

## Incremental Search (incsearch.m)

```
function [ brackets ] = incsearch ( f, min, max, points )
% INCSEARCH: locates roots by incremental search
% Inputs: f = a function of one variable (need not be able to deal with vectors)
%         min = lower bound of range to be searched
%         max = upper bound of range to be searched
%         points = number of search steps
% Outputs: brackets(i, 1) = lower bound for ith bracket
%          brackets(i, 2) = upper bound for ith bracket
%          **** if no brackets found, brackets = [] ****

nb = 0; brackets = []; % brackets is initially 0 by 0
x = linspace (min, max, points);
flo = f(x(1));
for i = 2: points
    fhi = f(x(i));
    if sign(flo) ~= sign(fhi)
        nb = nb + 1;
        brackets(nb, 1) = x(i - 1); brackets(nb, 2) = x(i);
    end
    flo = fhi;
end
end
```

The script below finds and outputs all roots of  $f$  between 0 and 20.

```
f = @(x) 50 * sin(0.5 * x) - x.^2 - 17 * x + 60; % from last problem

brackets = incsearch ( f, 0, 20, 100 );

[n m] = size(brackets); % note vector on left hand side

if n == 0
    fprintf ('No roots found.\n');
else
    for k = 1 : n
        x = fzero(f, brackets(k, :)); % select whole row
        fprintf ('There is a root at x = %f\n', x);
    end
end
```

### **Output:**

There is a root at x = 1.583780  
There is a root at x = 6.636039  
There is a root at x = 12.825489  
There is a root at x = 16.266248

## Bisection Search (basic idea)

start with  $x_{\text{LOW}}$  (less than root) and  $x_{\text{HIGH}}$  (greater than root)

while true

    pick  $x_{\text{ROOT}}$  half way between  $x_{\text{LOW}}$  and  $x_{\text{HIGH}}$

    if termination conditions satisfied

        stop

    endif

    if  $f(x_{\text{MID}})$  has same sign as  $f(x_{\text{LOW}})$

$x_{\text{LOW}} = x_{\text{ROOT}}$

    else

$x_{\text{HIGH}} = x_{\text{ROOT}}$

    endif

endwhile

## Possible Termination Conditions:

1/. The error in  $x_{\text{ROOT}}$  has become acceptably small (i.e. we have got close enough to the actual root).

2/. The function at  $x_{\text{ROOT}}$  is zero or acceptably close to zero.

3/. Some maximum number of iterations has been reached.

Condition (1) is the normal termination condition.

Condition (2) makes sense in situations in which accuracy in  $x$  is unimportant as long as  $f(x)$  is close enough to zero.

Condition (3) is really more applicable to iterative processes that can go wrong. As the bisection process is essentially guaranteed to succeed there is no real need to guard against disaster.

Max possible error =  $E_{\text{MAX}} = (x_{\text{HIGH}} - x_{\text{LOW}}) / 2$

Reduces by a factor of two on every iteration.

Let  $\Delta x^0$  be the original interval width.

Max error after  $n$  iterations =  $\frac{\Delta x^0}{2^n}$

Number of iterations required to reduce  $E_{\text{MAX}}$  to  $E_{\text{DESIRED}} =$

$$\log_2 \left( \frac{\Delta x_0}{E_{\text{DESIRED}}} \right) = \log \left( \frac{\Delta x_0}{E_{\text{DESIRED}}} \right) / \log 2 = \ln \left( \frac{\Delta x_0}{E_{\text{DESIRED}}} \right) / \ln 2$$

Bisection search unusual in that the number of iterations required to achieve a desired accuracy can be predicted in advance.

Also unusual in that  $x_{\text{ROOT}}$  can be guaranteed to be within some amount of the correct answer.

## Text Notes (1)

Text uses “approximate error” =  $E_A = |x_{\text{ROOT}}^{\text{NEW}} - x_{\text{ROOT}}^{\text{OLD}}|$

Small changes in  $x_{\text{ROOT}}$  are assumed to mean that error is correspondingly small

For a bisection search  $E_A$  and  $E_{\text{MAX}}$  are in fact exactly the same thing.

$|x_{\text{ROOT}}^{\text{NEW}} - x_{\text{ROOT}}^{\text{OLD}}|$  is always equal to  $(x_{\text{HIGH}} - x_{\text{LOW}}) / 2$

Assume  $x_{\text{ROOT}}^{\text{OLD}} = x_{\text{LOW}}$

$$\text{Then } |x_{\text{ROOT}}^{\text{NEW}} - x_{\text{ROOT}}^{\text{OLD}}| = \left| x_{\text{LOW}} - \frac{x_{\text{HIGH}} + x_{\text{LOW}}}{2} \right| = \left| \frac{x_{\text{LOW}} - x_{\text{HIGH}}}{2} \right| = \frac{x_{\text{HIGH}} - x_{\text{LOW}}}{2}$$

Assume  $x_{\text{ROOT}}^{\text{OLD}} = x_{\text{HIGH}}$

$$\text{Then } |x_{\text{ROOT}}^{\text{NEW}} - x_{\text{ROOT}}^{\text{OLD}}| = \left| x_{\text{HIGH}} - \frac{x_{\text{HIGH}} + x_{\text{LOW}}}{2} \right| = \frac{x_{\text{HIGH}} - x_{\text{LOW}}}{2}$$

## Text Notes (2):

Text also works with “relative” errors.

Relative error = absolute error / magnitude of  $x_{\text{ROOT}}$

The idea is that a given error is less significant when dealing with larger numbers.

An error of 0.01 is insignificant if  $x_{\text{ROOT}}$  is 100000 but matters if  $x_{\text{ROOT}}$  is 1.

Relative error undefined when  $x_{\text{ROOT}}$  is zero, problematic when  $x_{\text{ROOT}}$  is small.

## Bisection Search (bisect.m)

```
function [xr] = bisect (f, xl, xh, Edes, display)
% BISECT Finds a root by performing a bisection search.
% Inputs: f = a function of one variable
%      xl = lower bound of range to be searched
%      xh = upper bound of range to be searched
%      **** f(a) and f(b) must have different signs ****
%      Edes = tolerance in x (function stops when xr is guaranteed to
%              be within Edes of a root)
%      display = display option (0 = no output, 1 = output, defaults to 0)
% Outputs: xr = estimate of root

if nargin < 5; display = 0; end

yl = f(xl); yh = f(xh);
if sign(yl) == sign(yh), error ('no sign change'), end

if display
    fprintf (' step      xl      xh      xr      yr      Emax\n');
end

signOfYl = sign(yl); % keep track of sign of function at xl
```



```

for k = 1:1000      % 1000 max iterations

    xr = (xl + xh) / 2; yr = f(xr); Emax = (xh - xl) / 2;

    if display
        fprintf('%5d  %12.6f %12.6f %12.6f %12.6f %12.6f\n', k, xl, xh, xr, yr, Emax);
    end

    if Emax <= Edes || yr == 0 % error acceptably small or direct hit
        return;
    end

    if sign(yr) == signOfYl
        xl = xr;
    else
        xh = xr;
    end

end

error('Bisection search has not converged'); % most unlikely

end

```

## Bisection with a Casio Calculator

Example: root of  $f(x) = x^3 - 5$  between 1 and 2

Store low limit in memory "A" ( 1 SHIFT STO A )

Store high limit in memory "B" ( 2 SHIFT STO B )

Enter function using M as x ( ALPHA M SHIFT  $x^2 - 5$  )

Evaluate at low limit ( CALC 1 = ), remember sign at low limit

Set memory M = (A + B) / 2 ( (ALPHA A + ALPHA B) / 2 ) SHIFT STO M )

while true

    Use up arrow to recall function

    Evaluate function at M (CALC = )

    if sign of result same as sign at low limit

        Store M in A ( ALPHA M SHIFT STO A )

    else

        Store M in B ( ALPHA M SHIFT STO B )

    endif

    Use up arrow to recall (A+B)/2 -> M

    Update M ( = )

endwhile

## **varargin:**

Bisection code in text uses *varargin*.

```
function [root, ea, iter] = bisect (func, xl, xh, es, maxit, varargin)
```

Allows for functions that require parameters in addition to the independent variable.

```
f = @(x, p1, p2) .....
```

Parameter values are tacked on to end of argument list when using *bisect*.

```
root = bisect (f, 0, 10, 0.0001, 1000, 15, 2); % 15 and 2 are values for p1 and p2
```

*bisect* passes additional values on to the function when function called

```
test = func (xl, varargin{:}) * func (xr, varargin{:});
```

For details see text section 3.5.3

## False Position (aka Regula Falsi)

Similar to bisection but uses different rule for picking  $x_{\text{ROOT}}$

$$x_{\text{ROOT}} = x_{\text{HIGH}} - \frac{f(x_{\text{HIGH}})(x_{\text{LOW}} - x_{\text{HIGH}})}{f(x_{\text{LOW}}) - f(x_{\text{HIGH}})}$$

instead of

$$x_{\text{ROOT}} = \frac{(x_{\text{LOW}} + x_{\text{HIGH}})}{2}$$

**Advantage:** Typically (but not always) faster than bisection

**Disadvantage:** Does not allow maximum possible error to be reduced to some chosen amount. Termination must be based on approximate error (stop when changes in  $x_{\text{ROOT}}$  from one iteration to the next become acceptably small).