

1 Susceptible host dynamics explain pathogen resilience  
2  
3 Sang Woo Park, . . . , Sarah Cobey  
4

## 5 **Abstract**

6 Major priority for epidemiological research in the time of anthropogenic change is  
7 understanding how infectious disease dynamics respond to perturbations. Interven-  
8 tions to slow the spread of COVID-19 significantly disrupted the transmission of other  
9 human pathogens, providing unique opportunities to learn about pathogen charac-  
10 teristics from spatiotemporal variation in re-emergence patterns. As interventions  
11 lifted, a key question of whether and when respiratory pathogens would eventually  
12 return to their pre-pandemic dynamics remains to be answered. To address this  
13 gap, we develop a framework for estimating pathogen resilience based on how fast  
14 epidemic patterns return to their pre-pandemic, endemic cycles. Our analysis re-  
15 veals a possibility that some pathogens may have settled to endemic cycles that are  
16 different from their pre-pandemic patterns. Finally, we show that heterogeneity in  
17 pathogen resilience can be understood in terms of how fast a susceptible host popula-  
18 tion becomes replenished. Our framework offers a novel perspective to characterizing  
19 epidemic dynamics of endemic pathogens and measuring epidemic time scales.

Understanding how ecological systems respond to perturbations is a fundamental challenge in predicting species persistence and extinction (Bender et al., 1984; Ives and Carpenter, 2007; Scheffer et al., 2009). These responses are often characterized in terms of resilience, which captures how fast a system returns to its stable state following a perturbation (Pimm, 1979; Neubert and Caswell, 1997; Gunderson, 2000; Dakos and Kéfi, 2022). Both theoretical and empirical efforts to quantify resilience of ecological systems have provided key insights for understanding the dynamics of complex systems and linking these findings to actionable strategies for species conservation. However, despite rich literature on ecological resilience, there have been limited applications to measuring the resilience of host-pathogen systems, especially for human pathogens.

Non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to slow the spread of COVID-19 disrupted the transmission of other human pathogens, providing large-scale natural experiments for understanding how various host-pathogen systems respond to perturbations (Baker et al., 2020; Gomez et al., 2021; Koltai et al., 2022; Park et al., 2024). In particular, as interventions lifted, large heterogeneities in outbreak dynamics were observed across different pathogens in different countries (Figure 1), likely reflecting heterogeneities in NPI patterns and pathogen characteristics. Even though more than four years have already passed since the emergence of COVID-19, many pathogens appear to be far from their regular, seasonal patterns, especially in Hong Kong and South Korea (Figure 1). These observations pose two fundamental questions for current and future infectious disease dynamics: (1) can we learn something about pathogen characteristics from re-emergence patterns? and (2) can we predict whether and when other respiratory pathogens will eventually return to their pre-pandemic dynamics?

To address this question, we propose a framework for characterizing resilience of a host-pathogen system based on how fast the system recovers from perturbation. We first use simulations to illustrate that we can measure the distance between the current state of the system and the pre-pandemic epidemic pattern, where the rate at which this distance decreases over time corresponds to the empirical resilience of a pathogen. We then utilize attractor reconstruction method to characterize post-pandemic re-emergence patterns across a wide array of respiratory pathogens in Canada, Hong Kong, and South Korea and estimate their resilience. Finally, we show that variation in resilience estimates can be understood in terms of susceptible host dynamics.

## Conceptual framework for measuring pathogen resilience

We begin by introducing a conceptual framework for measuring resilience of a host-pathogen system in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic and laying out a few important scenarios to set expectations for future dynamics of real-world pathogens.




Figure 1: **Observed heterogeneity in responses to COVID-19 pandemic across respiratory pathogens in (A) Canada, (B) Hong Kong, and (C) Korea.** Red points and lines represent data before 2020. Blue points and lines represent data since 2020. Gray lines and shaded regions represent the mean seasonal patterns and corresponding 95% confidence intervals based on the observed outbreak patterns before 2020.

First, consider an intervention that reduce transmission by 50% for 6 months starting in 2020, which causes epidemic patterns to deviate from its original stable annual cycle for a short period of time and eventually come back (Figure 2A). There are many different ways we can characterize this return, but for illustrative purposes, we choose one of the most parsimonious approach: that is, we look at how the susceptible (S) and infected (I) populations change over time and measure the distance on the SI phase plane (Figure 2B). In this simple case, while the distance from attractor oscillates, its overall rate of return closely matches the *intrinsic* resilience of the system, which represents the expected rate of return and corresponds to the dominant eigenvalue of the underlying model (Figure 2C).

Alternatively, NPIs can permanently change our behavior and have persisting impact on the pathogen dynamics; as an example, we consider a scenario in which a 10% reduction in transmission persists even after the NPIs are lifted (Figure 2D–F). In such cases, we may not know whether the pathogen will return to its original cycle or a different cycle until many years have passed after the NPIs are lifted, meaning that we cannot measure the distance against the new attractor that the system will




Figure 2: **Conceptual framework for measuring pathogen resilience following NPIs across different scenarios.** (A, D, G) Simulated epidemic trajectories across various models. Red and blue solid lines represent epidemic dynamics before and after interventions are introduced, respectively. Red dashed lines represent counterfactual epidemic dynamics in the absence of interventions. Gray regions indicate the duration of interventions. (B, E, H) Phase plane representation of the corresponding model. Red and blue solid lines represent epidemic trajectories on an SI phase plane before and after interventions are introduced, respectively. (C, F, I) Changes in logged distance from attractor over time. Transparent solid lines represent the logged distance from attractor. Non-transparent solid lines represent the locally estimated scatterplot smoothing (LOESS) fits to the logged distance from attractor. Dotted lines are superimposed as a comparison to have the same slope as the intrinsic resilience of the system.

76 be approaching. Nonetheless, we can still measure the distance against the original,

pre-pandemic attractor and still make useful inferences about the system (Figure 2E). In particular, the distance from the attractor initially decreases exponentially (with oscillations) at a rate that is consistent with the intrinsic resilience of the system (accounting for 10% reduction in transmission) and eventually plateaus (Figure 2F).

Transient phenomena can also complicate the picture (Figure 2G–I). For example, the stage-structured model for RSV initially exhibits a stable annual cycle, but the introduction of NPIs cause the system to exhibit biennial cycles after NPIs are lifted (Figure 2G). In this case, the distance from the attractor initially decreases exponentially at a rate that is consistent with intrinsic resilience, but the rate of decrease slows down as the epidemic exhibits a biennial cycle (Figure 2I). In classical ecological theory, this behavior is also referred to as a ghost attractor, which causes long transient dynamics and slow transitions.

These observations indicate three possibilities. First, we can directly estimate the empirical resilience of a host-pathogen system by looking at how fast the system approaches an attractor, provided that we have a reasonable way of reconstructing the attractor and calculating the distance from the reconstructed attractor. The empirical approach to estimating pathogen resilience is particularly convenient because it does not require us to know the true underlying model. As we show in Supplementary Materials, model misspecification can lead to severe biases in estimation of intrinsic resilience, suggesting that empirical approaches can be more reliable ([SWP: *TODO*]). Second, resilience estimates allow us to make phenomenological predictions about the dynamics of a host-pathogen system following a perturbation assuming an exponential decrease in the distance from the attractor; this in turn can be used to estimate when the system will reach the attractor of interest. Finally, deviation from an exponential decrease in the distance from attractor can provide information about whether the system has approached an attractor, or a ghost attractor, that is different from the original attractor before perturbation was introduced.

[SWP: *Multi-strain system to be discussed in the supp after some more investigation.*]

## Inferring pathogen resilience from real data

Based on these observations, we now set out to infer pathogen resilience from real data. To do so, we first reconstruct an empirical attractor by utilizing Takens’ theorem, which states that an attractor of a nonlinear multidimensional system can be mapped onto a delayed embedding. Here, we use delayed copies of logged values of pre-pandemic cases,  $C(t)$ , to reconstruct the attractor:

$$\langle \log(C(t) + 1), \log(C(t - \tau) + 1), \dots, \log(C(t - (M - 1)\tau) + 1) \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where the delay  $\tau$  and embedding dimension  $M$  is determined based on autocorrelations and false nearest neighbors. This allows us to measure nearest neighbor

distance between the current state of the system and the empirical attractor at any given point in time, from which we can quantify how fast this distance decreases by fitting a linear regression on a log scale. Then, the resulting estimate of the slope of linear regression corresponds to pathogen resilience. Estimates of distance from attractor and linear regressions fits are presented in [SWP: TODO: Supplementary Materials.]

For most pathogens, resilience estimates are consistent across different countries with a few exceptions (Figure 3A). For example, resilience estimates for adenovirus for Hong Kong and South Korea are orders of magnitudes lower than the corresponding estimate for Canada. Another exception is human metapneumovirus, where the estimated resilience for Hong Kong is considerably higher than in other countries. Otherwise, we find that resilience estimates range between 1–3/years for most other pathogens, suggesting a rather fast return to their regular outbreak cycles.

Using resilience estimates, we now predict when each pathogen will return to their original pre-pandemic cycles. Specifically, we extend our linear regression fits to empirical estimates of distance from attractor and ask when the predicted regression line will cross a threshold value, which we set to a mean of pre-pandemic distances. Surprisingly, we find that most pathogens should have returned to their original cycles by the end of 2024 (Figure 3B). While these predictions are consistent with several pathogens (e.g., seasonal coronavirus epidemics in Canada and Korea in Figure 1), there are a few inconsistencies. For example, we predict that bocavirus epidemics in Korea should have returned by the end of 2023 (Figure 3B), but the observed patterns indicate clear deviation from pre-pandemic cycles (Figure 1). These deviations, alongside plateaus in the estimated distance from attractor, suggest that some of these pathogens, such as bocavirus, may have converged to different attractors.

## Susceptible host dynamics explain variation in pathogen resilience

So far, we focused on quantifying pathogen resilience from the observed patterns of pathogen re-emergence following COVID-19 interventions. But what factors determine how resilient a host-pathogen system is? Here, we use a standard Susceptible-Infected-Recovered-Susceptible (SIRS) model to show that susceptible host dynamics explain variation in pathogen resilience. To do so, we vary the basic reproduction number  $\mathcal{R}_0$ , which represents the average number of secondary infections caused by a newly infected individual in a fully susceptible population, and the duration of immunity and compute intrinsic resilience for each parameter.

We find that pathogen resilience increases with higher  $\mathcal{R}_0$  and shorter duration of immunity (Figure 4A). These variations can be understood in terms of the susceptible host dynamics, where faster per-capita susceptible replenishment rate causes the system to be more resilient (Figure 4B). This rate can be expressed as a ratio between absolute rate at which new susceptibles enter the population and the




Figure 3: **Summary of resilience estimates.** (A) Estimated pathogen resilience. (B) Predicted timing of when each pathogen will return to their pre-pandemic cycles. The dashed line in panel B indicates the end of 2024 (current observation time). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

equilibrium number of susceptible individuals in the population,  $\bar{S}$ . Therefore, both higher  $\mathcal{R}_0$  and shorter duration of immunity can drive faster per-capita susceptible replenishment rate (Figure 4B), especially because higher  $\mathcal{R}_0$  leads to lower  $\bar{S}$ .

Finally, we can now rank different pathogens based on their empirical resilience. For simplicity, we take the average of resilience estimates across countries to determine the ranking, which shows that influenza B is least resilient (Figure 4A). This observation is consistent with the extinction of influenza B/Yamagata, which indicates the persistence of a pathogen should be associated with its resilience. Similarities in resilience ranking between RSV and Human metapneumovirus is also interesting, given the apparent coupling of their dynamics through cross immunity. These rankings further allow us to map each pathogen onto a set of parameters that are consistent with its empirical resilience (Figure 4A) and obtain a plausible range




Figure 4: **Linking pathogen resilience to epidemiological parameters and susceptible host dynamics.** (A) The heat map represents intrinsic resilience as a function of the basic reproduction number  $R_0$  and the duration of immunity. (B) The heat map represents per-capita susceptible replenishment rate as a function of the basic reproduction number  $R_0$  and the duration of immunity. The standard SIRS model is used to compute intrinsic resilience and per-capita susceptible replenishment rate. Lines correspond to a set of parameters that are consistent with mean resilience estimates for each pathogen. Pathogens are ranked based on their mean resilience estimates, averaged across different countries.

of susceptible replenishment rates for each pathogen (Figure 4B).

## Discussion

The COVID-19 interventions have caused major disruptions to circulation patterns of both respiratory and non-respiratory pathogens, adding challenges to predicting their future dynamics. On the other hand, these interventions offer large-scale natural experiments for understanding how different pathogens respond to perturbations. In this study, we show that pathogen re-emergence patterns following COVID-19 interventions can be characterized through the lens of ecological resilience. Traditionally, ecological resilience measures how fast a system returns to a reference state following a perturbation. In the context of respiratory pathogens, resilience measures how fast epidemics return to their endemic cycles after interventions are lifted.

We use an attractor reconstruction approach to quantify how distance from attractor changes over time for each pathogen. By fitting a linear regression to log distances, we can estimate pathogen resilience and further predict when each pathogen will return to their endemic cycles. Consistency in resilience estimates across countries is particularly surprising given that each country imposed different intervention



183 measures; this consistency provides robustness to our estimates. The ability to pre-  
184 dict future epidemic patterns from resilience estimates also offers a new paradigm for  
185 epidemic forecasting. While this approach cannot predict the exact timing of out-  
186 breaks or epidemic patterns, it is nonetheless useful for predicting when epidemics  
187 will settle down to regular cycles after a large perturbation, such as COVID-19 in-  
188 terventions.

189 Our analyses suggest a possibility that several pathogens may have converged  
190 to different endemic cycles compared to their pre-pandemic epidemic patterns. Key  
191 examples include human metapneumovirus, RSV, and bocavirus in South Korea as  
192 well as RSV in Hong Kong. These changes may reflect permanent changes in behavior  
193 since 2020 or a shift in population-level immunity. However, it seems unlikely that  
194 permanent changes in behavior would only affect a few pathogens and not others.  
195 A shift in population-level immunity is plausible, as the emergence of SARS-CoV-  
196 2 and extinction of influenza B/Yamagata likely caused major changes in immune  
197 landscapes; however, we currently do not know how immunity, or lack thereof, from  
198 these pathogens would affect infection from other pathogens. Future studies should  
199 use detailed mechanistic models, coupled with behavioral and immunological data,  
200 to test these hypotheses and better understand post-pandemic dynamics of endemic  
201 pathogens.

202 We show that susceptible host dynamics shape pathogen resilience, where faster  
203 replenishment of the susceptible population causes the pathogen to be more resilient.  
204 For simplicity, we focus on waning immunity and birth as a main driver of the suscep-  
205 tible host dynamics but other mechanisms can also contribute to the replenishment  
206 of the susceptible population. In particular, pathogen evolution, especially the emer-  
207 gence of antigenically novel strains, can cause effective waning of immunity in the  
208 population; therefore, we tentatively hypothesize that faster rates of antigenic evo-  
209 lution can also cause a pathogen to be more resilient. Future studies should explore  
210 the relationship between the rate of evolution and resilience for antigenically evolving  
211 pathogens.

212 Quantifying pathogen resilience also offers novel approaches to validating epi-  
213 demiological models. So far, the majority of model validation in epidemiology is  
214 based on the ability of a model to reproduce the observed epidemic dynamics and to  
215 predict future dynamics. However, there can be plethora of models that meet these  
216 criteria. For example, two major RSV models have been proposed so far to explain  
217 biennial epidemic patterns: (1) a stage- and age-structured model that allows for  
218 disease severity to vary with number of past infections and age of infection and (2)  
219 a pathogen-interaction model that accounts for cross immunity between RSV and  
220 human metapneumovirus. Since both models can accurately reproduce the observed  
221 epidemic patterns, standard criteria for model validation do not allow us to distin-  
222 guish between these two models. Instead, we can measure the empirical resilience  
223 of each model by simulating various perturbations and compare them to estimates  
224 of empirical resilience from data, using COVID-19 interventions as an opportunity.  
225 Future studies should further investigate using pathogen resilience for validating epi-

226 demic models.

227     There are several limitations to our work. In particular, our estimates of pathogen  
228 resilience and the associated ranking are necessarily crude. **[SWP: *Limitation TBD.*]**  
229 Nonetheless, our study illustrates the utility of quantifying pathogen resilience for  
230 understanding how different pathogens respond to perturbations.

231     **[SWP: *Conclusion paragraph TBD.*]**

## 232 **Methods**

## References

- Baker, R. E., S. W. Park, W. Yang, G. A. Vecchi, C. J. E. Metcalf, and B. T. Grenfell (2020). The impact of COVID-19 nonpharmaceutical interventions on the future dynamics of endemic infections. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117(48), 30547–30553.
- Bender, E. A., T. J. Case, and M. E. Gilpin (1984). Perturbation experiments in community ecology: theory and practice. *Ecology* 65(1), 1–13.
- Dakos, V. and S. Kéfi (2022). Ecological resilience: what to measure and how. *Environmental Research Letters* 17(4), 043003.
- Gomez, G. B., C. Mahé, and S. S. Chaves (2021). Uncertain effects of the pandemic on respiratory viruses. *Science* 372(6546), 1043–1044.
- Gunderson, L. H. (2000). Ecological resilience—in theory and application. *Annual review of ecology and systematics* 31(1), 425–439.
- Ives, A. R. and S. R. Carpenter (2007). Stability and diversity of ecosystems. *science* 317(5834), 58–62.
- Koltai, M., F. Krauer, D. Hodgson, E. van Leeuwen, M. Treskova-Schwarzbach, M. Jit, and S. Flasche (2022). Determinants of RSV epidemiology following suppression through pandemic contact restrictions. *Epidemics* 40, 100614.
- Neubert, M. G. and H. Caswell (1997). Alternatives to resilience for measuring the responses of ecological systems to perturbations. *Ecology* 78(3), 653–665.
- Park, S. W., B. Noble, E. Howerton, B. F. Nielsen, S. Lentz, L. Ambroggio, S. Dominguez, K. Messacar, and B. T. Grenfell (2024). Predicting the impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions against COVID-19 on *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* in the United States. *Epidemics* 49, 100808.
- Pimm, S. L. (1979). The structure of food webs. *Theoretical population biology* 16(2), 144–158.
- Scheffer, M., J. Bascompte, W. A. Brock, V. Brovkin, S. R. Carpenter, V. Dakos, H. Held, E. H. Van Nes, M. Rietkerk, and G. Sugihara (2009). Early-warning signals for critical transitions. *Nature* 461(7260), 53–59.