

James 3:13,17-18 (NASB)

Who among you is wise and understanding?

Let him show by his good behavior
his deeds in the gentleness of wisdom.

But the wisdom from above is first pure, then peaceable, gentle, reasonable, full of mercy and good fruits, unwavering, without hypocrisy.

And the seed whose fruit is **righteousness** is sown in peace by those who **make peace**.

- Single-source shortest paths
 - Dijkstra: $O(|V|\log|V| + |E|)$
- All-pairs shortest paths
 - **Dynamic** programming by path length: $O(|V|^4)$
 - **Exponential** speedup: $O(|V|^3 \log |V|)$
 - Floyd-Warshall (dyn prog by vertex subset): $O(|V|^3)$
 - Johnson (iterative Dijkstra): $O\left(|V|^2 \log |V| + |V||E|\right)$

Single-source shortest paths

- Output: for each vertex ∨ ∈ V, store:
 - v.parent: links form a tree rooted at source
 - v.d: shortest-path weight from source
 - \circ All initially ∞ , except src.d = 0
- Method: edge relaxation
 - Will using the edge (u,v) give us a shorter path to **v**?
- Algorithms differ in **sequence** of relaxing edges

```
def relaxEdge( u, v, w ):
 if v.d > u.d + w(u, v):
   v.d = u.d + w(u, v)
   v.parent = u
```

Bellman-Ford algo for SSSP

- Allows negative-weight edges
 - If any net-negative cycle is reachable, returns
 FALSE
- Relax every edge, V-1 times (complexity?)
- Guaranteed to converge: shortest paths ≤ |V|-1 edges
 - Each iteration relaxes one edge along shortest path

```
def initSingleSource( V, E, src )
for v in V:
    v.d = ∞
    src.d = 0

def ssspBellmanFord( V, E, w, src
    initSingleSource( V, E, src )
cmpt231.$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}$\frac{1}{2}
```

Bellman-Ford: Fig 24-4(b)

Dijkstra's algo for SSSP

- Doesn't handle negative-weight edges
 - Assumes adding an edge always increases cost
- Weighted version of breadth-first search
- Use priority queue instead of FIFO
 - Keys are the shortest-path estimates v.d
 - Similar to Prim but calculates v.d
- Greedy choice: select u with lowest u.d

```
def relaxEdge( u, v, w ):
    if v.d > u.d + w( u, v ):
        v.d = u.d + w( u, v )
        v.parent = u

def ssspDijkstra( V, E, w, src )
    initSingleSource( V, E, src )

cmpt231.@eamhor.com/lect2io ☑ ① ① Queue( V )
```

Dijkstra, Fig 24-6(a)

Dijkstra: correctness

- Invariant: at top of loop, u.d = $y(src,u) \forall u \notin Q$
- Proof: suppose not: let u ∉ Q with u.d ≠ y(src,u)
 - Assume u is the first such vertex popped from Q
 - \exists path src \rightsquigarrow u (or else u.d = ∞ = y(src,u))
- Let p be a shortest path ![Dijkstra proof, Fig 24-7] from src w u
 - Let (x,y) be the first edge in p crossing from !O to O
 - Since u is the first vertex to have u.d

(static/img/Fig-24-7.svg)

Dijkstra: correctness

- So x.d = y(src,d) (i.e., x has converged)
- By convergence property, after we relaxed (x,y),
 - because (x,y) is on the shortest path to u,
 - so now y.d = y(src,y) (i.e., y has converged)
 - And y is on the shortest path, so $y(src,y) \le y(src,u) \le u.d$
- But both y and u ∈ Q when we popMin(), so u.d ≤ y.d
- Hence u.d = y.d = y(src,u), a contradiction

Dijkstra proof, Fig 24-7

Dijkstra: complexity

- Initialisation: V (|V|)
- Q.popMin() is run exactly |V| times
- Q.setPriority() (called by relaxEdge) is run O(|E|) times
- Using binary min-heaps, all ops are O(lg V)
 - Total time: O(|E| lg |V|)
- Using Fibonacci heaps:
 - Q.setPriority() takes only O(1) amortised time
 - Total time: O(|V| lg |V| + |E|)



Single-source shortest paths

- Generic outline: relax edges
 - To iteratively find shortest distance y to each vertex
- Bellman-Ford: total time O(|V| |E|)
 - Relax all edges, V -1 times
 - Only one pass needed if acyclic, using topological sort
- Dijkstra: O(|V| lg |V| + |E|)
 - BFS from source, updating shortest distance as we go
 - Use Fibonacci heap for priority queue

- Single-source shortest paths
 - $lacksquare Dijkstra: O(|V|\log|V|+|E|)$
- All-pairs shortest paths
 - **Dynamic** programming by path length: $O(|V|^4)$
 - **Exponential speedup:** $O(|V|^3 \log |V|)$
 - Floyd-Warshall (dyn prog by vertex subset): $O(|V|^3)$
 - Johnson (iterative Dijkstra): $O\left(|V|^2\log|V|+|V||E|\right)$

All-pairs shortest paths

- Input: directed graph (V, E), weights w
- Output: $|V| \times |V|$ matrix $D = (\delta_{ij})$
 - Shortest-path distances from each vertex i to j
- Naive solution: do Bellman-Ford for each vertex:
 - $O(|V|^2|E|)$: if dense, then $|\mathsf{E}|\in \Theta(|V|^2)$, so $O(|V|^4)$
- If no negative edges, try Dijkstra, |V| times:
 - Binary heap: $O(|V||E|\log|V|), = O\Big(|V|^3\log|V|\Big)$ if dense
 - Fibonacci heap: $O(|V|^2 \log |V| + |V||E|)$,

Dynamic prog: recurrence

- Recall we have optimal substructure:
 - Subpaths of shortest paths are themselves shortest paths
- Use weighted adjacency matrix W:
 - $w_{ij} = w(i,j)$ for all edges
 - $w_{ii}=0$, and $w_{ij}=\infty$ if no edge (i,j)
- Let $l_{ij}^{(m)}$ be the length (weight) of a shortest path from
 - i to j which only uses ≤ m edges
 - Recurrence: $l_{ij}^{(m)} = \displaystyle \min_{k \in V} \left(l_{ik}^{(m-1)} + w_{kj}
 ight)$
 - Base: $l_{ii}^{(0)}=0$, and $l_{ij}^{(0)}=\infty$, for i \neq j
- ullet After one iteration: $l_{ij}^{(1)}=w_{ij}$

Example of dynamic programming solution, Fig 25-1

Dynamic prog: bottom-up

```
def APSPExtend( L, W ):
    let M = new matrix, |V| x |V|
    for i in 2 .. |V|:
        for j in 1 .. |V|:
        M[ i, j ] = infinity
        for k in 1 .. |V|:
M[ i, j ] = min( M[ i, j ], L[ i, k ] + W[ k, j ] )
    return M
```

- Initialise (m=1): $L^{(1)} = W$, the weighted adjacency matrix
- Extend paths $L^{(m-1)}$ of length m-1 to length m: $L^{(m)}$
 - Do this |V|-2 times to get solution: $L^{(|V|-1)}$
- Complexity: each Extend is $\Theta(|V|^3)$
- $= \text{So total is } \Theta \left(|V|^4 \right) : \text{not too hot...}$

Exponential bottom-up

- Matrix power Aⁿ can be calculated by
 - $\blacksquare A \cdot A \cdot \ldots \cdot A$ (n-1 times), or by
 - $A^2 = A \cdot A$, then $A^4 = A^2 \cdot A^2$, $A^8 = A^4 \cdot A^4$, etc.
 - Only need lg n multiplications
- Now apply this to APSPExtend's triply-nested for loop
 - If n is not a power of 2, we'll overshoot
 - lacktriangle That's ok, it converges after $L^{(|V|)}$
- Complexity: $\Theta(|V|^3 \log |V|)$: much better!

```
def Fast_APSP( W ):

L = W

for m in 2 .. ceiling( lg |V| ):

cmpt231.seanhq.com/le中的PLhd(370L1, L )
```

- Single-source shortest paths
 - Dijkstra: $O(|V|\log|V| + |E|)$
- All-pairs shortest paths
 - lacksquare Dynamic programming by path length: $O\!\left(|V|^4
 ight)$
 - lacksquare Exponential speedup: $O\left(|V|^3\log|V|
 ight)$
 - Floyd-Warshall (dyn prog by vertex subset): $O(|V|^3)$
 - Johnson (iterative Dijkstra): $O(|V|^2 \log |V| + |V||E|)$

Floyd-Warshall: substructure

- Subtasks: not by path length, but by subset of V:
 - $d_{ij}^{(k)}$ = weight of shortest-path from i to j where all intermediate vertices are in the subset $\{1 ... k\}$ of vertices
- Let p_{ij} be shortest path i \rightsquigarrow j w/nodes in $\{1 ... k\}$
 - If k is not on the path, then p has vertices only in {1 .. k-1}
 - If k is on the path, then split p into p_{ik} and p_{kj}
 - These two subpaths have intermediate vertices only in {1 .. k-1}
 - By induction, the subpaths are optimal

Floyd-Warshall: solution

ullet Recurrence: $d_{ij}^{(k)} = \min \left(d_{ij}^{(k-1)}, d_{ik}^{(k-1)} + d_{kj}^{(k-1)}
ight)$

```
def FloydWarshall( W ):
    D = W
    for k in 1 .. |V|:
        for i in 1 .. |V|:
        for j in 1 .. |V|:
        D'[ i, j ] = min( D[ i , j ], D[ i, k ] + D[ k, j ] )
        D = D'
    return D
```

- Either k not on path, or two subpaths through k
 - Base case:

Final solution:

![Example Floyd-Warshall: Fig 25-2] (static/img/Fig-25-2.svg)

F-W for transitive closure

- The transitive closure (V, E *) of (V, E) is:
 - $(i,j) \in E * \Leftrightarrow \exists path i \rightsquigarrow j$
 - i.e., if j is reachable from i
- One method: run Floyd-Warshall with w=1 on all edges
 - lacksquare \exists path lacksquare \Rightarrow lacksquare lacksquare
- Even simpler: $d_{ij}^{(k)}$ is just a bit (boolean)
 - Tracks if path i → j exists through {1 .. k}
- ullet Recurrence: $d_{ij}^{(k)} = d_{ij}^{(k-1)} \,\, ext{or} \,\, \left(d_{ik}^{(k-1)} \,\, ext{and} \,\, d_{kj}^{(k-1)}
 ight)$
 - Same pseudocode structure as Floyd-Warshall
- $= Still_{\Theta}(|V|^3), \text{ but bitwise logical ops are even}$

- Single-source shortest paths
 - Dijkstra: $O(|V|\log|V| + |E|)$
- All-pairs shortest paths
 - lacksquare Dynamic programming by path length: $O\left(\left|V\right|^4\right)$
 - lacksquare Exponential speedup: $O\left(|V|^3\log|V|
 ight)$
 - Floyd-Warshall (dyn prog by vertex subset): $O(|V|^3)$
 - Johnson (iterative Dijkstra): $O(|V|^2 \log |V| + |V||E|)$

Dijkstra for all-pairs

- For sparse graphs, running Dijkstra on each vertex is faster than Floyd-Warshall:
 - If $|E| = o(|V|^2)$, then (using Fibonacci heaps) $O(|V|^2 \log |V| + |V||E|) = o(|V|^3)$
- But Dijkstra can't handle negative weights:
 - Need to reweight without changing shortest paths
- Given $h: V \to \mathbb{R}$, let w'(u,v) = w(u,v) + h(u) h(v)
 - p is a shortest path u → v under w
 ⇔ p is a shortest path under w'

- Single-source shortest paths
 - Dijkstra: $O(|V|\log|V| + |E|)$
- All-pairs shortest paths
 - **Dynamic** programming by path length: $O(|V|^4)$
 - **Exponential** speedup: $O(|V|^3 \log |V|)$
 - Floyd-Warshall (dyn prog by vertex subset): $O(|V|^3)$
 - Johnson (iterative Dijkstra): $O\left(|V|^2 \log |V| + |V||E|\right)$

