

Integrable Cosmological Models with Liouville Fields

Alexander A. Andrianov^{1,4} Chen Lan² Oleg O. Novikov¹
Yi-Fan Wang³

¹Saint-Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg 198504, Russia

²ELI-ALPS, ELI-Hu NKft, Dugonics tér 13, Szeged 6720, Hungary

³Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität zu Köln, Zülpicher Straße 77, 50937 Köln, Germany

⁴Institut de Ciències del Cosmos (ICCUB), Universitat de Barcelona, Spain

December 11, 2017



Outline

1. Introduction

2. Classical model and the implicit trajectories

Lagrangian formalism

3. Quantised model and the wave packets

Canonical formalism and Dirac quantisation
Semi-classical approximation
Inner product and wave packet

4. Conclusions



Outline

1. Introduction

2. Classical model and the implicit trajectories

Lagrangian formalism

3. Quantised model and the wave packets

Canonical formalism and Dirac quantisation
Semi-classical approximation
Inner product and wave packet

4. Conclusions



Introduction

Quintessence and phantom Liouville field

- Observed accelerated expansion can be explained by a cosmological constant¹, but its origin has not yet been understood.
- Proposals have been made of quintessence and phantom fields, which are minimally-coupled real scalar fields with $\ell = \pm 1$ ²

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ -\ell \frac{g^{\mu\nu}}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial_\nu \phi) - \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right\}. \quad (1)$$

- $\mathcal{V}(\phi) = V e^{\lambda\phi}$ where $\lambda, V \in \mathbb{R}$ is of interest, and can be called Liouville³.

¹ E. J. Copeland, M. Sami, and S. Tsujikawa. In: *International Journal of Modern Physics D* 15.11 (2006), pp. 1753–1935, K. Bamba et al. In: *Astrophysics and Space Science* 342.1 (2012), pp. 155–228.

²The signature of metric is mostly positive.

³ Y. Nakayama. In: *International Journal of Modern Physics A* 19.17n18 (2004), pp. 2771–2930.



Introduction

Friedmann–Lemaître model

- Assuming homogeneity and isotropy, one applies a flat Robertson–Walker metric

$$ds^2 = -N^2(t) dt^2 + \nu e^{2\alpha(t)} d\Omega_{3F}^2 \quad (2)$$

with $\nu := 8\pi G$, $d\Omega_{3F}^2 := d\chi^2 + \chi^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)$.

- Combined with the Liouville field, the total action reads
 $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S}_{EH} + \mathcal{S}_{GHY} + \mathcal{S}_L = \int d\Omega_3^2 \int dt L$,

$$L := \nu^{3/2} N e^{3\alpha} \left(-\frac{3}{\nu} \frac{\dot{\alpha}^2}{N^2} + \ell \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2N^2} - V e^{\lambda\phi} \right), \quad (3)$$

in which dot means d/dt .

- The model turns out to be integrable, both classically and quantum-mechanically, enabling one studying the relation between the classical and quantum theory.



Outline

1. Introduction

2. Classical model and the implicit trajectories

Lagrangian formalism

3. Quantised model and the wave packets

Canonical formalism and Dirac quantisation
Semi-classical approximation
Inner product and wave packet

4. Conclusions



Decoupling the variables

Via *rescaled special orthogonal transformation*

- Setting $\bar{N} := N e^{-3\alpha}$, eq. (3) transforms to

$$L = \varkappa^{3/2} \bar{N} \left(-\frac{3}{\varkappa} \frac{\dot{\alpha}^2}{\bar{N}^2} + \ell \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2\bar{N}^2} - V e^{\lambda\phi+6\alpha} \right) \quad (4)$$

- Defining $\Delta := \lambda^2 - 6\ell\varkappa$, $s := \operatorname{sgn} \Delta$ and $g := s\sqrt{|\Delta|} \equiv s\sqrt{s\Delta}$, the *rescaled special orthogonal transformation*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \phi \end{pmatrix} = \frac{s}{g} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & -\ell\varkappa \\ -6 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_\beta \beta \\ s_\chi \chi \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } s_\beta, s_\chi = \pm 1 \quad (5)$$

gives the decoupled Lagrangian

$$L = \varkappa^{3/2} \bar{N} \left(-s \frac{3}{\varkappa} \frac{\dot{\beta}^2}{\bar{N}^2} + \ell s \frac{\dot{\chi}^2}{2\bar{N}^2} - V e^{s_\chi g \chi} \right). \quad (6)$$

- The Euler–Lagrange equations w.r.t. \bar{N} , β and χ will be called the trsfed. 1st, 2nd Friedmann eqs. and the Klein–Gordon eq., respectively.



Implicit integration

General integral for $p_\beta \neq 0$

- Since β is cyclic in eq. (6), the trsfed. 2nd Friedmann eq. can be integrated⁴

$$\text{const.} \equiv p_\beta := \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\beta}} = -6\varsigma \nu^{1/2} \frac{\dot{\beta}}{\bar{N}} \equiv -6\varsigma \varsigma_\beta \frac{\nu^{1/2}}{g} \frac{\lambda \dot{\alpha} + \ell \nu \dot{\phi}}{\bar{N}}. \quad (7)$$

- For $p_\beta \neq 0$, fixing the *implicit gauge* $\bar{N} = -6\varsigma \sqrt{\nu} \dot{\beta}/p_\beta$, the trsfed. 1st Friedmann equation **can be integrated to get the trajectory**

$$e^{\beta_X g \chi} = \frac{p_\beta^2}{12\nu^2 |V|} S^2 \left(\varsigma_\beta \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\nu}} g \beta + C \right), \quad (8)$$

in which $\nu := \operatorname{sgn} V$, $(\operatorname{sgn}, \operatorname{sgn})$ means $(\ell, \varsigma \nu)$, and

$$\begin{array}{ll} {}_{(+,+)}S(\gamma) := \operatorname{sech}(\gamma), & {}_{(+,-)}S(\gamma) := \operatorname{csch}(\gamma), \\ {}_{(-,+)}S(\gamma) := \operatorname{sec}(\gamma), & {}_{(-,-)}S(\gamma) := i \operatorname{csc}(\gamma). \end{array} \quad (9)$$


⁴The same first integral in canonical formalism has been found in C. Lan. PhD thesis. Saint Petersburg State University, 2016, A. A. Andrianov, O. O. Novikov, and C. Lan. In: *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* 184.3 (2015), pp. 1224–1233.



Implicit integration

Specific integral for $p_\beta = 0$

- For $p_\beta = 0$, one has $\beta \equiv \beta_0$ or $\phi - \phi_0 = -\ell \lambda \alpha / \nu$, which is the familiar power-law special solution⁵.
- Further integrating the transformed first Friedmann equation demands $(+, -)$ or $(-, +)$ to guarantee $\bar{N} > 0$.




⁵ M. P. Dąbrowski, C. Kiefer, and B. Sandhöfer. In: *Physical Review D* 74.4 (2006).



Trajectories for quintessence model $(+, +)$

sech , with $C = 0$, $|V| = \kappa^{-2}$ and $p_\beta^2 = \kappa^2 \sqrt{|V|}$; varying λ




- has two asymptotes $\chi \propto \pm \beta$



Trajectories for quintessence model $(+, +)$

sech , with $C = 0$, $|V| = \nu^{-2}$ and $\lambda^2 = 3\nu$; varying p_β




- has two asymptotes $\chi \propto \pm\beta$



Trajectories for quintessence model $(+, +)$

sech, with $C = 0$, $\lambda^2 = 3\nu$ and $p_\beta^2 = \nu^2 \sqrt{|V|}$; varying V




- has two asymptotes $\chi \propto \pm \beta$



Trajectories for quintessence model $(+, -)$

csch , with $C = 0$, $|V| = \kappa^{-2}$ and $p_\beta^2 = \kappa^2 \sqrt{|V|}$; varying λ




- contains two distinct solutions, separated by $\beta = 0$
- has three asymptotes $\chi \propto \pm\beta$ and $\beta = 0$



Trajectories for quintessence model $(+, -)$: csch

csch, with $C = 0$, $|V| = \kappa^{-2}$ and $p_\beta^2 = \kappa^2 \sqrt{|V|}$; varying λ




- contains two distinct solutions, separated by $\beta = 0$
- has three asymptotes $\chi \propto \pm\beta$ and $\beta = 0$



Trajectories for quintessence model (+, -): csch

csch, with $V = \kappa^{-2}$ and $\lambda^2 = \kappa$; varying p_β




- contains two distinct solutions, separated by $\beta = 0$
- has three asymptotes $\chi \propto \pm\beta$ and $\beta = 0$



Trajectories for phantom model $(-, +)$

csc, with $C = 0$, $V = \kappa^{-2}$ and $p_\beta^2 = 3\kappa^2 \sqrt{|V|}$; varying λ




- contains infinite distinct solutions
- has infinite parallel asymptotes $\beta \propto n\pi$
- is β -even for $C = 0$



Trajectories for phantom model $(-, +)$

csc, with $C = 0$, $V = \kappa^{-2}$ and $p_\beta^2 = 3\kappa^2 \sqrt{|V|}$; varying λ




- contains infinite distinct solutions
- has infinite parallel asymptotes $\beta \propto n\pi$
- is β -even for $C = 0$



Trajectories for phantom model $(-, +)$

csc, with $C = 0$, $|V| = \kappa^{-2}$ and $\lambda^2 = 3\kappa$; varying p_β



- contains infinite distinct solutions
- has infinite parallel asymptotes $\beta \propto n\pi$
- is β -even for $C = 0$



Integration

Further discussions



- The integral for $(-, -)$ is not real.
- The implicit integration enables one to compare trajectories with wave functions, see below.

Outline

1. Introduction
2. Classical model and the implicit trajectories
Lagrangian formalism
3. Quantised model and the wave packets
Canonical formalism and Dirac quantisation
Semi-classical approximation
Inner product and wave packet
4. Conclusions



Dirac quantisation and the mode functions

- The primary Hamiltonian and the Hamiltonian constraint reads

$$H^P = \bar{N} H_{\perp} + v^{\bar{N}} p_{\bar{N}}, \quad (10)$$

$$H_{\perp} := -\mathcal{s} \frac{p_{\beta}^2}{12\nu^{1/2}} + \ell \mathcal{s} \frac{p_{\chi}^2}{2\nu^{3/2}} + \nu^{3/2} V e^{g_{\beta}\chi} \chi. \quad (11)$$

- Applying the Dirac quantisation rules with Laplace–Beltrami operator, one gets the mss. Wheeler–DeWitt eq. with (β, χ)

$$0 = \widehat{H}_{\perp} \Psi(\beta, \chi) := \left(\mathcal{s} \frac{\hbar^2}{12\nu^{1/2}} \partial_{\beta}^2 - \ell \mathcal{s} \frac{\hbar^2}{2\nu^{3/2}} \partial_{\chi}^2 + \nu^{3/2} V e^{g_{\beta}\chi} \chi \right) \Psi. \quad (12)$$

- Equation (12) is KG-like, hyperbolic for $\ell = +1$ and *elliptic* for $\ell = -1$.



Separation of the variables and mode functions

- Writing $\Psi(\beta, \chi) = \varphi(\beta)\psi(\chi)$, eq. (12) can be separated into

$$\ddot{\varphi}(\beta) := \partial_\beta^2 \varphi(\beta) = k_\beta^2 \varphi(\beta); \quad (13)$$

$$\mathbb{D}\psi(\chi) = k_\beta^2 \psi(\chi), \quad \mathbb{D} := -\ell \frac{6}{\varkappa} \partial_\chi^2 + s\nu \frac{12\varkappa^2 |V|}{\hbar^2}. \quad (14)$$

- Equation (14) turns out to be Besselian, and the mode functions are

$$\Psi_\nu(\beta, \chi) := \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i \varphi_\nu^{(i)}(\gamma) \sum_{j=1}^2 a_j B_\nu^{(j)}(\sigma), \quad \nu \geq 0; \quad (15)$$

$$\nu := \sqrt{\frac{2\varkappa}{3}} \frac{1}{g} k_\beta, \quad \gamma := \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\varkappa}} \frac{g}{1} \beta, \quad \sigma^2 := \frac{8\varkappa^3 |V| e^{g\beta \chi}}{\hbar^2 g^2}, \quad (16)$$

$${}_{(+,+)} B_\nu^{(i)}(\sigma) := K \text{ and } I_{i\nu}(\sigma), \quad {}_{(+,-)} B_\nu^{(i)}(\sigma) := F \text{ and } G_{i\nu}(\sigma),$$

$${}_{(-,+)} B_\nu^{(i)}(\sigma) := J \text{ and } Y_\nu(\sigma), \quad {}_{(-,-)} B_\nu^{(i)}(\sigma) := K \text{ and } I_\nu(\sigma).$$

- Adapted to imaginary order, $F_\nu(\sigma)$ and $G_\nu(\sigma)$ are defined in⁶.

⁶ T. M. Dunster. In: *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* 21.4 (1990), pp. 995–1018.



Physical mode functions

- Physical mode functions are expected to be regular on the boundary.
- $(+, +)$: $|I_{i\nu}(\sigma)| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$
- $(-, +)$:
 - $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|Y_n(\sigma)| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow -\infty$.
 - $\forall \nu \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{N}$, choose $J_{-\nu}$ instead of Y_ν , since $J_{\pm\nu}$ are also linearly independent.
 - $\forall \nu \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{N}$, $|J_{-\nu}(\sigma)| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow -\infty$.
- $(-, -)$: $|K_\nu(\sigma)| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow -\infty$; $|I_\nu(\sigma)| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$
- These are not to be included in the space of physical wave functions.
 $\forall \nu \geq 0$,
 - $(+, +)$: $K_{i\nu}(\sigma)$ survives
 - $(+, -)$: $F_{i\nu}(\sigma)$ and $G_{i\nu}(\sigma)$ survives
 - $(-, +)$: $J_\nu(\sigma)$ survives
 - $(-, -)$: drops out



Matching quantum number with classical first integral

Principle of constructive interference

- Baustelle
- In order to match the quantum number k_β (or *linearly*, ν) with the classical first integral p_β , one may apply the *principle of constructive interference*⁷.
- Writing the mode function in the WKB form

$$\Psi_{k_\beta}(\beta, \chi) \sim \sqrt{\rho} e^{\frac{iS}{\hbar}}, \quad S/\hbar \gg 1 \text{ and } k_\beta \gg 1, \quad (17)$$

the principle demands that $\partial S / \partial k_\beta = 0$ be equivalent to the classical trajectory.

⁷ C. Kiefer. In: *Nuclear Physics B* 341.1 (1990), pp. 273–293.



Matching quantum number with classical first integral

(+, +) as exemplar

- Fixing $\nu/\sigma > 1$, the asymptotic expansion reads

$$K_{\pm\nu}(\sigma) \sim \frac{\sqrt{2\pi} \cos\left(\sqrt{\nu^2 - \sigma^2} - \nu \arccos \frac{\nu}{\sigma} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)}{(\nu^2 - \sigma^2)^{1/4} e^{\mp\nu/2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right). \quad (18)$$

- There are two phases with opposite signs. Assuming c_i, a_j 's are real and applying *the principle* to $\Psi_\nu(\sigma)$, one has $\sigma/\nu = \operatorname{sech}(\beta_\beta \gamma)$, which matches the trajectory with $C = 0$ if

$$\hbar k_\beta \equiv \hbar \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\nu}} g \hbar \nu = p_\beta, \quad (19)$$

- Non-vanishing C can be compensated by the phase of c_i and a_j 's.
- Fixing $\nu/\sigma < 1$, the asymptotic expansion is not oscillatory, but exponential; it is not within the WKB regime.
- The conclusions also hold for $F_{\pm\nu}(\sigma), G_{\pm\nu}(\sigma)$ for $(+,-)$, and $J_\nu(\sigma)$ for $(-,+)$.



Inner product for wave functions

Schrödinger product

- To make sense of amplitude and wave packet, an inner product is necessary
- A common starting point is the *Schrödinger product*

$$(\Psi_1, \Psi_2)_S := \int d\chi \Psi_1^*(\beta, \chi) \Psi_2(\beta, \chi); \quad (20)$$

- $(\Psi, \Psi)_S$ is **positive-definite**, and the integrand $\rho_S(\beta, \chi)$ is **non-negative**
- The corresponding Schrödinger current is **real** but **does not satisfy continuity equation** $\dot{\rho}_S + \nabla \cdot \vec{j}_S = 0$, because eq. (12) is KG-like.
- $K_{i\nu}$ ⁸ for $(+, +)$, $F_{i\nu}$ and $G_{i\nu}$ for $(+, -)$ can be proved to be **orthogonal** and **complete** among themselves, as well as **can be normalised**.
 - $J_{i\nu}$'s for $(+, -)$ are **not orthogonal**

⁸ S. B. Yakubovich. In: *Opuscula Math.* 26.1 (2006), pp. 161–172, A. Passian et al. In: *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 360.2 (2009), pp. 380–390, R. Szmytkowski and S. Bielski. In: *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 365.1 (2010), pp. 195–197.



Peculiarity of the phantom model $(-, +)$

Orthogonality for mode functions; Hermiticity for operators

- $J_\nu(\sigma)$'s are not orthogonal under the Schrödinger product

$$(J_\nu, J_{\tilde{\nu}})_S \propto \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx J_\nu^*(e^x) J_{\tilde{\nu}}(e^x) = \frac{2\sin(\pi(\nu - \tilde{\nu})/2)}{\pi(\nu^2 - \tilde{\nu}^2)}, \quad (21)$$

therefore \mathbb{D} in eq. (14) is not Hermitian (though we do not need it so far)

- \hat{p}_χ^2 is not Hermitian for $\{J_\nu(\sigma)\}$ under the Schrödinger product

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx J_\nu^*(-\partial_x^2 J_{\tilde{\nu}}) - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx (-\partial_x^2 J_\nu)^* J_{\tilde{\nu}} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi(\nu - \tilde{\nu})}{2}. \quad (22)$$

- In order to save Hermiticity for p_χ^2 and \mathbb{D} and orthogonality of the modes under Schrödinger product, one can restrict

$$\nu = 2n + \nu_0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \nu_0 \in [0, 2). \quad (23)$$

- The classical trajectory is β -even; imposing the same condition fixes $\nu_0 = 1$.



Discretisation of the phantom model $(-, +)$

Levels of the phantom model are discretised if one imposes Hermiticity of squared momenta under the Schrödinger product.

- This kind of subtlety on Hermiticity is named as *self-adjoint extension*, which arises already for infinite square well⁹.
- It also applies to x^{-2} potentials¹⁰, which is of cosmological relevance¹¹.

⁹ G. Bonneau, J. Faraut, and G. Valent. In: *American Journal of Physics* 69.3 (2001), pp. 322–331.

¹⁰ A. M. Essin and D. J. Griffiths. In: *American Journal of Physics* 74.2 (2006), pp. 109–117,
V. S. Araujo, F. A. B. Coutinho, and J. F. Perez. In: *American Journal of Physics* 72.2 (2004),
pp. 203–213.

¹¹ M. Bouhmadi-López et al. In: *Physical Review D* 79.12 (2009).



Further inner products for wave functions

Klein–Gordon and Mostafazadeh product

- Since eq. (12) is KG-like, another popular choice is the KG product

$$(\Psi_1, \Psi_2)^g_{\text{KG}} := \mathrm{i}g \left\{ (\Psi_1, \dot{\Psi}_2)_S - (\dot{\Psi}_1, \Psi_2)_S \right\}, \quad g > 0. \quad (24)$$

- $(\Psi, \Psi)^g_{\text{KG}}$ is **real** but **not positive-definite**, so does the integrand ρ_{KG} ;
- The corresponding \vec{J}_{KG} is **conserved** $\dot{\rho}_{\text{KG}} + \nabla \cdot \vec{J}_{\text{KG}} = 0$ and **real**.
- Mostafazadeh¹² found a product *for Hermitian \mathbb{D} with positive spectrum*:

$$(\Psi_1, \Psi_2)^\kappa_M := \kappa \left\{ (\Psi_1, \mathbb{D}^{+1/2}\Psi_2)_S + (\dot{\Psi}_1, \mathbb{D}^{-1/2}\dot{\Psi}_2)_S \right\}, \quad \kappa > 0. \quad (25)$$

- $(\Psi, \Psi)^\kappa_M$ is **positive-definite**, but the integrand ρ_M^κ is **complex**
- The corresponding \vec{J}_M^κ is **conserved** $\dot{\rho}_M^\kappa + \nabla \cdot \vec{J}_M^\kappa = 0$ but also **complex**.

¹² A. Mostafazadeh. In: *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 20.1 (2002), pp. 155–171.



Mostafazadeh inner product and the corresponding density

- The real power of \mathbb{D} is defined by spectral decomposition $\mathbb{D}^\gamma := \sum_\nu \nu^{2\gamma} \mathbf{P}_\nu$,
 $\mathbf{P}_\nu \Psi := \Psi_\nu (\Psi_\nu, \Psi)_S$.
- It can be shown¹³ that *the density*

$$\varrho_M^\kappa := \kappa \left\{ |\mathbb{D}^{+1/4} \Psi|^2 + |\mathbb{D}^{-1/4} \dot{\Psi}|^2 \right\} \quad (26)$$

- is equivalent to ρ_M^κ up to a boundary term $\int d\chi \varrho_M^\kappa = \int d\chi \rho_M^\kappa \equiv (\Psi_1, \Psi_2)_M^\kappa$;
- is non-negative.
- The corresponding current \vec{J}_M^κ is real but not conserved¹⁴.

¹³ A. Mostafazadeh and F. Zamani. In: *Annals of Physics* 321.9 (2006), pp. 2183–2209.

¹⁴ B. Rosenstein and L. P. Horwitz. In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* 18.11 (1985), pp. 2115–2121.



Wave packets of Gaussian amplitude for continuous spectrum

Quintessence models

- It is difficult to find an amplitude such that the wave packet is Gaussian
- Instead, one can choose a Gaussian amplitude

$$A(\nu; \bar{\nu}, \sigma) := \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(\nu - \bar{\nu})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \right)^{1/2} \quad (27)$$

- In¹⁵, $A(\nu; \bar{\nu}, \sigma/\sqrt{2})$ was chosen.


¹⁵ M. P. Dąbrowski, C. Kiefer, and B. Sandhöfer. In: *Physical Review D* 74.4 (2006).




Wave packets of Gaussian amplitude for quintessence model (+, +)

$K_{\parallel\nu}$, with $\lambda = \kappa^{1/2}/2$, $V = -\kappa^{-2}$, $\bar{k}_\beta = -2$ and $\sigma_\beta = 5/4$

Schödinger




Mostafazadeh




Wave packets of Gaussian amplitude for quintessence model (+, +)

$K_{\parallel\nu}$, with $\lambda = \kappa^{1/2}/2$, $V = -\kappa^{-2}$, $\bar{k}_\beta = -2$ and $\sigma_\beta = 5/4$

Schödinger




Mostafazadeh




Wave packets of Gaussian amplitude for quintessence model (+, -)

$F_{\text{I}\nu}$, with $\lambda = 4\kappa^{1/2}/5$, $V = +\kappa^{-2}$, $\bar{k}_\beta = -7/2$ and $\sigma_\beta = 7/5$

Schödinger




Mostafazadeh




Wave packets of Gaussian amplitude for quintessence model (+, -)

$F_{\text{I}\nu}$, with $\lambda = 4\kappa^{1/2}/5$, $V = +\kappa^{-2}$, $\bar{k}_\beta = -7/2$ and $\sigma_\beta = 7/5$

Schödinger




Mostafazadeh




Wave packets of Gaussian amplitude for quintessence model (+, -)

$G_{\text{I}\nu}$, with $\lambda = 4\kappa^{1/2}/5$, $V = +\kappa^{-2}$, $\bar{k}_\beta = -7/2$ and $\sigma_\beta = 7/5$

Schödinger




Mostafazadeh




Wave packets of Gaussian amplitude for quintessence model (+, -)

$G_{\mu\nu}$, with $\lambda = 4\kappa^{1/2}/5$, $V = +\kappa^{-2}$, $\bar{k}_\beta = -7/2$ and $\sigma_\beta = 7/5$

Schödinger



Mostafazadeh



Wave packets with Poissonian amplitude for discrete spectrum

Discrete phantom model $(-, +)$

- Gaussian distribution works for continuous variable
- For discrete spectrum, one can choose a Poissonian amplitude

$$A_n(\bar{n}) := \left(e^{-\bar{n}} \frac{\bar{n}^n}{n!} \right)^{1/2} \quad (28)$$

- In¹⁶, $A_n(\bar{n}/\sqrt{2})$ was chosen.


¹⁶ C. Kiefer. In: *Nuclear Physics B* 341.1 (1990), pp. 273–293.




Wave packets of Poissonian amplitude for phantom model

J_{2n+1} , with $\lambda = 2\kappa^{1/2}$, $V = +\kappa^{-2}$ and $\bar{k}_\beta = 8$

Schödinger




Mostafazadeh




Wave packets of Poissonian amplitude for phantom model

J_{2n+1} , with $\lambda = 2\kappa^{1/2}$, $V = +\kappa^{-2}$ and $\bar{k}_\beta = 8$

Schödinger




Mostafazadeh




Wave packets of Gaussian amplitude for phantom model

J_ν , with $\lambda = 2\nu^{1/2}$, $V = +\nu^{-2}$, $\bar{k}_\beta = 8$ and $\sigma_\beta = 11/2$

Schödinger




Mostafazadeh




Wave packets of Gaussian amplitude for phantom model

J_ν , with $\lambda = 2\nu^{1/2}$, $V = +\nu^{-2}$, $\bar{k}_\beta = 8$ and $\sigma_\beta = 11/2$

Schödinger



Mostafazadeh



Outline

1. Introduction

2. Classical model and the implicit trajectories

Lagrangian formalism

3. Quantised model and the wave packets

Canonical formalism and Dirac quantisation
Semi-classical approximation
Inner product and wave packet

4. Conclusions



Issues

- Wave packets with multiple branches in $(+, -)$ and $(-, +)$ is to be understood
- Quantum-corrected \bar{k}_β is to be understood
- Wave packets with Gaussian profile are to be constructed, instead of inserting Gaussian / Poissonian amplitude artificially.
- A normalising κ for $(\cdot, \cdot)_M^\kappa$ is to be evaluated, otherwise a quantitative comparison of $(\cdot, \cdot)_S$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_M^\kappa$ is not possible.



Outlook

- Beyond isotropy: generalise to Bianchi models
 - Bianchi Type-I: a natural extension, **under investigation**
- Beyond homogeneity: cosmological perturbation, **under investigation**
- Beyond single field
 - Two exponential potentials: $V_1 = V_2$ and special λ_i
 - Multiple Liouville fields: mixing kinetic terms needed¹⁷
- Beyond classic matter
 - Non-Hermitian, PT -symmetric Liouville fields¹⁸: may cross the phantom divide

¹⁷ A. A. Andrianov, O. O. Novikov, and C. Lan. In: *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* 184.3 (2015), pp. 1224–1233.

¹⁸ A. A. Andrianov et al. In: *International Journal of Modern Physics D* 19.01 (2010), pp. 97–111, A. A. Andrianov, C. Lan, and O. O. Novikov. In: *Springer Proceedings in Physics*. Springer International Publishing, 2016, pp. 29–44.



Integration of the transformed first Friedmann equation

General integral for $p_\beta \neq 0$

In order to integrate the equation under the implicit gauge

$$\frac{p_\beta^2}{12} \left(-\ell \frac{\varkappa^{1/2}}{6} \left(\frac{d\chi}{d\beta} \right)^2 + \varkappa^{-1/2} \right) - \varkappa^{3/2} V e^{g_\beta \chi} = 0, \quad (29)$$

one can substitute

$$\gamma := \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\varkappa} g\beta}, \quad \tilde{\sigma}^2 := \frac{p_\beta^2}{12\varkappa^2 |V|} e^{-g_\beta \chi}, \quad (30)$$

to get

$$\left(\frac{d\tilde{\sigma}}{d\gamma} \right)^2 + \ell(\beta v - \tilde{\sigma}^2) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{d\gamma}{d\tilde{\sigma}} = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell(-\beta v + \tilde{\sigma}^2)}}, \quad (31)$$

which is of the standard inverse hyperbolic / trigonometric form **except for $(-, -)$.**



Integration of the separated minisuperspace WDW equation

In order to integrate the separated minisuperspace Wheeler–DeWitt equation

$$\mathbb{D}\psi(\chi) = k_\beta^2 \psi(\chi), \quad \mathbb{D} := -\ell \frac{6}{\nu} \partial_\chi^2 + \varsigma \nu \frac{12\nu^2 |V|}{\hbar^2}, \quad (14 \text{ rev.})$$

one can transform

$$\nu := \sqrt{\frac{2\nu}{3}} \frac{k_\beta}{g}, \quad \sigma^2 := \frac{8\nu^3 |V| e^{g_4 \chi}}{\hbar^2 g^2}, \quad (16 \text{ rev.})$$

to get

$$\sigma^2 \psi''(\sigma) + \sigma \psi'(\sigma) + \ell(\nu^2 - \varsigma \nu \sigma^2) \psi(\sigma) = 0, \quad (32)$$

which is of the standard Besselian form.

