

# University of Salerno



ADVANCED OPERATING SYSTEM

*Clustering and K-Means: a report on parallelization optimization with Apache Spark*

Authors:

Cioffi Daniele

Consalvo Mario

Secondulfo Andrea

Professor:

Prof. Giuseppe Cattaneo

Academic Year 2019/2020

# Contents

<b>1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2. Preliminary analysis .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.1. Apache Spark .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.2. K-means .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2.3. Dataset.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2.4. Pre-processing techniques .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2.5. Feature selection.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2.5.1. Term Frequency .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>2.5.2. Term Frequency Inverse Document Frequency .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>2.6. Techniques applied .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>2.6.1. Libraries used during the sequential implementation .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>2.6.2. Libraries used during the spark implementation .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>3. Sequential implementation.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>3.2. Source code .....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>3.3. Testing.....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>3.3.1. Test configuration .....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>3.3.2. Execution Time.....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>3.3.3. Benchmark.....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>3.3.4. Results .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>3.4. Implementation problems .....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>4. Implementation on APACHE SPARK.....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>4.1. Implementation details .....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>4.2. JOB 1 - Text extraction and data preprocessing.....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>4.3. JOB 2 - K-means execution .....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>4.4. JOB 3 - Export as text .....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>5. Test and benchmarking .....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>5.1. Cluster configuration.....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>5.2. Execution Time.....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>5.3. CPU .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>5.4. Memory .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>5.5. Disk.....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>5.6. Network.....</b>	<b>36</b>

5.7. Comparison with sequential.....	37
6. Conclusion .....	38
Source code.....	39
Bibliography.....	40

# 1. Introduction

In everyday life we often and subconsciously gather objects according to a certain resemblance: from clothes in the closet, to food in the shelves of the supermarket, as well as Google groups by keywords all the documents it contains in its huge database.

What we're doing is grouping together the objects that we need to relate sooner or later, for some future use or just to find the objects more easily when we need them. This activity, called clustering is one of the most important techniques of grouping non-labeled objects.

Clustering can be performed using different methodologies. One of the most popular is based on the k-means algorithm, that will be better explained later in the article. In addition to k-means, there are of course other clustering algorithms, which sometimes allow to emphasize the architecture used.

The goal of the experimentation is carried out to classify a collection of scientific papers downloaded from ArXiv.org. To achieve that, it is necessary to implement all the pre-processing stages (from raw type-b PDFs to string-based data) required to conduct a k-means algorithm.

For future works, the target could be focused on two other different clustering algorithms: spherical and bi-sec k-means applied to document clustering (on the same PDFs dataset). The most common and traditional implementations on the Internet are based on Hadoop, using a MapReduce architecture.

The work we propose represents further step, aiming at using the Apache Spark framework that provides performance up to 100 times higher than more famous paradigms like MapReduce.

## 2. Preliminary analysis

### 2.1. Apache Spark

Apache Spark is an open-source distributed general-purpose cluster-computing framework. Spark provides an interface for programming entire clusters with implicit data parallelism and fault tolerance. Originally developed at the University of California, Berkeley's AMPLab, the Spark codebase was later donated to the Apache Software Foundation, which has maintained it since.

Apache Spark has its architectural foundation in the Resilient Distributed Dataset (RDD), a read-only multiset of data items distributed over a cluster of machines, that is maintained in a fault-tolerant way. The Dataframe API was released as an abstraction on top of the RDD, followed by the Dataset API.

Spark and its RDDs were developed in 2012 in response to limitations in the MapReduce cluster computing paradigm, which forces a particular linear dataflow structure on distributed programs: MapReduce programs read input data from disk, map a function across the data, reduce the results of the map, and store reduction results on disk. Spark's RDDs function as a working set for distributed programs that offers a (deliberately) restricted form of distributed shared memory.

Spark facilitates the implementation of both iterative algorithms, which visit their data set multiple times in a loop, and interactive/exploratory data analysis, the repeated database-style querying of data. The latency of such applications may be reduced by several orders of magnitude compared to Apache Hadoop MapReduce implementation. Among the class of iterative algorithms are the training algorithms for machine learning systems, which formed the initial impetus for developing Apache Spark.

Apache Spark requires a cluster manager and a distributed storage system. For cluster management, Spark supports standalone, Hadoop YARN, Apache Mesos or Kubernetes. For distributed storage, Spark can interface with a wide variety, including Alluxio, Hadoop Distributed File System (HDFS), MapR File System (MapR-FS), Cassandra, OpenStack Swift, Amazon S3, Kudu, Lustre file system, or a custom solution can be implemented.

## 2.2. K-means

K Means clustering is a non-hierarchical approach of grouping items into different number of clusters/groups. The number of clusters/groups is defined by the user which he chooses based on his/her use-case and data in question. K-Means works by forming cluster of data points by minimizing the sum of squared distances between the data points and their centroids. A centroid is a central point to a group of data points in the dataset. There are various ways of choosing initial centroid, but in many cases, it is done using random allocation. The algorithm is as follows:

- Firstly, select randomly chosen 'k' cluster centroids.
- Cluster Assignment: In this step, assign each of the data points in the dataset to one of the centroids, selecting centroid which is closest to the data-point.
- Centroid Movement: For each centroid, compute the average of all the data-points that are allocated to each centroid. This computed average is the new value of the centroid.
- Calculate the sum of square of distance that each centroid has moved from its previous value, repeat steps 2 and 3 until this value is not less than or equal to threshold value (usually 0.01) or the number of iterations reaches maximum iterations specified, either of which is satisfied.

## **2.3. Dataset**

Having a good amount of data is essential for the best result from the experiment.

ArXiv.org provide more than 1 million (2017 dataset) of scientific papers, all of them in PDF type-B format and are classified in 8 categories, each category has it owns sub-category.

Files weight goes from 0.5 MB to 3.2 MB so the entire dataset is quite large, but due to bandwidth limitations our work uses only ~50 GB (download the entire dataset could have required more than a month).

## 2.4. Pre-processing techniques

Before applying any data analysis technique it's necessary to pre-process the collection of documents. In our word we have used the following pre-processing techniques:

- *extract plain text*, the process of extracting manipulated text from a data file in PDF format. This is the first stage of pre-processing and it is therefore essential not to make mistakes.
- *tokenization*, the process of breaking a text into tokens. A token is a non-empty sequence of characters, excluding spaces and punctuation. A token is a non-empty sequence of characters, excluding spaces and punctuation;
- *lowercase conversion* which converts all terms into lower cases;
- *special character removal* which removes all the special characters and digits. This operation allows a substantial reduction of "space" within each document.
- *stop word removal*, removes word that are meaningless, such as articles, conjunctions and preposition. Like the previous operation, this one also allows a reduction of "not important" text within the document.
- *stemming*, namely the process of removing inflectional affixes of words reducing the words to their stem. The goal of stemming is to reduce inflectional forms and sometimes derivationally related forms of a word to a common base form.
- *lemmatization*, the process of grouping together the different inflected forms of a word so they can be analyzed as a single item. An algorithm that converts a word to its linguistically correct root is called a lemmatizer. A lemma in morphology is the canonical form of a lexeme.
- *document representation*, after the above listed filters, each document is encoded and stored as a standard vector of term weights.

The preprocessing phase is extremely useful in relation to the next step, which is the clusterization. Making a homogeneous text is crucial for retrieving a good result with KMeans.



## 2.5. Feature selection

Feature selection, also known as variable selection, attribute selection or variable subset selection, is the process of selecting a subset of relevant features for use in model construction. Depending on if the class label information is required, feature selection can be supervised or unsupervised.

Unsupervised feature selection has been selected for our implementation.

The central premise when using a feature selection technique is that the data contains some features that are either redundant or irrelevant and can thus be removed without incurring much loss of information. Redundant and irrelevant are two distinct notions, since one relevant feature may be redundant in the presence of another relevant feature with which it is strongly correlated.

The process of feature selection ultimately permits the text to be vectorized as described above, in the document representation phase.

### 2.5.1. Term Frequency

Term frequency (TF) is used in connection with information retrieval and shows how frequently an expression (term, word) occurs in a document.

Term frequency indicates the significance of a particular term within the overall document. This value is often mentioned in the context of inverse document frequency IDF. The term frequency value is consulted, among other things, for the calculation of keyword density.

The function can be broken down into two factors: The first factor of the function is the number of terms present in the document. This number is usually divided by the length of the document itself to avoid giving preference to longer documents.

$$tf_{i,j} = \frac{n_{i,j}}{|d_j|}$$

where  $n_{i,j}$  is the number of  $i$  occurrences of  $j$ , while the denominator  $|d_j|$  is simply the size, expressed in number of terms, of the  $j$  document.

### 2.5.2. Term Frequency Inverse Document Frequency

TF-IDF (term frequency-inverse document frequency) is a statistical measure that evaluates how relevant a word is to a document in a collection of documents. This is done by multiplying two metrics: how many times a word appears in a document, and the inverse document frequency of the word across a set of documents.

TF-IDF was invented for document search and information retrieval. It works by increasing proportionally to the number of times a word appears in a document but is offset by the number of documents that contain the word.

So, words that are common in every document, such as this, what, and if, rank low even though they may appear many times, since they don't mean much to that document.

However, if the word Bug appears many times in a document, while not appearing many times in others, it probably means that it's very relevant.

This function indicates the general importance of the term  $i$  in the collection:

$$\text{idf}_i = \log \frac{|D|}{|\{d : i \in d\}|}$$

where  $|D|$  is the number of documents in the collection, while the denominator is the number of documents that containing the term  $i$ .

## 2.6. Techniques applied

Our input set is composed by PDF (type B) documents collected over arXiv. We collected over 50GB of papers (about a million units) from 8 different categories. We have applied the following pre-processing techniques to our original data set:

- plain text extraction from PDF documents;
- tokenization;
- stop word removal;
- stemming;
- lemmatization;
- searching in vocabulary for synonyms of terms.

The choice of these techniques and the application on the input data, determine a correct operation of the execution, always considering both the load balance (on the cluster) and the determination of the expected results.

It is not excluded, however, the probability that in the future, this project will be used, with a greater size of input data than the one used in this experiment.

### 2.6.1. Libraries used during the sequential implementation

#### NLTK Python NLP library

NLTK is a leading platform for building Python programs to work with human language data. It provides easy-to-use interfaces to over 50 corpora and lexical resources such as WordNet, along with a suite of text processing libraries for classification, tokenization, stemming, tagging, parsing, and semantic reasoning, wrappers for industrial-strength NLP libraries, and an active discussion forum.

Thanks to a hands-on guide introducing programming fundamentals alongside topics in computational linguistics, plus comprehensive API documentation, NLTK is suitable for linguists, engineers, students, educators, researchers, and industry users alike. NLTK is available for Windows, Mac OS X, and Linux. Best of all, NLTK is a free, open source, community-driven project.

Natural Language Processing with Python provides a practical introduction to programming for language processing. Written by the creators of NLTK, it guides the reader through the fundamentals of writing Python programs, working with corpora, categorizing text, analyzing linguistic structure, and more.

#### Scikit-learn

Scikit-learn is an open source machine learning library that supports supervised and unsupervised learning. It also provides various tools for model fitting, data preprocessing, model selection and evaluation, and many other utilities.

## 2.6.2. Libraries used during the spark implementation

### PDFBox

The Apache PDFBox library is an open source Java tool for working with PDF documents. This library to allow creation of new PDF documents, manipulation of existing documents and the ability to extract content from documents.

We used PDFBox to Extract Text: this function to allow extract Unicode text from PDF files, to use for next operation.

### Spark NLP: State of the Art Natural Language Processing

Spark NLP is a Natural Language Processing library built on top of Apache Spark ML. It provides simple, performant & accurate NLP annotations for machine learning pipelines that scale easily in a distributed environment. Spark NLP comes with 160+ pretrained pipelines and models in more than 20+ languages. It supports state-of-the-art transformers such as BERT, XLNet, ELMO, ALBERT, and Universal Sentence Encoder that can be used seamlessly in a cluster. It also offers Tokenization, Part-of-Speech Tagging, Named Entity Recognition, Dependency Parsing, Spell Checking, Multi-class text classification, Multi-class sentiment analysis, and many more NLP tasks.

This library was very helpful for the steps of:

- tokenization;
- stop word removal;
- stemming;
- lemmatization.

In particular, the ease of use has led us to obtain excellent results without errors.

### Apache Spark MLlib

MLlib is Spark's machine learning (ML) library. Its goal is to make practical machine learning scalable and easy. At a high level, it provides tools such as:


- ML Algorithms: common learning algorithms such as classification, regression, clustering, and collaborative filtering
- Featurization: feature extraction, transformation, dimensionality reduction, and selection
- Pipelines: tools for constructing, evaluating, and tuning ML Pipelines
- Persistence: saving and load algorithms, models, and Pipelines
- Utilities: linear algebra, statistics, data handling, etc.

### 3. Sequential implementation

Our "sequential" program is implemented by defining a pipeline of sequential executions of the processes explained in Chapters 2.1 and 2.4. Starting from our input, the data gradually change and improve. The pipeline is developed in such a way that every output of a phase is the input of the following one. Furthermore, the correctness of the process is preserved.

#### 3.1. Sequential steps

The following are the main steps of sequential implementation. This representation helps to facilitate the understanding of the phases that pass out turns and to accentuate the direct dependence between the various phases (pipeline).



*3.1. Sequential implementation pipelines*

## 3.2. Source code

In the following lines it will be shown the code of the app.py file written in python that allows the execution of our sequential algorithm. All the code lines are reported to provide a complete overview of the various stages of execution.

The various phases, described theoretically in the previous chapters, have been implemented one after the other. The execution of them will lead in a completely sequential way to obtain the desired output.

```
1  import os
2  from nltk.tokenize import word_tokenize
3  from nltk.stem import PorterStemmer
4  from nltk.stem import WordNetLemmatizer
5  from nltk import pos_tag
6  from nltk.corpus import wordnet as wn
7  from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer
8  import pandas as pd
9  from sklearn.cluster import KMeans
10 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
11 from sklearn.decomposition import PCA
12 from sklearn.manifold import TSNE
13 import matplotlib.cm as cm
14
15 def check_pos(tag):
16     if tag.startswith('J'):
17         return wn.ADJ
18     elif tag.startswith('V'):
19         return wn.VERB
20     elif tag.startswith('N'):
21         return wn.NOUN
22     elif tag.startswith('R'):
23         return wn.ADV
24     else:
25         return ''
26
27 def preprocessing(line):
28
29     stop_file = open("content/stopwords.txt")
30
31     #stopwords
32     stopwords = stop_file.readlines()
33     stopwords = [x.strip() for x in stopwords]
34
35     #file retrieving
36     read = line
37
38     read = read.lower()
39     #tokenization
40     tokens = word_tokenize(read)
41
42     #removing stopwords
```

```

43     filtered = [w for w in tokens if not w in stopwords]
44
45     #stemming
46     porter = PorterStemmer()
47     stemmed = []
48     for x in filtered:
49         stemmed.append(porter.stem(x))
50
51     #pos tagging
52     tags = pos_tag(stemmed)
53     #Lemmatizing
54     wordnet_lemmatizer = WordNetLemmatizer()
55     lemmatized = []
56     for x in tags:
57         v = check_pos(x[1])
58         if(v != ''):
59             lemmatized.append(wordnet_lemmatizer.lemmatize(x[0], pos=v))
60
61     #real words retrival
62     realwords = []
63     frequencies = {}
64     for x in lemmatized:
65         syns = wn.synsets(x)
66         if syns:
67             realwords.append(x)
68             for syn in syns:
69                 if syn in frequencies:
70                     frequencies[syn] += 1
71                 else:
72                     frequencies[syn] = 1
73
74     strreal = (" ".join(realwords))
75
76     return strreal
77
78 list = os.listdir("input/")
79
80 files = []
81 for f in list:
82     s = open("input/" + f)
83     files.append(s.read())
84 print("i've read the files")
85
86 vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(preprocessor=preprocessing)
87 vectors = vectorizer.fit_transform(files)
88 feature_names = vectorizer.get_feature_names()
89
90 model = KMeans(n_clusters=8).fit(vectors)
91 clusters = model.predict(vectors)
92 order_centroids = model.cluster_centers_.argsort()[:, :-1]
93
94 for i in range(8):
95     print("Cluster %d:" % i)
96     for ind in order_centroids[i, :10]:
97         print(' %s' % feature_names[ind]),

```

```
98     print
99
100  pca = PCA(n_components=2).fit_transform(vectors.todense())
101  tsne =
102  TSNE().fit_transform(PCA(n_components=50).fit_transform(vectors.todense()))
103
104  label_subset = [cm.hsv(i/max(clusters)) for i in clusters]
105
106  plt.scatter(tsne[:, 0], tsne[:, 1], c=label_subset)
107
108  plt.show()
```



### **3.3. Testing**

The execution of the sequential implementation was carried out on a laptop (commercial device) to verify its usefulness and practicality of use.

#### **3.3.1. Test configuration**

We describe the testing phase, which took place using a private machine.

The machine used have the following configuration:

- CPU: Intel i5-3320M 2 core 4 thread
- Memory RAM: 8.192 MB LPDDR3
- Hard disk: 256 GB SSD
- Operative System: MacOS 10.13.6

The execution was done locally, and the input files were previously downloaded directly from the source.

### 3.3.2. Execution Time

We started the sequential test of our program on 30 GB of PDF papers. First, we performed the extraction of the text from the pdf.

The execution took place by launching the following command:

- `java -jar pdfpreprocessor.jar <input_dir> <output_dir>`

Everything was executed by launching the following command (source code):

- `python preprocessor.py <input_dir>`

Once we got our text files, we gave them in input, to the sequential implementation of our algorithm for calculating k-means.

<i><b>Operation</b></i>	<i><b>Time</b></i>
<i>PDF to text</i>	3,09 hours
<i>k-means</i>	6,28 hours
<i>Total</i>	9 hours about

*3.1. Execution time table*

<i><b>PDF extraction</b></i>	<i><b>Size</b></i>
<i>Input size</i>	30 Gb
<i>Output size</i>	1,33 Gb

*3.2. Size extraction table*


<i><b>k-means</b></i>	<i><b>Size</b></i>
<i>Input size</i>	1,33 Gb
<i>Output size</i>	581 megabytes

*3.3. K-means table*

### 3.3.3. Benchmark

In the following graphics it is shown the use of CPU and memory of the sequential execution.

#### CPU usage for k-means



3.2. Sequential CPU chart

Although the processor used for the computation has 4 threads, the execution is carried out using only one thread and then only one core. The graph shows the use of the CPU referring to that core.

#### Memory usage



3.3. Sequential Memory chart

For the execution has been made available all the possible memory minimizing the processes in progress on the system.

### 3.3.4. Results

The k-means algorithm needs an input representing the number of groups where data have to be selected. We carried out numerous tests providing different k parameters to the k-means algorithm, and we observed that the best result corresponded to the number of categories provided by the dataset.

#### Keyword extraction

Cluster0	Cluster1	Cluster2	Cluster3	Cluster4	Cluster5	Cluster6	Cluster7
al	graph	Phi	Magnet	Theorem	Algebra	Network	Model
Star	Vertex	Quantum	Phi	Proof	Group	Node	Data
Mass	Algorithm	Eq	Spin	Lemma	Theorem	Learn	Time
Stellar	Theorem	Rev	Rev	Function	Map	Train	Function
Model	Set	Mass	Fig	Rn	Proof	User	Fig
Ga	Proof	Field	Filed	Set	Lemma	Model	Algorithm
Cluster	Connect	Model	Phase	Exist	Space	Neural	Method
Ray	Path	Function	Electron	Bound	Subgroup	Algorithm	Number
Redshift	Tree	Quark	Quantum	Problem	Set	Neuron	AI
Fit	Lemma	Term	Band	Space	Action	Data	Set

3.4. Results k-means table

### **3.4. Implementation problems**

It seems obvious to report blocking problems verified during sequential execution. The development of the proposed solution, performed entirely on a single processor, creates an inevitable dependence between the various tasks and increases the execution time whenever an unexpected delay occurs in a task. In any case, a solution that leads to a parallel execution, where the described tasks can be executed at the same time, seems inevitable also in view of the size of the dataset.

## 4. Implementation on APACHE SPARK

Due to the problems described above, we found that a parallelization of the execution was the best method to minimize the very high execution time we ran up against during the sequential implementation.


We used Apache Spark as the base framework for the following reasons:

- Spark allows us to cache the data in memory, which is beneficial in case of iterative algorithms such as those used in machine learning.
- Traditional MapReduce engines are suboptimal for these applications: an application must run as a series of distinct jobs, each of which reads data from stable storage (e.g. a distributed file system) and writes it back to stable storage. They incur significant cost loading the data on each step and writing it back to replicated storage.
- Apache Spark defines the parallelization processes by itself in the best way achievable, so the manual division of the high data volumes isn't necessary.
- Thanks to HDFS and Spark's parallelization capabilities, the program can be executed on multiple machines concurrently, further optimizing data handling and execution times.

## 4.1. Implementation details


Spark divides the execution into 3 main jobs that are further divided on the cluster machines. Spark automatically optimizes concurrency, avoiding waiting's as much as possible.


First, the PDFs are retrieved as `binaryFiles` rdds, then the files are converted into rdd strings through a map function. The rdd strings, converted into a Data Frame, are fitted inside a Spark MLlib Pipeline.



### 4.1. Parallel steps

ML Pipelines provide a uniform set of high-level APIs built on top of Data Frames that help users create and tune practical machine learning pipelines. MLlib standardizes APIs for machine learning algorithms to make it easier to combine multiple algorithms into a single pipeline, or workflow.






#### *4.2. Parallel pipeline stages*



## 4.2. JOB 1 - Text extraction and data preprocessing


The first main job executed performs the extraction of the text from the PDF and executes the pre-processing pipeline. The data obtained from the execution of this job will be used by the next main job. The image below shows the main job DAG (Directed Acyclic Graph) 1.



4.3. DAG job 1

### 4.3. JOB 2 - K-means execution


The second main job terminates the pre-processing phase started in main job 1 and effectively performs the k-means. Having obtained our groupings, the execution is ready to carry out the main job 3. The image below shows the main job DAG 2.



4.4. DAG job 2

## 4.4. JOB 3 - Export as text

Main job 3 completes the execution of our program by exporting the data obtained from the previous phase as text. The image below shows the main job DAG 3.



## 4.5. DAG job 3

## 4.5. Source code

```
1 package it.unisa.soa
2
3 import org.apache.spark.SparkContext
4 import org.apache.spark.SparkContext._
5 import org.apache.spark.SparkConf
6 import org.apache.spark.sql._
7 import org.apache.spark.input.PortableDataStream
8 import org.apache.spark.ml._
9 import org.apache.spark.ml.feature.{HashingTF, IDF}
10 import org.apache.spark.ml.clustering.KMeans
11 import org.apache.spark.ml.evaluation.ClusteringEvaluator
12 import org.apache.pdfbox.pdmodel.PDDocument
13 import org.apache.pdfbox.text.PDFTextStripper
14 //import org.apache.tika.metadata._
15 //import org.apache.tika.parser._
16 //import org.apache.tika.parser.pdf.PDFParser
17 //import org.apache.tika.sax.WriteOutContentHandler
18 import com.johnsnowlabs.nlp.base._
19 import com.johnsnowlabs.nlp.annotator._
20
21 import java.io._
22
23 val stopwordSourceText = "/user/soa/conseccio/stopwords.txt"
24 val lemmatizerModelSource =
25   "/user/soa/conseccio/lemma_antbnc_en_2.0.2_2.4_1556480454569"
26 val outputDestinationFolder = "/user/soa/conseccio/output"
27
28 object App {
29   def main(args: Array[String]) {
30     //val start = System.nanoTime
31     // create Spark context with Spark configuration
32     val sc = new SparkContext(new SparkConf().setAppName("wordcount"))
33     val spark = SparkSession.builder().config(sc.getConf).getOrCreate()
34     import spark.implicits._
35     val path = args(0)
36     //Initialize pipes
37     val stopwords = sc.textFile(stopwordSourceText).collect()
38     val document = new DocumentAssembler()
39       .setInputCol("value")
40       .setOutputCol("document")
41     val token = new Tokenizer()
42       .setInputCols("document")
43       .setOutputCol("token")
44     val normalizer = new Normalizer()
45       .setInputCols("token")
46       .setOutputCol("normal")
47     val stopwordsCleaner = new StopWordsCleaner()
48       .setInputCols("normal")
49       .setOutputCol("clean")
50       .setStopWords(stopwords)
```

```

51     .setCaseSensitive(false)
52     val stemmer = new Stemmer()
53     .setInputCols("clean")
54     .setOutputCol("stem")
55     val lemmatizer = LemmatizerModel.load(lemmatizerModelSource)
56     .setInputCols("stem")
57     .setOutputCol("lem")
58     val finisher = new Finisher()
59     .setInputCols("lem")
60     val hashingTF = new HashingTF()
61     .setInputCol("finished_lem")
62     .setOutputCol("raw_features")
63     val idf = new IDF()
64     .setInputCol("raw_features")
65     .setOutputCol("features")
66     val kmeans = new KMeans()
67     .setK(9)
68     .setSeed(42L)
69     .setFeaturesCol("features")
70     .setPredictionCol("prediction")
71     val pipeline = new Pipeline().setStages(Array(document, token, normalizer,
72 stopWordsCleaner, stemmer, lemmatizer, finisher, hashingTF, idf, kmeans))
73
74     //Execute processing
75     val fileData = sc.binaryFiles(path)
76     val pdfRdd = fileData.map{f => pdfRetrieval(f)}
77     val df = pdfRdd.toDF
78     //Get values
79     val model = pipeline.fit(df)
80     val result = model.transform(df)
81     //Print the predictions on files
82     val kmeansdf = result.select("prediction")
83     val pairs = kmeansdf.rdd.map{row => (row(0))}
84     pairs.saveAsTextFile(outputDestinationFolder)
85 }
86
87 //PDF retrieving
88 def pdfRetrieval(a: (String, PortableDataStream)) : String = {
89     var document : PDDocument = null
90     val pdfTextStripper = new PDFTextStripper
91     var string = ""
92     try {
93         document = PDDocument.load(a._2.toArray)
94         string = pdfTextStripper.getText(document)
95     } catch {
96         case e : Exception => e.printStackTrace
97     }
98     if(document != null) {
99         document.close
100     }
101     return string
102 }
}

```

## 5. Test and benchmarking

In this section we want to show the results of our performance on SPARK. We want to focus on the basic performance parameters that can provide a general overview of CPU, Memory, disk and network performance. Finally, our goal is to provide a comparison in terms of performance with the results obtained by sequential execution.

The graphs below are generated by Ganglia, a scalable distributed monitoring system for high-performance computing systems implemented on the cluster used.

### 5.1. Cluster configuration

The cluster configuration used to run our parallel implementation on SPARK has the following configuration:

- 8 slaves
- 7 out of 8 cores per slave
- 16 over 32 Gb of memory per slave
- bandwidth 1 Gbit

This command was used to launch the execution:

```
spark-submit --class it.unisa.soa.App --master yarn --deploy-mode cluster --num-executors 8 --executor-cores 7 --executor-memory 16G --conf "spark.app.id=wordcount" target/app-1.0-jar-with-dependencies.jar '/user/soa/conseccio/INPUT/*'
```

## 5.2. Execution Time

Program execution began at 8:55 and ended after 42 minutes (9:37). The three main Jobs are widely visible from the 3 hills shown in the graph lasting about 15 minutes each.


<i>Time</i>	<i>Job1</i>	<i>Job 2</i>	<i>Job 3</i>
42 minutes	15 minutes	12 minutes	115 minutes

5.1. Execution parallel table


The following graphs are related to cluster usage. These graphs tend to show how and how much the cluster usage comes during our execution and how our program exploits the cluster features and performance.

From the graphs of the execution are clearly visible hills. Despite every slave has the same workload and the same characteristics, it doesn't finish the jobs at the same time of the others and there is a short waiting phase among them (influencing the total performance).


### General graph



5.1. Memory usage cluster



5.2. Network usage cluster




5.3.CPU usage



### 5.3. CPU

CPU usage is constantly around 80 % for each slave. This data confirms our hypothesis regarding a correct division of the workload among the various nodes and a correct parallelization of the elaboration, decreasing hugely the dependencies among the various tasks.

#### View of all the nodes




5.4. CPU usage nodes

## 5.4. Memory

The memory usage appears constant around 10 Gb for each node validating a proper load distribution.

### View of all the nodes




### 5.5. Memory usage nodes

## 5.5. Disk

The following are the charts regarding disk usage. These graphs are "inverse" in how much the zone delineated from the diagram represents the "free" memory not used and consequently that one used will be the white part (empty). You may notice some anomalies on some nodes: a greater use by some nodes depends on the excessive traffic on the network that slows down the transport of data from disk to memory.

### View of all the nodes



### 5.6. Disk usage nodes

## 5.6. Network

The following graphs show the network usage of each node. Communication between nodes rarely occurs while running a main job. The largest communication is at the end of a main job with the communication of the data related to the process just finished with the master node.

### View of all the nodes



### 5.7. Network usage nodes

## **5.7. Comparison with sequential**

Since we are dealing with two completely different implementations, it is difficult to make a comparison between sequential execution and parallel execution on SPARK. Observing the performances and the times obtained from the testing of the parallel execution, the advantages regarding the execution time compared to the sequential one appears obvious, as well as the facility with which the execution is carried out. The performances are not affected by the introduction of parallel computing and communication between the different nodes. In addition, due to the delay of the communication among the various nodes, the execution appears separated into three parts instead of being unique. This phenomenon obviously does not occur during sequential execution, as jobs are executed one after the other by the same node.

## 6. Conclusion

The work has been very interesting. It gave us many ideas to learn and deepen skills in the use of parallel programming. In particular, the use of such a new instrument like SPARK, gave us the opportunity to get closer in some way, to the real problems that arise during the use of such platforms.

Like all applications, ours could be improved. Improvements could certainly be made to the refinement of the k-means phase or the usage of an even larger dataset

This could probably significantly improve performance and consequently, get more accurate and meaningful features about clustering.

## Source code

Entire source code developed for our applications is stored on GitHub.

- Code of sequential program is reachable at following link:  
<https://github.com/co5m0/SOA-2020/tree/master/SequentialK-MeansPy>
- Instead, at this link <https://github.com/co5m0/SOA-2020/tree/master/SparkK-MeansScala> is stored the SPARK implementation.

## Bibliography

- Parallel K-Means Clustering Based on MapReduce. Weizhong Zhao, Huifang Ma, and Qing He. The Key Laboratory of Intelligent Information Processing, Institute of Computing Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences 2 Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences.
- Automatic Keyphrase Extraction and Ontology Mining for Content-Based Tag Recommendation Nirmala Pudota, Antonina Dattolo, Andrea Baruzzo, Felice Ferrara, Carlo Tasso. Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Udine.
- Content-Based features extraction on Hadoop MapReduce Framework. Ciro Amati, Stefania Cardamone, Giovanni Grano, Advanced Operating Systems, University of Salerno.
- Comparing Apache Spark and Map Reduce with Performance Analysis using K-Means, Satish Gopalani, Rohan Arora. International Journal of Computer Applications, March 2015.
- Clustering e K-Means. <https://spark.apache.org/docs/latest/ml-clustering.html>
- Apache PDFBox. <https://pdfbox.apache.org/>
- Developing and Running a Spark WordCount Application. [https://docs.cloudera.com/documentation/enterprise/6/6.3/topics/spark\\_develop\\_run.html](https://docs.cloudera.com/documentation/enterprise/6/6.3/topics/spark_develop_run.html)
- Term frequency-inverse document frequency. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tf%E2%80%93idf>
- Downloading in bulk using wget. <https://blog.archive.org/2012/04/26/downloading-in-bulk-using-wget/>
- Archive.org About Search. <https://archive.org/help/aboutsearch.htm>
- Archive index. [https://archive.org/details/arxiv-bulk?sort=-publicdate&and\[\]=collection%3A%22arxiv-bulk%22](https://archive.org/details/arxiv-bulk?sort=-publicdate&and[]=collection%3A%22arxiv-bulk%22)
- Advance search. <https://archive.org/advancedsearch.php>
- Pipelines in SparkML. <https://spark.apache.org/docs/latest/ml-pipeline.html>
- TFIDF su SparkML. <https://spark.apache.org/docs/latest/ml-features.html#feature-extractors>
- SparkNLP. <https://nlp.johnsnowlabs.com/docs/en/concepts>
- Scikit-learn per ML on python <https://scikit-learn.org/stable/>
- nltk for NLP on python <https://www.nltk.org/>