

Differential form

Yi-Fan Wang

May 11, 2019

Contents

1	Interior product	1
2	Hodge star	1
3	Covariant differential of U(1)-gauge field	2
4	Covariant codifferential	2
5	Maxwell–Klein–Fock–Gordon theory	2
5.1	Lorenz gauge	3
6	Untwisted orthonormal k-cobases	3
7	Twisted orthonormal k-cobases	3

1 Interior product

Let z be a vector, ω be a 1-form, χ be a k -form. The *interior product* is defined inductively as the bilinear map satisfying

$$z \lrcorner \omega := \omega(z), \quad (1)$$

$$z \lrcorner (\omega \wedge \chi) := (z \lrcorner \omega) \wedge \chi - \omega \wedge (z \lrcorner \chi). \quad (2)$$

Anti-product rule.

For a p -form ϕ ,

$$z \lrcorner (\phi \wedge \chi) = (z \lrcorner \phi) \wedge \chi + (-)^p \chi \wedge (z \lrcorner \phi). \quad (3)$$

2 Hodge star

Let ω be an 1-form, χ be a k -form. The Hodge star \star is defined inductively as the linear map [3, sec. 24]

$$\star 1 := \text{vol}, \quad (4)$$

$$\star(\chi \wedge \omega) := \omega^\sharp \lrcorner \star \chi. \quad (5)$$

3 Covariant differential of U(1)-gauge field

χ a \mathbb{C} -valued k -form

$$\mathbb{D}\chi := (\mathfrak{d} - ieA) \wedge \chi, \quad \mathbb{D}\chi^* := (\mathfrak{d} + ieA) \wedge \chi^*, \quad (6)$$

A the $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ -valued connection form.

4 Covariant codifferential

Define the covariant codifferential of a \mathbb{C} -valued k -form ϕ as follows. Let χ be an arbitrary \mathbb{C} -valued $(k-1)$ -form.

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathfrak{d}(\chi^* \wedge \star \phi) &\equiv \int \mathfrak{d}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - (-)^k \chi^* \wedge \mathfrak{d} \star \phi =: \int \mathbb{D}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - \chi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}^\dagger \phi \quad (7) \\ &= \int \mathbb{D}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - ieA \wedge \chi^* \wedge \star \phi - (-)^k \chi^* \wedge \mathfrak{d} \star \phi \\ &= \int \mathbb{D}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi + \chi^* \wedge (-)^k ieA \wedge \star \phi - (-)^k \chi^* \wedge \mathfrak{d} \star \phi \\ &= \int \mathbb{D}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - \chi^* \wedge \star (-)^k \star^{-1} (\mathfrak{d} - ieA) \wedge \star \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\boxed{\mathbb{D}^\dagger \phi = (-)^k \star^{-1} (\mathfrak{d} - ieA) \wedge \star \phi.} \quad (9)$$

5 Maxwell–Klein–Fock–Gordon theory

$$S = \int -\mathbb{D}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi - m^2 \phi^* \wedge \star \phi - \frac{1}{2} F \wedge \star F. \quad (10)$$

$$\delta_m \mathbb{D}\phi = -ie\delta A \phi + \mathbb{D}\delta\phi. \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_m(\mathbb{D}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi) &= \mathfrak{d}(\delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi + \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi^*) \\ &\quad + \delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}^\dagger \mathbb{D}\phi + \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathbb{D}^\dagger \mathbb{D}\phi^* \\ &\quad + \delta A \wedge (ie(\phi^* \star \mathbb{D}\phi - \phi \star \mathbb{D}\phi^*)), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$\delta_m(F \wedge \star F) = 2\mathfrak{d}(\delta A \wedge \star F) - 2\delta A \wedge \mathfrak{d}\star F. \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_m S &= \int -\mathfrak{d}(\delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi + \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi^* + \delta A \wedge \star F) \\ &\quad + \delta\phi^* \wedge \star (\mathbb{D}^\dagger \mathbb{D} - m^2)\phi + \delta\phi \wedge \star (\mathbb{D}^\dagger \mathbb{D} - m^2)\phi^* \\ &\quad + \delta A \wedge (-\mathfrak{d}\star F + ie(\phi^* \star \mathbb{D}\phi - \phi \star \mathbb{D}\phi^*)). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

5.1 Lorenz gauge

The Laplace–de Rham operator, or in our signature of metric the d’Alembertian

$$\square^2 := (\mathfrak{d} + \mathfrak{d}^\dagger)^2 = \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{d}^\dagger + \mathfrak{d}^\dagger\mathfrak{d}. \quad (15)$$

$$\mathfrak{d}\star F = \mathfrak{d}\star\mathfrak{d}A = \star(-)^2\star^{-1}\mathfrak{d}\star\mathfrak{d}A = \star\mathfrak{d}^\dagger\mathfrak{d}A = \star(\square^2 - \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{d}^\dagger)A. \quad (16)$$

One would like to have $\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{d}^\dagger A = 0$, or $\mathfrak{d}^\dagger A = \text{const.}$ This would be fulfilled if

$$\mathfrak{d}^\dagger A = 0, \quad (17)$$

which is the Lorenz gauge [1, 2, 4].

6 Untwisted orthonormal k -cobases

Let $\{\vartheta^\alpha\}$ be an orthonormal coframe. The orthonormal basis for untwisted k -form is defined inductively as

$$1, \quad (18)$$

$$\vartheta^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} := \vartheta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \vartheta^{\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}. \quad (19)$$

Upon variation of ϑ^α , $\vartheta^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}$ goes under

$$\delta_\vartheta \vartheta^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} = \delta\vartheta^\alpha \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \vartheta^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}), \quad (20)$$

which can be proved by induction.

7 Twisted orthonormal k -cobases

Let $\{\vartheta^\alpha\}$ be an orthonormal coframe. The orthonormal basis for twisted $(D - k)$ -form is defined inductively as

$$\epsilon := \text{vol}, \quad (21)$$

$$\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} := e_{\alpha_k} \lrcorner \epsilon_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_{k-1}}. \quad (22)$$

By using eq. (2.0.2) and induction, one can show that

$$\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} = \star\vartheta_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}. \quad (23)$$

Upon variation of ϑ^α , $\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}$ goes under [5, sec. A.2]

$$\delta_\vartheta \epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} = \delta\vartheta^\alpha \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}). \quad (24)$$

References

- [1] J. van Bladel, “Lorenz or lorentz?”, IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine **33**, 69–69 (1991) (cit. on p. 3).
- [2] J. van Bladel, “Lorenz or lorentz? [addendum]”, IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine **33**, 56–56 (1991) (cit. on p. 3).

- [3] W. L. Burke, *Applied differential geometry* (Cambridge University Press, 1985) (cit. on p. 1).
- [4] L. Lorenz, “XXXVIII. on the identity of the vibrations of light with electrical currents”, *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science* **34**, 287–301 (1867) (cit. on p. 3).
- [5] U. Muench, F. Gronwald, and F. W. Hehl, “A brief guide to variations in teleparallel gauge theories of gravity and the kaniel-itin model”, *General Relativity and Gravitation* **30**, 933–961 (1998), arXiv:gr-qc/9801036 [gr-qc] (cit. on p. 3).