Warsow = W Venice = V Budajest = B Rome = R Odesa = 0 Munich = M Fringe (order by houristicalone) path expended W NW h/n(3) WB(2)WO(20) WINR W/MR(3) WMV(6) WB(12) NO(10) W->M->R Frings (order by heuristic along + (osl) Parth expended close list N WM(18), WB(21), WO (26) WM WMV WB (21) WMV (24) NO(26) WMR (33) 1 WMV(24) NO(26) WMR(33) WBM(36) WBIN WO (26) WMVR(30) WMR(33) WB/M(36) WINVR WOV WMUR(30) WINR(33) NBIN(36) WOV(43) R W MVR $h \to M \to V \to R$. C. This graph is henristic admissible, because the Shortest path lost is 30, and all node heavistic is less than and oqual to 30. However is not consistent, because some h(w) - h(m) is 27, however the cost of 600 Warson to Munich is just 15, So it is NO-1 Consistent: