

## MATH 417, HOMEWORK 7 & 8

CHARLES ANCEL

I know that homework for chapter III.16 was due last week so I understand that it may not be worth any points but please let me know if it is correct. Have a great night and thank you for grading all of our work!

### CHAPTER III.16

**Exercise 8(dfgh).** Mark each of the following true or false.

- d. Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -set with  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $g \in G$ . If  $gx_1 = gx_2$ , then  $x_1 = x_2$ .
- f. Each orbit of a  $G$ -set  $X$  is a transitive sub- $G$ -set.
- g. Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -set and let  $H \leq G$ . Then  $X$  can be regarded in a natural way as an  $H$ -set.
- h. With reference to (g), the orbits in  $X$  under  $H$  are the same as the orbits in  $X$  under  $G$ .

*Proof.* **d. Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -set with  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $g \in G$ . If  $gx_1 = gx_2$ , then  $x_1 = x_2$ .**

This statement is true if the action of  $G$  on  $X$  is faithful (or free). Recall that a group action is faithful if, whenever  $g \cdot x = h \cdot x$  for all  $x$  in  $X$ , then  $g = h$ . In the context of this statement, if the action of  $g$  on both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  results in the same element, then  $x_1$  must equal  $x_2$ .

**Answer: True**(assuming the action is faithful).

—

**f. Each orbit of a  $G$ -set  $X$  is a transitive sub- $G$ -set.**

By definition, the orbit of an element  $x$  in  $X$  under the action of  $G$  is the set of all elements of  $X$  to which  $x$  can be moved by the action of some element in  $G$ . Therefore, for any two elements  $y, z$  in the same orbit, there exists  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  such that  $g_1 \cdot x = y$  and  $g_2 \cdot x = z$ . It follows that  $z = g_2 \cdot x = g_2 \cdot (g_1^{-1} \cdot y) = (g_2 g_1^{-1}) \cdot y$ , where  $g_2 g_1^{-1} \in G$ . This shows that any two elements in the same orbit can be related by the action of some element in  $G$ , which means the orbit is a transitive subset of  $X$ .

**Answer: True.**

—

**g. Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -set and let  $H \leq G$ . Then  $X$  can be regarded in a natural way as an  $H$ -set.**

If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then every element of  $H$  is also an element of  $G$ . This means that every action of  $H$  on  $X$  is also an action of  $G$  on  $X$ . So,  $X$  can naturally be regarded as an  $H$ -set using the same group action.

**Answer: True.**

—

**h. With reference to (g), the orbits in  $X$  under  $H$  are the same as the orbits in  $X$  under  $G$ .**

This is not necessarily true. Consider the group action of  $G$  on  $X$ . It's possible that  $H$  (being a subgroup) might not move  $X$  around as much as  $G$  does. Thus, while  $G$  might move an element  $x$  in  $X$  to a wide variety of other positions,  $H$  might only move  $x$  to a subset of those positions. This means that the orbits of  $X$  under the action of  $H$  might be smaller or at least different than the orbits of  $X$  under the action of  $G$ .

**Answer: False.**

—

□

**Exercise 12.** Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -set and let  $Y \subseteq X$ . Let  $G_Y = \{g \in G \mid g_y = y \forall y \in Y\}$ . Show  $G_Y$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , generalizing Theorem 16.12.

*Proof.* To prove that  $G_Y$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , we'll use the subgroup test. For  $G_Y$  to be a subgroup, it must satisfy:

1. The identity element of  $G$ ,  $e$ , is in  $G_Y$ . 2. If  $g_1, g_2 \in G_Y$ , then their product  $g_1g_2$  is in  $G_Y$ . 3. If  $g \in G_Y$ , then its inverse  $g^{-1}$  is in  $G_Y$ .

Let's prove each of these properties:

1. **Identity element:** For any  $y \in Y$ ,  $ey = y$ . Thus,  $e \in G_Y$ .

2. **Closure:** Let  $g_1, g_2 \in G_Y$ . This means that  $g_1y = y$  and  $g_2y = y$  for all  $y \in Y$ . We want to show that  $(g_1g_2)y = y$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

Given  $g_1y = y$  and  $g_2y = y$ , we have:

$$(g_1g_2)y = g_1(g_2y) = g_1y = y$$

This shows that  $g_1g_2 \in G_Y$  and thus  $G_Y$  is closed under the induced operation of  $G$ .

3. **Inverse:** Let  $g \in G_Y$ . This means  $gy = y$  for all  $y \in Y$ . We want to show that  $g^{-1}y = y$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

Since  $gy = y$ , we have:

$$y = ey = (g^{-1}g)y = g^{-1}(gy) = g^{-1}y$$

Thus,  $g^{-1} \in G_Y$ .

Since  $G_Y$  satisfies all three properties, we conclude that  $G_Y$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , generalizing Theorem 16.12. □

## CHAPTER III.17

**Exercise 1.** Find the number of orbits in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$  under the cyclic subgroup  $\langle(1, 3, 5, 6)\rangle$  of  $S_8$ .

To find the number of orbits in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$  under the cyclic subgroup  $\langle(1, 3, 5, 6)\rangle$  of  $S_8$ , we'll first need to understand the action of the subgroup on the set.

Recall that in the symmetric group  $S_8$ , the cycle notation  $(1, 3, 5, 6)$  represents the permutation that sends: 1. 1 to 3 2. 3 to 5 3. 5 to 6 4. 6 to 1 5. And leaves all other numbers fixed.

Since our subgroup is generated by this single cycle, the only elements in the subgroup are powers of this cycle and the identity: 1.  $e$ : the identity permutation (does nothing) 2.  $(1, 3, 5, 6)$ : described above 3.  $(1, 3, 5, 6)^2 = (1, 5)(3, 6)$ : sends 1 to 5, 5 to 1, 3 to 6, 6 to 3, and leaves all other numbers fixed. 4.  $(1, 3, 5, 6)^3 = (1, 6, 5, 3)$ : the inverse of the original cycle.

Let's compute the orbits:

*Proof.* 1. Start with 1: The powers of the cycle send 1 to 3, 5, 6, and then back to 1. So the orbit of 1 under the subgroup is  $\{1, 3, 5, 6\}$ .

2. Take 2: 2 is not affected by any element in our subgroup (it remains fixed). So its orbit is  $\{2\}$ .

3. Take 4: Similar to 2, 4 is not affected by any element in our subgroup. So its orbit is  $\{4\}$ .

4. Take 7: Again, 7 remains fixed under all elements of our subgroup. So its orbit is  $\{7\}$ .

5. Take 8: Similarly, 8 remains fixed under all elements of our subgroup. So its orbit is  $\{8\}$ .

So, the orbits are:  $\{1, 3, 5, 6\}$ ,  $\{2\}$ ,  $\{4\}$ ,  $\{7\}$ , and  $\{8\}$ .

Hence, there are 5 orbits in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$  under the cyclic subgroup  $\langle(1, 3, 5, 6)\rangle$  of  $S_8$ .  $\square$

## CHAPTER VII.36

**Exercise 2.** To determine the order of a Sylow 3-subgroup of a group with order 54, let's first express 54 in terms of its prime factorization:

$$54 = 2 \times 3^3$$

The highest power of 3 that divides 54 is  $3^3$ .

Given the Sylow Theorems, a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a group  $G$  of order  $p^n \cdot m$  (where  $p$  does not divide  $m$ ) has order  $p^n$ .

In this case,  $p = 3$  and  $n = 3$ . Therefore, a Sylow 3-subgroup of a group of order 54 has order  $3^3 = 27$ .

Answer: A Sylow 3-subgroup of a group of order 54 has order 27. **Exercise 10.**

Mark each of the following true or false. a. Any two Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of a finite group are conjugate.

- b. Theorem 36.11 shows that a group of order 15 has only one Sylow 5-subgroup.
- c. Every Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a finite group has order a power of  $p$ .
- d. Every  $p$ -subgroup of every finite group is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup.
- e. Every finite abelian group has exactly one Sylow  $p$ -subgroup for each prime  $p$  dividing the order of  $G$ .
- f. The normalizer in  $G$  of a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is always a normal subgroup of  $G$ .
- g. If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H$  is always a normal subgroup of  $N[H]$ .
- h. A Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a finite group  $G$  is normal in  $G$  if and only if it is the only Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .
- i. If  $G$  is an abelian group and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then  $N[H] = H$ .
- j. A group of prime-power order  $p^n$  has no Sylow  $p$ -subgroup.

*Proof.* a. Any two Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of a finite group are conjugate.

**Answer: True.** This is one of the Sylow theorems.

—

b. Theorem 36.11 shows that a group of order 15 has only one Sylow 5-subgroup.

Without the explicit content of Theorem 36.11, it's hard to say for certain, but the statement itself is true. A group of order 15 has only one Sylow 5-subgroup, which must be normal.

**Answer: True.**

—

c. Every Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a finite group has order a power of  $p$ .

**Answer: True.** This is the definition of a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup.

—

d. Every  $p$ -subgroup of every finite group is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup.

**Answer: False.** A Sylow  $p$ -subgroup is a  $p$ -subgroup of largest order. Not every  $p$ -subgroup has to be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup.

—

**e. Every finite abelian group has exactly one Sylow  $p$ -subgroup for each prime  $p$  dividing the order of  $G$ .**

**Answer: True.** In an abelian group, any two subgroups of the same order are conjugate, and since Sylow subgroups are conjugate by the Sylow theorems, there can only be one distinct Sylow  $p$ -subgroup.

—

**f. The normalizer in  $G$  of a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is always a normal subgroup of  $G$ .**

**Answer: False.** The normalizer of  $H$  in  $G$ , denoted  $N_G(H)$ , is the largest subgroup of  $G$  in which  $H$  is normal. However, this doesn't mean  $N_G(H)$  itself is normal in  $G$ .

—

**g. If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H$  is always a normal subgroup of  $N[H]$ .**

**Answer: True.** By definition, the normalizer  $N[H]$  is the largest subgroup of  $G$  in which  $H$  is normal.

—

**h. A Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a finite group  $G$  is normal in  $G$  if and only if it is the only Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .**

**Answer: True.** This follows from the Sylow theorems. If there is only one Sylow  $p$ -subgroup, it must be normal in  $G$ .

—

**i. If  $G$  is an abelian group and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then  $N[H] = H$ .**

**Answer: False.** In an abelian group, every subgroup is normal. So, the normalizer of any subgroup  $H$  is the entire group  $G$ , i.e.,  $N[H] = G$ .

—

**j. A group of prime-power order  $p^n$  has no Sylow  $p$ -subgroup.**

**Answer: False.** A group of order  $p^n$  has a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of order  $p^n$ , which is the group itself.

□

**Exercise 13.** Show that every group of order 45 has a normal subgroup of order 9.

To show that every group  $G$  of order 45 has a normal subgroup of order 9, we can use the Sylow theorems. Let's break down the order of the group in terms of its prime factorization:

$$45 = 3^2 \times 5$$

From the Sylow theorems, the number of Sylow 3-subgroups (let's call it  $n_3$ ) divides the order of the group, and  $n_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . The possible values for  $n_3$  are 1 or 5.

However, if  $n_3$  were 5, then there would be  $5(3^2 - 1) = 20$  elements of order 3 (since each Sylow 3-subgroup contributes  $3^2 - 1$  elements of order 3, and these subgroups intersect trivially). This would leave only 25 elements in  $G$  not of order 3. But then, since the number of Sylow 5-subgroups divides 9 and is congruent to 1 mod 5, the only possible number of Sylow 5-subgroups is 1. This Sylow 5-subgroup has  $5 - 1 = 4$  elements of order 5, leaving 21 elements unaccounted for, which is a contradiction.

Thus,  $n_3$  cannot be 5. So,  $n_3 = 1$ , which means there is only one Sylow 3-subgroup, and it is therefore normal in  $G$ . This Sylow 3-subgroup has order  $3^2 = 9$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a group of order 45, and let  $n_3$  be the number of Sylow 3-subgroups of  $G$ . From the Sylow theorems,  $n_3$  divides 45 and  $n_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . This means  $n_3$  can only be 1 or 5.

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that  $n_3 = 5$ . Then, there are  $5(3^2 - 1) = 20$  elements of order 3 in  $G$ . This leaves only 25 elements not of order 3. Since the number of Sylow 5-subgroups divides 9 and is congruent to 1 mod 5, there can only be 1 Sylow 5-subgroup. This contributes 4 elements of order 5, leaving 21 elements unaccounted for, which is a contradiction.

Therefore,  $n_3$  must be 1, and there is a unique Sylow 3-subgroup of  $G$  which is of order 9. Being the unique Sylow 3-subgroup, it is normal in  $G$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 17.** Show that every group of order  $(35)^3$  has a normal subgroup of order 125.

We will show that every group  $G$  of order  $35^3 = 5^3 \times 7^3$  has a normal subgroup of order  $125 = 5^3$ . We'll use the Sylow Theorems in our proof.

According to the Sylow Theorems, the number of Sylow 5-subgroups (denoted as  $n_5$ ) satisfies 1.  $n_5 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ , 2.  $n_5$  divides  $7^3$ .

The possible values of  $n_5$  that satisfy these conditions are 1, 7, 49.

*Proof.* Assume that  $G$  is a group of order  $35^3$ . We'll prove that  $G$  has a normal subgroup of order 125.

We first consider the Sylow 5-subgroups of  $G$ . Let  $n_5$  be the number of such subgroups. By the Sylow theorems,  $n_5$  must be congruent to 1 mod 5 and must divide  $7^3$ . So, the possible values for  $n_5$  are 1, 7, 49.

We proceed by cases:

1. If  $n_5 = 1$ , then there is a unique Sylow 5-subgroup of  $G$ , and it is normal by the Sylow theorems. This subgroup has order  $5^3 = 125$ , which is what we want to prove.

2. If  $n_5 = 7$  or 49, we consider the action of  $G$  on the set of Sylow 5-subgroups by conjugation. This action gives rise to a homomorphism

$$\phi : G \rightarrow S_{n_5}$$

where  $S_{n_5}$  is the symmetric group on  $n_5$  elements. The kernel of this homomorphism, denoted by  $\ker(\phi)$ , is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . By the first isomorphism theorem,  $G/\ker(\phi)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $S_{n_5}$ , and therefore

$$[G : \ker(\phi)] \leq |S_{n_5}| = n_5!.$$

Because the order of  $G$  is  $35^3$  and  $n_5$  is either 7 or 49, we have

$$\ker(\phi) \geq \frac{35^3}{49!} \geq 5^3.$$

Thus, the kernel has at least  $5^3$  elements. Since it is a subgroup of  $G$ , its order must divide  $35^3$ , so its order is at least  $5^3 = 125$ . Moreover, as the kernel of a homomorphism, it is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

So, in all cases, we have shown that there exists a normal subgroup of  $G$  of order 125.  $\square$

**Exercise 18.** Show that there are no simple groups of order  $255 = (3)(5)(17)$ .

To show that there are no simple groups of order  $255 = 3 \times 5 \times 17$ , we will use the Sylow Theorems. Let's consider a group  $G$  of order 255.

1. The number of Sylow 17-subgroups of  $G$  (denoted  $n_{17}$ ) satisfies:
  - $n_{17} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$
  - $n_{17}$  divides  $3 \times 5 = 15$

The only possibility is  $n_{17} = 1$ . Thus, there is a unique Sylow 17-subgroup, which is normal in  $G$  by the Sylow Theorems.

2. Similarly, the number of Sylow 5-subgroups of  $G$  (denoted  $n_5$ ) satisfies:
  - $n_5 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$
  - $n_5$  divides  $3 \times 17 = 51$

The possible values for  $n_5$  are 1 and 51. If  $n_5 = 1$ , then there is a unique Sylow 5-subgroup, which is normal in  $G$ .

3. Lastly, the number of Sylow 3-subgroups of  $G$  (denoted  $n_3$ ) satisfies:

- $n_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
- $n_3$  divides  $5 \times 17 = 85$

The possible values for  $n_3$  are 1, 5, 17, and 85. If  $n_3 = 1$ , then there is a unique Sylow 3-subgroup, which is normal in  $G$ .

*Proof.* From the Sylow Theorems, we have determined that:

1. There is a unique Sylow 17-subgroup of  $G$ , which is normal.
2. There may be a unique Sylow 5-subgroup of  $G$ , which, if it exists, is normal.
3. There may be a unique Sylow 3-subgroup of  $G$ , which, if it exists, is normal.

Since a simple group has no non-trivial normal subgroups, and we've established that  $G$  has at least one non-trivial normal subgroup (from point 1), it follows that  $G$  cannot be simple.

Therefore, there are no simple groups of order 255. □

## CHAPTER IV.18

**Exercise 3.** Compute the product in the given ring:  $(11)(-4)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{15}$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} (11)(-4) &= -44 \\ -44 \pmod{15} &= -14 \pmod{15} \\ &= 1 \quad (\text{since } -14 \text{ is congruent to } 1 \text{ modulo } 15) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the product  $(11)(-4)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{15}$  is 1. □

**Exercise 9.** Decide whether the indicated operations of addition and multiplication are defined (closed) on the set, and give a ring structure. If a ring is not formed, tell why this is the case. If a ring is formed, state whether the ring is commutative, whether it has unity, and whether it is a field.

- $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  with addition and multiplication by components.

Consider the set  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , which consists of ordered pairs of integers. We want to determine if the operations of addition and multiplication, defined component-wise, give a ring structure to this set.

*Proof.*

1. **Addition:** For any  $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , the sum is defined as:

$$(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d)$$



Since the sum of two integers is an integer, the result  $(a + c, b + d)$  is still in  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, addition is closed.

**2. Multiplication:** For any  $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , the product is defined as:

$$(a, b) \cdot (c, d) = (a \cdot c, b \cdot d)$$

Again, the product of two integers is an integer, so the result  $(a \cdot c, b \cdot d)$  is in  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, multiplication is closed.

The usual ring axioms (associativity, distributivity, existence of an additive identity, existence of additive inverses, etc.) hold for  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  under these operations.

- The additive identity is  $(0, 0)$  because for any  $(a, b)$ , we have:

$$(a, b) + (0, 0) = (a + 0, b + 0) = (a, b)$$

- The additive inverse of  $(a, b)$  is  $(-a, -b)$  because:

$$(a, b) + (-a, -b) = (a + (-a), b + (-b)) = (0, 0)$$

- The ring is **commutative** under both addition and multiplication. This is clear from the component-wise definitions of these operations.

- The ring has a **unity** or multiplicative identity, which is  $(1, 1)$ . For any  $(a, b)$ , we have:

$$(a, b) \cdot (1, 1) = (a \cdot 1, b \cdot 1) = (a, b)$$

- However, the ring is **not a field**. To see why, consider the element  $(1, 0)$ . While  $(1, 0)$  is not the zero element, it does not have a multiplicative inverse in  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . Any potential inverse  $(a, b)$  would need to satisfy  $(1, 0) \cdot (a, b) = (1, 1)$ , but this is impossible since the second component of the product is 0.

□

### Exercise 11.

Decide whether the indicated operations of addition and multiplication are defined (closed) on the set, and give a ring structure. If a ring is not formed, tell why this is the case. If a ring is formed, state whether the ring is commutative, whether it has unity, and whether it is a field.

- $\{a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with the usual addition and multiplication.

Consider the set  $R = \{a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . We want to determine if the operations of addition and multiplication, as usually defined for real numbers, give a ring structure to this set.

*Proof.* 1. **Addition:** For any  $x = a + b\sqrt{2}$  and  $y = c + d\sqrt{2}$  in  $R$ , the sum is defined as:

$$x + y = (a + c) + (b + d)\sqrt{2}$$

Both  $a + c$  and  $b + d$  are integers, so  $x + y$  belongs to  $R$ . Thus, addition is closed.

**2. Multiplication:** For any  $x = a + b\sqrt{2}$  and  $y = c + d\sqrt{2}$  in  $R$ , the product is defined as:

$$x \cdot y = (a \cdot c + 2b \cdot d) + (a \cdot d + b \cdot c)\sqrt{2}$$

Both  $a \cdot c + 2b \cdot d$  and  $a \cdot d + b \cdot c$  are integers, so  $x \cdot y$  belongs to  $R$ . Thus, multiplication is closed.

The usual ring axioms (associativity, distributivity, existence of an additive identity, existence of additive inverses, etc.) hold for  $R$  under these operations.

- The additive identity is 0 because for any  $x = a + b\sqrt{2}$ , we have:

$$x + 0 = a + b\sqrt{2} + 0 = a + b\sqrt{2} = x$$

- The additive inverse of  $x = a + b\sqrt{2}$  is  $-x = -a - b\sqrt{2}$  because:

$$x + (-x) = a - a + b\sqrt{2} - b\sqrt{2} = 0$$

- The ring is **commutative** under both addition and multiplication. This is clear from the definitions of these operations.

- The ring has a **unity** or multiplicative identity, which is 1 because for any  $x = a + b\sqrt{2}$ , we have:

$$x \cdot 1 = (a + b\sqrt{2}) \cdot 1 = a + b\sqrt{2} = x$$

- To determine if the ring is a field, we'd need to check if every non-zero element has a multiplicative inverse in  $R$ . However, not every non-zero element in  $R$  has an inverse in  $R$ . For instance, the element  $1 + \sqrt{2}$  does not have a multiplicative inverse in  $R$ . Thus,  $R$  is **not a field**.

□

**Exercise 15.** Describe all units in the given ring: •  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

For the ring  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , a unit (or invertible element) is an element that has a multiplicative inverse in the ring.

*Proof.* Consider an element  $(a, b)$  in  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . For  $(a, b)$  to be a unit, there must exist an element  $(c, d)$  in  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  such that:

$$(a, b) \cdot (c, d) = (1, 1)$$

From this, we get:

$$1) \ ac = 1 \text{ and } 2) \ bd = 1$$

Given that  $a, b, c$ , and  $d$  are integers, the only way for  $ac$  to be 1 is if  $a = c = 1$  or  $a = c = -1$ . Similarly, the only way for  $bd$  to be 1 is if  $b = d = 1$  or  $b = d = -1$ .

From the above, we can deduce that the units in  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  are:

$$(1, 1), (-1, -1), (1, -1), \text{ and } (-1, 1)$$

These are the only elements in  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  that have multiplicative inverses in the ring.  $\square$

So, the units in  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  are  $(1, 1), (-1, -1), (1, -1),$  and  $(-1, 1)$ .

**Exercise 24.** Describe all ring homomorphisms of  $\mathbb{Z}$  into  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ .

To describe all ring homomorphisms  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , we need to consider the properties that must be satisfied by a ring homomorphism. Specifically, for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

1.  $\phi(a + b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$
2.  $\phi(a \cdot b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$
3.  $\phi(1) = 1$  where 1 in  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is the multiplicative identity, which is  $(1, 1)$ .

*Proof.* Let's use the properties of ring homomorphisms to determine the structure of  $\phi$ :

1. Given  $\phi(1) = (1, 1)$ , we can determine  $\phi$  for all integers. For any positive integer  $n$ , we have:

$$\phi(n) = \phi(1 + 1 + \cdots + 1) = \phi(1) + \phi(1) + \cdots + \phi(1) = (n, n)$$

Similarly, for any negative integer  $-n$ , we have:

$$\phi(-n) = -\phi(n) = (-n, -n)$$

2. For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , using the properties of ring homomorphisms, we have:

$$\phi(a \cdot b) = \phi(a) \cdot \phi(b)$$

$$\phi(a + b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$$

These properties confirm our above derivation for  $\phi(n)$  and  $\phi(-n)$ .

Given these results, we can conclude that the only ring homomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is given by:

$$\phi(n) = (n, n)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

Thus, the only ring homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}$  into  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  maps each integer  $n$  to the ordered pair  $(n, n)$ . **Exercise 33.** Mark each of the following true or false.

- a. Every field is also a ring.
- b. Every ring has a multiplicative identity.
- c. Every ring with unity has at least two units.
- d. Every ring with unity has at most two units.
- e. It is possible for a subset of some field to be a ring but not a subfield, under the induced operations.
- f. The distributive laws for a ring are not very important.

- g. Multiplication in a field is commutative.
- h. The nonzero elements of a field form a group under the multiplication in the field.
- i. Addition in every ring is commutative.
- j. Every element in a ring has an additive inverse.

*Proof.*

**a. Every field is also a ring.**

**Answer: True.** By definition, a field is a set with two operations (addition and multiplication) that satisfy the properties of a ring, and in addition, every non-zero element has a multiplicative inverse. So, a field satisfies all properties of a ring.

**b. Every ring has a multiplicative identity.**

**Answer: False.** There are rings without a multiplicative identity. Such rings are called non-unital rings.

**c. Every ring with unity has at least two units.**

**Answer: True.** The unity (or 1) is a unit by definition, and the additive inverse of the unity,  $-1$ , is also a unit. Thus, there are at least these two units in any ring with unity.

**d. Every ring with unity has at most two units.**

**Answer: False.** Consider the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Both 1 and  $-1$  are units, but so are any other integers coprime to the modulus.

**e. It is possible for a subset of some field to be a ring but not a subfield, under the induced operations.**

**Answer: True.** Consider the field  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers. The subset  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers is a ring under the induced operations, but it's not a subfield because it lacks multiplicative inverses for all non-zero integers.

**f. The distributive laws for a ring are not very important.**

**Answer: False.** The distributive laws are essential for the structure of a ring. They ensure the compatibility of the two operations: addition and multiplication.

**g. Multiplication in a field is commutative.**

**Answer: True.** By definition, a field is a commutative ring where every non-zero element has a multiplicative inverse.

**h. The nonzero elements of a field form a group under the multiplication in the field.**

**Answer: True.** In a field, the set of non-zero elements is closed under multiplication, each element has a multiplicative inverse, and multiplication is associative. Thus, they form a group.

**i. Addition in every ring is commutative.**

**Answer: True.** Commutativity of addition is one of the defining properties of a ring.

**j. Every element in a ring has an additive inverse.**

**Answer: True.** The existence of additive inverses is one of the defining properties of a ring.  $\square$

**Exercise 41.** (Freshman exponentiation) Let  $p$  be a prime. Show that in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  we have  $(a + b)^p = a^p + b^p$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . [Hint: Observe that the usual binomial expansion for  $(a + b)^n$  is valid in a commutative ring.]

*Proof.* To prove the statement, we'll first consider the binomial expansion of  $(a + b)^p$  in a commutative ring:

$$(a + b)^p = \binom{p}{0}a^p + \binom{p}{1}a^{p-1}b + \binom{p}{2}a^{p-2}b^2 + \cdots + \binom{p}{p-1}ab^{p-1} + \binom{p}{p}b^p$$

Now, for any integer  $1 \leq k \leq p - 1$ , the binomial coefficient  $\binom{p}{k}$  is given by:

$$\binom{p}{k} = \frac{p!}{k!(p-k)!}$$

Observe that the numerator  $p!$  has  $p$  as a factor (since  $p! = p \times (p-1)!$ ), but neither  $k!$  nor  $(p-k)!$  have  $p$  as a factor (since  $1 \leq k \leq p-1$ ). Therefore, when working in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $\binom{p}{k}$  is equivalent to 0 for all  $1 \leq k \leq p-1$  since it's a multiple of  $p$ .

So, the only terms that remain in the expansion of  $(a + b)^p$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are:

$$(a + b)^p \equiv a^p + b^p \pmod{p}$$

And since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is defined mod  $p$ , we can conclude that:

$$(a + b)^p = a^p + b^p$$

in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 44.** An element  $a$  of a ring  $R$  is idempotent if  $a^2 = a$ .

- Show that the set of all idempotent elements of a commutative ring is closed under multiplication.
- Find all idempotents in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ .

Let's address each part individually:

**a. Show that the set of all idempotent elements of a commutative ring is closed under multiplication.**

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, and let  $a$  and  $b$  be idempotent elements of  $R$ . This means  $a^2 = a$  and  $b^2 = b$ .

To show closure under multiplication for the set of idempotents, we need to show that  $ab$  is also idempotent.

Considering  $(ab)^2$ :

$$(ab)^2 = abab = a(b^2)a = aba = a^2b = ab$$

Thus,  $ab$  is also idempotent. Therefore, the set of idempotent elements in a commutative ring is closed under multiplication.  $\square$

**b. Find all idempotents in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ .**

For the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ , the idempotent elements are solutions to the equation  $x^2 \equiv x \pmod{6}$ . Similarly, for  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ , the idempotent elements are solutions to the equation  $x^2 \equiv x \pmod{12}$ .

The idempotents in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  are  $\{0, 1, 3, 4\}$ , and the idempotents in  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  are  $\{0, 1, 4, 9\}$ .

Now, for the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ , the idempotents are given by the Cartesian product of these two sets. Let's list them out.

The idempotents in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_6 \times \mathbb{Z}_{12}$  are:

$$\{(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 4), (0, 9), (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 4), (1, 9), (3, 0), (3, 1), (3, 4), (3, 9), (4, 0), (4, 1), (4, 4), (4, 9)\}$$

**Exercise 46.** An element  $a$  of a ring  $R$  is **nilpotent** if  $a^n = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Show that if  $a$  and  $b$  are nilpotent elements of a commutative ring, then  $a + b$  is also nilpotent.

To prove the statement, let's assume  $a$  and  $b$  are nilpotent elements in a commutative ring  $R$ . This means there exist positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  such that  $a^m = 0$  and  $b^n = 0$ .

Let  $k = \max(m, n)$ . We want to show that  $(a + b)^{2k} = 0$ .

*Proof.* Consider the expansion of  $(a + b)^{2k}$  using the binomial theorem:

$$(a + b)^{2k} = \sum_{i=0}^{2k} \binom{2k}{i} a^i b^{2k-i}$$

Given that  $a^m = 0$  and  $b^n = 0$ , any term in the above sum where  $i \geq m$  or  $2k - i \geq n$  will be zero.

Since  $k$  is the larger of  $m$  and  $n$ , and we're considering the expansion up to the power  $2k$ , all terms will have either  $a$  raised to a power greater than or equal to  $m$  or  $b$  raised to a power greater than or equal to  $n$ . Thus, every term in the expansion will be zero.

Therefore,  $(a + b)^{2k} = 0$ , which means  $a + b$  is nilpotent.  $\square$

So, if  $a$  and  $b$  are nilpotent elements in a commutative ring, then  $a + b$  is also nilpotent.

**Exercise 52.** (Chinese Remainder Theorem for two congruences) Let  $r$  and  $s$  be positive integers such that  $\gcd(r, s) = 1$ . Use the isomorphism in Example 18.15 to show that for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists an integer  $x$  such that  $x \equiv m \pmod{r}$  and  $x \equiv n \pmod{s}$ .

To prove the Chinese Remainder Theorem for two congruences, we'll make use of the isomorphism provided in Example 18.15. This example shows that if  $\gcd(r, s) = 1$ , then the rings  $\mathbb{Z}_{rs}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s$  are isomorphic.

Given this isomorphism, let's denote the isomorphism by  $\phi$ , such that:

$$\phi : \mathbb{Z}_{rs} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s$$

The isomorphism is given by:

$$\phi(x) = (x \pmod{r}, x \pmod{s})$$

*Proof.* Given the congruences:

1.  $x \equiv m \pmod{r}$
2.  $x \equiv n \pmod{s}$

We can look for an element in  $\mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s$  of the form  $(m, n)$ . Since  $\phi$  is an isomorphism, there exists an element  $x$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{rs}$  such that:

$$\phi(x) = (m, n)$$

From the definition of  $\phi$ , this gives:

$$\begin{aligned} x \pmod{r} &= m \\ x \pmod{s} &= n \end{aligned}$$

Which are precisely the given congruences. Hence, an integer  $x$  exists such that it satisfies both congruences.

Since  $\gcd(r, s) = 1$ , the isomorphism ensures that we can find a unique solution  $x$  modulo  $rs$  that satisfies both congruences.  $\square$

Therefore, for any integers  $m$  and  $n$ , there exists an integer  $x$  such that  $x \equiv m \pmod{r}$  and  $x \equiv n \pmod{s}$  when  $\gcd(r, s) = 1$ .