

MATH 417, HOMEWORK 2

CHARLES ANCEL

Exercise 1. Consider the formula $x \star y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 2xy$. Show that $(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \star)$ is a group.

SOLUTION

To show that $(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \star)$ is a group under the operation $x \star y = 2xy$, we need to verify the following group axioms:

Proof.

Closure. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. We need to show that $x \star y = 2xy \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

$$\begin{aligned} x > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y > 0 \\ \Rightarrow 2xy > 0 \quad \text{since } 2 > 0, x > 0, y > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $x \star y \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, proving closure.

Associativity. Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. We need to show that $(x \star y) \star z = x \star (y \star z)$.

$$\begin{aligned} (x \star y) \star z &= (2xy) \star z \\ &= 2(2xy)z \quad (\text{by definition of } \star) \\ &= 4xyz, \\ x \star (y \star z) &= x \star (2yz) \\ &= 2x(2yz) \quad (\text{by definition of } \star) \\ &= 4xyz. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(x \star y) \star z = x \star (y \star z)$, proving associativity.

Identity Element. We need to find an element $e \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $e \star x = x \star e = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

$$\begin{aligned} e \star x &= 2ex = x \quad \Rightarrow e = \frac{1}{2}, \\ x \star e &= 2x \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the identity element is $e = \frac{1}{2}$.

Inverses. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we need to find an element $y \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $x \star y = y \star x = e$, where $e = \frac{1}{2}$.

$$x \star y = 2xy = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow y = \frac{1}{4x},$$

$$y \star x = 2yx = 2 \left(\frac{1}{4x} \right) x = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus, the inverse of x is $y = \frac{1}{4x}$.

□

Since the operation \star satisfies closure, associativity, identity, and inverses, we conclude that $(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \star)$ is a group.

Exercise 2. State and prove a formula for the parity of a permutation in terms of its cycle type.

SOLUTION

Statement of the Formula. Let $\sigma \in S_n$ be a permutation, and let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k be the lengths of the disjoint cycles in the cycle decomposition of σ . The parity of the permutation σ (i.e., whether it is even or odd) can be determined by the formula:

$$\text{parity}(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^k (c_i - 1) \pmod{2}.$$

In other words, a permutation is even if the sum of $c_i - 1$ for all cycles is even, and odd if this sum is odd.

Proof of the Formula. To prove this formula, we need to show that the sum $\sum_{i=1}^k (c_i - 1)$ modulo 2 corresponds to the parity of the permutation σ .

Proof.

Cycle Decomposition and Transpositions. Any permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ can be written as a product of disjoint cycles. Let $\sigma = \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_k$, where each τ_i is a cycle of length c_i .

A c_i -cycle $(a_1 a_2 \dots a_{c_i})$ can be decomposed into $(c_i - 1)$ transpositions:

$$(a_1 a_2 \dots a_{c_i}) = (a_1 a_{c_i})(a_1 a_{c_i-1}) \cdots (a_1 a_2).$$

The number of transpositions in the decomposition of τ_i is $c_i - 1$.

Sum of Transpositions. The total number of transpositions required to express σ as a product of transpositions is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (c_i - 1).$$

Parity Calculation. The parity of σ is even if this sum is even and odd if this sum is odd. This can be seen as follows: - If the total number of transpositions (2-cycles) used to express σ is even, then σ is an even permutation. - If the total number of transpositions used to express σ is odd, then σ is an odd permutation.

Thus, the parity of the permutation σ can be calculated as:

$$\text{parity}(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^k (c_i - 1) \pmod{2}.$$

Example for Verification. Consider the permutation $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3)(4\ 5)$ in S_5 :

- The cycle $(1\ 2\ 3)$ is a 3-cycle and can be decomposed into 2 transpositions: $(1\ 3)(1\ 2)$.
- The cycle $(4\ 5)$ is a 2-cycle and can be decomposed into 1 transposition: $(4\ 5)$.

The total number of transpositions is $2 + 1 = 3$. Thus, σ is an odd permutation. According to the formula:

$$\text{parity}(\sigma) = ((3 - 1) + (2 - 1)) \mod 2 = (2 + 1) \mod 2 = 1.$$

The parity is 1 (odd), matching our calculation.

□

This proves that the formula for the parity of a permutation in terms of its cycle type is correct.

Exercise 3. Suppose b, c, d, e are integers. Show that if $d, e \in I(b, c)$, then $I(d, e) \subseteq I(b, c)$

SOLUTION

Let $I(b, c)$ denote the ideal in \mathbb{Z} generated by b and c , and $I(d, e)$ denote the ideal generated by d and e . To show that $I(d, e) \subseteq I(b, c)$, we proceed as follows:

Proof.

Definition of Ideals. The ideal $I(b, c)$ generated by b and c in \mathbb{Z} consists of all linear combinations of b and c :

$$I(b, c) = \{xb + yc \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Similarly, the ideal $I(d, e)$ generated by d and e is:

$$I(d, e) = \{ud + ve \mid u, v \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Given Conditions. We are given that $d, e \in I(b, c)$. Therefore, there exist integers x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 such that:

$$\begin{aligned} d &= x_1b + y_1c, \\ e &= x_2b + y_2c. \end{aligned}$$

Subset Inclusion. We need to show that any element in $I(d, e)$ is also in $I(b, c)$. Take any element in $I(d, e)$:

$$k = ud + ve \quad \text{for some } u, v \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Substituting $d = x_1b + y_1c$ and $e = x_2b + y_2c$:

$$k = u(x_1b + y_1c) + v(x_2b + y_2c).$$

Expanding and simplifying, we get:

$$k = (ux_1 + vx_2)b + (uy_1 + vy_2)c.$$

Let $x = ux_1 + vx_2$ and $y = uy_1 + vy_2$. Then:

$$k = xb + yc.$$

Conclusion. Since $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $k = xb + yc$. This shows that $k \in I(b, c)$. Therefore, every element $k \in I(d, e)$ is also in $I(b, c)$, proving that:

$$I(d, e) \subseteq I(b, c).$$

□

Exercise 4. Let $a, b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Show that if the equation $d = ax + by$ has at least one solution $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, then it has infinitely many such solutions.

SOLUTION

Given the equation $d = ax + by$ with $a, b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, we aim to show that if there exists at least one integer solution (x_0, y_0) , then there are infinitely many integer solutions.

Proof.

Existence of a Particular Solution. Suppose there exists a solution $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that:

$$d = ax_0 + by_0.$$

General Solution. We will derive the general solution to the equation $d = ax + by$.

Consider any integer t . Let x and y be parameterized by t :

$$x = x_0 + bt, \quad y = y_0 - at.$$

Verification of the General Solution. Substitute $x = x_0 + bt$ and $y = y_0 - at$ into the equation $d = ax + by$:

$$\begin{aligned} d &= a(x_0 + bt) + b(y_0 - at) \\ &= ax_0 + abt + by_0 - bat \\ &= ax_0 + by_0 + (abt - bat) \\ &= ax_0 + by_0 + 0 \\ &= d. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $d = ax + by$ for $x = x_0 + bt$ and $y = y_0 - at$.

Conclusion. Since t can be any integer, there are infinitely many pairs (x, y) given by:

$$x = x_0 + bt, \quad y = y_0 - at$$

that satisfy the equation $d = ax + by$.

Therefore, if there is at least one solution to the equation $d = ax + by$, there are infinitely many integer solutions. \square

Exercise 1.6.3. Suppose that a natural number $p > 1$ has the property that for all nonzero integers a, b , if p divides the product ab , then p divides a or p divides b . Show that p is prime. (This is the converse of Proposition 1.6.19 in the book.)

SOLUTION

To prove that p is a prime number, we assume the given property and use a proof by contradiction.

Proof.

Assumption. Assume p is not prime. Then p can be factored into two positive integers greater than 1:

$$p = mn,$$

where $1 < m < p$ and $1 < n < p$.

Applying the Property. Consider the integers m and n :

$$p \mid mn \quad (\text{since } p = mn).$$

By the given property, since p divides the product mn , p must divide either m or n .

Contradiction. Since $p = mn$:

- If $p \mid m$, then $m = kp$ for some integer k , which implies $m \geq p$, contradicting $1 < m < p$.
- If $p \mid n$, then $n = kp$ for some integer k , which implies $n \geq p$, contradicting $1 < n < p$.

In both cases, we reach a contradiction. Therefore, our assumption that p is not prime must be false.

Conclusion. Since assuming p is not prime leads to a contradiction, we conclude that p must be prime.

□

Exercise 1.7.1. Prove that addition and multiplication in \mathbb{Z}_n are both commutative and associative.

SOLUTION

In \mathbb{Z}_n , addition and multiplication are defined modulo n . We will prove the commutativity and associativity of these operations.

Addition in \mathbb{Z}_n .

Commutativity. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. We need to show that $a + b = b + a$ in \mathbb{Z}_n .

Proof.

Addition in \mathbb{Z}_n is defined as:

$$a + b \equiv a + b \pmod{n}.$$

Using the commutativity of addition in \mathbb{Z} , we have:

$$a + b = b + a.$$

Therefore:

$$a + b \equiv b + a \pmod{n}.$$

Hence, addition in \mathbb{Z}_n is commutative. □

Associativity. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. We need to show that $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$ in \mathbb{Z}_n .

Proof.

Addition in \mathbb{Z}_n is defined as:

$$a + b \equiv a + b \pmod{n}.$$

Using the associativity of addition in \mathbb{Z} , we have:

$$(a + b) + c = a + (b + c).$$

Therefore:

$$(a + b) + c \equiv a + (b + c) \pmod{n}.$$

Hence, addition in \mathbb{Z}_n is associative. □

Multiplication in \mathbb{Z}_n .

Commutativity. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. We need to show that $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ in \mathbb{Z}_n .

Proof. Multiplication in \mathbb{Z}_n is defined as:

$$a \cdot b \equiv a \cdot b \pmod{n}.$$

Using the commutativity of multiplication in \mathbb{Z} , we have:

$$a \cdot b = b \cdot a.$$

Therefore:

$$a \cdot b \equiv b \cdot a \pmod{n}.$$

Hence, multiplication in \mathbb{Z}_n is commutative. □

Associativity. Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. We need to show that $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ in \mathbb{Z}_n .

Proof. Multiplication in \mathbb{Z}_n is defined as:

$$a \cdot b \equiv a \cdot b \pmod{n}.$$

Using the associativity of multiplication in \mathbb{Z} , we have:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c).$$

Therefore:

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c \equiv a \cdot (b \cdot c) \pmod{n}.$$

Hence, multiplication in \mathbb{Z}_n is associative. □

CONCLUSION

We have shown that both addition and multiplication in \mathbb{Z}_n are commutative and associative, completing the proof.

Exercise 1.7.16. Find an integer x such that $x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $x \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$.

SOLUTION

We need to find an integer x that satisfies the following system of congruences:

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ x \equiv 5 \pmod{9} \end{cases}$$

To solve this, we can use the method of successive substitutions or apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

Proof.

Method of Successive Substitutions.

1. **Express x in terms of one congruence:** From the first congruence, write x as:

$$x = 4k + 3 \quad \text{for some integer } k.$$

2. **Substitute into the second congruence:** Substitute x in the second congruence:

$$4k + 3 \equiv 5 \pmod{9}.$$

Simplify this to solve for k :

$$4k + 3 \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$$

$$4k \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$$

$$k \equiv 2 \cdot 4^{-1} \pmod{9}.$$

3. **Find the inverse of 4 modulo 9:** The multiplicative inverse of 4 modulo 9 is an integer y such that:

$$4y \equiv 1 \pmod{9}.$$

By checking values, we find that $4 \cdot 7 \equiv 28 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$. Thus, the inverse is 7.

4. **Solve for k :** Substitute the inverse back into the equation:

$$k \equiv 2 \cdot 7 \pmod{9} \quad k \equiv 14 \pmod{9} \quad k \equiv 5 \pmod{9}.$$

5. **Find x :** Substitute k back into the expression for x :

$$x = 4k + 3 = 4 \cdot 5 + 3 = 20 + 3 = 23.$$

Thus,

$$x \equiv 23 \pmod{36}.$$

Verification. To verify:

- Check $x \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$:

$$23 \div 4 = 5 \text{ remainder } 3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 23 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.$$

- Check $x \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$:

$$23 \div 9 = 2 \text{ remainder } 5 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 23 \equiv 5 \pmod{9}.$$

Both congruences are satisfied.

Conclusion. The integer x that satisfies both congruences is:

$$\boxed{23}.$$

□