# **CPU Scheduling**

**CPU Scheduling** 

- □ Basic Concepts
- □ Scheduling Criteria
- □ Scheduling Algorithms

printf("x is greatest");

printf("y is greatest");

printf("z is greatest"); I/O-Burst

Alternating Sequence of CPU And I/O Bursts

CPU-Burst

I/O-Burst I/O-Burst CPU-Burst

I/O-Burst

## **Basic Concepts**

- □ Maximum CPU utilization obtained with multiprogramming
- □ CPU-I/O Burst Cycle Process execution consists of a *cycle* of CPU execution and I/O wait
- □ CPU burst distribution

4

3

### **CPU Scheduler**

- Selects from among the processes in memory that are ready to execute, and allocates the CPU to one of them
- □ CPU scheduling decisions may take place when a process:
  - 1. Switches from running to waiting state
  - 2. Switches from running to ready state
  - 3. Switches from waiting to ready
- □ Scheduling under 1 and 4 is nonpreemptive
- □ All other scheduling is *preemptive*

### Dispatcher

- □ Dispatcher module gives control of the CPU to the process selected by the short-term scheduler; this involves:
  - switching context

getch();

- $\hfill \square$  switching to user mode
- jumping to the proper location in the user program to restart that program
- □ Dispatch latency time it takes for the dispatcher to stop one process and start another running

6

# Scheduling Criteria

- ☐ CPU utilization keep the CPU as busy as possible
- □ Throughput # of processes that complete their execution per time unit
- ☐ Turnaround time amount of time to execute a particular process
- Waiting time amount of time a process has been waiting in the ready queue
- Response time amount of time it takes from when a request was submitted until the first response is produced, not output (for time-sharing environment)

, |

8

7

### First-Come, First-Served (FCFS) Scheduling

- Processes are executed on first come, first serve basis. FCFS is non-preemptive.
- Easy to understand and implement
- Poor in performance as average wait time high

 Process
 Burst Time

 P1
 24

 P2
 3

 P3
 3

 $\square$  Suppose that the processes arrive in the order:  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$ 

First-Come, First-Served (FCFS) Scheduling

**Optimization Criteria** 

☐ The Gantt Chart for the schedule is:

□ Max CPU utilization

□ Min turnaround time

☐ Max throughput

Min waiting timeMin response time



- □ Waiting time for  $P_1 = 0$ ;  $P_2 = 24$ ;  $P_3 = 27$
- □ Average waiting time: (0 + 24 + 27)/3 = 17

4.10

9

### FCFS Scheduling (Cont.)

Suppose that the processes arrive in the order

 $P_2, P_3, P_1$ 

☐ The Gantt chart for the schedule is:



- $\square \quad \text{Waiting time for } P_1 = 6; P_2 = 0, P_3 = 3$
- $\square$  Average waiting time: (6 + 0 + 3)/3 = 3
- $\hfill\square$  Much better than previous case
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline \square & \textit{Convoy effect} \ short \ process \ behind \ long \ process \end{tabular}$

Shortest-Job-First (SJF) Scheduling

- □ Associate with each process the length of its next CPU burst. Use these lengths to schedule the process with the shortest time
- ☐ Two schemes:
  - □ Non-preemptive once CPU given to the process it cannot be preempted until completes its CPU burst
  - Preemptive if a new process arrives with CPU burst length less than remaining time of current executing process, preempt. This scheme is know as the Shortest-Remaining-Time-First (SRTF)
- $\hfill \square$  SJF is optimal gives minimum average waiting time for a given set of processes

4.12

11

12

# Example of Non-Preemptive SJF Process Arrival Time Burst Time P1 0.0 7 P2 2.0 4 P3 4.0 1 P4 5.0 4

Example of Non-Preemptive SJF

Process Arrival Time P<sub>1</sub> 0.0 7

P<sub>2</sub> 2.0 4

P<sub>3</sub> 4.0 1

P<sub>4</sub> 5.0 4

SJF (non-preemptive)

P<sub>1</sub> P<sub>3</sub> P<sub>2</sub> P<sub>4</sub>

Average waiting time = (0+6+3+7)/4=4Average turnaround time = (7+10+4+11)/4=8

**Priority Scheduling** 

 $\hfill \square$  SJF is a priority scheduling where priority is the predicted next CPU

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} $\square$ & Problem \equiv Starvation - low priority processes may never execute \\ $\square$ & Solution \equiv Aging - as time progresses increase the priority of the \\ \end{tabular}$ 

A priority number (integer) is associated with each process
 The CPU is allocated to the process with the highest priority (smallest

integer ≡ highest priority)

□ Preemptive
□ nonpreemptive

13 14

# Example of Preemptive SJF

Process	Arrival Time	Burst Time
$P_1$	0.0	7
$P_2$	2.0	4
$P_3$	4.0	1
$P_{\scriptscriptstyle A}$	5.0	4

☐ SJF (preemptive) = SRT (Shortest Remaining Time)



☐ Average waiting time = (9 + 1 + 0 +2)/4 = 3

16

18

15

### Round Robin (RR)


- Each process gets a small unit of CPU time (time quantum), usually 10-100 milliseconds. After this time has elapsed, the process is preempted and added to the end of the ready queue.
- If there are n processes in the ready queue and the time quantum is q, then each process gets 1/n of the CPU time in chunks of at most q time units at once. No process waits more than (n-1)q time units.
- □ Performance
  - $\qquad \qquad \mathsf{q} \; \mathsf{large} \Rightarrow \mathsf{FIFO} \\$
  - $\begin{tabular}{ll} $q$ small $\Rightarrow q$ must be large with respect to context switch, \\ otherwise overhead is too high \\ \end{tabular}$

4.17

### Example of RR with Time Quantum = 20

Process	Burst Time	
$P_1$	53	
$P_2$	17	
$P_3$	68	
$P_4$	24	

4.18



Multilevel Queue

Ready queue is partitioned into separate queues:
foreground (interactive)
background (batch)

Each queue has its own scheduling algorithm
foreground – RCF

Scheduling must be done between the queues
Fixed priority scheduling; (i.e., serve all from foreground then from background). Possibility of starvation.

Time slice – each queue gets a certain amount of CPU time which it can schedule amongst its processes

19

Multilevel Queue Scheduling

highest priority
system processes
interactive processes
interactive editing processes
batch processes
student processes
towest priority

Multilevel Feedback Queue

- A process can move between the various queues; aging can be implemented this way
- Multilevel-feedback-queue scheduler defined by the following parameters:
  - number of queues
  - $\hfill \square$  scheduling algorithms for each queue
  - $\hfill \square$  method used to determine when to upgrade a process
  - method used to determine when to demote a process
  - method used to determine which queue a process will enter when that process needs service

4.22

21

22

20

### Example of Multilevel Feedback Queue

- ☐ Three queues:
  - $\ \square \ \ Q_0 RR$  with time quantum 8 milliseconds
  - □ Q<sub>1</sub> RR time quantum 16 milliseconds
  - □ Q<sub>2</sub> − FCFS
- □ Scheduling
  - $\ \square$  A new job enters queue  $Q_0$  which is served FCFS. When it gains CPU, job receives 8 milliseconds. If it does not finish in 8 milliseconds, job is moved to queue  $Q_1$ .
  - $\ \square$  At  $Q_1$  job is again served FCFS and receives 16 additional milliseconds. If it still does not complete, it is preempted and moved to queue  $Q_2$ .

4.23

Multilevel Feedback Queues

quantum = 8

quantum = 16

FCFS

Thank you