## The Simplex Method in Tabular Form

In its original algebraic form, our problem is:

Since the objective function and the nonnegativity constraints do not explicitly participate in the mechanics of the solution procedure, we only need to present the coefficients in the constraint equations. In tabular form, this problem will be represented as follows.

	z	$x_1$	$x_2$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$	$s_4$	
	1	-4	-3	0	0	0	0	0
ľ	0	2	3	1	0	0	0	6
	0	-3	2	0	1	0	0	3
	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	5
	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	4

Thus, each constraint equation is translated into a row of coefficients; and the coefficients of a variable in different equations are listed in the same column, with the name of that variable specified at the top of that column as heading. In addition, to facilitate reading, we have delimited the table into several areas, e.g., (i) the z-column at the left, (ii) the coefficients in equation (0) at the top row, and (iii) the right-hand side constants of the equations at the right-most column.

The above table will be referred to as the initial Simplex tableau. To simplify statements, we will refer to the successive rows in the tableau as  $R_0$ ,  $R_1$ , and so on; this numbering, of course, corresponds to that of the original equations. In addition, we will refer to the last column as the RHS column (since it comes from the right-hand-side constants in the equations).

Associated with this initial tableau, the nonbasic variables are  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  and the basic variables are  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ ,  $s_3$ , and  $s_4$ . Therefore, the initial (or current) basic feasible solution is:  $(x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) = (0, 0, 6, 3, 5, 4)$ . This solution has an objective-function value 0, which is the right-most number in  $R_0$ .

Consider  $R_0$ . Since the coefficients of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  (the nonbasic variables) in that row are both negative, the current solution is not optimal. Furthermore, since the coefficient of  $x_1$ , namely -4, is more negative than that of  $x_2$ , we will select  $x_1$  as the entering variable.

We will refer to the  $x_1$ -column as the *pivot column*. This terminology is suggested by the fact that a round of Gaussian elimination is also called a *pivot*.

To determine the maximum possible increase in  $x_1$ , we conduct a ratio test. The ratio test will involve the coefficients in the pivot column and in the RHS column. This is worked out on the right margin of the tableau, as shown below.

Basic	z	$x_1$	$x_2$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$	$s_4$		Ratio Test	
Variable	1	-4	-3	0	0	0	0	0		
$s_1$	0	2	3	1	0	0	0	6	6/2 = 3	
$s_2$	0	-3	2	0	1	0	0	3	_	
$s_3$	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	5	_	
$s_4$	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	4	4/2 = 2	$\leftarrow \text{Minimum}$

Note that we did not compute a ratio for  $R_2$  and  $R_3$ , since both of these two rows have a nonpositive coefficient in the pivot column (indicating that the corresponding equations (2) and (3) do not impose any bound on  $x_1$ ). Since the minimum ratio appears in  $R_4$ , the basic variable currently associated with that row,  $s_4$  (indicated at the left margin), will be the leaving variable. We will refer to  $R_4$  as the *pivot row*.

With  $s_4$  leaving and  $x_1$  entering, the new basis will be  $x_1$ ,  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ , and  $s_3$ . Therefore, we are now interested in constructing a new tableau that is targeted to assume the configuration specified below.

Basic	z	$x_1$	$x_2$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$	$s_4$	
Variable	1	0	?	0	0	0	?	?
$s_1$	0	0	?	1	0	0	?	?
$s_2$	0	0	?	0	1	0	?	?
$s_3$	0	0	?	0	0	1	?	?
$x_1$	0	1	?	0	0	0	?	?

As before, the ?'s in the tableau represent blanks whose entries are to be determined.

To create this target tableau, we will employ row operations. As examples, the new row 4 will be generated by multiplying  $R_4$  by 1/2; and the new row 0 will be generated by multiplying  $R_4$  by 2 and adding the outcome into  $R_0$ . Repeating similar operations for the

other rows yields the new tableau below.

	z	$x_1$	$x_2$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$	$s_4$	
$2 \times R_4 + R_0$ :	1	0	-1	0	0	0	2	8
$(-1) \times R_4 + R_1$ :	0	0	2	1	0	0	-1	2
$(3/2) \times R_4 + R_2$ :	0	0	7/2	0	1	0	3/2	9
$0 \times R_4 + R_3$ :		0	2	0	0	1		5
$(1/2) \times R_4$ :	0	1	1/2	0	0	0	1/2	2

Note that on the left margin of this tableau, we have explicitly indicated how individual new rows are derived from those in the initial tableau. For example, the operations leading to the new row 0 is listed as  $2 \times R_4 + R_0$ , which corresponds to the earlier description.

In this round of Gaussian elimination, or pivot, the entry 2 located at the intersection of the pivot column and the pivot row in the initial tableau plays a "pivotal role," in that it is repeated used to generate all five multipliers to  $R_4$ . We shall refer to this entry as the pivot element.

The basis associated with the new tableau is:  $x_1$ ,  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ , and  $s_3$ . Therefore, the new basic feasible solution is:  $(x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) = (2, 0, 2, 9, 5, 0)$ . This solution has an objective-function value 8. Since there is a negative coefficient in the new  $R_0$ , namely the -1 in the  $x_2$ -column, the current solution is not optimal. This completes the first iteration of the Simplex method.

Next, since  $x_2$  is now the entering variable, the  $x_2$ -column is the new pivot column. To determine the pivot row, we again conduct a ratio test, which is shown below.

Basic	z	$x_1$	$x_2$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$	$s_4$		Ratio Test	
Variable	1	0	-1	0	0	0	2	8		
$s_1$	0	0	2	1	0	0	-1	2	2/2 = 1	$\leftarrow \text{Minimum}$
$s_2$	0	0	7/2	0	1	0	3/2	9	9/(7/2) = 18/7	
$s_3$	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	5	5/2	
$x_1$	0	1	1/2	0	0	0	1/2	2	2/(1/2) = 4	

This shows that the new pivot row will be  $R_1$ , and the basic variable associated with that row,  $s_1$ , will be the leaving variable.

With the entry 2 (Where is it?) as the pivot element, we now go through another pivot to obtain the new tableau below.

	z	$x_1$	$x_2$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$	$s_4$	
$(1/2) \times R_1 + R_0$ :	1	0	0	1/2	0	0	3/2	9
$(1/2) \times R_1$ :	0	0	1	1/2	0	0	-1/2	1
$(-7/4) \times R_1 + R_2$ :	0	0	0	-7/4	1	0	13/4	$\mid 11/2 \mid$
$(-1) \times R_1 + R_3$ :			0	-1	0	1	1	3
$(-1/4) \times R_1 + R_4$ :	0	1	0	-1/4	0	0	3/4	3/2

Here again, the operations that led to this tableau are indicated on the left margin.

The basic feasible solution associated with this new tableau is (3/2, 1, 0, 11/2, 3, 0), with a corresponding objective-function value of 9. Moreover, since the coefficients of  $s_1$  and  $s_4$  in the new  $R_0$  are positive, this solution is optimal. This completes the second iteration, and the solution of this problem.