```
Christian Zinck
```

Theory

9/18/18

13.

$$\begin{split} &<\operatorname{LIT}(3), \operatorname{xi}_0, \operatorname{phi}, \operatorname{rho}_0>\downarrow <3, \operatorname{xi}_0, \operatorname{phi}, \operatorname{rho}_0>\\ &\times \in \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{rho}) \end{split}$$
 
$$&<\operatorname{SET}(\operatorname{VAR}(X), \operatorname{LIT}(3)), \operatorname{xi}_0, \operatorname{phi}, \operatorname{rho}_0>\downarrow <3, \operatorname{xi}_1, \operatorname{phi}, \operatorname{rho}_1 \times 1, \operatorname{cho}_1>\downarrow <3, \operatorname{xi}_1, \operatorname{phi}, \operatorname{rho}_1>\downarrow <3, \operatorname{xi}_1, \operatorname{phi}, \operatorname{rho}_1>\downarrow <3, \operatorname{xi}_1, \operatorname{phi}, \operatorname{rho}_1>\downarrow <3, \operatorname{xi}_1, \operatorname{phi}, \operatorname{rho}_1>\downarrow <3, \operatorname{xi}_1, \operatorname{phi}, \operatorname{rho}_0>\downarrow <3, \operatorname{xi}_1, \operatorname{phi}, \operatorname{rho}_0>\downarrow <8, \operatorname{xi}_1, \operatorname{phi}, \operatorname{rho}_1>\downarrow <8, \operatorname{xi}_1, \operatorname{phi}, \operatorname{rho}_1>\downarrow <8, \operatorname{xi}_1, \operatorname{phi}, \operatorname{rho}_1>\downarrow <8, \operatorname{cho}_1+\operatorname{cho$$

When rho(X) = 0,  $v_1 = v_2 = 0$ , and when rho(X) != 0,  $v_1 = v_2 = rho(X)$ , so  $v_1 = v_2$ 

21.

A.

 $X \notin dom(rho)$ 

 $X \notin dom(xi)$ 

$$\langle VAR(X), xi_0, phi, rho_0 \rangle \downarrow \langle 0, xi_1 \{X \rightarrow 0\}, phi, rho_0 \rangle$$
 (IMPLICITASSIGN)

B.

 $X \notin dom(rho)$ 

 $X \notin dom(xi)$ 

$$\langle VAR(X), xi_0, phi, rho_0 \rangle \downarrow \langle 0, xi_0, phi, rho_1 \{X \rightarrow 0\} \rangle$$
 (IMPLICITASSIGN)

C.

I prefer the change to give Impcore Icon-like semantics because if an unbound variable is referenced, its scope should only be the scope of the current process because it is unfair to assume the variable will still be wanted after the procedure terminates.

20.

An expression is deterministic if it evaluates to the same value every time it is evaluated in a given set of environments. In notation:

Given 
$$\langle e, xi_0, phi, rho_0 \rangle \downarrow \langle v_1, xi_1, phi, rho_1 \rangle$$
 and  $\langle e, xi_0, phi, rho_0 \rangle \downarrow \langle v_2, xi_2, phi, rho_2 \rangle$   
 $v_1 = v_2, xi_1 = xi_2, and rho_1 = rho_2$ 

Induction hypothesis: An evaluation of an expression is deterministic if it evaluates to at most one value given the same starting machine state with premises that have deterministic derivations.

Base Cases:

LIT:

$$D = \frac{}{\langle LIT(v), xi_0, phi, rho_0 \rangle \downarrow \langle v, xi_0, phi, rho_0 \rangle}$$
(LITERAL)

A literal expression evaluates to its equivalent value, which is the same value regardless of the context the literal is used in, so for any v, so  $v = v_1 = v_2$ ,  $xi_0 = xi_1 = xi_2$ , and  $rho_0 = rho_1 = rho_2$ .

VAR:

Therefore,  $v = v_1 = v_2$ ,  $xi_0 = xi_1 = xi_2$ , and  $rho_0 = rho_1 = rho_2$ , so evaluating a formal variable expression is deterministic.

$$X \notin \text{dom(rho)}$$

$$X \in \text{dom(xi)}$$

$$xi(X) = v$$

$$D = \frac{\text{VAR(X), xi_0, phi, rho_0}}{\text{VAR(X), xi_0, phi, rho_0}}$$
(GLOBALVAR)

Therefore,  $v = v_1 = v_2$ ,  $xi_0 = xi_1 = xi_2$ , and  $rho_0 = rho_1 = rho_2$ , so evaluating a global variable expression is deterministic.

Induction Cases:

SET:

$$X \in dom(rho)$$

$$D_{1}$$

$$D = \frac{}{\langle SET(X, e), xi_{0}, phi, rho_{0} \rangle \downarrow \langle v, xi_{set}, phi, rho_{set}\{X \rightarrow v\} \rangle}$$
 (FORMALASSIGN)

By induction hypothesis, if  $D_1$  is a deterministic derivation, then  $v = v_1 = v_2$ ,  $xi_{set} = xi_1 = xi_2$ , and  $rho_{set}\{X \rightarrow v\} = rho_1 = rho_2$ .

By induction hypothesis, if  $D_1$  is a deterministic derivation, then  $v = v_1 = v_2$ ,  $xi_{set}\{X \rightarrow v\} = xi_1 = xi_2$ , and  $rho_{set} = rho_1 = rho_2$ .

IF:

$$D_{1} = 0$$

$$D_{2}$$

$$D = \frac{V_{1}! = 0}{V_{2}}$$

$$V_{2} = \frac{V_{2} \times V_{2} \times V_{3} \times V_{4} \times V_{5} \times V_{$$

By induction hypothesis, if  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are deterministic derivations, then  $v_t = v_1 = v_2$ ,  $xi_t = xi_1 = xi_2$ , and  $rho_t = rho_1 = rho_2$ .

$$D_{1}$$

$$v_{1} = 0$$

$$D_{3}$$

$$D = \frac{\langle IF(e, e_{t}, e_{f}), xi_{0}, phi, rho_{0} \rangle \downarrow \langle v_{f}, xi_{f}, phi, rho_{f} \rangle}{\langle IFFALSE \rangle}$$
(IFFALSE)

By induction hypothesis, if  $D_1$  and  $D_3$  are deterministic derivations, then  $v_f = v_1 = v_2$ ,  $xi_f = xi_1 = xi_2$ , and  $rho_f = rho_1 = rho_2$ .

## APPLYADD:

$$D_{1}$$

$$D_{2}$$

$$-2^{31} <= v_{a} + v_{b} < 2^{31}$$

$$D = \frac{}{  \downarrow < v_{a} + v_{b}, xi_{add}, phi, rho_{add} > }$$

By induction hypothesis, if  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are deterministic derivations, then  $v_a + v_b = v_1 = v_2$ ,  $xi_{add} = xi_1 = xi_2$ , and  $rho_{add} = rho_1 = rho_2$ .