

Coframe formalisms

Yi-Fan Wang

May 18, 2019

Contents

1	$U(1)$–regular theory	1
1.1	Differential geometry	1
1.2	Complex scalar field	3
1.2.1	Noether current	3
2	$U(1)$ gauge theory	3
2.1	Connection on the principal bundle	3
2.2	$U(1)$ -gauged complex scalar field theory	4
2.2.1	Lorenz gauge	4
2.2.2	Noether’s invariances	5
3	Poincaré–regular theory	5
3.1	Differential geometry	5
3.2	Complex scalar field	5
3.2.1	Noether current	5
3.3	Pure electromagnetic field	6
3.3.1	Noether current	6
4	Poincaré gauge theory	7
4.1	Differential forms	7
4.2	$U(1)$ -gauged complex scalar field theory	7
4.2.1	Noether’s invariances	7

1 $U(1)$ –regular theory

1.1 Differential geometry

Interior product Let z be a vector, ω be a 1-form, χ be a k -form. The *interior product* is defined inductively as the bilinear map satisfying

$$z \lrcorner \omega := \omega(z), \quad (1)$$

$$z \lrcorner (\omega \wedge \chi) := (z \lrcorner \omega) \wedge \chi - \omega \wedge (z \lrcorner \chi). \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) is also known as the anti-product rule.

By induction one can show that for a p -form ϕ ,

$$z \lrcorner (\phi \wedge \chi) = (z \lrcorner \phi) \wedge \chi + (-)^p \chi \wedge (z \lrcorner \phi). \quad (3)$$

Hodge star Let ω be a 1-form, χ be a k -form. The Hodge star \star is defined inductively as the linear map [4, sec. 24]

$$\star 1 := \text{vol}, \quad (4)$$

$$\star(\chi \wedge \omega) := \omega^\sharp \lrcorner \star \chi. \quad (5)$$

Non-gravitational theories features $[\delta, \star] = 0$, which means [7, sec. 3.2]

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = -2\omega_{(\mu\nu)}, \quad \delta \vartheta^\mu = \omega_\nu{}^\mu \vartheta^\nu; \quad (6)$$

for an orthonormal coframe, the allowed variations are $\omega_{(\alpha\beta)} = 0$.

Codifferential The *codifferential* \mathfrak{d}^\dagger is the adjoint of the exterior derivative \mathfrak{d} in the following sense. Let ϕ be a k -form, χ be a $(k-1)$ -form.

$$\mathfrak{d}(\chi^* \wedge \star \phi) \equiv \mathfrak{d}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - (-)^k \chi^* \wedge \mathfrak{d} \star \phi =: \mathfrak{d}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - \chi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}^\dagger \phi \quad (7)$$

$$= \mathfrak{d}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - \chi^* \wedge \star (-)^k \star^{-1} \mathfrak{d} \star \phi. \quad (8)$$

$$\boxed{\mathfrak{d}^\dagger \phi = (-)^k \star^{-1} \mathfrak{d} \star \phi.} \quad (9)$$

Untwisted orthonormal k -cobases Let $\{\vartheta^\alpha\}$ be an orthonormal coframe. The orthonormal basis for untwisted k -form is defined inductively as

$$1, \quad (10)$$

$$\vartheta^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k} := \vartheta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \vartheta^{\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}. \quad (11)$$

Twisted orthonormal k -cobases Let $\{\vartheta^\alpha\}$ be an orthonormal coframe. The orthonormal basis for twisted $(D-k)$ -form is defined inductively as

$$\epsilon := \text{vol}, \quad (12)$$

$$\epsilon_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k} := \vartheta_{\alpha_k} \lrcorner \epsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{k-1}}. \quad (13)$$

By using eq. (5) and induction, one can show that

$$\epsilon_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k} = \star \vartheta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}. \quad (14)$$

Identities Inspired by [9, eq. (3.167)], for a 1-form ω , k -form χ , one can derive

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \wedge \star \chi &= (-)^{D-k} \star \chi \wedge \omega = (-)^{D-k} \star^{-1} (\omega^\sharp \lrcorner \star \star \chi) \\ &= (-)^{D-k} (-)^{(D-k-1)(k+1)+s} \star \left(\omega^\sharp \lrcorner (-)^{k(D-k)+s} \star^{-1} \star \chi \right) \\ &= (-)^{k+1} \star (\omega^\sharp \lrcorner \chi). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Specifically,

$$\vartheta^\alpha \wedge \epsilon_{\beta\gamma} = -\delta_\beta^\alpha \epsilon_\gamma + \delta_\gamma^\alpha \epsilon_\beta, \quad (16)$$

$$\vartheta^\alpha \wedge \epsilon_{\beta\gamma\delta} = \delta_\beta^\alpha \epsilon_{\gamma\delta} - \delta_\gamma^\alpha \epsilon_{\beta\delta} + \delta_\delta^\alpha \epsilon_{\beta\gamma}. \quad (17)$$

One can further deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta^{\alpha\beta} \wedge \epsilon_{\gamma\delta\epsilon} &= (\delta_\gamma^\alpha \delta_\delta^\beta - \delta_\delta^\alpha \delta_\gamma^\beta) \epsilon_\epsilon \\ &\quad - (\delta_\gamma^\alpha \delta_\epsilon^\beta - \delta_\epsilon^\alpha \delta_\gamma^\beta) \epsilon_\delta \\ &\quad + (\delta_\delta^\alpha \delta_\epsilon^\beta - \delta_\epsilon^\alpha \delta_\delta^\beta) \epsilon_\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

1.2 Complex scalar field

The action reads

$$S = \int -\mathfrak{d}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi - m^2 \phi^* \wedge \star \phi \quad (19)$$

$$= \int -\frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{d}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi + \mathfrak{d}\phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^*) - \frac{1}{2}m^2(\phi^* \wedge \star \phi + \phi \wedge \star \phi^*) \quad (20)$$

Variation commutes with exterior derivative

$$[\delta, \mathfrak{d}]\phi = 0. \quad (21)$$

A generic variation of the kinetic terms reads

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\mathfrak{d}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi) &= \mathfrak{d}(\delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi + \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^*) \\ &\quad + \delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}^\dagger \mathfrak{d}\phi + \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}^\dagger \mathfrak{d}\phi^*. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

A generic variation of the action reads

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S &= \int \mathfrak{d}(-\delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi - \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^*) \\ &\quad - \delta\phi^* \wedge \star (\mathfrak{d}^\dagger \mathfrak{d} + m^2)\phi - \delta\phi \wedge \star (\mathfrak{d}^\dagger \mathfrak{d} + m^2)\phi^*. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

1.2.1 Noether current

The action is invariant

$$\delta_\lambda S \equiv 0 \quad (24)$$

under the rigid transformation

$$\phi \rightarrow e^{-ie\lambda} \phi, \quad \phi^* \rightarrow e^{+ie\lambda} \phi^*. \quad (25)$$

When the equations of motion are satisfied, infinitesimal transformation leads to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int \lambda \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{J}_0, \\ \mathfrak{J}_0 &:= ie(\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi - \phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^*), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

which is the Noether current, a twisted 3-form, satisfying the continuity equation

$$\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{J}_0 = 0. \quad (27)$$

2 U(1) gauge theory

2.1 Connection on the principal bundle

Exterior covariant derivative Let χ be a \mathbb{C} -valued k -form. The *exterior covariant derivative* of χ reads

$$\mathbb{D}\chi := (\mathfrak{d} - ieA)\chi, \quad \mathbb{D}\chi^* := (\mathfrak{d} + ieA)\chi^*, \quad (28)$$

where A is a $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ -valued *connection form*.

Covariant codifferential The *covariant codifferential* \mathbb{D}^\dagger is the adjoint of the exterior covariant derivative \mathbb{D} in the following sense. Let ϕ be a \mathbb{C} -valued k -form, χ be a \mathbb{C} -valued $(k-1)$ -form.

$$\mathbb{d}(\chi^* \wedge \star \phi) \equiv \mathbb{d}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - (-)^k \chi^* \wedge \mathbb{d} \star \phi =: \mathbb{D}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - \chi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}^\dagger \phi \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathbb{D}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - ieA \wedge \chi^* \wedge \star \phi - (-)^k \chi^* \wedge \mathbb{d} \star \phi \\ &= \mathbb{D}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi + \chi^* \wedge (-)^k ieA \star \phi - (-)^k \chi^* \wedge \mathbb{d} \star \phi \\ &= \mathbb{D}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - \chi^* \wedge \star (-)^k \star^{-1} (\mathbb{d} - ieA) \star \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$\boxed{\mathbb{D}^\dagger \phi = (-)^k \star^{-1} (\mathbb{d} - ieA) \star \phi.} \quad (31)$$

2.2 U(1)-gauged complex scalar field theory

$$S = \int -\mathbb{D}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi - m^2 \phi^* \wedge \star \phi - \frac{1}{2} F \wedge \star F. \quad (32)$$

Variation does not commute with exterior covariant derivative.

$$[\delta, \mathbb{D}]\phi = -ie\delta A \phi. \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\mathbb{D}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi) &= \mathbb{d}(\delta\phi^* \star \mathbb{D}\phi + \delta\phi \star \mathbb{D}\phi^*) + \delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}^\dagger \mathbb{D}\phi + \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathbb{D}^\dagger \mathbb{D}\phi^* \\ &\quad + \delta A \wedge \mathfrak{I}_A, \quad \mathfrak{I}_A := ie(\phi^* \star \mathbb{D}\phi - \phi \star \mathbb{D}\phi^*), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\delta(F \wedge \star F) = 2\delta F \wedge \star F \quad (35)$$

$$= 2\mathbb{d}(\delta A \wedge \star F) + 2\delta A \wedge \mathbb{d} \star F. \quad (36)$$

Variation of the action

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S &= \int \mathbb{d}(-\delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi - \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi^* - \delta A \wedge \star F) \\ &\quad - \delta\phi^* \wedge \star (\mathbb{D}^\dagger \mathbb{D} + m^2)\phi - \delta\phi \wedge \star (\mathbb{D}^\dagger \mathbb{D} + m^2)\phi^* \\ &\quad - \delta A \wedge (\mathbb{d} \star F - \mathfrak{I}_A). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

2.2.1 Lorenz gauge

The Laplace–de Rham operator, or in our Lorentzian metric signature the d'Alembertian

$$\square^2 := (\mathbb{d} + \mathbb{d}^\dagger)^2 = \mathbb{d}\mathbb{d}^\dagger + \mathbb{d}^\dagger \mathbb{d}. \quad (38)$$

$$\mathbb{d} \star F = \mathbb{d} \star \mathbb{d}A = \star(-)^2 \star^{-1} \mathbb{d} \star \mathbb{d}A = \star \mathbb{d}^\dagger \mathbb{d}A = \star(\square^2 - \mathbb{d}\mathbb{d}^\dagger)A. \quad (39)$$

One would like to have $\mathbb{d}\mathbb{d}^\dagger A = 0$, or $\mathbb{d}^\dagger A = \text{const.}$ This would be fulfilled if

$$\mathbb{d}^\dagger A = 0, \quad (40)$$

which is the Lorenz gauge[2, 3, 6].

2.2.2 Noether's invariances

The action is invariant under the generic transformation

$$\phi \rightarrow e^{-ie\Lambda}\phi, \quad \phi^* \rightarrow e^{+ie\Lambda}\phi^*, \quad A \rightarrow A - d\Lambda. \quad (41)$$

There are two scenarios [1].

If the transformation is rigid, $d\Lambda = 0$, one obtains \mathfrak{J}_A as the Noether current from the boundary term as before, which satisfies the continuity equation $d\mathfrak{J}_A = 0$.

If the transformation is gauge with a compact support, the boundary term can be dropped, and one obtains the Noether identity

$$123 \quad (42)$$

3 Poincaré-regular theory

3.1 Differential geometry

Translation Consider the rigid infinitesimal transformation

$$\delta_\lambda \chi = \lambda \mathfrak{L}_z \chi = \lambda [z \lrcorner d\chi + d(z \lrcorner \chi)]; \quad (43)$$

‘rigid’ means

$$\delta_\lambda \vartheta^\alpha = 0. \quad (44)$$

3.2 Complex scalar field

3.2.1 Noether current

The action is invariant up to a total differential

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\lambda S &= \int \lambda d(z \lrcorner \mathfrak{L}) \\ &= \int \lambda \frac{1}{2} d\{-z \lrcorner [d\phi^* \wedge \star d\phi + d\phi \wedge \star d\phi^* + m^2(\phi^* \star \phi + \phi \star \phi^*)]\}, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

under the rigid infinitesimal transformation

$$\delta\phi = \lambda \mathfrak{L}_z \phi = \lambda z \lrcorner d\phi, \quad \delta\phi^* = \lambda \mathfrak{L}_z \phi^* = \lambda z \lrcorner d\phi^*. \quad (46)$$

When the equations of motion are satisfied, infinitesimal transformation leads to

$$\delta_\lambda S = \int \lambda d\{-(z \lrcorner d\phi^*) \wedge \star d\phi - (z \lrcorner d\phi) \wedge \star d\phi^*\}. \quad (47)$$

Taking the difference and setting $z = e_\alpha$ yields

$$0 = \int \lambda d\mathfrak{T}_\alpha, \quad (48)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathfrak{T}_\alpha &:= -(e_\alpha \lrcorner d\phi^*) \wedge \star d\phi - d\phi^* \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star d\phi) \\ &\quad - (e_\alpha \lrcorner d\phi) \wedge \star d\phi^* - d\phi \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star d\phi^*) \\ &\quad + m^2(\phi^* e_\alpha \lrcorner \star \phi + \phi e_\alpha \lrcorner \star \phi^*). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

The continuity equation reads

$$\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{T}_\alpha = 0. \quad (50)$$

In components,

$$\mathfrak{T}_\alpha = -[e_\alpha(\phi^*)e^\beta(\phi) + e_\alpha(\phi)e^\beta(\phi^*)]\epsilon_\beta + e^\beta(\phi^*)e_\beta(\phi^*)\epsilon_\alpha + m^2\phi^*\phi\epsilon_\alpha. \quad (51)$$

3.3 Pure electromagnetic field

3.3.1 Noether current

The action is invariant up to a total differential

$$\delta_\lambda S = \int \lambda \mathfrak{d}(z \lrcorner \mathfrak{L}) = \int \lambda \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{d}\{-z \lrcorner (F \wedge \star F)\}, \quad (52)$$

under the rigid infinitesimal transformation combined with a gauge transformation [8, eq. 3.46]

$$\delta A = \lambda\{z \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}(A - \mathfrak{d}\Lambda) + \mathfrak{d}[z \lrcorner (A - \mathfrak{d}\Lambda)]\}. \quad (53)$$

Choosing

$$\mathfrak{d}\Lambda = A(z)z^\flat \quad (54)$$

makes the second term vanish, yielding

$$\delta A = \lambda z \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}A = \lambda z \lrcorner F. \quad (55)$$

When the equations of motion are satisfied, infinitesimal transformation leads to

$$\delta_\lambda S = \int \lambda \mathfrak{d}\{-(z \lrcorner F) \wedge \star F\}. \quad (56)$$

Taking the difference and setting $z = e_\alpha$ yields

$$0 = \int \lambda \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{T}_\alpha, \quad (57)$$

$$2\mathfrak{T}_\alpha := -(e_\alpha \lrcorner F) \wedge \star F + F \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star F). \quad (58)$$

The continuity equation reads

$$\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{T}_\alpha = 0. \quad (59)$$

In components, one needs

$$e_\alpha \lrcorner F = F_{\alpha\beta} \vartheta^\beta, \quad (60)$$

$$e_\alpha \lrcorner \star F = \frac{1}{2} F^{\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\beta\gamma\alpha}; \quad (61)$$

so that

$$(e_\alpha \lrcorner F) \wedge \star F = -F_{\alpha\gamma} F^{\gamma\beta} \epsilon_\beta, \quad (62)$$

$$F \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star F) = \frac{1}{2} F_{\beta\gamma} F^{\beta\gamma} \epsilon_\alpha + F_{\alpha\gamma} F^{\gamma\beta} \epsilon_\beta. \quad (63)$$

One finally has

$$\mathfrak{T}_\alpha = \frac{1}{4} F_{\beta\gamma} F^{\beta\gamma} \epsilon_\alpha + F_{\alpha\gamma} F^{\gamma\beta} \epsilon_\beta. \quad (64)$$

4 Poincaré gauge theory

4.1 Differential forms

Upon variation of ϑ^α , $\vartheta^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}$ goes under

$$\delta\vartheta^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} = \delta\vartheta^\alpha \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \vartheta^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}), \quad (65)$$

which can be proved by induction.

Upon variation of ϑ^α , $\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}$ goes under [7, sec. A.2]

$$\delta\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} = \delta\vartheta^\alpha \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}). \quad (66)$$

Variation of Hodge star In gravitational theories [7, sec. 3.2] with an orthonormal cobasis,

$$[\delta, \star]\phi = \delta\vartheta^\alpha \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star\phi) - \star(\delta\vartheta^\alpha \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \phi)). \quad (67)$$

Let χ be a p -form, ϕ another form [5, sec. 5].

$$\delta(\chi \wedge \star\phi) = \delta\chi \wedge \star\phi + \delta\phi \wedge \star\chi - \delta\vartheta^\alpha \wedge \Sigma_\alpha, \quad (68)$$

$$\Sigma_\alpha := \chi \wedge \{\star(e_\alpha \lrcorner \phi) - (-)^p(e_\alpha \lrcorner \star\phi)\}. \quad (69)$$

4.2 U(1)-gauged complex scalar field theory

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_\alpha = & -\mathbb{D}\phi^* \wedge \{\star(e_\alpha \lrcorner \mathbb{D}\phi) + (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star\mathbb{D}\phi)\} - m^2\phi^*\phi\epsilon_\alpha \\ & - \frac{1}{2}F \wedge \{\star(e_\alpha \lrcorner F) - (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star F)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

4.2.1 Noether's invariances

[1]

Rigid translation

References

- [1] S. G. Avery and B. U. W. Schwab, “Noether’s second theorem and ward identities for gauge symmetries”, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2016** (2015), arXiv:1510.07038v2 [**hep-th**] (cit. on pp. 5, 7).
- [2] J. van Bladel, “Lorenz or lorentz?”, *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine* **33**, 69–69 (1991) (cit. on p. 4).
- [3] J. van Bladel, “Lorenz or lorentz? [addendum]”, *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine* **33**, 56–56 (1991) (cit. on p. 4).
- [4] W. L. Burke, *Applied differential geometry* (Cambridge University Press, 1985), ISBN: 9780521269292 (cit. on p. 2).
- [5] Y. Itin, “On variations in teleparallelism theories”, (1999), arXiv:gr-qc/9904030 [**gr-qc**] (cit. on p. 7).

- [6] L. Lorenz, “XXXVIII. on the identity of the vibrations of light with electrical currents”, The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science **34**, 287–301 (1867) (cit. on p. 4).
- [7] U. Muench, F. Gronwald, and F. W. Hehl, “A brief guide to variations in teleparallel gauge theories of gravity and the kaniel-itin model”, General Relativity and Gravitation **30**, 933–961 (1998), arXiv:[gr-qc/9801036](#) [[gr-qc](#)] (cit. on pp. 2, 7).
- [8] F. Scheck, *Theoretische physik, Klassische feldtheorie*, Von Elektrodynamik, nicht-Abelschen Eichtheorien und Gravitation, 4th ed., Vol. 3 (Springer, 2017), ISBN: 9783662536384 (cit. on p. 6).
- [9] N. Straumann, *General relativity*, 2nd ed. (Springer, 2013), ISBN: 9789400754096 (cit. on p. 2).