiation expression*, which is right-to-left associative, as defined by the **<assoc=right>** clause.

```
expression returns [Expression expr]
  : literalExpression
  | pathExpression
  | lambdaExpression
  | invocationExpression
  | comprehensionExpression

  // Grouping
  | '(' inner=expression ')'

  // Arithmetic Expressions:
  | operator=('+' | '-') expression
  | <assoc=right> expression operator='^' expression
  | expression operator=('*' | '/' | '%') expression
  | expression operator=('+' | '-') expression

  // String Concatenation:
  | expression operator='&' expression

  // Relational Expressions:
  | expression operator=('<' | '<=' | '>' | '>=') expression
  | expression operator=('==' | '!=') expression

  // Referential Expressions:
  | expression operator=('===' | '!==') expression

  // Type-Checking:
  | expression operator=(IS | ISNT) type=typeDeclaration

  // Type-Casting:
  | expression operator=(AS | ASB | ASQ) type=typeDeclaration

  // Logical Expressions:
  | operator=NOT expression
  | expression operator=AND expression
  | expression operator=OR expression
  | expression operator=XOR expression
  | expression operator=IMPLIES expression

  // Conditional Expressions:
  | IF cond=expression
    THEN then=expression ELSE else_=expression
  | then=expression conj=(GIVEN | UNLESS) cond=expression
  | then=expression (ORQ | XORQ) else_=expression;
```

Listing 11.2: Expression Syntax

11.3 Metamodel

Figure 11.1 displays the metamodel of *expressions* in CML.

Figure 11.1: Metamodel of Expressions

11.4 Model Synthesis

For each kind of *expression* parsed by the compiler, an instance of the *Expression* metaclass is created, and its properties are assigned according to parsed information:

- *kind*: a *String* value matching the *Expression* subclass; for example, for the *Literal* subclass, **kind = "literal"**.

- *type*: a derived attribute that computes the *Type* of the *expression*; each *Expression* subclass will do its own *Type* computation by providing its own definition for this derived attribute.

11.5 Model Validation

11.6 Type Inference / Conformance

11.7 Model Translation

Twelve

Literal Expressions

For each one of the primitive types (§ 30), there are corresponding *literal expressions* that can represent their values. In CML, as it is the case with UML [4], OCL [3] and ER [1], each *primitive type* may considered a mathematical *set*. A *literal value* allowed by a *type* (§ 29) corresponds to an *element* belonging to this *set*.

CML is able to infer the *primitive type* of a *property* (§ 5) based on the syntax of a *literal expression*. Each *primitive type* in CML has a unique syntax. In contrast, OCL has the same *literal* syntax for all *numeric types*, and thus cannot infer a *primitive type* from a *literal expression*.

12.1 Examples

Table 12.1 displays examples of *literal expressions* in CML.

Type	Example
String	`"Hello!\n"`
Boolean	`true`
Integer	`123456`
Decimal	`1234.567`
Byte	`127b`
Short	`1234s`
Long	`1234567l`
Float	`1234.567f`
Double	`1234.567d`

Table 12.1: Literal Expressions for Primitive Types

12.2 Syntax

Table 12.2 displays the syntax of *literal expressions* in CML.

Type	Syntax
String	`'"' ('\\'[btnr"\\] | . )*? '"'`
Boolean	`'true'	'false'`
Integer	`('0'..'9')+`
Decimal	`('0'..'9')* '.' ('0'..'9')+`
Byte	`('0'..'9')+ 'b'`
Short	`('0'..'9')+ 's'`
Long	`('0'..'9')+ 'l'`
Float	`('0'..'9')* '.' ('0'..'9')+ 'f'`
Double	`('0'..'9')* '.' ('0'..'9')+ 'd'`

Table 12.2: Literal Expressions for Primitive Types

12.3 Metamodel

Figure 12.1 displays the metamodel of *literal expressions* in CML.

Figure 12.1: Metamodel of Literal Expressions

12.4 Model Synthesis

12.5 Model Validation

12.6 Type Inference / Conformance

12.7 Model Translation

Thirteen

Arithmetic Expressions

Arithmetic expressions are composed by the *arithmetic operators*, which only accept as operands the *expressions* of *numeric* (§ 32) and *floating-point* (§ 33) types.

13.1 Examples

Table 13.1 displays examples of *arithmetic expressions* in CML.

Operator	Operation	Example
^	Exponentiation	3 ^ 2
*,/,%	Multiplication, Division, Modulo	6 * 2 / 3 % 4
+,-	Addition, Subtraction	6 + 2 - 1

Table 13.1: Examples using the Arithmetic Operators

13.2 Syntax

Listing 11.2 specifies the syntax of the *arithmetic expressions* in CML. It also lists them in their order of precedence in relation to other kinds of *expressions*.

13.3 Metamodel

Figure 13.1 displays the metamodel of *arithmetic expressions* in CML.

Figure 13.1: Metamodel of Arithmetic Expressions

13.4   Model Synthesis

13.5   Model Validation

Table 13.2 shows the precende order and associativity of *arithmetic operators* in CML.

Operator	Operation	Associativity
^	Exponentiation	Right
*, /, %	Multiplication, Division, Modulo	Left
+, −*	Addition, Subtraction	Left

*The addition/subtraction operators may also be prefixed in the unary form.

Table 13.2: Arithmetic Operators in Precedence Order

All *arithmetic operators* are infixed, but the addition (+) and subtraction (−) operators may also be prefixed when used in the unary form. The

associativity of the arithmetic operators is from left to right, except for
the exponentiation operator (ˆ), where it is from right to left.

A validation error should reported by the compiler if any of the *operands*
of an *arithmetic expression* is inferred to be of a *type* other than *numeric*
(§ 32) or *floating-point* (§ 33).

13.6   Type Inference / Conformance

13.7   Model Translation

Fourteen

Logical Expressions

Logical expressions are composed by the *logical operators*, which only accept as operands the *expressions* (§ 11) of the *Boolean* type (§ 31).

14.1 Examples

Table 14.1 displays examples of *logical expressions* in CML.

Operator	Operation	Example
not	Negation	not p
and	Conjunction	p and q
or	Disjunction	p or q
xor	Exclusive Disjunction	p xor q
implies	Implication	p implies q

Table 14.1: Logical Operators in Precedence Order

14.2 Syntax

Listing 11.2 specifies the syntax of the *logical expressions* in CML. It also lists them in their order of precedence in relation to other kinds of *expressions*.

14.3 Metamodel

Figure 14.1 displays the metamodel of *logical expressions* in CML.

44

Figure 14.1: Metamodel of Logical Expressions

14.4 Model Synthesis

14.5 Model Validation

Table 14.2 shows the precende order of the *logical operators* in CML.

Operator	Operation
not	Negation
and	Conjunction
or	Disjunction
xor	Exclusive Disjunction
implies	Implication

Table 14.2: Logical Operators in Precedence Order

All logical operators are infixed, except for the negation operator (not), which is prefixed and unary. The associativity of the binary operators is always from left to right.

A validation error should reported by the compiler if any of the *operands* of a *logical expression* is inferred to be of a *type* other than *Boolean*.

14.6   Type Inference / Conformance

14.7   Model Translation

Fifteen

Relational Expressions

Relational expressions are composed by the *relational operators*, which only accept as operands the *expressions* (§ 11) of a *relational type*, which include *String* (§ 34), the *numeric* (§ 32) and *floating-point* (§ 33) types, but exclude *Boolean* (§ 31) and *reference types* (§ 35).

15.1   Examples

Table 15.1 shows examples of the *relational expressions* in CML.

Operators	Operation	Example
<, <=, >, >=	Ordering	3 < 2
==, !=	Equality, Unequality	6 != 3

Table 15.1: Relational Operators

15.2   Syntax

Listing 11.2 specifies the syntax of the *relational expressions* in CML. It also lists them in their order of precedence in relation to other kinds of *expressions*.

15.3   Metamodel

Figure 15.1 displays the metamodel of *relational expressions* in CML.

Figure 15.1: Metamodel of Relational Expressions

15.4   Model Synthesis

15.5   Model Validation

Table 15.2 shows the precende order of the *relational operators* in CML.

Operators	Operation
<, <=, >, >=	Ordering
==, !=	Equality, Unequality

Table 15.2: Relational Operators

All *relational operators* are infixed. There is no associativity between them.

A validation error should reported by the compiler if any of the *operands* of a *relational expression* is inferred to be of a *type* other than *String* (§ 34), *numeric* (§ 32) or *floating-point* (§ 33).

15.6 Type Inference / Conformance

15.7 Model Translation

Sixteen

Referential Expressions

Referential expressions are composed by the *referential operators*, which only accept as operands the *expressions* (§ 11) resulting in *references* (§ 35). That excludes all *primitive types* (§ 30).

The *referential equality operator* (is) results in the true value if both operands reference the same instance. The *referential inequality operator* (is) results in true if the *refecences* do not point to the same instance.

16.1 Examples

Table 16.1 shows examples of *referential expressions* in CML.

Operator	Operation	Example
===	Referential Equality	product === book
!==	Referencial Inequality	product !== book

Table 16.1: Referential Operators

16.2 Syntax

Listing 11.2 specifies the syntax of the *referential expressions* in CML. It also lists them in their order of precedence in relation to other kinds of *expressions*.

16.3 Metamodel

Figure 16.1 displays the metamodel of *referential expressions* in CML.

Figure 16.1: Metamodel of Referential Expressions

16.4   Model Synthesis

16.5   Model Validation

The *referential operators* are infixed. There is no associativity between them.

A validation error should reported by the compiler if any of the *operands* of a *referential expression* is inferred to be of a *type* other than a *reference type* (§ 35).

16.6   Type Inference / Conformance

16.7   Model Translation

Seventeen

String Concatenation

Expressions (§ 11) of the *primitive types* (§ 30), such as the *String* type (§ 34), may be combined with the ampersand (&) operator for string concatenation.

17.1 Examples

Listing 17.1 presents an example of a *string concatenation expression* in CML.

```
@concept Address
{
  number: integer;
  street: string;
  city: string;
  state: string;
  zip: string;

  /display = number & " " & street & "\n" &
             city & ", " & state & " " & zip;
}
```

Listing 17.1: Example of String Concatenation

17.2 Syntax

Listing 11.2 specifies the syntax of the *string concatenation expressions* in CML. It also lists them in their order of precedence in relation to other kinds of *expressions*.

17.3 Metamodel

Figure 17.1 displays the metamodel of *string concatenations* in CML.

Figure 17.1: Metamodel of String Concatenations

17.4 Model Synthesis

17.5 Model Validation

Only an *expression* inferred to be of a *primitive type* (§ 30) may be used as an operand of a *string concatenation* expression. It is allowed that none of the operands are of *String* type. All operands are converted to *String* before concatenation.

The associativity of the concatenation operator is from left to right, just like the *arithmetic operators* (§ 13).

17.6 Type Inference / Conformance

The resulting *expression* is always of the *String* type, regardless of the operand types.

17.7 Model Translation

The *operands* are concatenated using the string concatenation operation provided by the target language. If the *operand type* is not *String*, then it is evaluated and the resulting value is converted to a *String* value, which is then concatenated with the other *String* values. The conversion of the *operands* is dependent on the target programming language, but it is normally done using the standard methods, such as *Objects.toString()* in Java, or *str()* in Python.

Eighteen

Conditional Expressions

In CML, *conditional expressions* allow alternating between one or more *expressions* (§ 11) based on some *condition*, which is an *expression* of *Boolean* type (§ 31). The remaining operands of a *conditional expression* – the alternating *expressions* – may be of any type (§ 29), including the *primitive types* (§ 30) and *references* (§ 35).

The *conditional expressions* are divided in three categories:

- unary: only evaluates a single *expression* if the *condition* evaluates to `true`.

- binary: results in the evaluation of the first *expression* if the *condition* evaluates to `true`; otherwise, it results in the evaluation of the second *expression*.

- optional: the *condition* is implicit; it results in the first *expression* if it provides a value; else, it results in the second *expression* if it provides a value; otherwise, it results in *none*.

The resulting type of a *conditional expression* is based on the type of its *operands*. The cardinality is also based on the *operands*.

18.1 Examples

Table 18.1 displays examples of *conditional expressions* in CML.

Expression	Example
unary given	`item.book given item.qty > 2`
unary unless	`item.book unless item.qty <= 2`
binary if-then-else	`if item.qty > 10 then 0.9 else 1.0`
conditional or	`item.book or? item.accessory`
conditional xor	`item.book xor? item.accessory`

Table 18.1: Conditional Expressions

18.2   Syntax

Listing 11.2 specifies the syntax of the *conditional expressions* in CML. The table 18.2 is another representation of the syntax.

Expression	Example
unary given	`<expr> given <cond>`
unary unless	`<expr> unless <cond>`
binary if-then-else	`if <cond> then <expr1> else <expr2>`
conditional or	`<expr1> or? <expr2>`
conditional xor	`<expr1> xor? <expr2>`

Table 18.2: Syntax of Conditional Expressions

18.3   Metamodel

18.4   Model Synthesis

18.5   Model Validation

In *conditional expressions*, the *condition* must be of *Boolean* type, and the *alternatives* must be of compatible types.

18.6   Type Inference / Conformance

18.7   Model Translation

Nineteen

Type Checking

The *type-checking operator* (`is`) results in the `true` value if the instance referenced by the first operand is of the *type* (§ 29) specified by the second operand, or it is an *specialization* of such type. The *nagative type-checking operator* (`is`) results in `true` if the *type* does not match.

19.1 Examples

The table 19.1 shows examples of the *type-checking expressions*.

Operator	Operation	Example
is	Type-Checking	product is Book
isnt	Negative Type-Checking	product isnt Book

Table 19.1: Examples of Type-Checking Expressions

19.2 Syntax

Listing 11.2 specifies the syntax of the *type-checking expressions* in CML. It also lists them in their order of precedence in relation to other kinds of *expressions*. The table 19.2 is another representation of the syntax.

Operator	Operation	Syntax
is	Type-Checking	<expr> is <typeDeclaration>
isnt	Negative Type-Checking	<expr> isnt <typeDeclaration>

Table 19.2: Syntax of Type-Checking Expressions

19.3   Metamodel

19.4   Model Synthesis

19.5   Model Validation

19.6   Type Inference / Conformance

19.7   Model Translation

Twenty

Type Casting

There are three *type-casting operators* in CML. The *safe type-casting operator* (as) may only be applied when the casting is guaranteed to work and the resulting expression has the same cardinality as the original one. The *forced type-casting operator* (as!) may raise an exception at runtime if the actual type of the instance is not compatible with the expected type. The *conditional type-casting operator* (as?) automatically selects the compatible instances, never raising an expception at runtime.

20.1 Examples

The table 20.1 presents the *type-casting expressions*.

Oper.	Operation	Example
as	Safe Type-Casting	products as Book*
as!	Forced Type-Casting	products as! Book
as?	Condicional Type-Casting	products as? Book?

Table 20.1: Examples of Type-Casting Expressions

20.2 Syntax

Listing 11.2 specifies the syntax of the *type-casting expressions* in CML. It also lists them in their order of precedence in relation to other kinds of *expressions*. The table 20.2 is another representation of the syntax.

Operator	Operation	Syntax
`as`	Safe Type-Casting	`<expr> as <typeDeclaration>`
`as!`	Forced Type-Casting	`<expr> as! <typeDeclaration>`
`as?`	Condicional Type-Casting	`<expr> as? <typeDeclaration>`

Table 20.2: Syntax of Type-Casting Expressions

20.3 Metamodel

20.4 Model Synthesis

20.5 Model Validation

20.6 Type Inference / Conformance

20.7 Model Translation

Twenty-one

Path Expressions

Path expressions allow accessing the *values* (§ 30) and *references* (§ 35) of *properties* (§ 5) in instances of a *concept* (§ 4). Be them *attributes* (§ 6) or *association roles* (§ 7), *path expressions* will traverse through each *property* in the path in order to find the intended *values* or *references*. They can also be applied to *lambda parameters* (§ 23).

21.1 Examples

Listing 21.1 presents some examples of *path expressions* in CML.

```
@concept BookStore
{
    orders: Order*;

    /ordered_books = orders.items.book;
}

@concept Order
{
    items: Item*;
}

@concept Item
{
    book: Book;
    qty: integer;
    /description = book.title;
    /amount = qty * price;
}

@concept Book
{
    title: string;
    price: decimal;
}
```

Listing 21.1: Examples of Path Expressions

21.2 Syntax

Listing 21.2 specifies the syntax of *path expressions* in CML.

```
pathExpression returns [Path path]:
  NAME ('.' NAME)*;
```

Listing 21.2: Syntax of Path Expressions

21.3 Metamodel

21.4 Model Synthesis

21.5 Model Validation

21.6 Type Inference / Conformance

21.7 Model Translation

Twenty-two

Invocation Expressions

Invocations apply a *function* (§ 25) to some *expressions* (§ 11) – the arguments – that correspond to the *function parameters*. CML provides some *built-in functions* in the base module. New functions may be defined via *template functions* (§ 26) or via *declared functions* (§ 27).

22.1 Examples

Listing 22.1 presents an example of an *invocation expression* in CML.

```
@concept Order
{
  items: Item*;
  total = sum(items.amount);
}

@concept Item
{
  amount: decimal;
}
```

Listing 22.1: Invocation Example

22.2 Syntax

Listing 23.2 specifies the syntax of *invocation expressions* in CML.

```
invocationExpression returns [Invocation invocation]:
  NAME '(' expression (',' expression)* ')' lambdaExpression?;
```

Listing 22.2: Syntax of Invocation Expressions

22.3 Metamodel

22.4 Model Synthesis

22.5 Model Validation

22.6 Type Inference / Conformance

22.7 Model Translation

Twenty-three

Lambda Expressions

A *lambda expression* may be used as an argument of the *invocation* (§ 22) of a *function* (§ 25). CML provides some *built-in functions* in the base module that accept a *lambda expression* as an argument.

Lambda expressions may be seen as an inline function definition. Just like *functions*, *lambda expressions* may have a number of *parameters* that are used in its inner expression.

Just like OCL ([3]), CML allows a parameterless *lambda expression* to have an undeclared, implicit parameter, which serves as its scope. This implicit parameter in the *lambda expression* is inferred from the *function* declaring the *lambda* as one of its parameters. *Functions* may declare a *lambda parameter* with a *function type declaration* (§ 37).

Additionally, a *lambda expression* in CML is considered a pure function (just like *declared functions* § 27) given that the *invocations* performed by its inner expression are also pure *functions* or *lambdas*.

23.1 Examples

Listing 23.1 presents an example of a *lambda expression* in CML.

```
@concept BookStore
{
    orders: Order*;

    /premium_orders = select(orders, { total > 1000.00 });
}

@concept Order
{
    total: decimal;
}
```

Listing 23.1: Lambda Example

23.2 Syntax

Listing 23.2 specifies the syntax of *lambda expressions* in CML.

```
lambdaExpression returns[Lambda lambda]:
  '{' lambdaParameterList? expression '}';

lambdaParameterList returns[Seq<String> params]:
  NAME (',' NAME)* '->';
```

Listing 23.2: Syntax of Lambda Expressions

23.3 Metamodel

23.4 Model Synthesis

23.5 Model Validation

23.6 Type Inference / Conformance

23.7 Model Translation

Twenty-four

Comprehension Expressions

CML supports a special kind of *expression* (§ 11) called *comprehension*. It is a flexible and expressive way to calculate *values* (§ 30) or to query *references* (§ 35). It is thus a more powerful construct than *path expressions* (§ 21), but it can also combined with the latter.

The *comprehension expressions* have a similar purpose to the *set builder notation* in Mathematics, and also to *list comprehensions* in Python, or *for comprehensions* in Scala. Unlike those languages, in CML, *comprehensions* can be combined using the pipe (|) operator and *functions* (§ 28), making them more extensible.

24.1 Examples

Listing 24.1 presents an example of a *comprehension expression* in CML.

```
@concept BookStore
{
    orders: Order *;

    /premium_orders = orders | select: total > 1000.00;
}

@concept Order
{
    total: decimal;
}
```

Listing 24.1: Example of Comprehension Expression

24.2 Syntax

Listing 24.2 specifies the syntax of *comprehension expressions* in CML.

```
comprehensionExpression returns [Comprehension comprehension]:
  (pathExpression |
   FOR enumeratorDeclaration (',' enumeratorDeclaration)*)
  queryStatement+;

enumeratorDeclaration returns [Enumerator enumerator]:
  var=NAME IN pathExpression;

queryStatement returns [Query query]:
  '|' (NAME | keywordExpression+);

keywordExpression returns [Keyword keyword]:
  NAME ':' lambdaParameterList? expression;
```

Listing 24.2: Syntax of Comprehension Expressions

24.3 Metamodel

24.4 Model Synthesis

24.5 Model Validation

24.6 Type Inference / Conformance

24.7 Model Translation

Part IV

Functions

Twenty-five

Built-In Functions

CML provides *built-in functions* in the `cml_base` module. Most of them allow the processing of references (**??**) and sequences (**??**).

Most *built-in functions* are defined via *template functions* (§ 26) for each target programming language or technology. The *templates* that implement the *built-in functions* must ensure they are pure functions (just like *declared functions* § 27) so that their *invocations* from *declared functions* (§ 27) or *lambdas* (§ 23) do not invalidate their *purity*.

Twenty-six

Template Functions

In CML, *template functions* allow the definition of a *function* in the target programming language via StringTemplate [7]. The declaration of a *template function* only defines the *function signature*, while the *function expression* is defined in StringTemplate for each target programming language.

Twenty-seven

Declared Functions

In CML, *functions* may be defined by a *signature* and a corresponding *expression* (§ 11). The *function signature* declares the *parameter* and the resulting *type* (§ 29). Such a *function* is translated to a target programming language much like a *derived attribute* (§ 6.3), except that it does not belong to any particular *concept* (§ 4) and it may be invoked by any *expression*, in any scope.

Functions declared in CML are pure functions, which means they have the following characteristics:

- they always evaluate to the same result given the same arguments;

- their evaluation does not cause any side-effects or state mutation.

If a *declared function* in its definition invokes other *functions*, then those *functions* (being them other *declared functions* or *template functions* § 26) must provide the same guarantees listed above in order for the *declared function* to be considered pure.

Twenty-eight

Comprehension Functions

Some *functions*, being *declared* (§ 27) or just *templates* (§ 26), may be used in *comprehension expressions* (§ 28) if they have a specific *signature*. They may have one or more *parameters* as long as the first *parameter* is named seq and it is of a *sequence type* (**??**).

Part V

Type System

Twenty-nine

Types

CML is a statically-typed language. All its *properties* (§ 5) and *expressions* (§ 11) must have a *type* declared or inferred at compilation time. Additionally:

- the type of a *property* must be compatible with the type of its *expression*;

- the type of the *operands* in an *expression* must be compatible with its *operator*;

- the type of a *property redefinition* must be compatible with the original *property definition* in the *generalization*;

- and the type of the arguments in a *invocation* must be compatible with the type of the declared parameters of the corresponding *function*.

In order to allow the compiler to verify the types during the model validation, the model elements that must have an associated type are all specializations of the *TypedElement* metaclass, which has the abstract property *type*. Each *TypedElement* specialization must redefine the *type* property in order to be able to infer its type.

All types are specializations of the metaclass *Type*, which represents the *type declaration* or *type inference* of a *TypedElement*.

Among other properties, *Type* defines the *min_cardinality* and the *max _cardinality* allowed on a *TypedElement*. CML only allows specific cardinality options:

- A *type* with the min/max cardinality equal to one is called "required". It is declared as required when no suffix is provided in a *type declaration*.

- A *type* with cardinality zero-or-one is called "optional". It is declared as optional by the question-mark (?) suffix in a *type declaration*.

- A *type* with cardinality zero-or-more is called "sequential". It is declared as sequential by the asterisk-mark (∗) suffix in a *type declaration*.

The direct specializations of *Type* are the following metaclasses:

- *ValueType*: for *types* that contain *values*, such as the *primitive types* (§ 30).

- *ReferenceType*: for *types* that contain *references* to the actual *instances*, such as the *concept types* (§ 35).

- *TupleType*: for types that declare *tuples* used as an *argument* or a *result* of a *function* (§ 25).

- *FunctionType*: used in *functions* to declare that an *argument* accepts a *lambda expression* (§ 23).

The instances of the *Type* specializations listed above are presented in the following chapters.

Thirty

Primitive Types

A *primitive type* in CML is one of the pre-defined *value types* supported by the language, as shown in tables 30.1 and 30.2.

In the ER [1] metamodel, a *data type* is formally defined as a *set* of *values* that can be held by an *attribute* (§ 6). The original ER paper [1] states that, for each *value set* (i.e. *data type*), there is a *predicate* that can be used to test whether a *value* belongs to the *set*. In CML, instead, *literal expressions* are syntactically defined for each *primitive type*, so that the *type* can be inferred from the *literal expression*.

On the original ER paper, it is also said that *values* in a *value set* may be equivalent to *values* in another *value set*. In CML, also, *literal expressions* of the *Integer* type may be equivalent to *literal expressions* of the *Decimal* type, and so with other *numeric types*. This allows *expressions* (§ 11) of a *primitive type* to be promoted to *expressions* of another *primitive type* in order to allow *type inference* of composite *expressions*.

In the UML [4] metamodel, there is a specific metaclass named *PrimitiveType*, which matches to the same notion in CML.

30.1 Example

Figure 30.1 presents examples of *atributes* declared with *primitive types* in CML. Each example corresponds to one of the *primitive types* supported by the language, as shown in tables 30.1 and 30.2. The *target constructors* (§ 39) of CML's base module will translate the primitive types to Java, C#, C/C++, Python, and TypeScript (JavaScript), according to the mapping shown in the tables.

```
@concept PrimitiveTypes
{
    -- Core  Primitive  Types:

    -- Only  values  are  the  literal  expressions:  true,  false
    a:  Boolean;

    -- 32-bit  signed  two's  complement  integer
    c:  Integer;

    -- Arbitrary  precision  arithmetic.
    -- BigDecimal  in  Java;  decimal  in  C#;  decimal128  in  C++.
    d:  Decimal;

    -- 16-bit  Unicode  character  sequences
    -- as  in  Java,  C#,  C++  (std::wstring),  and  JavaScript.
    b:  String;

    -- Additional  Primitive  Types:

    -- 8-bit  signed  two's  complement  integer
    e:  Byte;

    -- 16-bit  signed  two's  complement  integer
    f:  Short;

    -- 64-bit  signed  two's  complement  integer
    g:  Long;

    -- 32-bit  IEEE  754  floating  point
    h:  Float;

    -- 64-bit  IEEE  754  floating  point
    i:  Double;
}
```

Figure 30.1: Example of *Primitive Types*

CML	Java	C#	C++	Python	TypeScript (JavaScript)
String	String	string	std::wstring	str	string
16-bit Unicode character sequences.					
Boolean	boolean	bool	bool	bool	boolean
Only values are the literal expressions: **true**, **false**.					
Integer	int	int	int32_t	int	number
32-bit signed two's complement integer.					
Decimal*	BigDecimal	decimal	decimal128	Decimal	number
Arbitrary precision, fixed-point, or decimal floating-point, depending on the target language.					

*The specification of Decimal type varies by target programming language. Compared to the binary floating-point types (Float and Double), the Decimal type is better suited for monetary calculations at a performance cost.

Table 30.1: Core Primitive Types in CML.

30.2 Syntax

Figure 30.2 specifies the syntax used to declare any kind of *type*, including *primitive types*. The NAME of the *type* may be any of the *primitive types* defined in the column named *CML* of the tables 30.1 and 30.2. Optionally, cardinality may also be specified for a *primitive type*. The '*' cardinality suffix allows zero or more values to be stored in a property as a collection type (**??**). The '?' cardinality suffix allows a single value to be stored, or none. If no cardinality is specified, a value must be assigned to the *attribute* when its *concept* is instantiated.

Figure **??** presents the *Type* metaclass in an EMOF [5] class diagram of the CML metamodel, and figure 30.3 specifies the transformation from the *type* concrete syntax to its abstract syntax.

CML	Java	C#	C++	Python	TypeScript (JavaScript)	Specification
Byte	byte	byte	int8_t	int	number	8-bit signed two's complement integer
Short	short	short	int16_t	int	number	16-bit signed two's complement integer
Long	long	long	int64_t	long	number	64-bit signed two's complement integer
Float	float	float	float*	float	number	32-bit IEEE 754 binary floating point
Double	double	double	double*	float	number	64-bit IEEE 754 binary floating point

*C++ floating point types may vary by hardware and compiler

Table 30.2: Additional Primitive Types in CML.

```
typeDeclaration returns [Type type]
  : name=NAME cardinality? // named type
  | tuple=tupleTypeDeclaration // tuple type
  | params=tupleTypeDeclaration '->' result=typeDeclaration // function type
  | '(' inner=typeDeclaration ')';

cardinality:
  ('?' | '*');

tupleTypeDeclaration returns [TupleType type]:
  '(' ( tupleTypeElementDeclaration (',' tupleTypeElementDeclaration)* )? ')' card

tupleTypeElementDeclaration returns [TupleTypeElement element]:
  (name=NAME ':')? type=typeDeclaration;

typeParameterList returns [Seq<TypeParameter> params]:
  '<' typeParameter (',' typeParameter)* '>';

typeParameter returns [TypeParameter param]:
  name=NAME;
```

Figure 30.2: Type Declaration Syntax

```
node Type: NAME CARDINALITY?
{
    name = NAME;
    cardinality = CARDINALITY?;
}
```

Figure 30.3: Type AST Instantiation

Thirty-one

Boolean Type

The *Boolean* type accepts only two literal expressions (§ 12): `true` or `false`. When either of these two literals is found in *expressions* (§ 11), its *type* is inferred to be *Boolean*.

Any *expression* of the *Boolean* type may be used as an operand of *logical expressions* (§ 14).

Thirty-two

Numeric Types

The *numeric types* are the following in CML (from the smallest set to the largest one): *Byte*, *Short*, *Integer*, *Long* and *Decimal*. They belong to the group of *primitive types* (§ 30), which are shown in tables 30.1 and 30.2.

There is a specific *literal expression syntax* (§ 12) for each *numeric type*, which allows the type to be inferred uniquely. *Literal expressions* of a narrower-range type may be equivalent to literal expressions of the wider-range one. This allows type inference of *arithmetic expressions* (§ 13) and *relational expressions* (§ 15).

Thirty-three

Floating-Point Types

The *floating-point types* in CML are *Float* and *Double* for single-precision (32-bit) and double-precision (64-bit), respectively. They belong to the group of *primitive types* (§ 30), which are shown in tables 30.1 and 30.2.

Each *floating-point type* has a specific *literal expression syntax* (§ 12), which allows them to be inferred uniquely. *Literal expressions* of the *Float* type may be equivalent to *literal expressions* within the same range of the *Double* type. This allows type inference of *arithmetic expressions* (§ 13) and *relational expressions* (§ 15).

In order to prevent data/precision loss, it is disallowed the coercion of a value of a *numeric type* (§ 32) to a value of a *floating-point type*, and vice-versa. That means it is only possible to combine *expressions* (§ 11) of *floating-point* and *numeric* types with explicit conversion.

Thirty-four

String Type

The *String* type in CML represents a 16-bit Unicode character sequence, matching the same type in other programming languages, as described in table 30.1.

There is a specific *literal expression syntax* (§ 12) for *String* values, which allows them to be inferred uniquely. *Expressions* (§ 11) of the *String* type may be the operands of *relational expressions* (§ 15). They may also be combined with the ampersand (*&*) operator for concatenation (§ 17), in which case the resulting *expression* is of *String* type.

Thirty-five

Reference Types

In CML, all *type declarations* referring to the name of a *concept* (§ 4) are instances of the *ReferenceType* metaclass (§ 29); in short, the *type declaration* declares a *reference type*. A *property* (§ 5) of a concept A, whose type is declared or inferred to be of a concept B, holds a reference to an instance of concept B; not the actual instance. This allows the *properties* of a concept C to also reference the same instance of B.

Models in CML do not to keep track of the memory used to store the actual instances. CML expects the target programming language or technology to support some kind of reference management, such as a garbage collector in Java or automatic reference counting in Swift, or still a database. CML does not require any particular implementation.

The *path expressions* (§ 21) whose result is of a *reference type* may be used in *referential expressions* (§ 16), *type-checking expressions* (**??**), *type-casting expressions* (**??**) and in *invocations* (§ 22).

Thirty-six

Tuple Types

Tuples may be declared as the *type* of an *argument* or the *result* of a *function* (§ 25). A *type declaration* that declares a *tuple* is an instance of the *TupleType* metaclass.

Thirty-seven

Function Types

A *function type declaration* may used in *functions* (§ 25) to declare that an *argument* accepts a *lambda expression* (§ 23).

Part VI

Code Generation

Thirty-eight

Templates

Thirty-nine

Constructors

Forty

Tasks

Forty-one

Targets

Part VII

Organization and Sharing

Forty-two

Modules

Forty-three

Libraries

Part VIII

Appendices

A

CML Concrete Syntax (Grammar)

A.1 ANTLR Grammar

```
// Compilation Units:

compilationUnit:
  declarations*;

declarations
  : moduleDeclaration
  | conceptDeclaration
  | associationDeclaration
  | taskDeclaration
  | templateDeclaration;


// Concept Declarations:

conceptDeclaration returns [TempConcept concept]:
  (ABSTRACTION | CONCEPT) NAME
  (':' generalizations)?
  (';' | propertyList);

generalizations:
  NAME (',' NAME)*;




// Property Declarations:

propertyList:
  '{' (propertyDeclaration ';')* '}';

propertyDeclaration returns [Property property]:
 DERIVED? NAME (':' typeDeclaration)? ('=' expression)?;

DERIVED: '/';

// Type Declarations:
```

```
typeDeclaration returns [Type type]
  : name=NAME cardinality? // named type
  | tuple=tupleTypeDeclaration // tuple type
  | params=tupleTypeDeclaration '->' result=typeDeclaration // function type
  | '(' inner=typeDeclaration ')';

cardinality:
  ('?' | '*');

tupleTypeDeclaration returns [TupleType type]:
  '(' ( tupleTypeElementDeclaration (',' tupleTypeElementDeclaration)* )? ')' cardin

tupleTypeElementDeclaration returns [TupleTypeElement element]:
  (name=NAME ':')? type=typeDeclaration;

typeParameterList returns [Seq<TypeParameter> params]:
  '<' typeParameter (',' typeParameter)* '>';

typeParameter returns [TypeParameter param]:
  name=NAME;




// Target Declarations:

targetDeclaration returns [Target target]:
    'target' NAME propertyList;



// Names:

// All reserved words must be declared before NAME.
// Otherwise, they are recognized as a NAME instead.

FOR: 'for';
IN: 'in';
AS: 'as';
ASB: 'as!';
ASQ: 'as?';
```

```
IS: 'is';
ISNT: 'isnt';
IF: 'if';
THEN: 'then';
ELSE: 'else';
GIVEN: 'given';
UNLESS: 'unless';
ORQ: 'or?';
XORQ: 'xor?';
BOOLEAN: 'true' | 'false';
AND: 'and';
OR: 'or';
XOR: 'xor';
IMPLIES: 'implies';
NOT: 'not';
TEMPLATE: '@template';
FUNCTION: '@function';
ABSTRACTION: '@abstraction';
CONCEPT: '@concept';
TASK: '@task';
ASSOCIATION: '@association';
MODULE: '@module';
IMPORT: '@import';

NAME:
  ('A'..'Z' | 'a'..'z')
  ( 'A'..'Z' | 'a'..'z' | '0'..'9' | '_' )*;


// Literals:

literalExpression returns [Literal literal]:
  BOOLEAN | STRING |
  INTEGER | LONG | SHORT | BYTE | DECIMAL |
  FLOAT | DOUBLE;

STRING:
  '"' (ESC | . )*? '"';

fragment ESC: '\\'[btnr"\\];

INTEGER:
```

```
   ('0'..'9')+;

LONG:
  ('0'..'9')+ 'l';

SHORT:
  ('0'..'9')+ 's';

BYTE:
  ('0'..'9')+ 'b';

DECIMAL:
  ('0'..'9')* '.' ('0'..'9')+;

FLOAT:
  ('0'..'9')* '.' ('0'..'9')+ 'f';

DOUBLE:
  ('0'..'9')* '.' ('0'..'9')+ 'd';

// Ignoring Whitespace:

WS:
  ( ' ' | '\t' | '\f' | '\n' | '\r' )+ -> skip;


// Ignoring Comments:

COMMENT:
  (('//' | '-') .*? '\n' | '/*' .*? '*/' ) -> skip;
```

B

CML Abstract Syntax (Metamodel)

C

CML Abstract Syntax Tree (Instantiation)

D

CML Constraints (Model Validation)

```
context Concept

inv unique_concept_name:
    parent.concepts
        ->select(c| c != self and c.name = self.name)
        ->isEmpty()

context Property
inv unique_property_name:
    self.scope.properties
        ->select(p| p != self and p.name = self.name)
        ->isEmpty()

context Property
inv property_type_specified_or_inferred:
    type->notEmpty() or expression->notEmpty()

context Property
inv property_type_assignable_from_expression_type:
    type->notEmpty() and expression->notEmpty() implies
        type.isAssignableFrom(expression.type)
```

E

Language Specification Notation

Bibliography

[1] Peter Pin-Shan Chen. The Entity-Relationship Model (Reprinted Historic Data). In David W. Embley and Bernhard Thalheim, editors, *Handbook of Conceptual Modeling: Theory, Practice, and Research Challenges*, pages 57–84. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011.

[2] Torben ï£¡gidius Mogensen. *Introduction to Compiler Design.* Undergraduate Topics in Computer Science. Springer, 2011.

[3] OMG. Object Constraint Language (OCL), Version 2.4, 2014.

[4] OMG. Unified Modeling Language (UML), Superstructure, Version 2.5, 2015.

[5] OMG. Meta Object Facility (MOF) Core Specification, Version 2.5.1, 2016.

[6] Terence Parr. *The Definitive ANTLR 4 Reference*. Pragmatic Bookshelf, 2nd edition, 2013.

[7] Terence John Parr. Enforcing Strict Model-view Separation in Template Engines. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on World Wide Web*, WWW '04, pages 224–233. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2004.