

**Game Theory: Algorithms and Applications**  
**CS 539**  
**Fall 2019**  
**HomeWork 1 Solutions**

1. In general, consider there are  $n$  players in total. First we notice that no cycle will exist in the equilibrium subgraph, for it costs a lab but brings no benefit. Therefore any Nash equilibrium is a tree or a forest. If a player pays for an edge, the edge must connect her to  $d$  players, where  $d > c_1/C$  in order to keep the marginal utility positive. When  $c_1 \geq C$ , one possible Nash equilibrium is that no player connects to other players, for the marginal utility of buying any edge is not positive.

On the other hand, consider a “stable” tree  $T$  that does not contain all the vertices, “stable” in the sense that in  $T$  all the players want to keep the currently paid edges. We must have  $|T| > d$ . Then a player out of  $T$  would like to pay for an additional edge to connect to  $T$ . Therefore this process continues until every outside player connects to  $T$  to form 1 spanning tree. Any spanning tree in which an edge connects the buyer to  $d \geq c_1/C$  players is a Nash equilibrium. This also implies that  $c_1 \leq (n-1)C$ , otherwise no tree could satisfy  $d \geq c_1/C$ .

We observe that when  $\frac{n-1}{2}C \leq c_1 \leq (n-1)C$ , the equilibrium is a star, where every leaf pays for the edge to connect to the center of the star.

In the case of 5 labs, simply substitute  $n$  in the result above by 5.

2. We assume that a conflict is resolved in favor of the player with the lesser index.
  - *First-Price:* A Nash equilibrium is obtained when  $p_1$  (player 1) bids a value  $b_1 \in [v_1, v_2)$  and other players  $p_i, i = \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$  bid any value  $v_i$  where  $v_i \in [0, v_i]$ .  
*Proof:* By contradiction: Assume that  $b_1$  is less than  $v_2$ , then  $p_2$  can bid her valuation and win the auction, and this would, therefore, not be a Nash equilibrium.  
 Special place for  $p_1$ : She may bid any value over  $v_2$  and win the auction.
  - *Second-Price:*  
 $b_1 \in [b_2, \infty)$  if  $v_2 \leq b_2 \leq v_1$  and  $b_3 \leq v_2$ .  
 $b_2 \in [v_1, \infty)$  if  $b_1 \leq v_2$  and  $b_3 \leq v_2$ .  
 $b_3 \in [v_1, \infty)$  if  $b_1 \leq v_3$  and  $b_2 \leq v_3$   
*Proof:* omitted

3.
  - i At a Nash equilibrium, all consumers get distributed evenly accross all service providers. The difference between the number of consumers on any two providers is at most 1.
  - ii Assume  $N \geq P$ . First we observe that every provider must serve at least 1 consumer. Start with an arbitrary assignment of consumers. Consider the vector of transmission rates sorted in increasing order

$$R = [R_1, R_2, \dots, R_P], R_1 \leq R_2 \leq \dots \leq R_P$$

If a consumer currently with provider  $i$  wants to switch to provider  $j$  for some  $i < j$ , denote by  $R_i, R_j$  the current rates and by  $R'_i = \frac{B_i}{n_i-1}, R'_j = \frac{B_j}{n_j+1}$  the rates after the switch, we must have

$$R_i < R'_j \text{ \& } R_i < R'_i$$

Note that whether  $R'_i < R'_j$  or not, the new sorted vector  $R'$  must increase in lexicographic order. Since each  $R_i$  is upper-bounded by  $\frac{B_i}{1}$ , the vector can only increase to a bounded value, therefore the system will converge to a Nash equilibrium.

4. Player  $i$  will keep increasing traffic until her marginal utility becomes zero (or less). Marginal utility is given by

$$\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial X_i} = K - 2\alpha X_i$$

The game is symmetric,  $X_i = X_{i'}, \forall i, i'$  at Nash equilibrium.

$$\therefore X_i = \frac{K}{2\alpha}, \forall i @ \text{NE}$$

5. Assume that  $K \geq \sqrt{x_1 + x_2}$ . Differentiating  $U_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_i} &= K - \sqrt{x_i + x_{i'}} + x_i \cdot \frac{\partial(K - \sqrt{x_i + x_{i'}})}{\partial x_i} - 2x_i \\ &= K - \sqrt{x_i + x_{i'}} - x_i \cdot \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x_i + x_{i'}}} - 2x_i \\ &\quad \text{where } i' \text{ is the other player} \end{aligned}$$

Since the game is symmetric between the players,  $x_i = x_{i'}$  at NE. Using KKT conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} K - \frac{\sqrt{50}}{4}\sqrt{x_i} - 2x_i &= 0 \\ \implies \sqrt{x_i} &= \frac{\pm\sqrt{50 + 128K} - \sqrt{50}}{16} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sqrt{x_i} \geq 0$ ,  $x_i = \left(\frac{\sqrt{50+128K}-\sqrt{50}}{16}\right)^2 = \frac{25+32K-5\sqrt{25+64K}}{64}, i = 1, 2 @ \text{NE}.$