Metric for energy poverty help policy maker and legislator identify how much need there is for programs that alleviate …..decide how much funding should………………….However, qualifiers for participating in such a program are needed.

Energy poverty occurs when a household experiences inadequate energy services for one’s dwelling place (Boardman, 1991). In Europe, there is understanding amongst researchers that energy poverty is a result of high energy prices, low incomes, inefficient buildings, and individual household practices and needs (Boardman, 2010; Thomson, Bouzarovski, & Snell, 2017). Energy poverty is especially acute in Texas where, like many other states, residential electricity prices have increased over the past two decades and electricity demand has increased due to increasingly severe weather (Yun & Steemers, 2009; DOE, 2016; Wible & King, 2016).[[1]](#footnote-1) These trends often create a compounded burden for vulnerable individuals living in older, less energy efficient housing (Valenzuela, et al., 2014).

The most common way of measuring energy poverty is energy burden; individuals who are living in energy burden spend more than a certain percentage of their income on energy bill. Typically people who spend more than twice the median amount of their income on energy. For example, the 4% is the median amount households spend on energy bills in Texas. Therefore, 8% is the threshold at which a household is considered energy burdened…..Roughly 22% of Texas households are energy burdened (Wible & King, 2016).

Measuring energy poverty is necessary to inform legislators and policy makers of how widespread the issue is, the causes of the issues, and how much funding to allocate to programs. While literature by European scholars have used both qualitative methods to analyze the regional, social, and economic indicators of energy poverty, much of the quantitative literature in the U.S. focused on energy efficienicy ………….

Recent studies have also shown a link between health & food insecurity and energy burden (Tuttle & Beatty, 2017). Since geography is a strong predictor of these disparities (Bouzarovski & Simcock, 2017). Thus, this study uses the former to predict the later at a county level for Texas.

**Literature Review**

Over the past decade there has been increasing evidence that socio-demographic, geographic, and economic indicators are causes of energy poverty in the United States. Hernandez and Bird interviewed low income individuals in Boston revealing that lack of financial resources and housing instability, and inefficiency compounded…..,and….

In terms of financial resources they postulate that utilities in different regions are incapable of

In ACEEE 2016 report showed that amongst the largest XX cities in the U.S. the percent of income varied XXX from city to city (CITE).

In Reames showed that …..CITE

Finally in Texas, Olmedo show that in Colonias ( informal settlements within XXX miles of the Texas-Mexico border) individuals may be spending 18-19% of income (CITE TEPRI std)

**Analysis and Validation Regression Methods**

The relationship between energy burden and socio-demographic, health, and economic indicators are tested using a linear regression. Linear model was chosen because all of the variables in the study are continuous, the aim is to understand the relative impact of the variables on energy burden…. (i.e. compare magnitude of the coefficients), and finally linear models have high interoperability (CITE ISLR txtbk). Median age of the houses built in each county is included as a control variable to represent relative energy efficiency (CITE).

The independent variables for the study are shown in the table below( FIG XX).

In order to test the level of variation in energy burden that is attributed to region, a dummy variable was included to represent whether or not a county was a border county. Here we use the TX DHS definition of a border county: a county that is with 69 miles of the Texas-Mexico variable (CITE TX DHS). 32 counties are considered border counties (Fig XXX).

In order to validate the results, a dataset with the same independent variables but from different data sources than the analysis set is used. The same was done for the linear model with the dummy variable. A full list of the data sources can be seen in the next section (TABLE/FIGUREXX).

**Data Sources:**

The data used for this study comes from:…….. a list of data sources for each variable is listed below (TABLE/FIGURE XXX)

**Research Workflow:**

The workflow of the study is as follows: (1) data downloaded and saved, (2) data sets are cleaned merged to create an analysis and validation data set, and (3) linear models were created iteratively. The work flow for each of these stages are shown below (FIGURE XX)

The data and documentation were downloaded from their respective website and saved into folder on a desktop that was linked to a Github repository. This raw data was then loaded into a R script that was used to clean the data. In the script, the datasets that included observations other than Texas were removed. Additionally, irrelevant variables were deleted. The variables that were relevant were renamed.

Most data sets included a unique county ID number called the “Federal Information Process ID (FIP)” and/or the county name. The FIP was used as the unique identifier to merge that data sets in to two new data sets: an analysis data set and a validation data set. All continuous variable were changed to the datatype “numeric”.

The same procedure described above was used to create a the datasets with the border region dummy variable: analysis data with a dummy and validation data set with a dummy. The dummy variable “brdr\_cnty” was created using a if statement where is if the name of the county and FIP matched the DHS list of border counties, brdr\_cnty=1. Otherwise, the variable brdr\_cnty=0.

The models were run in a script written specifically for linear modelling. After a regression was run a summary table with Adj. R2 , F statistic, and p-values were developed to assess explanatory power of the model and significance of variables. Additionally, linearity between energy burden and each independent variable, multicollinearity, heteroscedasticity, error dependence were checked. Variables were removed in an iterative process to try to reduce multicollinearity and other typical linear model issues mentioned earlier.

**Data Management Methods**

The

1. *Throughout this document, energy and electricity are used interchangeably. The study we are proposing deals with solely electricity.* [↑](#footnote-ref-1)