Susceptible host dynamics explain pathogen resilience

Sang Woo Park, . . . , Sarah Cobey

Abstract

Major priority for epidemiological research in the time of anthropogenic change is understanding how infectious disease dynamics respond to perturbations. Interventions to slow the spread of COVID-19 significantly disrupted the transmission of other human pathogens, providing unique opportunities to learn about pathogen characteristics from spatiotemporal variation in re-emergence patterns. As interventions lifted, a key question of whether and when respiratory pathogens would eventually return to their pre-pandemic dynamics remains to be answered. To address this gap, we develop a framework for estimating pathogen resilience based on how fast a pathogen returns to its original cycle.

Understanding how ecological systems respond to perturbations is a fundamental challenge in predicting the species persistence and extinction. These responses are often characterized in terms of resilience, which captures how fast a system returns to its stable state following a perturbation. Both theoretical and empirical efforts to quantify resilience of ecological systems have provided key insights for understanding the dynamics of complex systems and linking these findings to actionable strategies for species conservation.

Non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to slow the spread of COVID-19 disrupted the transmission of other human pathogens, providing large-scale natural experiments for understanding how various host-pathogen systems respond to perturbations. In particular, as interventions lifted, large heterogeneities in outbreak dynamics were observed across different pathogens in different countries (Figure 1), likely reflecting heterogeneities in NPI patterns and pathogen characteristics. Even though more than four years have already passed since the emergence of COVID-19, many pathogens appear to be far from their regular, seasonal patterns, especially in Hong Kong and South Korea (Figure 1). These observations pose two fundamental questions for current and future infectious disease dynamics: (1) can we learn something about pathogen characteristics from the patterns of re-emergence? and (2) whether and when other respiratory pathogens would eventually return to their pre-pandemic dynamics?

To address this question, we propose a framework for characterizing resilience of a host-pathogen system based on how fast the system recovers from perturbation. Using simulations, we show that pathogen resilience can provide

Conceptual framework for measuring pathogen resilience

We begin by introducing a conceptual framework for measuring resilience of a host-pathogen system in the cotext of the COVID-19 pandemic and laying out a few important scenarios to set expectations for future dynamics of real-world pathogens. First, consider an intervention that reduce transmission by 50% for 6 months starting in 2020, which causes epidemic patterns to deviate from its original stable annual cycle for a short period of time and eventually come back (Figure 2A). There are many different ways we can characterize this return, but for illustrative purposes, we choose one of the most parsimonious approach: that is, we look at how the susceptible (S) and infected (I) populations change over time and measure the distance on the SI phase plane (Figure 2B). In this simple case, while the distance from attractor oscillates, its overall rate of return closely matches the *intrinsic* resilience of the system, which represents the expected rate of return and corresponds to the dominant eigenvalue of the underlying model (Figure 2C).

Alternatively, NPIs can permanently change our behavior and have persisting impact on the pathogen dynamics; as an example, we consider a scenario in which

Figure 1: **Observed heterogeneity in responses to COVID-19 pandemic across respiratory pathogens in (A) Canada, (B) Hong Kong, and (C) Korea.** Red points and lines represent data before 2020. Blue points and lines represent data since 2020. Gray lines and shaded regions represent the mean seasonal patterns and corresponding 95% confidence intervals based on the oberserved outbreak patterns before 2020.

a 10% reduction in transmission persists even after the NPIs are lifted (Figure 2D–F). In such cases, we may not know whether the pathogen will return to its original cycle or a different cycle until many years have passed after the NPIs are lifted, meaning that we cannot measure the distance against the new attractor that the system will be approaching. Nonetheless, we can still measure the distance against the original, pre-pandemic attractor and still make useful inferences about the system (Figure 2E). In particular, the distance from the attractor initially decreases exponentially (with oscillations) at a rate that is consistent with the intrinsic resilience of the system (accounting for 10% reduction in transmission) and eventually plateaus (Figure 2F).

Transient phenomena can also complicate the picture (Figure 2G–I). For exam-

Figure 2: **Conceptual framework for measuring pathogen resilience following NPIs across different scenarios.** (A, D, G) Simulated epidemic trajectories across various models. (B, E, H) Phase plane representation of the corresponding model. (C, F, I) Changes in logged distance from attractor over time.

ple, the stage-structured model for RSV initially exhibits a stable annual cycle, but the introduction of NPIs cause the system to exhibit biennial cycles after NPIs are lifted (Figure 2G). In this case, the distance from the attractor initially decreases exponentially at a rate that is consistent with intrinsic resilience, but the rate of decrease slows down as the epidemic exhibits a biennial cycle (Figure 2I). In classical ecological theory, this behavior is also referred to as a ghost attractor, which causes long transient dyanmics and slow transitions.

These observations indicate three possibilities. First, we can directly estimate the empirical resilience of a host-pathogen system by looking at how fast the system approaches an attractor, provided that we have a reasonable way of reconstructing

the attractor and calculating the distance from the reconstructed attractor. The empirical approach to estimating pathogen resilience is particularly convenient because it does not require us to know the true underlying model. As we show in Supplementary Materials, model misspecification can lead to severe biases in estimation of intrinsic resilience, suggesting that empirical approaches can be more reliable ([**SWP:** *TODO*]). Second, resilience estimates allow us to make phenomenological predictions about the dynamics of a host-pathogen system following a perturbation assuming an exponential decrease in the distance from the attractor; this in turn can be used to estimate when the system will reach the attractor of interest. Finally, deviation from an exponential decrease in the distance from attractor can provide information about whether the system has approached an attractor, or a ghost attractor, that is different from the original attractor before perturbation was introduced.

[**SWP:** *Multi-strain system to be discussed in the supp after some more investigation.*]

Inferring pathogen resilience from real data

Based on these obsevations, we now set out to infer pathogen resilience from real data. To do so, we first reconstruct an empirical attractor by utilizing Takens' theorem, which states that an attractor of a nonlinear multidimensional system can be mapped onto a delayed embedding. Here, we use delayed copies of logged values of pre-pandemic cases, $C(t)$, to reconstruct the attractor:

$$\langle \log(C(t)+1), \log(C(t-\tau)+1), \ldots, \log(C(t-(M-1)\tau)+1) \rangle, \tag{1}$$

where the delay τ and embedding dimension M is determined based on autocorrelations and false nearest neighbors. This allows us to measure nearest neighbor distance between the current state of the system and the empirical attractor at any given point in time, from which we can quantify how fast this distance decreases by fitting a linear regression on a log scale. Then, the resulting estimate of the slope of linear regression corresponds to pathogen resilience. Estimates of distance from attractor and linear regressions fits are presented in [**SWP:** *TODO: Supplementary Materials.*]

For most pathogens, resilience estimates are consistent across different countries with a few exceptions (Figure 3A). For example, resilience estimates for adenovirus for Hong Kong and South Korea are orders of magnitudes lower than the corresponding estimate for Canada. Another exception is human metapneumovirus, where the estimated resilience for Hong Kong is considerably higher than in other countries. Otherwise, we find that resilience estiamtes range between 1–3/years for most other pathogens, suggesting a rather fast return to their regular outbreak cycles.

Using resilience estimates, we now predict when each pathogen will return to their original pre-pandemic cycles. Specifically, we extend our linear regression fits

Figure 3: **Summary of resilience estimates.** (A) Estimated pathogen resilience. (B) Predicted timing of when each pathogen will return to their pre-pandemic cycles. The dashed line in panel B indicates the end of 2024 (current observation time). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

to empirical estimates of distance from attractor and ask when the predicted regression line will cross a threshold value, which we set to a mean of pre-pandemic distances. Surprisingly, we find that most pathogens should have returned to their original cycles by the end of 2024 (Figure 3B). While these predictions are consistent with several pathogens (e.g., seasonal coronavirus epidemics in Canada and Korea in Figure 1), there are a few inconsistencies. For example, we predict that bocavirus epidemics in Korea should have returned by the end of 2023 (Figure 3B), but the observed patterns indicate clear deviation from pre-pandemic cycles (Figure 1). These deviations, alongside plateaus in the estimated distance from attractor, suggest that some of these pathogens, such as Bocavirus, may have converged to different attractors.

Susceptible host dynamics explain variation in pathogen resilience

So far, we focused on quantifying pathogen resilience from the observed patterns of pathogen re-emergence following COVID-19 interventions. But what factors determine how resilient a host-pathogen system is? Here, we use a standard Susceptible-Infected-Recovered-Susceptible (SIRS) model to show that susceptible host dynamics explain variation in pathogen resilience. To do so, we vary the basic reproduction number $\mathcal{R}_0$, which represents the average number of secondary infections caused by a newly infected individual in a fully susceptible population, and the duration of immunity and compute intrinsic resilience for each parameter.


Figure 4: **Linking pathogen resilience to epidemiological parameters and susceptible host dynamics.** (A) The heatmap represents intrinsic resilience as a function of the basic reproduction number $\mathcal{R}_0$ and the duration of immunity. (B) The heatmap represents per-capita susceptible replenishment rate as a function of the basic reproduction number $\mathcal{R}_0$ and the duration of immunity. The standard SIRS model is used to compute intrinsic resilience and per-capita susceptible replenishment rate. Lines correspond to a set of parameters that are consistent with mean resilience estimates for each pathogen. Pathogens are ranked based on their mean resilience estimates, averaged across different countries.

We find that pathogen resilience increases with higher $\mathcal{R}_0$ and shorter duration of immunity (Figure 4A). These variations can be understood in terms of the susceptible host dynamics, where faster per-capita susceptible replenishment rate causes the system to be more resilient (Figure 4B). This rate can be expressed as a ratio between absolute rate at which new susceptibles enter the population and the equilibrium number of susceptible individuals in the population, $\bar{S}$. Therefore, both higher $\mathcal{R}_0$ and shorter duration of immunity can drive faster per-capita susceptible

replenishment rate (Figure 4B), especially because higher $\mathcal{R}_0$ leads to lower $\bar{S}$.

Finally, we can now rank different pathogens based on their empirical resilience. For simplicity, we take the average of resilienc estimates across countries to determine the ranking, which shows that influenza B is least resilient (Figure 4A). This observation is consistent with the extinction of influenza B/Yamagata, which indiates the persistence of a pathogen should be associated with its resilience. Similarities in resilience ranking between RSV and Human metapneumovirus is also interesting, given the apparent coupling of their dynamics through cross immunity. These rankings further allow us to map each pathogen onto a set of parameters that are consistent with its empirical resilience (Figure 4A) and obtain a plausible range of suscetible replenishment rates for each pathogen (Figure 4B).

Discussion

The COVID-19 interventions have caused major disruptions to circulation patterns of both respiratory and non-respiratory pathogens, adding challenges to predicting their future dynamics. On the other hand, these interventions offer large-scale natural experiments for understanding how different pathogens respond to perturbations. In this study, we show that pathogen re-emergence patterns following COVID-19 interventions can be characterized through the lens of ecological resilience. Traditionally, ecological resilience measures how fast a system returns to a reference state following a perturbation. In the context of respiratory pathogens, resilience measures how fast epidemics return to their endemic cycles after interventions are lifted.

We use an attractor reconstruction approach to quantify how distance from attractor changes over time for each pathogen. By fitting a linear regression to log distances, we can estimate pathogen resilience and further predict when each pathogen will return to their endemic cycles. Consistency in resilience estimates across countries is particularly surprising given that each country imposed different intervention measures; this consistency provides robustness to our estimates. The ability to predict future epidemic patterns from resilience estimates also offers a new paradigm for epidemic forecasting. While this approach cannot predict the exact timing of outbreaks or epidemic patterns, it is nonetheless useful for predicting when epidemics will settle down to regular cycles after a large perturbation, such as COVID-19 interventions.

Our analyses suggest a possibility that several pathogens may have converged to different endemic cycles compared to their pre-pandemic epidemic patterns. Key examples include human metapnuemovirus, RSV, and bocavirus in South Korea as well as RSV in Hong Kong. These changes may reflect permanent changes in behavior since 2020 or a shift in population-level immunity. However, it seems unlikely that permanent changes in behavior would only affect a few pathogens and not others. A shift in population-level immunity is plausible, as the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 and extinction of influenza B/Yamagata likely caused major changes in immune

landscapes; however, we currently do not know how immunity, or lack thereof, from these pathogens would affect infection from other pathogens. Future studies should use detailed mechanistic models, coupled with behavioral and immunological data, to test these hypotheses and better understand post-pandemic dynamics of endemic pathogens.

We show that susceptible host dynamics shape pathogen resilience, where faster replenishment of the susceptible population causes the pathogen to be more resilient. For simplicity, we focus on waning immunity and birth as a main driver of the susceptible host dynamics but other mechanisms can also contribute to the replenishment of the susceptible population. In particular, pathogen evolution, especially the emergence of antigenically novel strains, can cause effective waning of immunity in the population; therefore, we tentatively hypothesize that faster rates of antigenic evolution can also cause a pathogen to be more resilient. Future studies should explore the relationship between the rate of evolution and resilience for antigenically evolving pathogens.

Quantifying pathogen resilience also offers novel approaches to validating epidemiological models. So far, the majority of model validation in epidemiology is based on the ability of a model to reproduce the observed epidemic dynamics and to predict future dynamics. However, there can be plethora of models that meet these criteria. For example, two major RSV models have been proposed so far to explain biennial epidemic patterns: (1) a stage- and age-structured model that allows for disease severity to vary with number of past infections and age of infection and (2) a pathogen-interaction model that accounts for cross immunity between RSV and human metapnuemovirus. Since both models can accurately reproduce the observed epidemic patterns, standard criteria for model validation do not allow us to distinguish between these two models. Instead, we can measure the empirical resilience of each model by simulating various perturbations and .