

## Advanced Materials Modeling

### Homework 3 solution

**Notes:** In multiple choice problems explain your answer. Add references if needed. Upload solution as a single file “YourName.pdf” or “YourName.zip”.

1. Mark all correct statements:

- (A) Hartree approximation gives electronic wave functions with correct permutational symmetry
- (B) Up to two electrons can occupy every state described by a spin-orbital wave function
- (C) Static correlation is a consequence of approximating many-electron wave functions by a single determinant
- (D) Hartree-Fock approximation describes electron-electron interaction exactly

Solution:

(C)

Hartree approximates many-electron wave function as a product of one-particle states. Such a function is not in general antisymmetric with respect to permutation of any two electrons, but the exact electronic wave function should be antisymmetric.

Only one electron can occupy a spin-orbital, according to Pauli exclusion principle.

Static correlation is by definition a consequence of approximating many-body wave function by a single Slater determinant.

Hartree-Fock is not an exact method, although it is self-interaction free.

**2.** Derive first-order correction to the ground-state wave function within Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory for systems with non-degenerate ground state

Solution:

Substitute equations

$$E = E^{(0)} + E^{(1)} + E^{(2)} + \dots \quad (1)$$

and

$$\psi = \sum_m c_m \psi_m^{(0)} \quad (2)$$

into the perturbed Schrödinger equation:

$$(\hat{H}_0 + \hat{V})\psi = E\psi, \quad (3)$$

taking into account that

$$c_m = c_m^{(0)} + c_m^{(1)} + c_m^{(2)} + \dots \quad (4)$$

and keeping only first-order terms (this can be done, because the Schrödinger equation should be correct in all orders). The results is:

$$\hat{V} \sum_m c_m^{(0)} \psi_m^{(0)} + \hat{H}_0 \sum_m c_m^{(1)} \psi_m^{(0)} = E^{(0)} \sum_m c_m^{(1)} \psi_m^{(0)} + E^{(1)} \sum_m c_m^{(0)} \psi_m^{(0)}. \quad (5)$$

Remember that  $\sum_m c_m^{(0)} \psi_m^{(0)} = \psi_0^{(0)}$  since  $c_m^{(0)} = \delta_{m0}$ , and that  $\hat{H}_0 \psi_m^{(0)} = E_m^{(0)} \psi_m^{(0)}$ . Now, multiply both sides of the above equation by  $\psi_k^{(0)*}$ ,  $k \neq 0$ , and integrate over  $\mathbf{r}$ :

$$\langle \psi_k^{(0)} | \hat{V} | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle + \sum_m E_m^{(0)} c_m^{(1)} \langle \psi_k^{(0)} | \psi_m^{(0)} \rangle = E^{(0)} \sum_m c_m^{(1)} \langle \psi_k^{(0)} | \psi_m^{(0)} \rangle + E^{(1)} \langle \psi_k^{(0)} | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle. \quad (6)$$

Taking into account that  $\langle \psi_k^{(0)} | \psi_m^{(0)} \rangle = \delta_{km}$ , we obtain:

$$\langle \psi_k^{(0)} | \hat{V} | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle + E_k^{(0)} c_k^{(1)} = E^{(0)} c_k^{(1)} + 0, \quad (7)$$

and

$$c_k^{(1)} = \frac{\langle \psi_k^{(0)} | \hat{V} | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle}{E^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}}, \quad (8)$$

where  $k \neq 0$  and we took into account that the ground state is non-degenerate (i.e.,  $E^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)} \neq 0$ ).

We still need to determine  $c_0^{(1)}$ . To do this, we should remember that perturbed wave function should be normalized:

$$\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1. \quad (9)$$

To satisfy this condition up to the first order, the following should be true:

$$\langle \psi_0^{(0)} + \sum_m c_m^{(1)} \psi_m^{(0)} | \psi_0^{(0)} + \sum_m c_m^{(1)} \psi_m^{(0)} \rangle = 1. \quad (10)$$

Keeping only up to first-order terms, we obtain  $2\text{Re} \left( c_0^{(1)} \right) = 0$ . We are free to choose  $c_0^{(1)} = 0$  to satisfy this condition. Thus, the first-order correction to the wave function is:

$$\psi^{(1)} = \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{\langle \psi_k^{(0)} | \hat{V} | \psi_0^{(0)} \rangle}{E^{(0)} - E_k^{(0)}} \psi_k^{(0)}. \quad (11)$$

**3.** Mark all correct statements:

The following methods are size-extensive

- (A) Hartree-Fock
- (B) approximate DFT
- (C) Møller-Plesset perturbation theory at order 10
- (D) truncated configuration interaction
- (E) full configuration interaction
- (F) truncated coupled cluster

Solution:

(A), (B), (C), (E), (F)

Møller-Plesset perturbation theory is size-extensive at any order. Truncated CI is not size-extensive at any truncation level except full CI, because it is missing higher excitations needed to describe the combined system. Coupled cluster is size-extensive even when truncated, because it formally contains higher excitations up to a maximum level, just like FCI.