

# Coframe formalisms

Yi-Fan Wang

May 17, 2019

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b><math>U(1)</math>–regular theory</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Differential geometry . . . . .	1
1.2	Complex scalar field . . . . .	2
1.2.1	Noether current . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b><math>U(1)</math> gauge theory</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Connection on the principal bundle . . . . .	3
2.2	$U(1)$ -gauged complex scalar field theory . . . . .	3
2.2.1	Lorenz gauge . . . . .	4
2.2.2	Noether’s invariances . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Poincaré–regular theory</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Differential geometry . . . . .	4
3.2	Complex scalar field . . . . .	5
3.2.1	Noether current . . . . .	5
<b>4</b>	<b>Poincaré gauge theory</b>	<b>6</b>
4.1	Differential forms . . . . .	6
4.2	$U(1)$ -gauged complex scalar field theory . . . . .	6
4.2.1	Noether’s invariances . . . . .	6

## 1 $U(1)$ –regular theory

### 1.1 Differential geometry

**Interior product** Let  $z$  be a vector,  $\omega$  be a 1-form,  $\chi$  be a  $k$ -form. The *interior product* is defined inductively as the bilinear map satisfying

$$z \lrcorner \omega := \omega(z), \quad (1)$$

$$z \lrcorner (\omega \wedge \chi) := (z \lrcorner \omega) \wedge \chi - \omega \wedge (z \lrcorner \chi). \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) is also known as the anti-product rule.

By induction one can show that for a  $p$ -form  $\phi$ ,

$$z \lrcorner (\phi \wedge \chi) = (z \lrcorner \phi) \wedge \chi + (-)^p \chi \wedge (z \lrcorner \phi). \quad (3)$$

**Hodge star** Let  $\omega$  be an 1-form,  $\chi$  be a  $k$ -form. The Hodge star  $\star$  is defined inductively as the linear map [4, sec. 24]

$$\star 1 := \text{vol}, \quad (4)$$

$$\star(\chi \wedge \omega) := \omega^\sharp \lrcorner \star \chi. \quad (5)$$

Non-gravitational theories features  $[\delta, \star] = 0$ , which means [7, sec. 3.2]

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = -2\omega_{(\mu\nu)}, \quad \delta \vartheta^\mu = \omega_\nu{}^\mu \vartheta^\nu; \quad (6)$$

for an orthonormal coframe, the allowed variations are  $\omega_{(\alpha\beta)} = 0$ .

**Codifferential** The *codifferential*  $\mathfrak{d}^\dagger$  is the adjoint of the exterior derivative  $\mathfrak{d}$  in the following sense. Let  $\phi$  be a  $k$ -form,  $\chi$  be a  $(k-1)$ -form.

$$\mathfrak{d}(\chi^* \wedge \star \phi) \equiv \mathfrak{d}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - (-)^k \chi^* \wedge \mathfrak{d} \star \phi =: \mathfrak{d}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - \chi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}^\dagger \phi \quad (7)$$

$$= \mathfrak{d}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - \chi^* \wedge \star (-)^k \star^{-1} \mathfrak{d} \star \phi. \quad (8)$$

$$\boxed{\mathfrak{d}^\dagger \phi = (-)^k \star^{-1} \mathfrak{d} \star \phi.} \quad (9)$$

## 1.2 Complex scalar field

The action reads

$$S = \int -\mathfrak{d}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi - m^2 \phi^* \wedge \star \phi \quad (10)$$

$$= \int -\frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{d}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi + \mathfrak{d}\phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^*) - \frac{1}{2}m^2(\phi^* \wedge \star \phi + \phi \wedge \star \phi^*) \quad (11)$$

Variation commutes with exterior derivative

$$[\delta, \mathfrak{d}]\phi = 0. \quad (12)$$

A generic variation of the kinetic terms reads

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\mathfrak{d}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi) &= \mathfrak{d}(\delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi + \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^*) \\ &\quad + \delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}^\dagger \mathfrak{d}\phi + \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}^\dagger \mathfrak{d}\phi^*. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

A generic variation of the action reads

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S &= \int \mathfrak{d}(-\delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi - \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^*) \\ &\quad - \delta\phi^* \wedge \star (\mathfrak{d}^\dagger \mathfrak{d} + m^2)\phi - \delta\phi \wedge \star (\mathfrak{d}^\dagger \mathfrak{d} + m^2)\phi^*. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

### 1.2.1 Noether current

The action is invariant

$$\delta_\lambda S \equiv 0 \quad (15)$$

under the rigid transformation

$$\phi \rightarrow e^{-ie\lambda} \phi, \quad \phi^* \rightarrow e^{+ie\lambda} \phi^*. \quad (16)$$

When the equations of motion are satisfied, infinitesimal transformation leads to

$$0 = \int \lambda \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{J}_0, \quad \mathfrak{J}_0 := ie(\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi - \phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^*), \quad (17)$$

which is the Noether current, a twisted 3-form, satisfying the continuity equation

$$\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{J}_0 = 0. \quad (18)$$

## 2 U(1) gauge theory

### 2.1 Connection on the principal bundle

**Exterior covariant derivative** Let  $\chi$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued  $k$ -form. The *exterior covariant derivative* of  $\chi$  reads

$$\mathbb{D}\chi := (\mathfrak{d} - ieA)\chi, \quad \mathbb{D}\chi^* := (\mathfrak{d} + ieA)\chi^*, \quad (19)$$

where  $A$  is a  $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ -valued *connection form*.

**Covariant codifferential** The *covariant codifferential*  $\mathbb{D}^\dagger$  is the adjoint of the exterior covariant derivative  $\mathbb{D}$  in the following sense. Let  $\phi$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued  $k$ -form,  $\chi$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued  $(k-1)$ -form.

$$\mathfrak{d}(\chi^* \wedge \star \phi) \equiv \mathfrak{d}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - (-)^k \chi^* \wedge \mathfrak{d} \star \phi =: \mathbb{D}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - \chi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}^\dagger \phi \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathbb{D}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - ieA \wedge \chi^* \wedge \star \phi - (-)^k \chi^* \wedge \mathfrak{d} \star \phi \\ &= \mathbb{D}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi + \chi^* \wedge (-)^k ieA \star \phi - (-)^k \chi^* \wedge \mathfrak{d} \star \phi \\ &= \mathbb{D}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - \chi^* \wedge \star (-)^k \star^{-1} (\mathfrak{d} - ieA) \star \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\boxed{\mathbb{D}^\dagger \phi = (-)^k \star^{-1} (\mathfrak{d} - ieA) \star \phi.} \quad (22)$$

### 2.2 U(1)-gauged complex scalar field theory

$$S = \int -\mathbb{D}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi - m^2 \phi^* \wedge \star \phi - \frac{1}{2} F \wedge \star F. \quad (23)$$

Variation does not commute with exterior covariant derivative.

$$[\delta, \mathbb{D}]\phi = -ie\delta A \phi. \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\mathbb{D}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi) &= \mathfrak{d}(\delta\phi^* \star \mathbb{D}\phi + \delta\phi \star \mathbb{D}\phi^*) + \delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}^\dagger \mathbb{D}\phi + \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathbb{D}^\dagger \mathbb{D}\phi^* \\ &\quad + \delta A \wedge \mathfrak{J}_A, \quad \mathfrak{J}_A := ie(\phi^* \star \mathbb{D}\phi - \phi \star \mathbb{D}\phi^*), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\delta(F \wedge \star F) = 2\delta F \wedge \star F \quad (26)$$

$$= 2\mathfrak{d}(\delta A \wedge \star F) + 2\delta A \wedge \mathfrak{d}\star F. \quad (27)$$

Variation of the action

$$\begin{aligned}\delta S = \int & \mathfrak{d}(-\delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi - \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi^* - \delta A \wedge \star F) \\ & - \delta\phi^* \wedge \star (\mathbb{D}^\dagger \mathbb{D} + m^2)\phi - \delta\phi \wedge \star (\mathbb{D}^\dagger \mathbb{D} + m^2)\phi^* \\ & - \delta A \wedge (\mathfrak{d}\star F - \mathfrak{J}_A).\end{aligned}\quad (28)$$

### 2.2.1 Lorenz gauge

The Laplace–de Rham operator, or in our Lorentzian metric signature the d'Alembertian

$$\square^2 := (\mathfrak{d} + \mathfrak{d}^\dagger)^2 = \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{d}^\dagger + \mathfrak{d}^\dagger\mathfrak{d}.\quad (29)$$

$$\mathfrak{d}\star F = \mathfrak{d}\star \mathfrak{d}A = \star(-)^2 \star^{-1} \mathfrak{d}\star \mathfrak{d}A = \star \mathfrak{d}^\dagger \mathfrak{d}A = \star(\square^2 - \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{d}^\dagger)A.\quad (30)$$

One would like to have  $\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{d}^\dagger A = 0$ , or  $\mathfrak{d}^\dagger A = \text{const.}$  This would be fulfilled if

$$\mathfrak{d}^\dagger A = 0,\quad (31)$$

which is the Lorenz gauge[2, 3, 6].

### 2.2.2 Noether's invariances

The action is invariant under the generic transformation

$$\phi \rightarrow e^{-ie\Lambda}\phi, \quad \phi^* \rightarrow e^{+ie\Lambda}\phi^*, \quad A \rightarrow A - \mathfrak{d}\Lambda.\quad (32)$$

There are two scenarios [1].

If the transformation is rigid,  $\mathfrak{d}\Lambda = 0$ , one obtains  $\mathfrak{J}_A$  as the Noether current from the boundary term as before, which satisfies the continuity equation  $\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{J}_A = 0$ .

If the transformation is gauge with a compact support, the boundary term can be dropped, and one obtains the Noether identity

$$123\quad (33)$$

## 3 Poincaré–regular theory

### 3.1 Differential geometry

**Untwisted orthonormal  $k$ -cobases** Let  $\{\vartheta^\alpha\}$  be an orthonormal coframe. The orthonormal basis for untwisted  $k$ -form is defined inductively as

$$1,\quad (34)$$

$$\vartheta^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} := \vartheta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \vartheta^{\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}.\quad (35)$$

**Twisted orthonormal  $k$ -cobases** Let  $\{\vartheta^\alpha\}$  be an orthonormal coframe. The orthonormal basis for twisted  $(D-k)$ -form is defined inductively as

$$\epsilon := \text{vol},\quad (36)$$

$$\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} := e_{\alpha_k} \lrcorner \epsilon_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_{k-1}}.\quad (37)$$

By using eq. (5) and induction, one can show that

$$\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} = \star \vartheta_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}.\quad (38)$$

**Identities** Inspired by [8, eq. (3.167)], for a 1-form  $\omega$ ,  $(D-k)$ -form  $\chi$ , one can derive

$$\begin{aligned}\omega \wedge \star \chi &= (-)^{D-k} \star \chi \wedge \omega = (-)^{D-k} \star^{-1} (\omega^\sharp \lrcorner \star \star \chi) \\ &= (-)^{D-k} (-)^{(D-k-1)(k+1)+s} \star \left( \omega^\sharp \lrcorner (-)^{k(D-k)+s} \star^{-1} \star \chi \right) \\ &= (-)^{k+1} \star (\omega^\sharp \lrcorner \chi).\end{aligned}\quad (39)$$

Specifically,

$$\vartheta^\alpha \wedge \epsilon_{\beta\gamma} = -\delta_\beta^\alpha \epsilon_\gamma + \delta_\gamma^\alpha \epsilon_\beta. \quad (40)$$

**Translation** Consider the rigid infinitesimal transformation

$$\delta_\lambda \chi = \lambda \mathfrak{L}_z \chi = \lambda [z \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}\chi + \mathfrak{d}(z \lrcorner \chi)]; \quad (41)$$

‘rigid’ means

$$\delta_\lambda \vartheta^\alpha = 0. \quad (42)$$

## 3.2 Complex scalar field

### 3.2.1 Noether current

The action is invariant up to a total differential

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\lambda S &= \int \lambda \mathfrak{d}(z \lrcorner \mathfrak{L}) \\ &= \int \lambda \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{d}\{-z \lrcorner [\mathfrak{d}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi + \mathfrak{d}\phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^* + m^2(\phi^* \star \phi + \phi \star \phi^*)]\},\end{aligned}\quad (43)$$

under the rigid infinitesimal transformation

$$\delta\phi = \lambda \mathfrak{L}_z \phi = \lambda z \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}\phi, \quad \delta\phi^* = \lambda \mathfrak{L}_z \phi^* = \lambda z \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}\phi^*. \quad (44)$$

When the equations of motion are satisfied, infinitesimal transformation leads to

$$\delta_\lambda S = \int \lambda \mathfrak{d}\{-(z \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}\phi^*) \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi - (z \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}\phi) \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^*\}. \quad (45)$$

Taking the difference and setting  $z = e_\alpha$  yields

$$0 = \int \lambda \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{T}_\alpha, \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned}2\mathfrak{T}_\alpha &:= (e_\alpha \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}\phi^*) \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi + \mathfrak{d}\phi^* \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star \mathfrak{d}\phi) \\ &\quad + (e_\alpha \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}\phi) \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^* + \mathfrak{d}\phi \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^*) \\ &\quad - m^2(\phi^* e_\alpha \lrcorner \star \phi + \phi e_\alpha \star \phi^*).\end{aligned}\quad (47)$$

The continuity equation reads

$$\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{T}_\alpha = 0. \quad (48)$$

In components,

$$\mathfrak{T}_\alpha = (e_\alpha(\phi^*)e^\beta(\phi) + e_\alpha(\phi)e^\beta(\phi^*))\epsilon_\beta - e^\beta(\phi^*)e_\beta(\phi^*)\epsilon_\alpha - m^2\phi^*\phi\epsilon_\alpha. \quad (49)$$

### 3.3 Pure electromagnetic field

#### 3.3.1 Noether current

The action is invariant up to a total differential

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\lambda S &= \int \lambda \, \mathfrak{d}(z \lrcorner \mathfrak{L}) \\ &= \int \lambda \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{d}\{-z \lrcorner F \wedge \star F\},\end{aligned}\tag{50}$$

under the rigid infinitesimal transformation

$$\delta A = \tag{51}$$

When the equations of motion are satisfied, infinitesimal transformation leads to

$$\delta_\lambda S = \int \lambda \, \mathfrak{d}. \tag{52}$$

Taking the difference and setting  $z = e_\alpha$  yields

$$0 = \int \lambda \, \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{T}_\alpha, \tag{53}$$

$$2\mathfrak{T}_\alpha := . \tag{54}$$

The continuity equation reads

$$\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{T}_\alpha = 0. \tag{55}$$

In components,

$$\mathfrak{T}_\alpha = . \tag{56}$$

## 4 Poincaré gauge theory

### 4.1 Differential forms

Upon variation of  $\vartheta^\alpha$ ,  $\vartheta^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}$  goes under

$$\delta\vartheta^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} = \delta\vartheta^\alpha \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \vartheta^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}), \tag{57}$$

which can be proved by induction.

Upon variation of  $\vartheta^\alpha$ ,  $\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}$  goes under [7, sec. A.2]

$$\delta\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k} = \delta\vartheta^\alpha \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ldots\alpha_k}). \tag{58}$$

**Variation of Hodge star** In gravitational theories [7, sec. 3.2] with an orthonormal cobasis,

$$[\delta, \star]\phi = \delta\vartheta^\alpha \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star\phi) - \star(\delta\vartheta^\alpha \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \phi)). \tag{59}$$

Let  $\chi$  be a  $p$ -form,  $\phi$  another form [5, sec. 5].

$$\delta(\chi \wedge \star\phi) = \delta\chi \wedge \star\phi + \delta\phi \wedge \star\chi - \delta\vartheta^\alpha \wedge \Sigma_\alpha, \tag{60}$$

$$\Sigma_\alpha := \chi \wedge \{\star(e_\alpha \lrcorner \phi) - (-)^p(e_\alpha \lrcorner \star\phi)\}. \tag{61}$$

## 4.2 U(1)-gauged complex scalar field theory

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_\alpha = & -\mathbb{D}\phi^* \wedge \{\star(e_\alpha \lrcorner \mathbb{D}\phi) + (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star \mathbb{D}\phi)\} - m^2 \phi^* \phi \epsilon_\alpha \\ & - \frac{1}{2} F \wedge \{\star(e_\alpha \lrcorner F) - (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star F)\}.\end{aligned}\tag{62}$$

### 4.2.1 Noether's invariances

[1]

Rigid translation

## References

- [1] S. G. Avery and B. U. W. Schwab, “Noether’s second theorem and ward identities for gauge symmetries”, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2016** (2015) 10.1007/JHEP02(2016)031, arXiv:1510.07038v2 [hep-th] (cit. on pp. 4, 6).
- [2] J. van Bladel, “Lorenz or lorentz?”, *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine* **33**, 69–69 (1991) (cit. on p. 4).
- [3] J. van Bladel, “Lorenz or lorentz? [addendum]”, *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine* **33**, 56–56 (1991) (cit. on p. 4).
- [4] W. L. Burke, *Applied differential geometry* (Cambridge University Press, 1985) (cit. on p. 2).
- [5] Y. Itin, “On variations in teleparallelism theories”, (1999), arXiv:gr-qc/9904030 [gr-qc] (cit. on p. 6).
- [6] L. Lorenz, “XXXVIII. on the identity of the vibrations of light with electrical currents”, *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science* **34**, 287–301 (1867) (cit. on p. 4).
- [7] U. Muench, F. Gronwald, and F. W. Hehl, “A brief guide to variations in teleparallel gauge theories of gravity and the kaniel-itin model”, *General Relativity and Gravitation* **30**, 933–961 (1998), arXiv:gr-qc/9801036 [gr-qc] (cit. on pp. 2, 6).
- [8] N. Straumann, *General relativity*, 2nd ed. (Springer, 2013), ISBN: 9789400754096 (cit. on p. 5).