

The Cake Eating Problem

A simple dynamic programming example
about optimal saving



1. The Finite Problem

You have a cake of size W and you have 3 periods to consume it. You need to choose c_1 , c_2 , and c_3 (consumption in each period) to maximize your discounted sum of utilities $\sum_t \beta^{t-1} u(c_t) = c_1 + \beta c_2 + \beta^2 c_3$ subject to the constraint that $\sum_t c_t = W$ and considering $u(c_t) = \log(c_t)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{c_1, c_2, c_3} \quad & \log(c_1) + \beta \log(c_2) + \beta^2 \log(c_3) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = W \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(c_1, c_2, c_3, \lambda) = \log(c_1) + \beta \log(c_2) + \beta^2 \log(c_3) - \lambda(W - c_1 - c_2 - c_3)$$

No corner solutions b/c $\log(0) = -\infty$

So $\nabla \mathcal{L} = \vec{0}$:

$$\frac{1}{c_1} = \lambda, \quad \frac{\beta}{c_2} = \lambda, \quad \frac{\beta^2}{c_3} = \lambda, \quad W = c_1 + c_2 + c_3$$

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad c_2 = \frac{\beta}{\lambda}, \quad c_3 = \frac{\beta^2}{\lambda}, \quad W = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{\beta}{\lambda} + \frac{\beta^2}{\lambda}$$

$$c_2 = \beta c_1, \quad c_3 = \beta^2 c_1, \quad W = \frac{1 + \beta + \beta^2}{\lambda}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1 + \beta + \beta^2}{W}$$

$$c_1 = \frac{W}{1 + \beta + \beta^2}, \quad c_2 = \frac{\beta W}{1 + \beta + \beta^2}, \quad c_3 = \frac{\beta^2 W}{1 + \beta + \beta^2}$$

Take $\beta = 0.9$ (β : discount factor, time preference, probability you're alive in the period) and $W = 10$.

$$c_1 = \frac{10}{1 + .9 + .81} = \frac{10}{2.71} \approx 3.69, \quad c_3 = \frac{8.1}{2.71} \approx 2.99$$

$$c_2 = .9 \left(\frac{10}{2.71} \right) = \frac{9}{2.71} \approx 3.32$$

As you care about future periods more ($\beta \uparrow$), $c_2 \uparrow$ relative to c_1 and $c_3 \uparrow$ relative to c_2 :

Let $\beta = 0.99$

$$c_1 = \frac{10}{1 + .99 + .99^2} \approx 3.37$$

$$c_2 = .99 c_1 \approx 3.33$$

$$c_3 = .99^2 c_1 \approx 3.30$$

2. THE INFINITE PROBLEM

Now suppose you could live forever. You still have a cake of size W , $u(c_t) = \log(c_t)$

$$\begin{array}{l} \max_{c_t} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \beta^{t-1} \log(c_t) \\ \text{s.t. } \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} c_t = W \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \log(c_1) + \beta \log(c_2) + \beta^2 \log(c_3) + \dots - \lambda (c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + \dots - W)$$

$$\nabla \mathcal{L} = \vec{0} \quad (\text{corner solutions ruled out because } \log(0) = -\infty)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_1} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{c_1} = \lambda$$

$$\frac{\beta}{c_2} = \lambda$$

$$\frac{\beta^2}{c_3} = \lambda$$

...

$$\text{So } c_1 = \frac{c_2}{\beta} = \frac{c_3}{\beta^2}$$

$$\text{and } c_{t+1} = \beta c_t \quad \forall t$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = 0 \Rightarrow c_1 + c_2 + \dots = W$$

$$c_1 + \beta c_1 + \beta^2 c_1 + \dots = W$$

$$\text{Note: } \beta W = W - c_1$$

Solve for W :

$$c_1 = W - \beta W$$

$$W = \frac{c_1}{1 - \beta}$$

Let $W=10$ and $\beta=0.9$. Then $c_1 = (1-0.9)10 = 1$

$$c_2 = .9(1) = .9$$

$$c_3 = .9^2(1) = .81$$

$$c_4 = .9^3(1) = .729$$

...

If $\beta \uparrow$ to 0.99, will $c_2 \uparrow$ relative to c_1 ? Yes:

$$c_1 = 10(1-.99) = 0.1$$

$$c_2 = .99(.1) = .099$$

$$c_3 = .99^2(.1) = .09801$$

3: The cake grows

Consider a retiree who stops working with wealth W . They must choose c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots consumption each period, but this is a twist on the cake eating problem because the more they consume early on, the less they can accumulate in interest. Suppose the interest rate is r and the gross interest rate is $R = 1+r$.

\$10 invested now at $r = 2\%$ accumulates to $10R = \$10.02$ next period, and $10R^t$ in t periods. Inversely, if you have \$10 in your savings account which you've been accumulating for 3 years, then your initial investment was $10/R^3 = 10$, $I = \frac{10}{R^3}$. So the present value of \$10 in 3 years is $10/R^3$.

$$c_3 = \beta^2 R^2 c_1 = 0.9^2 (1.1^2) (2.71) = 2.66$$

4: Optimal Saving with ∞

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \beta^{t-1} \log(c_t) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{R^{t-1}} c_t = W \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \log(c_1) + \beta \log(c_2) + \beta^2 \log(c_3) + \dots \\ & - \lambda \left(c_1 + \frac{c_2}{R} + \frac{c_3}{R^2} + \dots - W \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla \mathcal{L} = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{c_1} = \lambda \quad c_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

$$\frac{\beta}{c_2} = \frac{\lambda}{R} \quad c_2 = R\beta/\lambda = R\beta c_1$$

$$\frac{\beta^2}{c_3} = \frac{\lambda}{R^2} \quad c_3 = R^2 \beta^2 / \lambda = R\beta c_2$$

$$c_{t+1} = R\beta c_t \leftarrow \text{Euler Equation}$$

$$c_1 + \frac{c_2}{R} + \frac{c_3}{R^2} + \dots = W$$

$$c_1 + \beta c_1 + \beta^2 c_1 + \dots = W$$

$$\text{Note: } W - c_1 = \beta W$$

$$W(1-\beta) = c_1$$

$$\text{Let } W=10, \beta=0.9, R=1.1$$

$$c_1 = 10(1-0.9) = 1 \quad c_2 = 1.1(0.9)(1) = 0.99$$

$$c_3 = 1.1(0.9)(0.99) = 0.9801$$

5. Dynamic Programming Approach

Let $V(W)$ be the value of the cake:

$$V(W) = \max_{c_t} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \beta^{t-1} u(c_t) \\ \text{s.t. } \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} c_t = W$$

V can be defined recursively:

$$V(W) = \max_{c \in [0, W]} \{ u(c) + \beta V(W') \} \\ \text{s.t. } W' = W - c$$

the value of having W units of cake in a certain period is the utility you get from consuming some of the cake this period plus the discounted value of having some of the cake left over next period.

Note: When the cake increases in size by 1 unit, the lifetime utility of the agent \uparrow by λ units. So $V'(W) = \lambda$.

$$\text{WTS } c_1 = W(1-\beta) \quad \text{and} \quad c_{t+1} = \beta c_t \quad \forall t \geq 1$$

Solving for V using the method of undetermined coefficients: Guess that the value function has the form $V(W) = A + B \ln(w)$ and find A and B :

$$A + B \ln(w) = \max_c \left\{ \ln(c) + \beta (A + B \ln(w - c)) \right\}$$

$$\text{FOC: } \frac{1}{c} = \frac{\beta B}{w - c}$$

$$w - c = \beta B c$$

$$w = c(1 + \beta B)$$

$$c = \frac{w}{1 + \beta B}$$

$$\text{So } w' = w - c = \frac{w(1 + \beta B)}{1 + \beta B} - \frac{w}{1 + \beta B}$$

$$w' = \frac{w\beta B}{1 + \beta B}$$

$$A + B \ln(w) = \ln\left(\frac{w}{1 + \beta B}\right) + \beta (A + B \ln\left(\frac{w\beta B}{1 + \beta B}\right))$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \beta B} \ln(w) + \frac{-\ln(1 + \beta B) + \beta A + \beta B \ln(\beta B) - \beta B \ln(1 + \beta B)}{1 + \beta B}$$

$$B = 1 + \beta B$$

$$B(1 - \beta) = 1$$

$$B = \frac{1}{1 - \beta}$$

$$\beta B = \beta / (1 - \beta)$$

$$1 + \beta B = \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \beta} + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} = \frac{1}{1 - \beta}$$

$$A - \beta A = \ln\left(\frac{1}{1 + \beta B} \cdot (\beta B)^{\beta B} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \beta B)^{\beta B}}\right)$$

$$A = \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \ln\left((1 - \beta) \left(\frac{\beta}{1 - \beta}\right)^{\beta / (1 - \beta)} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \beta}\right)^{-\beta / (1 - \beta)}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \ln\left(\beta^{\beta / (1 - \beta)} (1 - \beta)^{1 - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta}}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \ln\left(\beta^{\beta / (1 - \beta)} (1 - \beta)\right)$$

$$= \frac{\beta}{(1 - \beta)^2} \ln(\beta) + \frac{1}{(1 - \beta)} \ln(1 - \beta)$$

$$V(W) = \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \ln(\beta) + \ln(1-\beta) \right) + \frac{1}{1-\beta} \ln W$$

$$c = \frac{W}{1+\beta} \Rightarrow c = \frac{W}{1+\beta \left(\frac{1}{1-\beta} \right)} = \frac{W}{\frac{1-\beta}{1-\beta} + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} = W(1-\beta)$$

And $c_t = W_t(1-\beta)$ so

since $c_0 = W(1-\beta)$

then $c_1 = (W - W(1-\beta))(1-\beta)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow c_1 &= W(1-\beta) - W(1-\beta)^2 \\ &= c_0 - (1-\beta)c_0 \\ &= c_0(1 - 1 + \beta) \\ &= \beta c_0 \end{aligned}$$

Or $c_{t+1} = \beta c_t$ ■