

Hawking Radiation

A Comparison of Pure-state and Thermal Descriptions

Yi-Fan Wang (王一帆)

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität zu Köln

Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

Admissions Academy of

Bonn-Cologne Graduate School for Physics and Astronomy

March 30, 2017

arXiv: 1703.05373



Outline

Overview

Hawking Radiation

Model of Gravitation

Correlator of Field Strength

Distance between Density Operators



Outline

Overview

Hawking Radiation

Model of Gravitation

Correlator of Field Strength

Distance between Density Operators



Overview

- ▶ **Topic:** to compare the **pure state** of the radiation field used by Hawking, and the **thermal equilibrium state** of the field, if it were at Hawking temperature
- ▶ **Importance:** to understand Hawking temperature from a new perspective, which plays a central role in BH physics and QG
 - ▶ information loss, origin of BH entropy, etc.
- ▶ **Methods:** based on a dilaton gravity model, which is more solvable than Einstein–Hilbert; using methods in traditional QFT and QIT
- ▶ **Results:** the difference exists and is quantitatively visualised



Outline

Overview

Hawking Radiation

Model of Gravitation


Correlator of Field Strength

Distance between Density Operators



Background space-time: spherically collapsing body

Schematic conformal (Penrose–Carter) diagram



- ▶ QFT on fixed background
- ▶ Each point represents an S^2
- ▶ i^\mp : past / future time-like infinities
- ▶ i^0 : space-like infinity
- ▶ \mathcal{I}^\mp : past / future null inf.
- ▶ \mathcal{H}^+ : future event horizon
- ▶ **Thick** line: **boundary** of the collapsing body

Hawking Radiation

Results and interpretation [Haw74; Haw75]

- ▶ An early-time **vacuum** on \mathcal{I}^- in collapsing background

$$\hat{a}(p) |h\rangle := 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle h | \hat{n}_a(p) | h \rangle =: \langle \hat{n}_a(p) \rangle_h \equiv 0 \quad (1)$$

evolves to a late-time state on $\mathcal{I}^+ \cup \mathcal{H}^+$ **with particles** on \mathcal{I}^+

$$\langle \hat{n}_{\text{on } \mathcal{I}^+}(\omega) \rangle_h =: \langle \hat{n}_b(\omega) \rangle_h \approx \Gamma_\omega (e^{2\pi\omega/\kappa} - 1)^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

- ▶ Comparing eq. (2) with the Bose–Einstein distribution

$$\langle \hat{n}(\omega) \rangle_{\text{BE}} = (e^{\omega/T} - 1)^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

one may conclude that eq. (2) describes a grey-body radiation with the **Hawking temperature**,

$$T_{\text{H}} := \kappa/2\pi \equiv \hbar/c k \cdot \kappa/2\pi.$$



Hawking Radiation

Tension in the interpretation

- ▶ The state $|h\rangle$ or its density operator is **pure**,

$$\hat{\rho}_h = |h\rangle \langle h|, \quad (5)$$

whilst the of equilibrium bosonic ideal gas

$$\hat{\rho}_{\text{BE}}(T) = Z^{-1} e^{-\widehat{H}/T} \sim Z^{-1} \sum_E e^{-E/T} |E\rangle \langle E| \quad (6)$$

is **thermal** and **mixed**.

- ▶ How different are they? [Kie01; HR09]



Outline

Overview

Hawking Radiation

Model of Gravitation

Correlator of Field Strength

Distance between Density Operators



Classical theory of (1 + 1)d Dilaton Gravity Model I

[Cal+92; DK96; APR11]

- ▶ The action of the dilaton gravity model reads


$$S = \int \mathbb{d}^2x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{e^{-2\phi}}{G} [R + 4(\nabla\phi)^2 + 4\lambda^2] - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla f)^2 \right\}, \quad (7)$$

- ▶ $\phi(x)$ the dilaton field, without which topological
 - ▶ $f(x)$ a massless neutral scalar field representing matter
 - ▶ $\lambda > 0$ the cosmological constant
- ▶ Has a solution which **resembles** the collapsing body in (3 + 1)-dimensional Einstein gravitation



Classical theory of (1 + 1)d Dilaton Gravity Model II

[Cal+92; DK96; APR11]



- Each point represents a **point**
- **Thick** line: null matter **shell**



Quantum theory of (1 + 1)d Dilaton Gravity Model I

[DK96]

- ▶ Constraint system: Schrödinger quantisation does not apply
- ▶ (Formally) Dirac quantisation

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\parallel} \Psi[g, \phi, f] = 0, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp} \Psi[g, \phi, f] = 0. \quad (8)$$

- ▶ Semi-classical approximation: $\Psi = e^{\mathfrak{i}(G^{-1}S_0 + S_1 + GS_2 + \dots)}$
 - ▶ $O(G^{-1})$: Hamilton–Jacobi equation for pure gravity
 - ▶ $O(G^0)$: $\Psi = D[g, \phi] \chi[g, \phi, f]$; functional **Schrödinger equation for matter** $\mathfrak{i} \partial_t \chi[f] = \widehat{H}_m \chi[f]$, where

$$\widehat{H}_m = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \mathfrak{d}k \left(-\frac{\delta^2}{\delta f^2(k)} + k^2 f^2(k) \right). \quad (9)$$

- ▶ A quantum field theory in curved space-time can be **derived!**



Quantum theory of (1 + 1)d Dilaton Gravity Model II

[DK96]

- At early time, the **vacuum** wave functional is

$$\chi_0[f_e] \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} dk k f_e^2(k)\right\} \sim \prod_k \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{\Lambda} f_e^2\right\}, \quad (10)$$

while at late time it evolves to

$$\chi_b[f_l] \propto \exp\left\{-\int_{\mathbb{R}} dp p \coth\left(\frac{\pi p}{2\lambda}\right) |f_l(p)|^2\right\} \sim \prod_p e^{\dots}, \quad (11)$$

where $f_e(k)$ and $f_l(p)$ are the Fourier transform of the matter field at early and late time, respectively.

- At late time, **particle-number expectations** are

$$\langle \hat{n}_b(p) \rangle_{\chi_b} = \left(e^{2\pi|p|/\lambda} - 1 \right)^{-1}, \quad (12)$$

leading to a Hawking-like **black-body temperature**

$$T_{\text{HD}} := \lambda/2\pi.$$



Outline

Overview

Hawking Radiation

Model of Gravitation

Correlator of Field Strength

Distance between Density Operators



Correlation of Fourier Modes

The discrepancy

- ▶ The Fourier-mode correlators can be calculated,

$$\langle \hat{f}^\dagger(p_1) \hat{f}(p_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{T_{\text{HD}}} \delta(p_1 - p_2) \cdot \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{q}, & \text{vacuum;} \\ \frac{1}{8} \frac{\tanh \frac{q}{4}}{\frac{q}{4}}, & \chi_b; \\ \frac{1}{4} \frac{\coth \frac{q}{2}}{\frac{q}{2}}, & \hat{\rho}_{\text{BE}}(T_{\text{HD}}), \end{cases} \quad (14)$$


where $q := p_1/T_{\text{HD}}$

- ▶ Diagonal elements (fluctuations) are plotted



Correlation of Fourier Modes

Fluctuation of the Fourier modes: diagram in log-log scale



Correlation of Fourier Modes

Fluctuation of the Fourier modes: interpretation

- Vacuum fluctuation

$$\langle |\hat{f}|^2 \rangle_{\text{vac}} = O(q^{-1}) \quad (15)$$

- A black hole does **not** alter the **high**-energy processes

$$\langle |\hat{f}|^2 \rangle_{\chi_b} \approx \langle |\hat{f}|^2 \rangle_{\text{th}} \approx \langle |\hat{f}|^2 \rangle_{\text{vac}} = O(q^{-1}), \quad |p| \gg T_{\text{HD}} \quad (16)$$

- A black hole **suppresses** low-energy fluctuation of the **pure** state, while **enhancing** that of the **thermal** state

$$O(1) \sim \langle |\hat{f}|^2 \rangle_{\chi_b} \ll \langle |\hat{f}|^2 \rangle_{\text{vac}} \ll \langle |\hat{f}|^2 \rangle_{\text{th}} \sim O(q^{-2}), \quad |p| \ll T_{\text{HD}} \quad (17)$$

- Critical scale $|p| \sim T_{\text{HD}}$



Outline

Overview

Hawking Radiation

Model of Gravitation

Correlator of Field Strength

Distance between Density Operators



Trace Distance [Wil09, ch. 9]

Definitions

- ▶ Trace distance between Hermitian operators \widehat{M} and \widehat{N}

$$T(\widehat{M}, \widehat{N}) := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \sqrt{(\widehat{M} - \widehat{N})^\dagger (\widehat{M} - \widehat{N})} \quad (18)$$

- ▶ For **density operators** $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{\sigma}$,
 - ▶ $0 \leq T(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma}) \leq 1$;
 - ▶ Controlled by **fidelity** in [FG99]

$$\boxed{1 - F(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma}) \leq T(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma}) \leq \sqrt{1 - F^2(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma})},} \quad (19)$$

where we only need

$$F(|\alpha\rangle, \hat{\sigma}) := \langle \alpha | \hat{\sigma} | \alpha \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (20)$$

- ▶ In our application, T is difficult while F can be obtained.



Single-mode Distances between the Density Operators I

Bounds set by fidelity and eq. (19)

- ▶ The pure state can be decomposed upon discretisation $\chi_b[f] \sim \sum_p \chi_b^{(p)}(f_p) \equiv \sum_p \langle f_p | \chi_b^{(p)} \rangle$, where $f_p := f(p)$
- ▶ So is the thermal density operator $\hat{\rho}_{\text{th}}(T) \sim \bigotimes_p \hat{\rho}_{\text{th}}^{(p)}(T)$
- ▶ Fidelity (in eq. (19)) can be factorised as well

$$F \equiv \langle \chi_b | \hat{\rho}_{\text{th}} | \chi_b \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim \prod_p \langle \chi_b^{(p)} | \hat{\rho}_{\text{th}}^{(p)} | \chi_b^{(p)} \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} =: \prod_p F^{(p)}; \quad (21)$$


- ▶ $F^{(p)}$ can be computed in order to find bounds of T

$$F^{(p)}(|p\rangle, \hat{\rho}_{\text{th}}^{(p)}(T_{\text{HD}})) = \frac{\sqrt{u-1}}{\sqrt[4]{u^2+u+1}}, \quad u := e^q \equiv e^{|p|/T_{\text{HD}}}. \quad (22)$$



Single-mode Distances between the Density Operators II

Bounds set by fidelity and eq. (19)



All-modes Distances between the Density Operators I

Bounds set by fidelity and eq. (19)

- ▶ 'Go to the continuum limit': Λ dimension regulator

$$\sum_p g(p) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi\Lambda} \int \mathbb{d}p g(p), \quad (23)$$

- ▶ Analogously, to regularise a product


$$\prod_p f(p) \equiv \exp \left\{ \sum_p \ln f(p) \right\} \rightarrow \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi\Lambda} \int \mathbb{d}p \ln f(p) \right\} \quad (24)$$

- ▶ Regularised F can be calculated in order to set bounds of T

$$F(\chi_b, \hat{\rho}_{\text{th}}) = \exp \left\{ \frac{2}{2\pi\Lambda} \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{d}p \ln F(p) \right\} = \exp \left(-\frac{\pi}{9} \frac{T_{\text{HD}}}{\Lambda} \right). \quad (25)$$

All-modes Distances between the Density Operators II

Bounds set by fidelity and eq. (19)



Summary

- ▶ Compared the **pure** and the **thermal** descriptions of the radiation within the solvable dilaton gravity model
- ▶ Fourier-mode fluctuation: that of the thermal state **diverges** faster than the vacuum case does at low energy, while the pure-state fluc. remains **finite**; at high energies they **converge**.
- ▶ Trace distance: goes exponentially **small** with black hole **temperature** going to zero.
- ▶ Outlook in the proposed PhD study
 - ▶ Further discussion within full CGHS, BTZ, etc.
 - ▶ Make use of the decoherence theory
 - ▶ Nature of the microscopic degrees of freedom for BH entropy
 - ▶ Breakdown of the semi-classical approximations in QG



For Further Reading I



Mark M. Wilde. *Quantum Information Theory*. Cambridge University Press (CUP), 2009.



Abhay Ashtekar, Frans Pretorius, and Fethi M. Ramazanoğlu. “Evaporation of two-dimensional black holes”. In: *Phys Rev D* 83.4 (Feb. 2011).



Curtis G. Callan et al. “Evanescent black holes”. In: *Phys Rev D* 45.4 (Feb. 1992), R1005–R1009.







Jean-Guy Demers and Claus Kiefer. “Decoherence of black holes by Hawking radiation”. In: *Phys Rev D* 53.12 (June 1996), pp. 7050–7061.



C.A. Fuchs and J. van de Graaf. “Cryptographic distinguishability measures for quantum-mechanical states”. In: *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* 45.4 (May 1999), pp. 1216–1227.



For Further Reading II

-  S. W. Hawking. “Black hole explosions?” In: *Nature* 248.5443 (Mar. 1974), pp. 30–31.
-  S. W. Hawking. “Particle creation by black holes”. In: *Commun. Math. Phys.* 43.3 (Aug. 1975), pp. 199–220.
-  Stephen D. H. Hsu and David Reeb. “Black holes, information, and decoherence”. In: *Phys Rev D* 79.12 (June 2009).
-  Claus Kiefer. “Hawking radiation from decoherence”. In: *Classical Quantum Gravity* 18.22 (Nov. 2001), pp. L151–L154.



More on Trace Distance [Wil09, ch. 9]

Interpretation

- ▶ Maximal probability-difference obtainable

$$T(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma}) = \max_{0 \leq \hat{\Lambda} \leq \hat{1}} \text{tr}\{\hat{\Lambda}(\hat{\rho} - \hat{\sigma})\}, \quad (26)$$

where all eigenvalues of $\hat{\Lambda}$ are in the range $[0, 1]$

- ▶ E.g. $\hat{\Lambda} := |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$, $|\alpha\rangle$ eigenstate of \hat{A} with eigenvalue α
 - ▶ $\text{tr}\{\hat{\Lambda}\hat{\rho}\}$: the probability of getting α in measuring \hat{A}
 - ▶ $\text{tr}\{\hat{\Lambda}(\hat{\rho} - \hat{\sigma})\}$: the difference of the probability above
 - ▶ $T(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma})$: the maximal value of the difference above



More on Fidelity

► General definition [**Petz2008**]

$$F(|\alpha\rangle, |\beta\rangle) = |\langle\alpha|\beta\rangle| \quad (27)$$

$$F(|\alpha\rangle, \hat{\sigma}) = \sqrt{\langle\alpha|\hat{\sigma}|\alpha\rangle} \quad (20 \text{ rev.})$$

$$F(\hat{\rho}, \hat{\sigma}) = \text{tr} \sqrt{\hat{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\sigma} \hat{\rho}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (28)$$

► Interpretation: faithfulness

$$F(|\alpha\rangle, |\alpha\rangle) = 1 \quad (29)$$



Another New Foundation of Statistical Physics

[Popescu2006]

Specific and easy version of the construction

- ▶ Total isolated system U with **energy** constraint $\langle \hat{H}_U \rangle := E_U$, divided into a **(sub)system** S and an environment E
- ▶ Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_R \supseteq \mathcal{H}_U = \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$; $\hat{1}_R$ identity on \mathcal{H}_R , dimension $d_R := \dim \mathcal{H}_R < +\infty$
- ▶ Equiprobable / maximal-ignorant state of U

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}_R := d_R^{-1} \hat{1}_R \in \mathcal{H}_R \quad (30)$$

- ▶ Hamiltonians $\hat{H}_U = \hat{H}_S + \hat{H}_E + \hat{H}_{\text{int}}$
- ▶ **Canonical state** of S with energy constraint [Landau1980]

$$\hat{\Omega}_S^{(E)} := \text{tr}_E \hat{\mathcal{E}}_R \propto \exp(-\hat{H}_S/T_{\text{th}}) \quad (31)$$

- ▶ **Theorem:** $\forall |\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_R$, the reduced state of S

$$\text{tr}_E |\phi\rangle \langle \phi| =: \hat{\rho}_S(\phi) \approx \hat{\Omega}_S^{(E)}.$$



Another New Foundation of Statistical Physics

[Popescu2006]

Generic and exact version of the construction

- ▶ **Arbitrary** constraint R ; study the **trace distance** T between $\hat{\rho}_S(\phi)$ and $\hat{\Omega}_S$
- ▶ Lemma: **average distance** is small w.r.t. d_S/d_E^{eff}

$$\langle T(\hat{\rho}_S(\phi), \hat{\Omega}_S) \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{d_S/d_E^{\text{eff}}} \quad (33)$$

- ▶ Theorem: **probability of large deviation** is exponentially small w.r.t. the distance; an easy version

$$\frac{V\left[\left\{|\phi\rangle \mid T(\hat{\rho}_S(\phi), \hat{\Omega}_S) \geq d_R^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right\}\right]}{V[\{|\phi\rangle\}]} \leq 4 \exp\left(-\frac{2d_R^{\frac{1}{3}}}{9\pi^3}\right) \quad (34)$$

- ▶ Effective dimension of E : setting $\hat{\Omega}_E = \text{tr}_S \hat{\mathcal{E}}_R$,

$$d_U/d_S \equiv d_E \geq d_E^{\text{eff}} := \left(\text{tr} \hat{\Omega}_E^2\right)^{-1} \geq d_R/d_S. \quad (35)$$

