COS10025 Technology in an Indigenous Context Project: Self and Peer Assessment S2 2023

This is an individual assessment item. The survey will take approximately 8 minutes to complete per team member. You will need to complete this survey multiple times:

- 1 for yourself and
- 1 for each member of your team (as signified on Canvas -- this might include team members who have not participated in the project who still need to be rated).

To complete this survey you will need your team member's ID numbers and names. If you do the survey more than once for any team member, the last submitted survey will be taken as your response.

Your survey responses will only be viewed by Swinburne academic staff unless you give permission for them to be viewed by others. However, they may be used in conjunction with the responses of other team members to calculate final grades.

The main purpose of this peer review document is for all team members, including yourself, to reflect on interactions, but it may also be helpful in resolving potential disputes over the relative contributions of team members.

For each team member (including yourself), you should enter a score between 1 and 5, for categories A to J. No response to a question is calculated as 0. You should also provide detailed comments to explain your scores and/or describe any other concerns.

This survey is based on questions contained in the STEM WIL Self and Peer Assessment Form and the Purdue University's CATME web app, as well as from Winger-aunty, S. 1990. University of Wisconsin-Stout, modified by Pheroza Daruwalla and Ian Knowd, 1994.

 Team member name: If you are rating yourself in this survey, put in your own name. * 	
Xuan Tuan Minh Nguyen	
2. Team member ID number: If you are rating yourself in this survey, put in your own ID number. *	
103819212	
3. Is this a self or peer assessment? *	
Self	
Peer	
 Please choose the workshop you or your team member attended as per you timetable (don't give us unmatched information) * 	ır
Wednesday Workshop 22 - 8:30 to 10:30 am	
Wednesday Workshop 23 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm	
Wednesday Workshop 13 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm	
Wednesday Workshop 10 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm	
Wednesday Workshop 08 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm	
Wednesday Workshop 14 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm	

Wednesday Workshop 25 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 17 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 16 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 24 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
Thursday Workshop 07 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 12 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 09 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 15 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 26 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 20 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 11 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 19 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 03 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 27 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
Friday Workshop 04 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
Friday Workshop 28 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
Friday Workshop 01 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Friday Workshop 06 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Friday Workshop 18 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm

Friday Workshop 02 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Friday Workshop 05 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Friday Workshop 21 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Friday Workshop 29 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
5. Please choose your or team member team (Team 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) *
Team 1
Team 2
Team 3
Team 4
Team 5
Team 6
Team 7
Team 8

6. A. Quantity of Work

- 1 Does enough to get by.
- 2 Occasionally exceeds standards needs improvement.
- 3 Satisfactory. Does more than what is required.
- 4 Very industrious. High Quality. Consistent.
- 5 Always exceeds productivity standards. Outstanding.

*

1

2

3

4

5

7. B. Quality of Work

- 1 Mistakes frequent enough to question results, Assignment suffers.
- 2 Work is basically correct.
- 3 Accurate when and where it really counts. Satisfactory.
- 4 Almost always accurate in all areas of contribution.
- 5 Outstanding. Perfect quality. No mistakes. *

1

2

3

4

8. C. Communication Skills

- 1 Sometime tactless. Approachable and friendly once known by others.
- 2 Agreeable and pleasant. Warm, friendly, sociable and listens.
- 3 Always very polite and willing to help. Very sociable and outgoing. Listens and understands.
- 4 Courteous and very pleasant. Excellent at establishing good will.
- 5 Inspiring to others. Artful listener. Really understands. *

1

2

3

4

5

9. **D.** Initiative

- 1 Puts forth little effort. Requires prodding sets no speed records.
- 2 Puts in minimal effort to get task completed.
- 3 Strives hard. Desire to achieve.
- 4 High desire to achieve. Always puts in a solid days work.
- 5 Sets high goals. Self starter with high motivation. Constantly goes beyond call of duty. *

1

2

3

4

10. E. Efficiency

1	Work	occasionally	completed	on schedule.	Work is invariably	v late.

- 2 Work usually complete on schedule. Some contribution to minor problem solving.
- 3 Work always complete on schedule.
- 4 Work complete. Consistent in defining and resolving major problems.
- 5 Work invariably done ahead of schedule. Imaginative. Can be counted on to make major contributions.

*

1

2

3

4

5

11. F. Personal Relations

- 1 − Is a source of some friction.
- 2 Causes no problems.
- 3 Satisfactory, harmonious.
- 4 − Is a positive factor.
- 5 Respected by others. Presence adds to environmental stability. *

1

2

3

4

12. **G.** Group Meeting Attendance

- 1 Occasionally attended. Would commit and then not show.
- 2 Sometimes uncooperative in planning schedule. Hard to get in touch with.
- 3 Would attend. Usually late.
- 4 Could be counted on to attend.
- 5 Never missed a meeting. Always on time. *

1

2

3

4

5

13. H. Attitude and Enthusiasm

- 1 Unenthusiastic, biased, Poor disposition, uninvolved, indifferent.
- 2 Half-hearted.
- 3 Positive demeanour.
- 4 Positive attitude and spirited.
- 5 Exuberant and eager. Positive influence. Inspiring to others. Team builder.

*

1

2

3

4

14. **I. Effort**

- 1 Puts forth some effort. Expects others to carry the load.
- 2 Displays enough effort to get by.
- 3 Solid contributions.
- 4 Strives very hard. Energetic.
- 5 Self-starter. Consistently goes beyond call of duty.

*

1

2

3

4

5

15. J. **Dependability**

- 1 Unsteady, Uninvolved. Unreliable, but tries somewhat.
- Occasionally would come through. Inconsistent.
- 3 Needs some improvement. Suitable.
- 4 Very trustworthy. Could be counted on to take responsibility.
- 5 Always responsible. Kept the group together and in the right direction. Steady influence.

*

1

2

3

4

5

16. Please provide comments to justify your ratings.

*

As the leader of the group, I would justify myself for the rating of most five out of five for because of the consistent and the performance that I have done to build up the project of the group. In term of quantity and quality of work, I have doing great with the productivity standards and get a near accuracy in all areas of contribution. For the communication skills, I have focused on inspiring to the group members, everytime if the members are having conflicts or any hard problems, I have tried to inspired them the way to figure out the solution and helped them to solve the conflicts. Because of that, I always set a solid goals and standards to the group in order to solve the problem as fast as possible. Although there are some disagreements and arguments about these goals and standards, but now we are fully understand and we fully respected to each others. In other to responsive to those standards, I always attended on time for the group meeting and put a lot of efforts to the projects to build up the teams with high output and high standard

Nội dung này được tạo bởi chủ sở hữu của biểu mẫu. Dữ liệu bạn gửi sẽ được gửi đến chủ sở hữu biểu mẫu. Microsoft không chịu trách nhiệm về quyền riêng tư hoặc thực tiến bảo mật của khách hàng, bao gồm cả các biện pháp bảo mật của chủ sở hữu biểu mẫu này. Không bao giờ đưa ra mật khẩu của bạn.

Hoạt động trên nền tảng Microsoft Forms |

Chủ sở hữu của biểu mẫu này chưa cung cấp tuyên bố về quyền riêng tư về cách họ sẽ sử dụng dữ liệu phản hồi của bạn. Không cung cấp thông tin cá nhân hoặc thông tin nhạy cảm.

| <u>Điều khoản sử dụng</u>

COS10025 Technology in an Indigenous Context Project: Self and Peer Assessment S2 2023

This is an individual assessment item. The survey will take approximately 8 minutes to complete per team member. You will need to complete this survey multiple times:

- 1 for yourself and
- 1 for each member of your team (as signified on Canvas -- this might include team members who have not participated in the project who still need to be rated).

To complete this survey you will need your team member's ID numbers and names. If you do the survey more than once for any team member, the last submitted survey will be taken as your response.

Your survey responses will only be viewed by Swinburne academic staff unless you give permission for them to be viewed by others. However, they may be used in conjunction with the responses of other team members to calculate final grades.

The main purpose of this peer review document is for all team members, including yourself, to reflect on interactions, but it may also be helpful in resolving potential disputes over the relative contributions of team members.

For each team member (including yourself), you should enter a score between 1 and 5, for categories A to J. No response to a question is calculated as 0. You should also provide detailed comments to explain your scores and/or describe any other concerns.

This survey is based on questions contained in the STEM WIL Self and Peer Assessment Form and the Purdue University's CATME web app, as well as from Winger-aunty, S. 1990. University of Wisconsin-Stout, modified by Pheroza Daruwalla and Ian Knowd, 1994.

 Team member name: If you are rating yourself in this survey, put in your own name. *
Dac Tung Duong Nguyen
2. Team member ID number: If you are rating yourself in this survey, put in your own ID number. *
104357292
3. Is this a self or peer assessment? *
Self
Peer
 Please choose the workshop you or your team member attended as per your timetable (don't give us unmatched information) *
Wednesday Workshop 22 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
Wednesday Workshop 23 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 13 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 10 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 08 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 14 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm

Wednesday Workshop 25 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 17 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 16 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 24 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
Thursday Workshop 07 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 12 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 09 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 15 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 26 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 20 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 11 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 19 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 03 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 27 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
Friday Workshop 04 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
Friday Workshop 28 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
Friday Workshop 01 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Friday Workshop 06 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Friday Workshop 18 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm

Friday Workshop 02 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Friday Workshop 05 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Friday Workshop 21 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Friday Workshop 29 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
5. Please choose your or team member team (Team 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) *
Team 1
Team 2
Team 3
Team 4
Team 5
Team 6
Team 7
Team 8

6. A. Quantity of Work

- 1 Does enough to get by.
- 2 Occasionally exceeds standards needs improvement.
- 3 Satisfactory. Does more than what is required.
- 4 Very industrious. High Quality. Consistent.
- 5 Always exceeds productivity standards. Outstanding.

*

1

2

3

4

5

7. B. Quality of Work

- 1 Mistakes frequent enough to question results, Assignment suffers.
- 2 Work is basically correct.
- 3 Accurate when and where it really counts. Satisfactory.
- 4 Almost always accurate in all areas of contribution.
- 5 Outstanding. Perfect quality. No mistakes. *

1

2

3

4

8. C. Communication Skills

- 1 Sometime tactless. Approachable and friendly once known by others.
- 2 Agreeable and pleasant. Warm, friendly, sociable and listens.
- 3 Always very polite and willing to help. Very sociable and outgoing. Listens and understands.
- 4 Courteous and very pleasant. Excellent at establishing good will.
- 5 Inspiring to others. Artful listener. Really understands. *

1

2

3

4

5

9. **D.** Initiative

- 1 Puts forth little effort. Requires prodding sets no speed records.
- 2 Puts in minimal effort to get task completed.
- 3 Strives hard. Desire to achieve.
- 4 High desire to achieve. Always puts in a solid days work.
- 5 Sets high goals. Self starter with high motivation. Constantly goes beyond call of duty. *

1

2

3

4

10. E. Efficiency

- 2 Work usually complete on schedule. Some contribution to minor problem solving.
- 3 Work always complete on schedule.
- 4 Work complete. Consistent in defining and resolving major problems.
- 5 Work invariably done ahead of schedule. Imaginative. Can be counted on to make major contributions.

*

1

2

3

4

5

11. F. Personal Relations

- 1 − Is a source of some friction.
- 2 Causes no problems.
- 3 Satisfactory, harmonious.
- 4 − Is a positive factor.
- 5 Respected by others. Presence adds to environmental stability. *

1

2

3

4

12. **G. Group Meeting Attendance**

- 1 Occasionally attended. Would commit and then not show.
- 2 Sometimes uncooperative in planning schedule. Hard to get in touch with.
- 3 Would attend. Usually late.
- 4 Could be counted on to attend.
- 5 Never missed a meeting. Always on time. *

1

2

3

4

5

13. H. Attitude and Enthusiasm

- 1 Unenthusiastic, biased, Poor disposition, uninvolved, indifferent.
- 2 Half-hearted.
- 3 Positive demeanour.
- 4 Positive attitude and spirited.
- 5 Exuberant and eager. Positive influence. Inspiring to others. Team builder.

*

1

2

3

4

14. **I. Effort**

- Puts forth some effort. Expects others to carry the load.
- 2 Displays enough effort to get by.
- 3 Solid contributions.
- 4 Strives very hard. Energetic.
- 5 Self-starter. Consistently goes beyond call of duty.

*

1

2

3

4

5

15. J. Dependability

- 1 Unsteady, Uninvolved. Unreliable, but tries somewhat.
- Occasionally would come through. Inconsistent.
- 3 Needs some improvement. Suitable.
- 4 Very trustworthy. Could be counted on to take responsibility.
- 5 Always responsible. Kept the group together and in the right direction. Steady influence.

*

1

2

3

4

5

16. Please provide comments to justify your ratings.

*

Duong hit an average of four with three on dependability and quality of work. Although the quality of all areas of contribution is still not really at the upmost point as his solution only stops at promising stage, Duong always maintain a high productivity standard. He has a will-try attitude, he got the point at his mistakes and he managed to try to solve it. He went really well with the standards and try hard to achieve it. With his tryhard, Duong makes me got a respectful look at his attitude. Duong always contribute on time, help building the team and got a high effort on doing group project, however, he is really not that independant and still needs some little help from me.

Nội dung này được tạo bởi chủ sở hữu của biểu mẫu. Dữ liệu bạn gửi sẽ được gửi đến chủ sở hữu biểu mẫu. Microsoft không chịu trách nhiệm về quyền riêng tư hoặc thực tiễn bảo mật của khách hàng, bao gồm cả các biện pháp bảo mật của chủ sở hữu biểu mẫu này. Không bao giờ đưa ra mật khẩu của bạn.

Hoạt động trên nền tảng Microsoft Forms |

Chủ sở hữu của biểu mẫu này chưa cung cấp tuyên bố về quyền riêng tư về cách họ sẽ sử dụng dữ liệu phản hồi của bạn. Không cung cấp thông tin cá nhân hoặc thông tin nhạy cảm.

| Điều khoản sử dụng

COS10025 Technology in an Indigenous Context Project: Self and Peer Assessment S2 2023

This is an individual assessment item. The survey will take approximately 8 minutes to complete per team member. You will need to complete this survey multiple times:

- 1 for yourself and
- 1 for each member of your team (as signified on Canvas -- this might include team members who have not participated in the project who still need to be rated).

To complete this survey you will need your team member's ID numbers and names. If you do the survey more than once for any team member, the last submitted survey will be taken as your response.

Your survey responses will only be viewed by Swinburne academic staff unless you give permission for them to be viewed by others. However, they may be used in conjunction with the responses of other team members to calculate final grades.

The main purpose of this peer review document is for all team members, including yourself, to reflect on interactions, but it may also be helpful in resolving potential disputes over the relative contributions of team members.

For each team member (including yourself), you should enter a score between 1 and 5, for categories A to J. No response to a question is calculated as 0. You should also provide detailed comments to explain your scores and/or describe any other concerns.

This survey is based on questions contained in the STEM WIL Self and Peer Assessment Form and the Purdue University's CATME web app, as well as from Winger-aunty, S. 1990. University of Wisconsin-Stout, modified by Pheroza Daruwalla and Ian Knowd, 1994.

 Team member name: If you are rating yourself in this survey, put in your own name. *
Ngoc Minh Thu Nguyen
2. Team member ID number: If you are rating yourself in this survey, put in your own ID number. *
104307938
3. Is this a self or peer assessment? *
Self
Peer
 Please choose the workshop you or your team member attended as per your timetable (don't give us unmatched information) *
Wednesday Workshop 22 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
Wednesday Workshop 23 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 13 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 10 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 08 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 14 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm

	Wednesday Workshop 25 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
	Wednesday Workshop 17 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
\bigcirc	Wednesday Workshop 16 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 24 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
	Thursday Workshop 07 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 12 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 09 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 15 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 26 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 20 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 11 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 19 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 03 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 27 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
	Friday Workshop 04 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
	Friday Workshop 28 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
	Friday Workshop 01 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
	Friday Workshop 06 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
	Friday Workshop 18 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm

Friday Workshop 02 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Friday Workshop 05 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Friday Workshop 21 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Friday Workshop 29 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
5. Please choose your or team member team (Team 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) *
Team 1
Team 2
Team 3
Team 4
Team 5
Team 6
Team 7
Team 8

6. A. Quantity of Work

- 1 Does enough to get by.
- 2 Occasionally exceeds standards needs improvement.
- 3 Satisfactory. Does more than what is required.
- 4 Very industrious. High Quality. Consistent.
- 5 Always exceeds productivity standards. Outstanding.

*

1

2

3

4

5

7. B. Quality of Work

- 1 Mistakes frequent enough to question results, Assignment suffers.
- 2 Work is basically correct.
- 3 Accurate when and where it really counts. Satisfactory.
- 4 Almost always accurate in all areas of contribution.
- 5 Outstanding. Perfect quality. No mistakes. *

1

2

3

4

8. C. Communication Skills

1	 Sometime tactless. 	Approachabl	e and friendly	v once known b	v others

- 2 Agreeable and pleasant. Warm, friendly, sociable and listens.
- 3 Always very polite and willing to help. Very sociable and outgoing. Listens and understands.
- 4 Courteous and very pleasant. Excellent at establishing good will.
- 5 Inspiring to others. Artful listener. Really understands. *

1

2

3

4

5

9. **D.** Initiative

- 1 Puts forth little effort. Requires prodding sets no speed records.
- 2 Puts in minimal effort to get task completed.
- 3 Strives hard. Desire to achieve.
- 4 High desire to achieve. Always puts in a solid days work.
- 5 Sets high goals. Self starter with high motivation. Constantly goes beyond call of duty. *

1

2

3

4

10. E. Efficiency

1 - V	ork occas	sionally co	mpleted	on schedule.	Work is	invariably	/ late.
-------	-----------	-------------	---------	--------------	---------	------------	---------

- 2 Work usually complete on schedule. Some contribution to minor problem solving.
- 3 Work always complete on schedule.
- 4 Work complete. Consistent in defining and resolving major problems.
- 5 Work invariably done ahead of schedule. Imaginative. Can be counted on to make major contributions.

*

1

2

3

4

5

11. F. Personal Relations

- 1 Is a source of some friction.
- 2 Causes no problems.
- 3 Satisfactory, harmonious.
- 4 − Is a positive factor.
- 5 Respected by others. Presence adds to environmental stability. *

1

2

3

4

12. **G.** Group Meeting Attendance

- 1 Occasionally attended. Would commit and then not show.
- 2 Sometimes uncooperative in planning schedule. Hard to get in touch with.
- 3 Would attend. Usually late.
- 4 Could be counted on to attend.
- 5 Never missed a meeting. Always on time. *

1

2

3

4

5

13. H. Attitude and Enthusiasm

- 1 Unenthusiastic, biased, Poor disposition, uninvolved, indifferent.
- 2 Half-hearted.
- 3 Positive demeanour.
- 4 Positive attitude and spirited.
- 5 Exuberant and eager. Positive influence. Inspiring to others. Team builder.

*

1

2

3

4

14. **I. Effort**

- 1 Puts forth some effort. Expects others to carry the load.
- 2 Displays enough effort to get by.
- 3 Solid contributions.
- 4 Strives very hard. Energetic.
- 5 Self-starter. Consistently goes beyond call of duty.

*

1

2

3

4

5

15. J. **Dependability**

- 1 Unsteady, Uninvolved. Unreliable, but tries somewhat.
- Occasionally would come through. Inconsistent.
- 3 Needs some improvement. Suitable.
- 4 Very trustworthy. Could be counted on to take responsibility.
- 5 Always responsible. Kept the group together and in the right direction. Steady influence.

*

1

2

3

4

5

16. Please provide comments to justify your ratings.

*

For Thu, which is the co-leader of the group, I would give her a rate of five out of five for because of the consistent and the performance that she has contributed to the group. Thu has excellenty acheived with the productivity standards and the quality of all areas of contribution. She has massively contributed in giving inspirations to the group members, beside from giving solutions and helping the group to overcome the problems, she has met each team member in person and encourage them that they could achieved what they thought that they could not . She always followed with the general standards that I have provided to the group and always strived to claim it. And she fully get respected not from only me but every other members. She always attended on time for the group meeting and always tried to put her efforts to the projects to build up to contribute with the given high output and high standard

Nội dung này được tạo bởi chủ sở hữu của biểu mẫu. Dữ liệu bạn gửi sẽ được gửi đến chủ sở hữu biểu mẫu. Microsoft không chịu trách nhiệm về quyền riêng tư hoặc thực tiễn bảo mật của khách hàng, bao gồm cả các biện pháp bảo mật của chủ sở hữu biểu mẫu này. Không bao giờ đưa ra mật khẩu của bạn.

Hoạt động trên nền tảng Microsoft Forms |

Chủ sở hữu của biểu mẫu này chưa cung cấp tuyên bố về quyền riêng tư về cách họ sẽ sử dụng dữ liệu phản hồi của bạn. Không cung cấp thông tin cá nhân hoặc thông tin nhạy cảm.

| Điều khoản sử dung

COS10025 Technology in an Indigenous Context Project: Self and Peer Assessment S2 2023

This is an individual assessment item. The survey will take approximately 8 minutes to complete per team member. You will need to complete this survey multiple times:

- 1 for yourself and
- 1 for each member of your team (as signified on Canvas -- this might include team members who have not participated in the project who still need to be rated).

To complete this survey you will need your team member's ID numbers and names. If you do the survey more than once for any team member, the last submitted survey will be taken as your response.

Your survey responses will only be viewed by Swinburne academic staff unless you give permission for them to be viewed by others. However, they may be used in conjunction with the responses of other team members to calculate final grades.

The main purpose of this peer review document is for all team members, including yourself, to reflect on interactions, but it may also be helpful in resolving potential disputes over the relative contributions of team members.

For each team member (including yourself), you should enter a score between 1 and 5, for categories A to J. No response to a question is calculated as 0. You should also provide detailed comments to explain your scores and/or describe any other concerns.

This survey is based on questions contained in the STEM WIL Self and Peer Assessment Form and the Purdue University's CATME web app, as well as from Winger-aunty, S. 1990. University of Wisconsin-Stout, modified by Pheroza Daruwalla and Ian Knowd, 1994.

1.	Team member name: If you are rating yourself in this survey, put in your own name. *
	Nhat Minh Tran
2.	Team member ID number: If you are rating yourself in this survey, put in your own ID number. *
	104082552
3.	Is this a self or peer assessment? *
	Self
	Peer
4.	Please choose the workshop you or your team member attended as per your timetable (don't give us unmatched information) *
	Wednesday Workshop 22 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
	Wednesday Workshop 23 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
	Wednesday Workshop 13 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
	Wednesday Workshop 10 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
	Wednesday Workshop 08 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
	Wednesday Workshop 14 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm

	Wednesday Workshop 25 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
	Wednesday Workshop 17 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
	Wednesday Workshop 16 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 24 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
	Thursday Workshop 07 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 12 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 09 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 15 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 26 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 20 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 11 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 19 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 03 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
	Thursday Workshop 27 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
	Friday Workshop 04 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
	Friday Workshop 28 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
	Friday Workshop 01 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
	Friday Workshop 06 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
\bigcap	Friday Workshop 18 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm

Friday Workshop 02 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Friday Workshop 05 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Friday Workshop 21 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Friday Workshop 29 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
5. Please choose your or team member team (Team 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) *
Team 1
Team 2
Team 3
Team 4
Team 5
Team 6
Team 7
Team 8

6. A. Quantity of Work

- 1 Does enough to get by.
- 2 Occasionally exceeds standards needs improvement.
- 3 Satisfactory. Does more than what is required.
- 4 Very industrious. High Quality. Consistent.
- 5 Always exceeds productivity standards. Outstanding.

*

1

2

3

4

5

7. B. Quality of Work

- 1 Mistakes frequent enough to question results, Assignment suffers.
- 2 Work is basically correct.
- 3 Accurate when and where it really counts. Satisfactory.
- 4 Almost always accurate in all areas of contribution.
- 5 Outstanding. Perfect quality. No mistakes. *

1

2

3

4

8. C. Communication Skills

- 1 Sometime tactless. Approachable and friendly once known by others.
- 2 Agreeable and pleasant. Warm, friendly, sociable and listens.
- 3 Always very polite and willing to help. Very sociable and outgoing. Listens and understands.
- 4 Courteous and very pleasant. Excellent at establishing good will.
- 5 Inspiring to others. Artful listener. Really understands. *

1

2

3

4

5

9. **D.** Initiative

- 1 Puts forth little effort. Requires prodding sets no speed records.
- 2 Puts in minimal effort to get task completed.
- 3 Strives hard. Desire to achieve.
- 4 High desire to achieve. Always puts in a solid days work.
- 5 Sets high goals. Self starter with high motivation. Constantly goes beyond call of duty. *

1

2

3

4

10. E. Efficiency

- 1 Work occasionally completed on schedule. Work is invariably late.
- 2 Work usually complete on schedule. Some contribution to minor problem solving.
- 3 Work always complete on schedule.
- 4 Work complete. Consistent in defining and resolving major problems.
- 5 Work invariably done ahead of schedule. Imaginative. Can be counted on to make major contributions.

*

1

2

3

4

5

11. F. Personal Relations

- 1 − Is a source of some friction.
- 2 Causes no problems.
- 3 Satisfactory, harmonious.
- 4 − Is a positive factor.
- 5 Respected by others. Presence adds to environmental stability. *

1

2

3

4

12. **G.** Group Meeting Attendance

- 1 Occasionally attended. Would commit and then not show.
- 2 Sometimes uncooperative in planning schedule. Hard to get in touch with.
- 3 Would attend. Usually late.
- 4 Could be counted on to attend.
- 5 Never missed a meeting. Always on time. *

1

2

3

4

5

13. H. Attitude and Enthusiasm

- 1 Unenthusiastic, biased, Poor disposition, uninvolved, indifferent.
- 2 Half-hearted.
- 3 Positive demeanour.
- 4 Positive attitude and spirited.
- 5 Exuberant and eager. Positive influence. Inspiring to others. Team builder.

*

1

2

3

4

14. **I. Effort**

- 1 Puts forth some effort. Expects others to carry the load.
- 2 Displays enough effort to get by.
- 3 Solid contributions.
- 4 Strives very hard. Energetic.
- 5 Self-starter. Consistently goes beyond call of duty.

*

1

2

3

4

5

15. J. **Dependability**

- 1 Unsteady, Uninvolved. Unreliable, but tries somewhat.
- Occasionally would come through. Inconsistent.
- 3 Needs some improvement. Suitable.
- 4 Very trustworthy. Could be counted on to take responsibility.
- 5 Always responsible. Kept the group together and in the right direction. Steady influence.

*

1

2

3

4

5

16. Please provide comments to justify your ratings.

*

Nhat Minh has a good contribution throughout the course that I would rate him the result of near five with four on quality, efficiency and relations. Minh has a really outperformed productivity standards, however, the quality of all areas of contribution is a bit offside as his solution is quite a bit hard to implement in such a difficult point. Beside from Thu, Minh also shows his positive attitude as he also helps me and Thu to encourage and the team members to get through the difficulties and he also has an willing attitudes that he finished any categories that I assigned to him. He also tried really hard to catch up with the standards to provide high-quality outputs. Thus makes me has a positive look on him. He always be on time and always on-spot with his high-effort working style and high responsibility.

Nội dung này được tạo bởi chủ sở hữu của biểu mẫu. Dữ liệu bạn gửi sẽ được gửi đến chủ sở hữu biểu mẫu. Microsoft không chịu trách nhiệm về quyền riêng tư hoặc thực tiễn bảo mật của khách hàng, bao gồm cả các biện pháp bảo mật của chủ sở hữu biểu mẫu này. Không bao giờ đưa ra mật khẩu của bạn.

Hoạt động trên nền tảng Microsoft Forms |

Chủ sở hữu của biểu mẫu này chưa cung cấp tuyên bố về quyền riêng tư về cách họ sẽ sử dụng dữ liệu phản hồi của bạn. Không cung cấp thông tin cá nhân hoặc thông tin nhạy cảm.

| Điều khoản sử dụng

COS10025 Technology in an Indigenous Context Project: Self and Peer Assessment S2 2023

This is an individual assessment item. The survey will take approximately 8 minutes to complete per team member. You will need to complete this survey multiple times:

- 1 for yourself and
- 1 for each member of your team (as signified on Canvas -- this might include team members who have not participated in the project who still need to be rated).

To complete this survey you will need your team member's ID numbers and names. If you do the survey more than once for any team member, the last submitted survey will be taken as your response.

Your survey responses will only be viewed by Swinburne academic staff unless you give permission for them to be viewed by others. However, they may be used in conjunction with the responses of other team members to calculate final grades.

The main purpose of this peer review document is for all team members, including yourself, to reflect on interactions, but it may also be helpful in resolving potential disputes over the relative contributions of team members.

For each team member (including yourself), you should enter a score between 1 and 5, for categories A to J. No response to a question is calculated as 0. You should also provide detailed comments to explain your scores and/or describe any other concerns.

This survey is based on questions contained in the STEM WIL Self and Peer Assessment Form and the Purdue University's CATME web app, as well as from Winger-aunty, S. 1990. University of Wisconsin-Stout, modified by Pheroza Daruwalla and Ian Knowd, 1994.

 Team member name: If you are rating yourself in this survey, put in your own name. *
Phuong Doanh Ha
2. Team member ID number: If you are rating yourself in this survey, put in your own ID number. *
104480318
3. Is this a self or peer assessment? *
Self
Peer
 Please choose the workshop you or your team member attended as per your timetable (don't give us unmatched information) *
Wednesday Workshop 22 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
Wednesday Workshop 23 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 13 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 10 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 08 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 14 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm

Wednesday Workshop 25 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 17 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 16 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 24 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
Thursday Workshop 07 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 12 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 09 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 15 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 26 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 20 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 11 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 19 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 03 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 27 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
Friday Workshop 04 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
Friday Workshop 28 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
Friday Workshop 01 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Friday Workshop 06 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Friday Workshop 18 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm

Friday Workshop 02 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Friday Workshop 05 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Friday Workshop 21 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Friday Workshop 29 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
5. Please choose your or team member team (Team 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) *
Team 1
Team 2
Team 3
Team 4
Team 5
Team 6
Team 7
Team 8

6. A. Quantity of Work

- 1 Does enough to get by.
- 2 Occasionally exceeds standards needs improvement.
- 3 Satisfactory. Does more than what is required.
- 4 Very industrious. High Quality. Consistent.
- 5 Always exceeds productivity standards. Outstanding.

*

1

2

3

4

5

7. B. Quality of Work

- 1 Mistakes frequent enough to question results, Assignment suffers.
- 2 Work is basically correct.
- 3 Accurate when and where it really counts. Satisfactory.
- 4 Almost always accurate in all areas of contribution.
- 5 Outstanding. Perfect quality. No mistakes. *

1

2

3

4

8. C. Communication Skills

- 1 Sometime tactless. Approachable and friendly once known by others.
- 2 Agreeable and pleasant. Warm, friendly, sociable and listens.
- 3 Always very polite and willing to help. Very sociable and outgoing. Listens and understands.
- 4 Courteous and very pleasant. Excellent at establishing good will.
- 5 Inspiring to others. Artful listener. Really understands. *

1

2

3

4

5

9. **D.** Initiative

- 1 Puts forth little effort. Requires prodding sets no speed records.
- 2 Puts in minimal effort to get task completed.
- 3 Strives hard. Desire to achieve.
- 4 High desire to achieve. Always puts in a solid days work.
- 5 Sets high goals. Self starter with high motivation. Constantly goes beyond call of duty. *

1

2

3

4

10. E. Efficiency

	L	 Work occasion 	ally completed	on schedule.	Work is invariably	v late
--	---	-----------------------------------	----------------	--------------	--------------------	--------

- 2 Work usually complete on schedule. Some contribution to minor problem solving.
- 3 Work always complete on schedule.
- 4 Work complete. Consistent in defining and resolving major problems.
- 5 Work invariably done ahead of schedule. Imaginative. Can be counted on to make major contributions.

*

1

2

3

4

5

11. F. Personal Relations

- 1 − Is a source of some friction.
- 2 Causes no problems.
- 3 Satisfactory, harmonious.
- 4 − Is a positive factor.
- 5 Respected by others. Presence adds to environmental stability. *

1

2

3

4

12. **G.** Group Meeting Attendance

- 1 Occasionally attended. Would commit and then not show.
- 2 Sometimes uncooperative in planning schedule. Hard to get in touch with.
- 3 Would attend. Usually late.
- 4 Could be counted on to attend.
- 5 Never missed a meeting. Always on time. *

1

2

3

4

5

13. H. Attitude and Enthusiasm

- 1 Unenthusiastic, biased, Poor disposition, uninvolved, indifferent.
- 2 Half-hearted.
- 3 Positive demeanour.
- 4 Positive attitude and spirited.
- 5 Exuberant and eager. Positive influence. Inspiring to others. Team builder.

*

1

2

3

4

14. **I. Effort**

- 1 Puts forth some effort. Expects others to carry the load.
- 2 Displays enough effort to get by.
- 3 Solid contributions.
- 4 Strives very hard. Energetic.
- 5 Self-starter. Consistently goes beyond call of duty.

*

1

2

3

4

5

15. J. **Dependability**

- 1 Unsteady, Uninvolved. Unreliable, but tries somewhat.
- Occasionally would come through. Inconsistent.
- 3 Needs some improvement. Suitable.
- 4 Very trustworthy. Could be counted on to take responsibility.
- 5 Always responsible. Kept the group together and in the right direction. Steady influence.

*

1

2

3

4

5

16. Please provide comments to justify your ratings.

*

For Doanh, her performance deserves a result of near five with four on several categories. Although Doanh has extremely succes in productivity standards, however, the quality of all areas of contribution is still not really at the upmost point. She has a positive attitude, she knows what problems she is having and willing to solve it after received solutions from both me and Thu . She strictly went with the rules of the group and always strived to claim it. And she fully get respected not from only me but every other members. Although sometimes she does not contribute on time, however she has tried to catch up with the meeting information flows and always tried to put her efforts to the projects to build up the contribution

Nội dung này được tạo bởi chủ sở hữu của biểu mẫu. Dữ liệu bạn gửi sẽ được gửi đến chủ sở hữu biểu mẫu. Microsoft không chịu trách nhiệm về quyền riêng tư hoặc thực tiễn bảo mật của khách hàng, bao gồm cả các biện pháp bảo mật của chủ sở hữu biểu mẫu này. Không bao giờ đưa ra mật khẩu của bạn.

Hoạt động trên nền tảng Microsoft Forms |

Chủ sở hữu của biểu mẫu này chưa cung cấp tuyên bố về quyền riêng tư về cách họ sẽ sử dụng dữ liệu phản hồi của bạn. Không cung cấp thông tin cá nhân hoặc thông tin nhạy cảm.

| Điều khoản sử dụng

COS10025 Technology in an Indigenous Context Project: Self and Peer Assessment S2 2023

This is an individual assessment item. The survey will take approximately 8 minutes to complete per team member. You will need to complete this survey multiple times:

- 1 for yourself and
- 1 for each member of your team (as signified on Canvas -- this might include team members who have not participated in the project who still need to be rated).

To complete this survey you will need your team member's ID numbers and names. If you do the survey more than once for any team member, the last submitted survey will be taken as your response.

Your survey responses will only be viewed by Swinburne academic staff unless you give permission for them to be viewed by others. However, they may be used in conjunction with the responses of other team members to calculate final grades.

The main purpose of this peer review document is for all team members, including yourself, to reflect on interactions, but it may also be helpful in resolving potential disputes over the relative contributions of team members.

For each team member (including yourself), you should enter a score between 1 and 5, for categories A to J. No response to a question is calculated as 0. You should also provide detailed comments to explain your scores and/or describe any other concerns.

This survey is based on questions contained in the STEM WIL Self and Peer Assessment Form and the Purdue University's CATME web app, as well as from Winger-aunty, S. 1990. University of Wisconsin-Stout, modified by Pheroza Daruwalla and Ian Knowd, 1994.

 Team member name: If you are rating yourself in this survey, put in your own name. *
Ba Viet Anh Nguyen
2. Team member ID number: If you are rating yourself in this survey, put in your own ID number. *
103807246
3. Is this a self or peer assessment? *
Self
Peer
4. Please choose the workshop you or your team member attended as per your timetable (don't give us unmatched information) *
Wednesday Workshop 22 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
Wednesday Workshop 23 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 13 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 10 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 08 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 14 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm

Wednesday Workshop 25 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 17 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Wednesday Workshop 16 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 24 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
Thursday Workshop 07 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 12 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 09 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 15 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 26 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 20 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 11 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 19 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 03 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
Thursday Workshop 27 - 4:30 to 6:30 pm
Friday Workshop 04 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
Friday Workshop 28 - 8:30 to 10:30 am
Friday Workshop 01 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Friday Workshop 06 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm
Friday Workshop 18 - 10:30 am to 12:30 pm

Friday Workshop 02 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Friday Workshop 05 - 12:30 to 2:30 pm
Friday Workshop 21 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
Friday Workshop 29 - 2:30 to 4:30 pm
5. Please choose your or team member team (Team 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) *
Team 1
Team 2
Team 3
Team 4
Team 5
Team 6
Team 7
Team 8

6. A. Quantity of Work

- 1 Does enough to get by.
- 2 Occasionally exceeds standards needs improvement.
- 3 Satisfactory. Does more than what is required.
- 4 Very industrious. High Quality. Consistent.
- 5 Always exceeds productivity standards. Outstanding.

*

1

2

3

4

5

7. B. Quality of Work

- 1 Mistakes frequent enough to question results, Assignment suffers.
- 2 Work is basically correct.
- 3 Accurate when and where it really counts. Satisfactory.
- 4 Almost always accurate in all areas of contribution.
- 5 Outstanding. Perfect quality. No mistakes. *

1

2

3

4

8. C. Communication Skills

- 1 Sometime tactless. Approachable and friendly once known by others.
- 2 Agreeable and pleasant. Warm, friendly, sociable and listens.
- 3 Always very polite and willing to help. Very sociable and outgoing. Listens and understands.
- 4 Courteous and very pleasant. Excellent at establishing good will.
- 5 Inspiring to others. Artful listener. Really understands. *

1

2

3

4

5

9. **D.** Initiative

- 1 Puts forth little effort. Requires prodding sets no speed records.
- 2 Puts in minimal effort to get task completed.
- 3 Strives hard. Desire to achieve.
- 4 High desire to achieve. Always puts in a solid days work.
- 5 Sets high goals. Self starter with high motivation. Constantly goes beyond call of duty. *

1

2

3

4

10. E. Efficiency

T WOLK Occasionally completed on schedule, work is invalidably	1	 Work occasionally 	completed	on schedule.	Work is invariably	/ late
--	---	---------------------------------------	-----------	--------------	--------------------	--------

- 2 Work usually complete on schedule. Some contribution to minor problem solving.
- 3 Work always complete on schedule.
- 4 Work complete. Consistent in defining and resolving major problems.
- 5 Work invariably done ahead of schedule. Imaginative. Can be counted on to make major contributions.

*

1

2

3

4

5

11. F. Personal Relations

- 1 − Is a source of some friction.
- 2 Causes no problems.
- 3 Satisfactory, harmonious.
- 4 − Is a positive factor.
- 5 Respected by others. Presence adds to environmental stability. *

1

2

3

4

12. **G.** Group Meeting Attendance

- 1 Occasionally attended. Would commit and then not show.
- 2 Sometimes uncooperative in planning schedule. Hard to get in touch with.
- 3 Would attend. Usually late.
- 4 Could be counted on to attend.
- 5 Never missed a meeting. Always on time. *

1

2

3

4

5

13. H. Attitude and Enthusiasm

- 1 Unenthusiastic, biased, Poor disposition, uninvolved, indifferent.
- 2 Half-hearted.
- 3 Positive demeanour.
- 4 Positive attitude and spirited.
- 5 Exuberant and eager. Positive influence. Inspiring to others. Team builder.

*

1

2

3

4

14. **I. Effort**

- Puts forth some effort. Expects others to carry the load.
- 2 Displays enough effort to get by.
- 3 Solid contributions.
- 4 Strives very hard. Energetic.
- 5 Self-starter. Consistently goes beyond call of duty.

*

1

2

3

4

5

15. J. **Dependability**

- 1 Unsteady, Uninvolved. Unreliable, but tries somewhat.
- Occasionally would come through. Inconsistent.
- 3 Needs some improvement. Suitable.
- 4 Very trustworthy. Could be counted on to take responsibility.
- 5 Always responsible. Kept the group together and in the right direction. Steady influence.

*

1

2

3

4

5

16. Please provide comments to justify your ratings.

*

Viet Anh deserves a result of four with the weakness on dependability and meeting attendance. Viet Anh has a good productivity standards and the quality his total areas of contribution is at the high level as the solution he has given is very efficient and could be use in multiple use cases. He has a will-to-do attitude, which he knows what problems he is having or has been identified before and willing to solve it. Viet Anh has tried to catch-up with the rules of the group thus makes me have a positive perspective of him. Although sometimes he does not contribute on time, however he managed to summarize the content of the meeting and always tried to put his efforts to the projects to build up the contribution

Nội dung này được tạo bởi chủ sở hữu của biểu mẫu. Dữ liệu bạn gửi sẽ được gửi đến chủ sở hữu biểu mẫu. Microsoft không chịu trách nhiệm về quyền riêng tư hoặc thực tiễn bảo mật của khách hàng, bao gồm cả các biện pháp bảo mật của chủ sở hữu biểu mẫu này. Không bao giờ đưa ra mật khẩu của bạn.

Hoạt động trên nền tảng Microsoft Forms |

Chủ sở hữu của biểu mẫu này chưa cung cấp tuyên bố về quyền riêng tư về cách họ sẽ sử dụng dữ liệu phản hồi của bạn. Không cung cấp thông tin cá nhân hoặc thông tin nhạy cảm.

| Điều khoản sử dung