

# Poster Evaluation Form



Team members \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

	very impressive/ effective/ error-free		adequate		really needs work
<b>Poster Content</b>					
Emphasizes key points/NEWS (appropriate title, headings, choice of visuals, layout)	5	4	3	2	1
Summarizes scope of investigation	5	4	3	2	1
Organization (overall and within sections)	5	4	3	2	1
Accuracy and completeness of explanations	5	4	3	2	1
Amount of detail is appropriate (not too detailed, not too superficial, just balanced)	5	4	3	2	1
Defines key terms and avoids jargon	5	4	3	2	1
Considers all data/variables and reports those that are significant	5	4	3	2	1
Explains figures/tables on poster	5	4	3	2	1
Draws distinct conclusions	5	4	3	2	1
Grammar, spelling, punctuation	5	4	3	2	1
	very high appeal		average appeal		low appeal
<b>Poster Appearance</b>					
Overall appeal	5	4	3	2	1
Quality of visuals	5	4	3	2	1
Colors not distracting, contribute to understanding	5	4	3	2	1
Font (size, style, quality)	5	4	3	2	1
Highlighting of major concepts (bullets, numbers, white spaces, arrows)	5	4	3	2	1
Short text segments/not too text-heavy	5	4	3	2	1
Consistent formatting	5	4	3	2	1

Strengths:

Areas for improvement:



## POSTER PRESENTATION EVALUATION



**Presenter(s)** \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

	very effective		adequate		needs more preparation, practice, and/or some re-thinking
<b>Content of presentation</b>					
Introduced the study and provided a clear context	5	4	3	2	1
Appropriate for audience	5	4	3	2	1
Good organization and flow	5	4	3	2	1
Examples or details where needed	5	4	3	2	1
Timing of the talk (not too slow or fast, not too short or too long)	5	4	3	2	1
<b>Presenter</b>					
Stance, eye contact, hand gestures, voice quality	5	4	3	2	1

Strengths:

Areas for improvement:

