

# BIOE 342 Poster Evaluation Form

Designer's Name \_\_\_\_\_

**A** is high or strongly agree      **B** is moderate or neutral      **C** is low or strongly disagree

**yes** – agree with the statement      **no** – disagree with the statement

	Technical content	Scale		
1	Objective panel adequately summarizes scope of work reported.	A	B	C
2	Methods panels clearly state the experimental variables.	yes		no
3	Methods panels explain how the key measurements were made.	yes		no
4	Methods panels distill the important information.	A	B	C
5	Graphs and/or tables clearly present the key results.	A	B	C
6	Numerical values reported to correct number of significant figures.	yes		no
7	Statistics (including error bars, t-test, etc.) clarify results.	A	B	C
8	Key results are interpreted in words.	A	B	C
9	Results and conclusions are supported by the data presented.	A	B	C
10	Results from similar experiments are compared and synthesized.	yes		no
11	All panels are relevant to the technical purpose.	A	B	C
12	Conclusions align with the objectives.	A	B	C
13	Jargon is limited and/or defined.	A	B	C

	Organization, style and appearance	Scale		
1	An appropriate amount of material was selected for the poster.	A	B	C
2	The poster is organized well overall.	A	B	C
3	Individual panels of the poster are well organized.	A	B	C
4	The titles are persuasive and content-specific.	A	B	C
5	The panels are concise.	A	B	C
6	There are no spelling, grammar or punctuation errors.	A	B	C
7	The panels are numbered.	A	B	C
8	The panels are consistently formatted with the appropriate font size, style and quality.	yes		no
9	Graphs have the appropriate scale, labels and units, and “chart junk” has been eliminated.	A	B	C
10	Major concepts are highlighted with bullets; effective white space.	A	B	C
11	The background does not interfere with text/figures.	A	B	C
12	The overall appearance of the poster is attractive.	A	B	C

**COMMENTS**