Assignment 5 9.) Raw form frequency gives too much reward to documents with high term frequency and document frequency. This is why it might be better to use los (TF) and also account for inverse document frequency. This ensures that rare terms get a higher reward than common words Adding another instance of of to the corpus will reduce the IDF for all words in and increase the IDF for all words words not in d. retal) 1/16 preclision 3/4 3/16 1/16 5/16 querase precisions precision = 1 + 1 + 3/4 + 4/5 + 5/8 = [3478] reight= 5 (5) + (5/16)

1 Cum ulative Gan Discunted Comelifice 69th | t 1/109(A) | t 1/109(A) + C | t 1/109(A) + 1/109(5) | t 1/109(A) + 1/103(5) + 1/103(6) 1+1/109(a) +1/109(4) +1/109(5) = [a. 93) curulative Gain at 7 = 4 NDC6 9/70 1. 102.93 11-1/1/2 F1/109(a) + 1/109(7) = .68  $\leq C(w, Q) \log P_{S}(w|Q_{D}) + \log \log Q_{D}$   $w \in D$   $Q_{D} P(w|C)$ Let of = > and Ps(w/Od)=(1-x)PML(w/Od)+XP(w/C) Then = \( \left( \omega, \omega \right) \log \left( 1 - \lambda \right) P\_ML \( \omega \right) \tau \right) \tau \right( \omega \right) \log \( \log \right) \right) \log \( \log \right) \log \right) \log \right) \log \( \log \right) \log \( \log \right) \log \( \log \right) \log \ri \* p(w/c) 6/c 19/10g x does not depend on the document. We can remove it

100

1

1

1

1  $= \underbrace{\langle (w,q) | \log(1-\lambda) P_{N}(w|\Theta_n)^{+} \lambda p(w|C)}_{\lambda p(w|C)}$  $= \underbrace{\left\{ \left( \left( \omega, \alpha \right) \right\} \circ \left( \left[ -\lambda \right] P_{ML} \left( \omega \middle| \mathcal{G}_{0} \right) + \lambda P(\omega \middle| \mathcal{C} \right)}_{XP(\omega \middle| \mathcal{C})} + \lambda P(\omega \middle| \mathcal{C}) \right\}}_{XP(\omega \middle| \mathcal{C})}$ 1 = < C(w, a) 109(1-x)PML(w/GD) +/ 0 AP(W/C) 0 (1) we only care about words in both doc and query. 0 0 0 Score  $(Q, D) = \sum_{w \in Q \cap D} C(w, Q) \cdot \log [1 + (1 - \lambda) Rmc(w)/\Theta_D)$ 0 X P(w/c) 1 P(w/c) = P(w/ReF) and Pn(w/00) = ((w,0) Scare (Q, D) = \( \tag{C(w, Q) \cdot log 1 + (1-1) \cdot C(w, D)} \)
\[ \tag{REP/10} \]

the document vector. The similarity function

is the entire function, TE is captured in C(u, a)

and C(w, D) IDfis captured in log in

provider in the provide b Document length hormilization is 10%.  $\alpha_{p} = N$   $p_{s}(\omega|\theta_{0}) = c(\omega, 0) + Np(\omega/c)$  |0| + NG) Subbing these values in we get  $= \sum_{w \in D} C(w,Q) \log C(w,D) + N_p(w|C) (1D1+N) + \frac{1}{|D|} p(v|C) (1D+N)$ 109 101HV = \( \langle \  $= \underbrace{\left(\left(\omega, \mathcal{G}\right) \log \left(\left(\omega_1 \mathcal{D}\right) + \left| \right| + \left| \mathcal{Q} \right| \log \mathcal{N}}_{|\mathcal{D}| + \mathcal{V}}$  $= \sum ((w,Q) \log C(w,D) + 1? + |Q| \log (v)$   $= \sum (w,Q) \log C(w,D) + 1? + |Q| \log (v)$ 

1 log(N) does not depend on a so we can remuit  $= \sum_{w \in Q_0, D} \frac{((w, Q) \log I + ((w, D) - |Q| \log (|D| + N))}{V p(w|C)}$ WEGAN 0 M ((w, a) and ((u, 1)) are term frequency, -19/109(10/12)
is Jocument length hormilization. IDF is ip(vi)c) 01. 0 Jelinek - Mercer e,) Score(Q,D) =  $\leq$   $c(w,Q)\log(1+(1-x)\cdot c(w,D))$   $w\in Q_{n}D$   $\lambda\cdot p(w|REE)\cdot |D|$ Score (G, D) = E C(w, G) log (1+(1-x) · K((w, D))

weard \( \lambda \cdot P(\pi | \mathbb{REF}) \kild | D \rangle \) K cancell out: Score(9,1) = Score(Q,D') ATT 0 

