

An Introduction to [WZU17]

An Attempt to Avoid the Old Cosmological Constant Problem

Yi-Fan Wang (王一帆)

Institut für Theoretische Physik
Universität zu Köln

June 15, 2017

Outline

Overview

The Old Cosmological Constant Problem

Matter and space-time models

Solving $a(t, \vec{x})$: parametric oscillator

Solving $a(t, \vec{x})$: adiabatic approximation

Correlator of Field Strength

Distance between Density Operators

Outline

Overview

The Old Cosmological Constant Problem

Matter and space-time models

Solving $a(t, \vec{x})$: parametric oscillator

Solving $a(t, \vec{x})$: adiabatic approximation

Correlator of Field Strength

Distance between Density Operators

Overview

- ▶ If contributed by vacuum energy, the cosmological constant could **differ 120 order-of-magnitude** from observation.
- ▶ Wang, Zhu, and Unruh consider vacuum energy **density** instead, which is subject to **fluctuation**, and their result moderates the problem.
- ▶ They assumed a localised RW metric and obtained FL-like equations for $a(t, \vec{x})$, whose coefficient contains quantum fluctuation.
- ▶ The equations leads to local Hubble parameters which fluctuate, but a global average could be defined.
- ▶ The solution to the equations is evaluated with the help of theories of parametric oscillator and adiabatic invariance.
- ▶ The result is qualitatively supported by numerical calculation and further quantitative discussions.

Outline

Overview

The Old Cosmological Constant Problem

Matter and space-time models

Solving $a(t, \vec{x})$: parametric oscillator

Solving $a(t, \vec{x})$: adiabatic approximation

Correlator of Field Strength

Distance between Density Operators

Cosmological constant and vacuum energy

- GR + vacuum QFT

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \lambda_b g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{vac}} \quad (1)$$

- In Minkowski space-time, Lorentz invariance requires

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{vac}} = -\rho_{\mu\nu}^{\text{vac}} \eta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

which generalises to curved space-time as

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{vac}} = -\rho_{\mu\nu}^{\text{vac}} g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (3)$$

- Effectively, eq. (1) can be written as

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \lambda_{\text{eff}} g_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \lambda_{\text{eff}} = \lambda_b + 8\pi G \rho^{\text{vac}}; \quad (4)$$

$$G_{\mu\nu} = -8\pi G \rho_{\text{eff}}^{\text{vac}} g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \rho_{\text{eff}}^{\text{vac}} = \rho^{\text{vac}} + \frac{\lambda_b}{8\pi G}. \quad (5)$$

Hubble parameter and cosmological constant

- Homogeneity and isotropy: Robertson–Walker metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j. \quad (6)$$

- Hubble parameter / expansion rate $H := \dot{a}/a$; eqs. (4) and (5) take the corresponding Friedmann–Lemaître form

$$3H^2 = \lambda_{\text{eff}} = 8\pi G\rho_{\text{eff}}^{\text{vac}}, \quad (7)$$

$$3\ddot{a} = \lambda_{\text{eff}}a = 8\pi G\rho_{\text{eff}}^{\text{vac}}a. \quad (8)$$

- Solution to eq. (8)

$$a(t) = a(t_0)e^{H(t-t_0)} \quad (9)$$

The Old Cosmological Problem

- ▶ Contributions to $\rho_{\text{eff}}^{\text{vac}}$ or λ_{eff} : vacuum fluctuation of all quantum fields, Electroweak phase transition, etc.
- ▶ λ_{eff} by vacuum fluctuation evaluated in Minkowski space: taking a scalar field and using sharp-momentum cut-off (also see below),

$$\langle \rho^{\text{vac}} \rangle = \frac{\Lambda^4}{16\pi^2}. \quad (10)$$

Setting $\Lambda = E_{\text{P}}$ results in a surpass of the observed value of λ_{eff} by $\sim 10^{120}$.

Outline

Overview

The Old Cosmological Constant Problem

Matter and space-time models

Solving $a(t, \vec{x})$: parametric oscillator

Solving $a(t, \vec{x})$: adiabatic approximation

Correlator of Field Strength

Distance between Density Operators

Matter: field and quantisation

► Matter model

$$S_m[\phi] = \int \mathbb{d}^4x \left[-\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \phi) (\partial_\nu \phi) \right] \quad (11)$$

► Canonical quantisation

$$\phi(t, \vec{x}) = \int \frac{\mathbb{d}^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \left(a_{\vec{k}} e^{-i(\omega t - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})} + \text{h.c.} \right), \quad (12)$$

so that

$$T_{00} = \frac{1}{2} (\dot{\phi}^2 + (\nabla \phi)^2) \quad (13)$$

$$= \int \mathbb{d}^3k_1 \mathbb{d}^3k_2 f \left(a_{\vec{k}_1} a_{\vec{k}_2}^\dagger, a_{\vec{k}_1}^\dagger a_{\vec{k}_2}, a_{\vec{k}_1} a_{\vec{k}_2}, a_{\vec{k}_1}^\dagger a_{\vec{k}_2}^\dagger \right) \quad (14)$$

Matter: fluctuation

- $|0\rangle$ is an eigenstate of $H = \int \mathrm{d}^3x T_{00}$, because

$$a_{\vec{k}} |0\rangle := 0, \quad \forall \vec{k} \quad (15)$$

- This is not the case for T_{00} , and

$$\langle (\Delta T_{00})^2 \rangle = \frac{2}{3} \langle T_{00} \rangle^2, \quad T_{00} = \frac{\Lambda^4}{16\pi^2}. \quad (16)$$

Space-time: localised Robertson–Walker metric

Outline

Overview

The Old Cosmological Constant Problem

Matter and space-time models

Solving $a(t, \vec{x})$: parametric oscillator

Solving $a(t, \vec{x})$: adiabatic approximation

Correlator of Field Strength

Distance between Density Operators

Outline

Overview

The Old Cosmological Constant Problem

Matter and space-time models

Solving $a(t, \vec{x})$: parametric oscillator

Solving $a(t, \vec{x})$: adiabatic approximation

Correlator of Field Strength

Distance between Density Operators

Quantum theory of $(1 + 1)$ d Dilaton Gravity Model I

Outline

Overview

The Old Cosmological Constant Problem

Matter and space-time models

Solving $a(t, \vec{x})$: parametric oscillator

Solving $a(t, \vec{x})$: adiabatic approximation

Correlator of Field Strength

Distance between Density Operators

Correlation of Fourier Modes

The discrepancy

- ▶ The Fourier-mode correlators can be calculated,

$$\langle \hat{f}^\dagger(p_1) \hat{f}(p_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{T_{\text{HD}}} \delta(p_1 - p_2) \cdot \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{q}, & \text{vacuum;} \\ \frac{1}{8} \frac{\tanh \frac{q}{4}}{\frac{q}{4}}, & \chi_b; \\ \frac{1}{4} \frac{\coth \frac{q}{2}}{\frac{q}{2}}, & \hat{\rho}_{\text{BE}}(T_{\text{HD}}), \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

where $q := p_1/T_{\text{HD}}$

- ▶ Diagonal elements (fluctuations) are plotted

Correlation of Fourier Modes

Fluctuation of the Fourier modes: diagram in log-log scale

Correlation of Fourier Modes

Fluctuation of the Fourier modes: interpretation

- Vacuum fluctuation

$$\langle |\hat{f}|^2 \rangle_{\text{vac}} = O(q^{-1}) \quad (18)$$

- A black hole does **not** alter the **high**-energy processes

$$\langle |\hat{f}|^2 \rangle_{\chi_b} \approx \langle |\hat{f}|^2 \rangle_{\text{th}} \approx \langle |\hat{f}|^2 \rangle_{\text{vac}} = O(q^{-1}), \quad |p| \gg T_{\text{HD}} \quad (19)$$

- A black hole **suppresses** low-energy fluctuation of the **pure** state, while **enhancing** that of the **thermal** state

$$O(1) \sim \langle |\hat{f}|^2 \rangle_{\chi_b} \ll \langle |\hat{f}|^2 \rangle_{\text{vac}} \ll \langle |\hat{f}|^2 \rangle_{\text{th}} \sim O(q^{-2}), \quad |p| \ll T_{\text{HD}} \quad (20)$$

- Critical scale $|p| \sim T_{\text{HD}}$

Outline

Overview

The Old Cosmological Constant Problem

Matter and space-time models

Solving $a(t, \vec{x})$: parametric oscillator

Solving $a(t, \vec{x})$: adiabatic approximation

Correlator of Field Strength

Distance between Density Operators

Trace Distance

Definitions

- ▶ Trace distance between Hermitian operators \widehat{M} and \widehat{N}

$$T(\widehat{M}, \widehat{N}) := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \sqrt{(\widehat{M} - \widehat{N})^\dagger (\widehat{M} - \widehat{N})} \quad (21)$$

- ▶ For **density operators** $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{\sigma}$,

- ▶ $0 \leq T(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma}) \leq 1$;
- ▶ Controlled by **fidelity** in

$$\boxed{1 - F(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma}) \leq T(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma}) \leq \sqrt{1 - F^2(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma})},} \quad (22)$$

where we only need

$$F(|\alpha\rangle, \hat{\sigma}) := \langle \alpha | \hat{\sigma} | \alpha \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (23)$$

- ▶ In our application, T is difficult while F can be obtained.

Single-mode Distances between the Density Operators I

Bounds set by fidelity and eq. (28)

- ▶ The pure state can be decomposed upon discretisation $\chi_b[f] \sim \sum_p \chi_b^{(p)}(f_p) \equiv \sum_p \langle f_p | \chi_b^{(p)} \rangle$, where $f_p := f(p)$
- ▶ So is the thermal density operator $\hat{\rho}_{\text{th}}(T) \sim \bigotimes_p \hat{\rho}_{\text{th}}^{(p)}(T)$
- ▶ Fidelity (in eq. (28)) can be factorised as well

$$F \equiv \langle \chi_b | \hat{\rho}_{\text{th}} | \chi_b \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim \prod_p \langle \chi_b^{(p)} | \hat{\rho}_{\text{th}}^{(p)} | \chi_b^{(p)} \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} =: \prod_p F^{(p)}; \quad (24)$$

- ▶ $F^{(p)}$ can be computed in order to find bounds of T

$$F^{(p)}(|p\rangle, \hat{\rho}_{\text{th}}^{(p)}(T_{\text{HD}})) = \frac{\sqrt{u-1}}{\sqrt[4]{u^2+u+1}}, \quad u := e^q \equiv e^{|p|/T_{\text{HD}}}.$$

(25)

All-modes Distances between the Density Operators I

Bounds set by fidelity and eq. (28)

- ▶ 'Go to the continuum limit': Λ dimension regulator

$$\sum_p g(p) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi\Lambda} \int \mathrm{d}p g(p), \quad (26)$$

- ▶ Analogously, to regularise a product

$$\prod_p f(p) \equiv \exp \left\{ \sum_p \ln f(p) \right\} \rightarrow \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi\Lambda} \int \mathrm{d}p \ln f(p) \right\} \quad (27)$$

- ▶ Regularised F can be calculated in order to set bounds of T

$$F(\chi_b, \hat{\rho}_{\text{th}}) = \exp \left\{ \frac{2}{2\pi\Lambda} \int_0^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}p \ln F(p) \right\} = \exp \left(-\frac{\pi}{9} \frac{T_{\text{HD}}}{\Lambda} \right)$$

Summary

- ▶ Compared the **pure** and the **thermal** descriptions of the radiation within the solvable dilaton gravity model
- ▶ Fourier-mode fluctuation: that of the thermal state **diverges** faster than the vacuum case does at low energy, while the pure-state fluc. remains **finite**; at high energies they **converge**.
- ▶ Trace distance: goes exponentially **small** with black hole **temperature** going to zero.
- ▶ Outlook in the proposed PhD study
 - ▶ Further discussion within full CGHS, BTZ, etc.
 - ▶ Make use of the decoherence theory
 - ▶ Nature of the microscopic degrees of freedom for BH entropy
 - ▶ Breakdown of the semi-classical approximations in QG

For Further Reading I



Qingdi Wang, Zhen Zhu, and William G. Unruh. “How the huge energy of quantum vacuum gravitates to drive the slow accelerating expansion of the Universe”. In: *Physical Review D* 95.10 (May 2017).

More on Trace Distance

Interpretation

- ▶ Maximal probability-difference obtainable

$$T(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma}) = \max_{0 \leq \hat{\Lambda} \leq \hat{1}} \text{tr}\{\hat{\Lambda}(\hat{\rho} - \hat{\sigma})\}, \quad (29)$$

where all eigenvalues of $\hat{\Lambda}$ are in the range $[0, 1]$

- ▶ E.g. $\hat{\Lambda} := |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$, $|\alpha\rangle$ eigenstate of \hat{A} with eigenvalue α
 - ▶ $\text{tr}\{\hat{\Lambda}\hat{\rho}\}$: the probability of getting α in measuring \hat{A}
 - ▶ $\text{tr}\{\hat{\Lambda}(\hat{\rho} - \hat{\sigma})\}$: the difference of the probability above
 - ▶ $T(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma})$: the maximal value of the difference above

More on Fidelity

► General definition

$$F(|\alpha\rangle, |\beta\rangle) = |\langle\alpha|\beta\rangle| \quad (30)$$

$$F(|\alpha\rangle, \hat{\sigma}) = \sqrt{\langle\alpha|\hat{\sigma}|\alpha\rangle} \quad (29 \text{ rev.})$$

$$F(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma}) = \text{tr} \sqrt{\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\sigma} \hat{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (31)$$

► Interpretation: faithfulness

$$F(|\alpha\rangle, |\alpha\rangle) = 1 \quad (32)$$

Another New Foundation of Statistical Physics

Specific and easy version of the construction

- ▶ Total isolated system U with **energy** constraint $\langle \widehat{H}_U \rangle := E_U$, divided into a **(sub)system** S and an environment E
- ▶ Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_R \supseteq \mathcal{H}_U = \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$; $\hat{1}_R$ identity on \mathcal{H}_R , dimension $d_R := \dim \mathcal{H}_R < +\infty$
- ▶ Equiprobable / maximal-ignorant state of U

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_R := d_R^{-1} \hat{1}_R \in \mathcal{H}_R \quad (33)$$

- ▶ Hamiltonians $\widehat{H}_U = \widehat{H}_S + \widehat{H}_E + \widehat{H}_{\text{int}}$
- ▶ **Canonical state** of S with energy constraint

$$\widehat{\Omega}_S^{(\text{E})} := \text{tr}_E \hat{\mathcal{E}}_R \propto \exp(-\widehat{H}_S/T_{\text{th}}) \quad (34)$$

- ▶ **Theorem:** $\forall |\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_R$, the reduced state of S

$$\text{tr}_E |\phi\rangle \langle \phi| =: \hat{\rho}_S(\phi) \approx \widehat{\Omega}_S^{(\text{E})}.$$



Another New Foundation of Statistical Physics

Generic and exact version of the construction

- ▶ **Arbitrary** constraint R ; study the **trace distance** T between $\hat{\rho}_S(\phi)$ and $\hat{\Omega}_S$
- ▶ Lemma: **average distance** is small w.r.t. d_S/d_E^{eff}

$$\langle T(\hat{\rho}_S(\phi), \hat{\Omega}_S) \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{d_S/d_E^{\text{eff}}} \quad (36)$$

- ▶ Theorem: **probability of large deviation** is exponentially small w.r.t. the distance; an easy version

$$\frac{V\left[\left\{|\phi\rangle \mid T(\hat{\rho}_S(\phi), \hat{\Omega}_S) \geq d_R^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right\}\right]}{V[\{|\phi\rangle\}]} \leq 4 \exp\left(-\frac{2d_R^{\frac{1}{3}}}{9\mathfrak{M}^3}\right) \quad (37)$$

- ▶ Effective dimension of E : setting $\hat{\Omega}_E = \text{tr}_S \hat{\mathcal{E}}_R$,

$$d_U/d_S \equiv d_E \geq d_E^{\text{eff}} := \left(\text{tr} \hat{\Omega}_E^2\right)^{-1} \geq d_R/d_S.$$