

Symmetric encryption: Enc: $K_n \times M_n \rightarrow C_n$, Dec: $K_n \times C_n \rightarrow M_n$

Correctness: $\text{Dec}_K(\text{Enc}_K(m)) = m$ always

Security: Distribution of all tuples of messages computationally indistinguishable from each other (and from random)

Key-usable function: for every $c \in C_n$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\forall n \geq n_c, \forall(n) < n$

Pseudo random function (PRF): $f_K(x)$. The distribution of functions uniformly over the family is computationally indistinguishable from the uniform distribution over all functions on that domain on certain

CPA secure encryption from PRF $r \xleftarrow{R} \text{randomness space}$

Enc(k, m) = $(r, m \oplus F(k, r))$, Dec($k, (r, c)$) = $F(k, r) \oplus c$

PRF security games: adversary with polynomial queries cannot distinguish between PRF and actual random function.

In practice, longer, more queries, and "negligible" probability are often fixed. If $P = NP$ then PRFs do not exist.

CPA security games: In each step, adversary sends two messages of the same length. Challenger returns encryption of message corresponding to pre-selected bit. After polynomial steps the adversary has negligible advantage in guessing bit. Counter mode!

Enc($k, (m_1, \dots, m_\ell)$): sample $r \xleftarrow{R} \{0,1\}^n$ r is a nonce

output $(r, F(k, r) \oplus m_1, F(k, r+1) \oplus m_2, \dots)$

Dec($k, (r, c_1, \dots, c_\ell)$): output $(F(k, r) \oplus c_1, F(k, r+1) \oplus c_2, \dots)$

This is a CPA secure encryption scheme, can be parallelized.

Pseudo random permutation (PRP): $P, P^{-1}: K \times X \rightarrow X$

PRP consists of two efficient algorithms $X = \{0,1\}^n$

map distinct inputs to distinct outputs

Correctness: P and P^{-1} are inverses of each other

Security game: adversary with polynomial queries cannot distinguish between PRP and actual random permutation

PRP with counter mode encryption is secure, PRP is equally secure as PRF: if $T = \text{queries}$, $T^2 \ll 2^n$

To break when $T^2 \approx 2^n$, birthday collision attack

Even-Mansour cipher: 2n bit key, n bit block size, public π

$P_{EM}((k_0, k_1), x) := k_1 \oplus \pi(x \oplus k_0)$

AES: round keys $k_0, \dots, k_r \in \{0,1\}^n$ are linear times of input key k

$st \leftarrow x \oplus k_0$

$r = 1, \dots, r$

$st \leftarrow \pi(st) \oplus k_r$

output st

Public-key encryption:

Gen: PRG algorithm, takes 1st security parameter and outputs (pk, sk) key pair

Enc: PRG algorithm, takes pk and message m and outputs ciphertext Enc(pk, m)

Dec: prg then algorithm, takes sk and ciphertext cct and outputs message m

Correctness: $P[\text{Dec}(sk, \text{Enc}(pk, m)) = m] = 1$

Security: for all λ and all $m_0, m_1 \in M_\lambda$, $(pk, \text{Enc}(pk, m)) \approx (pk, \text{Enc}(pk, m_1))$

Learning with Errors (LWE assumption):

$As + e \approx \text{uniform}$, $A \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{m \times n}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$, $e \leftarrow X^m$ where X is error dist., $x \in [-\sigma, \sigma]$, $\sigma \ll q$

Symmetric encryption: To encrypt: output $(A, As + e \oplus (s/2, v))$ where A is random matrix and e is random error

To decrypt: compute $c \oplus -As$, find each entry to multiple by $(s/2)$, divide by $(s/2)$, output result

LWE is linearly homomorphic with error propagation.

Private Information Extension (PIE): (Blind, Answer, Reconstruct)

Server holds N -bit database, user wants it, but without revealing it to the server.

• correctness: correctly recover D_i with probability $1 - \text{negl}$

• security: server's view is computationally indistinguishable between user queries for $i=0,1$

• succinctness: length of query and answer is at most N bits total

Square root PIE protocol: database $D \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{N \times n}$, user wants $(i, j) \in [N] \times [n]$

Blind(i, j) $\rightarrow (qa \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{N \times n} \times \mathbb{Z}_q^n, st \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n \times [n])$

• Build unit vector u_j of all 0s except for 1 at position j

• Sample random $A \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{N \times n}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n, e \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$

• Output query $qa \leftarrow (A, A \cdot st + (s/2, u_j))$, state $st \leftarrow (s, i)$

Answer(D, qa) $\rightarrow ans \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{N \times n} \times \mathbb{Z}_q^n$

• For each query qa as (A, b) , output answer $ans \leftarrow (D \cdot A, D \cdot b)$

Reconstruct(ans, st) $\rightarrow \text{bit} \in \{0,1\}$

• For each row as (s), and as (H, c), compute $v = c - H \cdot s \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$

• Round each entry to multiple of $(s/2)$, divide by $(s/2)$, output result.

Optimize by using fixed A_0 precomputed $H \leftarrow D \cdot A_0$

Fully Homomorphic Encryption (FHE): built from LWE (parameters, $\lambda = (\text{ntt}) \log q$

$\log \text{gen}(1^n) \rightarrow s \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$: sample random s' from \mathbb{Z}_q^n , output $s = (s')^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$ so that using

Enc($s \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n, u \in \mathbb{Z}_q$) $\rightarrow c \in \mathbb{Z}_q$: sample random $A \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$, compute $c = A \cdot s + u$

• Build matrix $B = (A \parallel A \cdot s) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{n \times (n+1)}$, let b be final error correcting matrix

• Output $C = B \cdot u + b$ as ciphertext

Interactive Proofs and Zero-knowledge: witnesses can depend on prior responses

Completeness: If true, accepted by verifier with probability $\geq \frac{2}{3}$

Soundness: If false, accepted by verifier with probability $\leq \frac{1}{3}$

ZKPs: prove knowledge of primitive under some function $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $f(x) = y$

Completeness: If the prover truly knows x , can always convince verifier

Knowledge soundness: A cheating prover can only convince verifier if prover actually knows x

Zero-knowledge: The verifier learns nothing about x from the interaction, learns $y=f(x)$

Signature scheme: $b = A \cdot st + e$, $st = (k, b, s, e)$, $vk = (A, b)$

Sig(sk, m) $\rightarrow \sigma$: Prove knowledge of a solution to LWE instance (A, b) using sk

Ver(vk, m, σ) $\rightarrow \{0,1\}$ Can verifier, accept if verifier accepts

Commitment scheme: Gen(1^n) outputs public parameter $pp \in \{0,1\}^n$, $N \in \text{poly}(\lambda)$

Com(pp, m, r) outputs commitment when randomness $r \xleftarrow{R} \{0,1\}^n$

Hiding: $\forall m_0, m_1 \in M$, $\text{Com}(pp, m_0, r) \approx \text{Com}(pp, m_1, r)$

Commitments are indistinguishable (usually computationally)

Binding: $\{ \text{Com}(pp, m, r) = \text{Com}(pp, m', r') \text{ and } m \neq m' \} = \text{negl}(\lambda)$

Cannot find two messages that yield the same commitment (completely/slightly)

Construction from LWE: Gen(1^n) chooses $A \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_q^n$, $u \xleftarrow{R} \mathbb{Z}_q^n$, outputs $pp = (A, u)$. Com($(A, u), b, (s, e)$) = $A \cdot s + e + b \cdot u$

Secret sharing: each party gets a share, any t out of n can reconstruct secret

Caution of less than t should have no information about distribution of secrets.

Shamir scheme: t out of n , message m

Choose random degree $t-1$ polynomial f in \mathbb{Z}_p (with $p \mid m$) such that $f(0) = m$

For every $i \in [n]$ let $s_i = f(i)$.

To reconstruct, interpolate by solving t linear eqs in t variables to recover polynomial

Multi-party computation: Bob's protocol consists of three phases:

① secret sharing of inputs: each party shares input using Shamir scheme.

② parties compute f on their shares, when f is represented by additions and multiplications

$g_k(x) = g_k(x_1) + g_k(x_2)$, $g_k(x) = c \cdot g_k(x)$, $g_k(x) = g_k(x_1) \cdot g_k(x_2)$ then

degree reduction and randomness. key phases: take equivalent message, convert to polynomial

randomization: each party adds to its share the share of $g_k(x_1) + g_k(x_2) + \dots + g_k(x_n)$

Shamir scheme is the Reed-Solomon code of $(f(0), f(1), \dots, f(t))$ of length $t+1 = k$

which can be decoded if at most $\frac{k+t}{2} = \frac{n+t-1}{2}$ coordinates are corrupted

One-way function: family of functions $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $f_n: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^m$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$