

## Test Specification Feedback

Group	03
Document Version	2.0
Grade	C (Late submission)

### 1 Document (B)

Status should be release after a review.

The requirements specification should be referenced and the user interface design in a specific version of the Project Plan should be referenced.

### 2 Tests

RPSRview:

The application will have multiple pages. It is not made clear which page should be accessed (and how) for each of the tests. How does a tester know how to “Load the website”, does it need to be loaded afresh every time?

It is not always clear how the output is to be viewed: e.g. “New recordings shouldn’t cause any issues with the database”.

It is not always clear how the pass criterion is to be assessed: e.g. “Data is stored correctly”

Specific data values should be given for input: e.g. “(Enter valid data)”, “Enter valid data that is the exact length allowed.” There are some good examples; “Make a new record, and enter the grid reference: e.g. “12346578910”, “Search for a known existing reserve, “ParcNaturPenglais” (though there are no instructions for setting up this initial data).

More than one data value are probably to be given on a single page. When this is the case, both good and bad values should be submitted for the field under test, and good values should be specified for the other fields. If values may interact, good and bad combinations should be specified.

There is a lot of poor correspondance between the runtional requirements and the tests content and input: e.g. “Typical location: “Crop field” (where is that text input specified?)

The RPSRrec tests are much more specific and the outcomes, in general, more clearly defined. This is a good set of tests.

Coverage is reasonably good (B), but specification of input(C ), output (C ) and criteria (C ) are not not consistently good across the two applications.