

Tool-kit for the coframe formalism

Yi-Fan Wang

May 18, 2019

Contents

1	Complex scalar field	1
1.1	$U(1)$ Noether current	2
1.2	$T(D)$ Noether current	2
2	Pure electromagnetic field	3
2.1	Lorenz gauge	3
2.2	$T(D)$ Noether current	3
3	$U(1)$-gauged complex scalar field theory	4
3.1	Noether's invariances	4
3.2	$T(D)$ Noether current	5
A	Differential geometry	5
A.1	Basic operations	5
A.2	Coframe bases	6
A.3	Connection on the principal bundle	6
A.4	Variation of coframe bases	7

1 Complex scalar field

The action reads

$$S = \int -\mathfrak{d}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi - m^2 \phi^* \wedge \star \phi \quad (1)$$

$$= \int -\frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{d}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi + \mathfrak{d}\phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^*) - \frac{1}{2}m^2(\phi^* \wedge \star \phi + \phi \wedge \star \phi^*) \quad (2)$$

Variation commutes with exterior derivative

$$[\delta, \mathfrak{d}]\phi = 0. \quad (3)$$

A generic variation of the kinetic terms reads

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\mathfrak{d}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi) &= \mathfrak{d}(\delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi + \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^*) \\ &\quad + \delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}^\dagger \mathfrak{d}\phi + \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}^\dagger \mathfrak{d}\phi^*. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

A generic variation of the action reads

$$\begin{aligned}\delta S = \int & \mathfrak{d}(-\delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi - \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^*) \\ & - \delta\phi^* \wedge \star (\mathfrak{d}^\dagger \mathfrak{d} + m^2)\phi - \delta\phi \wedge \star (\mathfrak{d}^\dagger \mathfrak{d} + m^2)\phi^*.\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

1.1 U(1) Noether current

The action is invariant

$$\delta_\lambda S \equiv 0 \quad (6)$$

under the rigid transformation

$$\phi \rightarrow \mathbf{e}^{-ie\lambda} \phi, \quad \phi^* \rightarrow \mathbf{e}^{+ie\lambda} \phi^*. \quad (7)$$

When the equations of motion are satisfied, infinitesimal transformation leads to

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \int \lambda \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{J}_0, \\ \mathfrak{J}_0 &:= ie(\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi - \phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^*),\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

which is the Noether current, a twisted 3-form, satisfying the continuity equation

$$\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{J}_0 = 0. \quad (9)$$

1.2 T(D) Noether current

The action is invariant up to a total differential

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\lambda S &= \int \lambda \mathfrak{d}(z \lrcorner \mathfrak{L}) \\ &= \int \lambda \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{d}\{-z \lrcorner [\mathfrak{d}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi + \mathfrak{d}\phi \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^* + m^2(\phi^* \star \phi + \phi \star \phi^*)]\},\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

under the rigid infinitesimal transformation

$$\delta\phi = \lambda \mathfrak{L}_z \phi = \lambda z \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}\phi, \quad \delta\phi^* = \lambda \mathfrak{L}_z \phi^* = \lambda z \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}\phi^*. \quad (11)$$

When the equations of motion are satisfied, infinitesimal transformation leads to

$$\delta_\lambda S = \int \lambda \mathfrak{d}\{-(z \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}\phi^*) \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi - (z \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}\phi) \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^*\}. \quad (12)$$

Taking the difference and setting $z = e_\alpha$ yields

$$0 = \int \lambda \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{T}_\alpha, \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned}2\mathfrak{T}_\alpha &:= -(e_\alpha \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}\phi^*) \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi - \mathfrak{d}\phi^* \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star \mathfrak{d}\phi) \\ &\quad - (e_\alpha \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}\phi) \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^* - \mathfrak{d}\phi \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star \mathfrak{d}\phi^*) \\ &\quad + m^2(\phi^* e_\alpha \lrcorner \star \phi + \phi e_\alpha \star \phi^*).\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

The continuity equation reads

$$\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{T}_\alpha = 0. \quad (15)$$

In components,

$$\mathfrak{T}_\alpha = -[e_\alpha(\phi^*)e^\beta(\phi) + e_\alpha(\phi)e^\beta(\phi^*)]\epsilon_\beta + [e^\beta(\phi^*)e_\beta(\phi^*) + m^2\phi^*\phi]\epsilon_\alpha. \quad (16)$$

2 Pure electromagnetic field

2.1 Lorenz gauge

The Laplace–de Rham operator, or in our Lorentzian metric signature the d'Alembertian

$$\square^2 := (\mathfrak{d} + \mathfrak{d}^\dagger)^2 = \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{d}^\dagger + \mathfrak{d}^\dagger\mathfrak{d}. \quad (17)$$

$$\mathfrak{d}\star F = \mathfrak{d}\star\mathfrak{d}A = \star(-)^2\star^{-1}\mathfrak{d}\star\mathfrak{d}A = \star\mathfrak{d}^\dagger\mathfrak{d}A = \star(\square^2 - \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{d}^\dagger)A. \quad (18)$$

One would like to have $\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{d}^\dagger A = 0$, or $\mathfrak{d}^\dagger A = \text{const.}$ This would be fulfilled if

$$\mathfrak{d}^\dagger A = 0, \quad (19)$$

which is the Lorenz gauge[2, 3, 6].

2.2 $\mathbb{T}(D)$ Noether current

The action is invariant up to a total differential

$$\delta_\lambda S = \int \lambda \mathfrak{d}(z \lrcorner \mathfrak{L}) = \int \lambda \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{d}\{-z \lrcorner (F \wedge \star F)\}, \quad (20)$$

under the rigid infinitesimal transformation combined with a gauge transformation [8, eq. 3.46]

$$\delta A = \lambda\{z \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}(A - \mathfrak{d}A) + \mathfrak{d}[z \lrcorner (A - \mathfrak{d}A)]\}. \quad (21)$$

Choosing

$$\mathfrak{d}A = A(z) z^\flat \quad (22)$$

makes the second term vanish, yielding

$$\delta A = \lambda z \lrcorner \mathfrak{d}A = \lambda z \lrcorner F. \quad (23)$$

When the equations of motion are satisfied, infinitesimal transformation leads to

$$\delta_\lambda S = \int \lambda \mathfrak{d}\{-(z \lrcorner F) \wedge \star F\}. \quad (24)$$

Taking the difference and setting $z = e_\alpha$ yields

$$0 = \int \lambda \, \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{T}_\alpha, \quad (25)$$

$$2\mathfrak{T}_\alpha := -(e_\alpha \lrcorner F) \wedge \star F + F \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star F). \quad (26)$$

The continuity equation reads

$$\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{T}_\alpha = 0. \quad (27)$$

In components, one needs

$$e_\alpha \lrcorner F = F_{\alpha\beta} \vartheta^\beta, \quad (28)$$

$$e_\alpha \lrcorner \star F = \frac{1}{2} F^{\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\beta\gamma\alpha}; \quad (29)$$

so that

$$(e_\alpha \lrcorner F) \wedge \star F = -F_{\alpha\gamma} F^{\gamma\beta} \epsilon_\beta, \quad (30)$$

$$F \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star F) = \frac{1}{2} F_{\beta\gamma} F^{\beta\gamma} \epsilon_\alpha + F_{\alpha\gamma} F^{\gamma\beta} \epsilon_\beta. \quad (31)$$

One finally has

$$\mathfrak{T}_\alpha = \frac{1}{4} F_{\beta\gamma} F^{\beta\gamma} \epsilon_\alpha + F_{\alpha\gamma} F^{\gamma\beta} \epsilon_\beta. \quad (32)$$

3 U(1)-gauged complex scalar field theory

$$S = \int -\mathbb{D}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi - m^2 \phi^* \wedge \star \phi - \frac{1}{2} F \wedge \star F. \quad (33)$$

Variation does not commute with exterior covariant derivative.

$$[\delta, \mathbb{D}]\phi = -ie\delta A\phi. \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\mathbb{D}\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi) &= \mathfrak{d}(\delta\phi^* \star \mathbb{D}\phi + \delta\phi \star \mathbb{D}\phi^*) + \delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}^\dagger \mathbb{D}\phi + \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathbb{D}^\dagger \mathbb{D}\phi^* \\ &\quad + \delta A \wedge \mathfrak{J}_A, \quad \mathfrak{J}_A := ie(\phi^* \star \mathbb{D}\phi - \phi \star \mathbb{D}\phi^*), \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$\delta(F \wedge \star F) = 2\delta F \wedge \star F \quad (36)$$

$$= 2\mathfrak{d}(\delta A \wedge \star F) + 2\delta A \wedge \mathfrak{d}\star F. \quad (37)$$

Variation of the action

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S &= \int \mathfrak{d}(-\delta\phi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi - \delta\phi \wedge \star \mathbb{D}\phi^* - \delta A \wedge \star F) \\ &\quad - \delta\phi^* \wedge \star (\mathbb{D}^\dagger \mathbb{D} + m^2)\phi - \delta\phi \wedge \star (\mathbb{D}^\dagger \mathbb{D} + m^2)\phi^* \\ &\quad - \delta A \wedge (\mathfrak{d}\star F - \mathfrak{J}_A). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

3.1 Noether's invariances

The action is invariant under the generic transformation

$$\phi \rightarrow e^{-ie\Lambda}\phi, \quad \phi^* \rightarrow e^{+ie\Lambda}\phi^*, \quad A \rightarrow A - d\Lambda. \quad (39)$$

There are two scenarios [1].

If the transformation is rigid, $d\Lambda = 0$, one obtains \mathfrak{J}_A as the Noether current from the boundary term as before, which satisfies the continuity equation $d\mathfrak{J}_A = 0$.

If the transformation is gauge with a compact support, the boundary term can be dropped, and one obtains the Noether identity

$$123 \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_\alpha = & -\mathbb{D}\phi^* \wedge \{\star(e_\alpha \lrcorner \mathbb{D}\phi) + (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star \mathbb{D}\phi)\} - m^2 \phi^* \phi \epsilon_\alpha \\ & - \frac{1}{2} F \wedge \{\star(e_\alpha \lrcorner F) - (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star F)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

3.2 $\mathbb{T}(D)$ Noether current

[1]

A Differential geometry

A.1 Basic operations

Following the style of [4, sec. 24], it is attempted to define the operations inductively, instead of giving explicit expressions, which is much less effective than the former in practice.

Interior product Let z be a vector, ω be a 1-form, χ be a k -form. The *interior product* is defined inductively as the bilinear map satisfying

$$z \lrcorner \omega := \omega(z), \quad (42)$$

$$z \lrcorner (\omega \wedge \chi) := (z \lrcorner \omega) \wedge \chi - \omega \wedge (z \lrcorner \chi). \quad (43)$$

Equation (43) is also known as the anti-product rule.

By induction one can show that for a p -form ϕ ,

$$z \lrcorner (\phi \wedge \chi) = (z \lrcorner \phi) \wedge \chi + (-)^p \chi \wedge (z \lrcorner \phi). \quad (44)$$

Hodge star Let ω be an 1-form, χ be a k -form. The Hodge star \star is defined inductively as the linear map

$$\star 1 := \text{vol}, \quad (45)$$

$$\star(\chi \wedge \omega) := \omega^\sharp \lrcorner \star \chi. \quad (46)$$

Non-gravitational theories features $[\delta, \star] = 0$, which means [7, sec. 3.2]

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = -2\omega_{(\mu\nu)}, \quad \delta \vartheta^\mu = \omega_\nu{}^\mu \vartheta^\nu; \quad (47)$$

for an orthonormal coframe, the allowed variations are $\omega_{(\alpha\beta)} = 0$.

Identities Inspired by [9, eq. (3.167)], for a 1-form ω , k -form χ , one can derive

$$\begin{aligned}\omega \wedge \star \chi &= (-)^{D-k} \star \chi \wedge \omega = (-)^{D-k} \star^{-1} (\omega^\sharp \lrcorner \star \star \chi) \\ &= (-)^{D-k} (-)^{(D-k-1)(k+1)+s} \star \left(\omega^\sharp \lrcorner (-)^{k(D-k)+s} \star^{-1} \star \chi \right) \\ &= (-)^{k+1} \star (\omega^\sharp \lrcorner \chi).\end{aligned}\quad (48)$$

Codifferential The *codifferential* \mathfrak{d}^\dagger is the adjoint of the exterior derivative \mathfrak{d} in the following sense. Let ϕ be a k -form, χ be a $(k-1)$ -form.

$$\mathfrak{d}(\chi^* \wedge \star \phi) \equiv \mathfrak{d}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - (-)^k \chi^* \wedge \mathfrak{d}\star \phi =: \mathfrak{d}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - \chi^* \wedge \star \mathfrak{d}^\dagger \phi \quad (49)$$

$$= \mathfrak{d}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - \chi^* \wedge \star (-)^k \star^{-1} \mathfrak{d}\star \phi. \quad (50)$$

$$\boxed{\mathfrak{d}^\dagger \phi = (-)^k \star^{-1} \mathfrak{d}\star \phi.} \quad (51)$$

A.2 Coframe bases

Untwisted orthonormal k -cobases Let $\{\vartheta^\alpha\}$ be an orthonormal coframe. The orthonormal basis for untwisted k -form is defined inductively as

$$1, \quad (52)$$

$$\vartheta^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k} := \vartheta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \vartheta^{\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}. \quad (53)$$

Twisted orthonormal k -cobases Let $\{\vartheta^\alpha\}$ be an orthonormal coframe. The orthonormal basis for twisted $(D-k)$ -form is defined inductively as

$$\epsilon := \text{vol}, \quad (54)$$

$$\epsilon_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k} := \epsilon_{\alpha_k} \lrcorner \epsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{k-1}}. \quad (55)$$

By using eq. (46) and induction, one can show that

$$\epsilon_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k} = \star \vartheta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}. \quad (56)$$

Identities

$$\vartheta^\alpha \wedge \epsilon_{\beta\gamma} = -\delta_\beta^\alpha \epsilon_\gamma + \delta_\gamma^\alpha \epsilon_\beta, \quad (57)$$

$$\vartheta^\alpha \wedge \epsilon_{\beta\gamma\delta} = \delta_\beta^\alpha \epsilon_{\gamma\delta} - \delta_\gamma^\alpha \epsilon_{\beta\delta} + \delta_\delta^\alpha \epsilon_{\beta\gamma}. \quad (58)$$

One can further deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta^{\alpha\beta} \wedge \epsilon_{\gamma\delta\epsilon} &= (\delta_\gamma^\alpha \delta_\delta^\beta - \delta_\delta^\alpha \delta_\gamma^\beta) \epsilon_\epsilon \\ &\quad - (\delta_\gamma^\alpha \delta_\epsilon^\beta - \delta_\epsilon^\alpha \delta_\gamma^\beta) \epsilon_\delta \\ &\quad + (\delta_\delta^\alpha \delta_\epsilon^\beta - \delta_\epsilon^\alpha \delta_\delta^\beta) \epsilon_\gamma.\end{aligned}\quad (59)$$

A.3 Connection on the principal bundle

Exterior covariant derivative Let χ be a \mathbb{C} -valued k -form. The *exterior covariant derivative* of χ reads

$$\mathbb{D}\chi := (\mathfrak{d} - ieA)\chi, \quad \mathbb{D}\chi^* := (\mathfrak{d} + ieA)\chi^*, \quad (60)$$

where A is a $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ -valued *connection form*.

Covariant codifferential The *covariant codifferential* \mathbb{D}^\dagger is the adjoint of the exterior covariant derivative \mathbb{D} in the following sense. Let ϕ be a \mathbb{C} -valued k -form, χ be a \mathbb{C} -valued $(k-1)$ -form.

$$\mathbb{d}(\chi^* \wedge \star \phi) \equiv \mathbb{d}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - (-)^k \chi^* \wedge \mathbb{d} \star \phi =: \mathbb{D}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - \chi^* \wedge \star \mathbb{D}^\dagger \phi \quad (61)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathbb{D}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - ieA \wedge \chi^* \wedge \star \phi - (-)^k \chi^* \wedge \mathbb{d} \star \phi \\ &= \mathbb{D}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi + \chi^* \wedge (-)^k ieA \star \phi - (-)^k \chi^* \wedge \mathbb{d} \star \phi \\ &= \mathbb{D}\chi^* \wedge \star \phi - \chi^* \wedge \star (-)^k \star^{-1} (\mathbb{d} - ieA) \star \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

$$\boxed{\mathbb{D}^\dagger \phi = (-)^k \star^{-1} (\mathbb{d} - ieA) \star \phi.} \quad (63)$$

Translation Consider the rigid infinitesimal transformation

$$\delta_\lambda \chi = \lambda \mathfrak{L}_z \chi = \lambda [z \lrcorner \mathbb{d}\chi + \mathbb{d}(z \lrcorner \chi)]; \quad (64)$$

‘rigid’ means

$$\delta_\lambda \vartheta^\alpha = 0. \quad (65)$$

A.4 Variation of coframe bases

Upon variation of ϑ^α , $\vartheta^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}$ goes under

$$\delta \vartheta^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k} = \delta \vartheta^\alpha \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \vartheta^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}), \quad (66)$$

which can be proved by induction.

Upon variation of ϑ^α , $\epsilon_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}$ goes under [7, sec. A.2]

$$\delta \epsilon_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k} = \delta \vartheta^\alpha \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \epsilon_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k}). \quad (67)$$

Variation of Hodge star In gravitational theories [7, sec. 3.2] with an orthonormal cobasis,

$$[\delta, \star] \phi = \delta \vartheta^\alpha \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star \phi) - \star (\delta \vartheta^\alpha \wedge (e_\alpha \lrcorner \phi)). \quad (68)$$

Let χ be a p -form, ϕ another form [5, sec. 5].

$$\delta(\chi \wedge \star \phi) = \delta \chi \wedge \star \phi + \delta \phi \wedge \star \chi - \delta \vartheta^\alpha \wedge \Sigma_\alpha, \quad (69)$$

$$\Sigma_\alpha := \chi \wedge \{ \star (e_\alpha \lrcorner \phi) - (-)^P (e_\alpha \lrcorner \star \phi) \}. \quad (70)$$

References

- [1] S. G. Avery and B. U. W. Schwab, “Noether’s second theorem and ward identities for gauge symmetries”, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2016** (2015), arXiv:1510.07038v2 [**hep-th**] (cit. on pp. 4, 5).
- [2] J. van Bladel, “Lorenz or lorentz?”, *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine* **33**, 69–69 (1991) (cit. on p. 3).
- [3] J. van Bladel, “Lorenz or lorentz? [addendum]”, *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine* **33**, 56–56 (1991) (cit. on p. 3).

- [4] W. L. Burke, *Applied differential geometry* (Cambridge University Press, 1985), ISBN: 9780521269292 (cit. on p. 5).
- [5] Y. Itin, “On variations in teleparallelism theories”, (1999), arXiv:gr-qc/9904030 [gr-qc] (cit. on p. 7).
- [6] L. Lorenz, “XXXVIII. on the identity of the vibrations of light with electrical currents”, The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science **34**, 287–301 (1867) (cit. on p. 3).
- [7] U. Muench, F. Gronwald, and F. W. Hehl, “A brief guide to variations in teleparallel gauge theories of gravity and the kaniel-itin model”, General Relativity and Gravitation **30**, 933–961 (1998), arXiv:gr-qc/9801036 [gr-qc] (cit. on pp. 5, 7).
- [8] F. Scheck, *Theoretische physik, Klassische feldtheorie*, Von Elektrodynamik, nicht-Abelschen Eichtheorien und Gravitation, 4th ed., Vol. 3 (Springer, 2017), ISBN: 9783662536384 (cit. on p. 3).
- [9] N. Straumann, *General relativity*, 2nd ed. (Springer, 2013), ISBN: 9789400754096 (cit. on p. 5).