# Maximum Entropy Markov Models

Instructor: Wei Xu

## The Language Modeling Problem

- $\triangleright$   $w_i$  is the i'th word in a document
- Estimate a distribution p(w<sub>i</sub>|w<sub>1</sub>, w<sub>2</sub>, ... w<sub>i-1</sub>) given previous "history" w<sub>1</sub>, ..., w<sub>i-1</sub>.
- ▶ E.g.,  $w_1, \ldots, w_{i-1} =$

Third, the notion "grammatical in English" cannot be identified in any way with the notion "high order of statistical approximation to English". It is fair to assume that neither sentence (1) nor (2) (nor indeed any part of these sentences) has ever occurred in an English discourse. Hence, in any statistical

## Trigram Models

Estimate a distribution  $p(w_i|w_1, w_2, \dots w_{i-1})$  given previous "history"  $w_1, \dots, w_{i-1} =$ 

Third, the notion "grammatical in English" cannot be identified in any way with the notion "high order of statistical approximation to English". It is fair to assume that neither sentence (1) nor (2) (nor indeed any part of these sentences) has ever occurred in an English discourse. Hence, in any statistical

#### Trigram estimates:

$$\begin{split} q(\mathsf{model}|w_1,\dots w_{i-1}) &= \lambda_1 q_{ML}(\mathsf{model}|w_{i-2} = \mathsf{any}, w_{i-1} = \mathsf{statistical}) + \\ & \lambda_2 q_{ML}(\mathsf{model}|w_{i-1} = \mathsf{statistical}) + \\ & \lambda_3 q_{ML}(\mathsf{model}) \end{split}$$

where 
$$\lambda_i \geq 0$$
,  $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$ ,  $q_{ML}(y|x) = \frac{Count(x,y)}{Count(x)}$ 

## Trigram Models

```
\begin{split} q(\mathsf{model}|w_1, \dots w_{i-1}) &= \lambda_1 q_{ML}(\mathsf{model}|w_{i-2} = \mathsf{any}, w_{i-1} = \mathsf{statistical}) + \\ & \lambda_2 q_{ML}(\mathsf{model}|w_{i-1} = \mathsf{statistical}) + \\ & \lambda_3 q_{ML}(\mathsf{model}) \end{split}
```

- Makes use of only bigram, trigram, unigram estimates
- ▶ Many other "features" of  $w_1, \ldots, w_{i-1}$  may be useful, e.g.,:

```
\begin{array}{lll} q_{ML}(\mathsf{model} & | & w_{i-2} = \mathsf{any}) \\ q_{ML}(\mathsf{model} & | & w_{i-1} \; \mathsf{is \; an \; adjective}) \\ q_{ML}(\mathsf{model} & | & w_{i-1} \; \mathsf{ends \; in \; "ical"}) \\ q_{ML}(\mathsf{model} & | & author = \mathsf{Chomsky}) \\ q_{ML}(\mathsf{model} & | & "\mathsf{model"} \; \mathsf{does \; not \; occur \; somewhere \; in } w_1, \ldots w_{i-1}) \\ q_{ML}(\mathsf{model} & | & "\mathsf{grammatical"} \; \mathsf{occurs \; somewhere \; in } w_1, \ldots w_{i-1}) \end{array}
```

## A Naive Approach

```
q(\mathsf{model}|w_1, \dots w_{i-1}) =
\lambda_1 q_{ML}(\mathsf{model}|w_{i-2} = \mathsf{any}, w_{i-1} = \mathsf{statistical}) +
\lambda_2 q_{ML}(\mathsf{model}|w_{i-1} = \mathsf{statistical}) +
\lambda_3 q_{ML}(\mathsf{model}) +
\lambda_4 q_{ML}(\mathsf{model}|w_{i-2} = \mathsf{any}) +
\lambda_5 q_{ML}(\mathsf{model}|w_{i-1}|\mathsf{is}\;\mathsf{an}\;\mathsf{adjective}) +
\lambda_6 q_{ML}(\mathsf{model}|w_{i-1} \mathsf{ends} \mathsf{in} \mathsf{"ical"}) +
\lambda_7 q_{ML}(\mathsf{model}|author = \mathsf{Chomsky}) +
\lambda_8 q_{ML} (model "model" does not occur somewhere in w_1, \ldots w_{i-1}) +
\lambda_9 q_{ML} (model| "grammatical" occurs somewhere in w_1, \ldots w_{i-1})
```

This quickly becomes very unwieldy...

#### A Second Example: Part-of-Speech Tagging

#### INPUT:

Profits soared at Boeing Co., easily topping forecasts on Wall Street, as their CEO Alan Mulally announced first quarter results.

#### **OUTPUT:**

Profits/N soared/V at/P Boeing/N Co./N ,/, easily/ADV topping/V forecasts/N on/P Wall/N Street/N ,/, as/P their/POSS CEO/N Alan/N Mulally/N announced/V first/ADJ quarter/N results/N ./.

```
N = Noun
V = Verb
P = Preposition
Adv = Adverb
Adj = Adjective
```

# A Second Example: Part-of-Speech Tagging

Hispaniola/NNP quickly/RB became/VB an/DT important/JJ base/?? from which Spain expanded its empire into the rest of the Western Hemisphere .

- There are many possible tags in the position ??
   {NN, NNS, Vt, Vi, IN, DT, ...}
- The task: model the distribution

similar to HMM, but different!

$$p(t_i|t_1,...,t_{i-1},w_1...w_n)$$

where  $t_i$  is the i'th tag in the sequence,  $w_i$  is the i'th word

## A Second Example: Part-of-Speech Tagging

Hispaniola/NNP quickly/RB became/VB an/DT important/JJ base/?? from which Spain expanded its empire into the rest of the Western Hemisphere .

The task: model the distribution

$$p(t_i|t_1,...,t_{i-1},w_1...w_n)$$

where  $t_i$  is the i'th tag in the sequence,  $w_i$  is the i'th word

• Again: many "features" of  $t_1, \ldots, t_{i-1}, w_1 \ldots w_n$  may be relevant

```
\begin{array}{lll} q_{ML}(\mathsf{NN} & \mid & w_i = \mathsf{base}) \\ q_{ML}(\mathsf{NN} & \mid & t_{i-1} \; \mathsf{is} \; \mathsf{JJ}) \\ q_{ML}(\mathsf{NN} & \mid & w_i \; \mathsf{ends} \; \mathsf{in} \; \text{"e"}) \\ q_{ML}(\mathsf{NN} & \mid & w_i \; \mathsf{ends} \; \mathsf{in} \; \text{"se"}) \\ q_{ML}(\mathsf{NN} & \mid & w_{i-1} \; \mathsf{is} \; \text{"important"}) \\ q_{ML}(\mathsf{NN} & \mid & w_{i+1} \; \mathsf{is} \; \text{"from"}) \end{array}
```

#### Overview

- ► Log-linear models
- ► Parameter estimation in log-linear models
- Smoothing/regularization in log-linear models

#### The General Problem

- ightharpoonup We have some **input domain**  $\mathcal{X}$
- ightharpoonup Have a finite **label set**  $\mathcal{Y}$
- Aim is to provide a **conditional probability**  $p(y \mid x)$  for any x, y where  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$

## Language Modeling

ightharpoonup x is a "history"  $w_1, w_2, \ldots w_{i-1}$ , e.g.,

Third, the notion "grammatical in English" cannot be identified in any way with the notion "high order of statistical approximation to English". It is fair to assume that neither sentence (1) nor (2) (nor indeed any part of these sentences) has ever occurred in an English discourse. Hence, in any statistical

▶ y is an "outcome" w<sub>i</sub>

## Feature Vector Representations

- Aim is to provide a conditional probability p(y | x) for "decision" y given "history" x
- A feature is a function f<sub>k</sub>(x, y) ∈ ℝ
   (Often binary features or indicator functions f<sub>k</sub>(x, y) ∈ {0, 1}).
- Say we have m features f<sub>k</sub> for k = 1...m
  ⇒ A feature vector f(x, y) ∈ ℝ<sup>m</sup> for any x, y

## Language Modeling

- x is a "history" w<sub>1</sub>, w<sub>2</sub>,...w<sub>i-1</sub>, e.g., Third, the notion "grammatical in English" cannot be identified in any way with the notion "high order of statistical approximation to English". It is fair to assume that neither sentence (1) nor (2) (nor indeed any part of these sentences) has ever occurred in an English discourse. Hence, in any statistical
- ▶ y is an "outcome" w<sub>i</sub>
- Example features:

$$f_1(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = \texttt{model} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{unigram feature}$$
 
$$f_2(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = \texttt{model and } w_{i-1} = \texttt{statistical} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{bigram feature}$$
 
$$f_3(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = \texttt{model}, w_{i-2} = \texttt{any}, w_{i-1} = \texttt{statistical} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{trigram}$$

$$f_4(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = \text{model, } w_{i-2} = \text{any} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 skip bigram feature 
$$f_5(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = \text{model, } w_{i-1} \text{ is an adjective} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 
$$f_6(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = \text{model, } w_{i-1} \text{ ends in "ical"} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 
$$f_7(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = \text{model, author} = \text{Chomsky} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 
$$f_8(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = \text{model, "model" is not in } w_1, \dots w_{i-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 
$$f_9(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = \text{model, "grammatical" is in } w_1, \dots w_{i-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## Defining Features in Practice

We had the following "trigram" feature:

$$f_3(x,y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } y = \text{model, } w_{i-2} = \text{any, } w_{i-1} = \text{statistical} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

In practice, we would probably introduce one trigram feature for every trigram seen in the training data: i.e., for all trigrams (u, v, w) seen in training data, create a feature

$$f_{N(u,v,w)}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y=w \text{, } w_{i-2}=u \text{, } w_{i-1}=v \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

index of unique trigrams in training data

where N(u,v,w) is a function that maps each (u,v,w) trigram to a different integer

Do not include trigrams that are not seen in the training data

## The POS-Tagging Example

- ▶ Each x is a "history" of the form  $\langle t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{i-1}, w_1 \ldots w_n, i \rangle$
- Each y is a POS tag, such as NN, NNS, Vt, Vi, IN, DT, ...
- ▶ We have m features  $f_k(x,y)$  for  $k=1\ldots m$

#### For example:

$$\begin{array}{lll} f_1(\pmb{x},\pmb{y}) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if current word } w_i \text{ is base and } y = \text{Vt} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. & \text{word/tag pair} \\ f_2(\pmb{x},\pmb{y}) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if current word } w_i \text{ ends in ing and } y = \text{VBG} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. & \text{otherwise} \end{array}$$

## The Full Set of Features in Ratnaparkhi, 1996

Word/tag features for all word/tag pairs, e.g.,

$$f_{100}(x,y) \ = \ \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \mbox{if current word} \ w_i \ \mbox{is base and} \ y = \mbox{Vt} \\ 0 & \mbox{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

▶ Spelling features for all prefixes/suffixes of length ≤ 4, e.g.,

$$\begin{array}{ll} f_{101}(x,y) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 & \text{if current word } w_i \text{ ends in ing and } y = \text{VBG} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ \\ f_{102}(h,t) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 & \text{if current word } w_i \text{ starts with pre and } y = \text{NN} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

## The Full Set of Features in Ratnaparkhi, 1996

Contextual Features, e.g.,

$$\begin{array}{lll} f_{103}(x,y) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } \langle t_{i-2},t_{i-1},y\rangle = \langle \mathsf{DT,\,JJ,\,Vt} \rangle & & \text{trigram tag feature} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ f_{104}(x,y) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } \langle t_{i-1},y\rangle = \langle \mathsf{JJ,\,Vt} \rangle \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ f_{105}(x,y) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } \langle y\rangle = \langle \mathsf{Vt} \rangle \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ f_{106}(x,y) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if previous word } w_{i-1} = \textit{the } \text{and } y = \mathsf{Vt} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ f_{107}(x,y) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if next word } w_{i+1} = \textit{the } \text{and } y = \mathsf{Vt} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

#### The Final Result

- We can come up with practically any questions (features) regarding history/tag pairs.
- For a given history x ∈ X, each label in Y is mapped to a different feature vector

```
f(\langle \mathsf{JJ}, \mathsf{DT}, \langle \mathsf{Hispaniola}, \dots \rangle, 6 \rangle, \mathsf{Vt}) = 1001011001001100110
f(\langle \mathsf{JJ}, \mathsf{DT}, \langle \mathsf{Hispaniola}, \dots \rangle, 6 \rangle, \mathsf{JJ}) = 01100101010111110010
f(\langle \mathsf{JJ}, \mathsf{DT}, \langle \mathsf{Hispaniola}, \dots \rangle, 6 \rangle, \mathsf{NN}) = 0001111101001100100
f(\langle \mathsf{JJ}, \mathsf{DT}, \langle \mathsf{Hispaniola}, \dots \rangle, 6 \rangle, \mathsf{IN}) = 00010110110000000010
```

often sparse with few 1's vs. 0's

. . .

#### Parameter Vectors

▶ Given features  $f_k(x,y)$  for k=1...m, also define a **parameter vector**  $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ 

all possible m-dimensional real value vectors

ightharpoonup Each (x,y) pair is then mapped to a "score"

$$v \cdot f(x,y) = \sum_{k} v_k f_k(x,y)$$

Recall Logistic/Softmax Regression!

# Language Modeling

- x is a "history" w<sub>1</sub>, w<sub>2</sub>,...w<sub>i-1</sub>, e.g., Third, the notion "grammatical in English" cannot be identified in any way with the notion "high order of statistical approximation to English". It is fair to assume that neither sentence (1) nor (2) (nor indeed any part of these sentences) has ever occurred in an English discourse. Hence, in any statistical
- Each possible y gets a different score:

$$v \cdot f(x, model) = 5.6$$
  $v \cdot f(x, the) = -3.2$   
 $v \cdot f(x, is) = 1.5$   $v \cdot f(x, of) = 1.3$   
 $v \cdot f(x, models) = 4.5$  ...

#### Log-Linear Models

- We have some input domain X, and a finite label set Y. Aim is to provide a conditional probability p(y | x) for any x ∈ X and y ∈ Y.
- A feature is a function f : X × Y → R (Often binary features or indicator functions f<sub>k</sub> : X × Y → {0,1}).
- Say we have m features f<sub>k</sub> for k = 1...m
  ⇒ A feature vector f(x, y) ∈ R<sup>m</sup> for any x ∈ X and y ∈ Y.
- ▶ We also have a parameter vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$
- We define

$$p(y \mid x; v) = \frac{e^{v \cdot f(x,y)}}{\sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} e^{v \cdot f(x,y')}}$$
 Softmax!

#### Why the name?

$$\log p(y \mid x; v) = \underbrace{v \cdot f(x, y)}_{\text{Linear term}} - \underbrace{\log \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} e^{v \cdot f(x, y')}}_{\text{Normalization term}}$$

#### Overview

- ► Log-linear models
- ► Parameter estimation in log-linear models
- Smoothing/regularization in log-linear models

#### Maximum-Likelihood Estimation

Maximum-likelihood estimates given training sample  $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$  for  $i = 1 \dots n$ , each  $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ :

$$v_{ML} = \operatorname{argmax}_{v \in \mathbb{R}^m} L(v)$$

where

$$L(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p(y^{(i)} \mid x^{(i)}; v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v \cdot f(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} e^{v \cdot f(x^{(i)}, y')}$$

#### Calculating the Maximum-Likelihood Estimates

Need to maximize:

$$L(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v \cdot f(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} e^{v \cdot f(x^{(i)}, y')}$$

Calculating gradients:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \frac{dL(v)}{dv_k} & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_k(x^{(i)},y') e^{v \cdot f(x^{(i)},y')}}{\sum_{z' \in \mathcal{Y}} e^{v \cdot f(x^{(i)},z')}} \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_k(x^{(i)},y') \frac{e^{v \cdot f(x^{(i)},y')}}{\sum_{z' \in \mathcal{Y}} e^{v \cdot f(x^{(i)},z')}} \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_k(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_k(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_k(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_k(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_k(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_k(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_k(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_k(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_k(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_k(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_k(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_k(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_i(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_i(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_i(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_i(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_i(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_i(x^{(i)},y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x^{(i)},y^{(i)};v) \\ & = & \sum_{i=1}$$

#### Gradient Ascent Methods

Need to maximize L(v) where

$$\frac{dL(v)}{dv} \ = \ \sum_{i=1}^n f(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f(x^{(i)}, y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)}; v)$$

Initialization: v = 0

#### Iterate until convergence:

- ▶ Calculate  $\Delta = \frac{dL(v)}{dv}$
- ► Calculate  $\beta_* = \operatorname{argmax}_{\beta} L(v + \beta \Delta)$  (Line Search)
- Set v ← v + β<sub>\*</sub>Δ

## Conjugate Gradient Methods

- (Vanilla) gradient ascent can be very slow
- Conjugate gradient methods require calculation of gradient at each iteration, but do a line search in a direction which is a function of the current gradient, and the previous step taken.
- Conjugate gradient packages are widely available
   In general: they require a function

$$calc\_gradient(v) \rightarrow \left(L(v), \frac{dL(v)}{dv}\right)$$

and that's about it!

#### Overview

- ► Log-linear models
- ► Parameter estimation in log-linear models
- Smoothing/regularization in log-linear models

## Smoothing in Log-Linear Models

Say we have a feature:

$$f_{100}(x,y) \ = \ \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \mbox{if current word } w_i \mbox{ is base and } y = \mbox{Vt} \\ 0 & \mbox{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

- In training data, base is seen 3 times, with Vt every time
- Maximum likelihood solution satisfies

$$\sum_{i} f_{100}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = \sum_{i} \sum_{y} p(y \mid x^{(i)}; v) f_{100}(x^{(i)}, y)$$

- $\Rightarrow p(Vt \mid x^{(i)}; v) = 1$  for any history  $x^{(i)}$  where  $w_i = base$
- $\Rightarrow v_{100} \to \infty$  at maximum-likelihood solution (most likely)
- $\Rightarrow p(Vt \mid x; v) = 1$  for any test data history x where w = base

#### Regularization

Modified loss function

$$L(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v \cdot f(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} e^{v \cdot f(x^{(i)}, y')} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} v_k^2$$

Calculating gradients:

$$\frac{dL(v)}{dv_k} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n f_k(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})}_{\text{Empirical counts}} - \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} f_k(x^{(i)}, y') p(y' \mid x^{(i)}; v)}_{\text{Expected counts}} - \underbrace{\lambda v_k}_{\text{Expected counts}}$$

- Can run conjugate gradient methods as before
- Adds a penalty for large weights

## Experiments with Regularization

- ► [Chen and Rosenfeld, 1998]: apply log-linear models to language modeling: Estimate  $q(w_i \mid w_{i-2}, w_{i-1})$
- Unigram, bigram, trigram features, e.g.,

$$f_1(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}, w_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if trigram is (the,dog,laughs)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f_2(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}, w_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if bigram is (dog,laughs)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f_3(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}, w_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if unigram is (laughs)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$q(w_i \mid w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}) = \frac{e^{f(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}, w_i) \cdot v}}{\sum_{w} e^{f(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}, w) \cdot v}}$$

## Experiments with Gaussian Priors

In regular (unregularized) log-linear models, if all n-gram features are included, then it's equivalent to maximum-likelihood estimates!

$$q(w_i \mid w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}) = \frac{Count(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}, w_i)}{Count(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1})}$$

- [Chen and Rosenfeld, 1998]: with regularization, get very good results. Performs as well as or better than standardly used "discounting methods" (see lecture 2).
- ▶ Downside: computing  $\sum_{w} e^{f(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}, w) \cdot v}$  is SLOW.

# Log Linear Models for Tagging

## Part-of-Speech Tagging

#### **INPUT:**

Profits soared at Boeing Co., easily topping forecasts on Wall Street, as their CEO Alan Mulally announced first quarter results.

#### **OUTPUT:**

Profits/N soared/V at/P Boeing/N Co./N ,/, easily/ADV topping/V forecasts/N on/P Wall/N Street/N ,/, as/P their/POSS CEO/N Alan/N Mulally/N announced/V first/ADJ quarter/N results/N ./.

```
    N = Noun
    V = Verb
    P = Preposition
    Adv = Adverb
    Adj = Adjective
```

#### Named Entity Recognition

INPUT: Profits soared at Boeing Co., easily topping forecasts on Wall Street, as their CEO Alan Mulally announced first quarter results.

OUTPUT: Profits soared at [Company Boeing Co.], easily topping forecasts on [Location Wall Street], as their CEO [Person Alan Mulally] announced first quarter results.

#### Named Entity Extraction as Tagging

#### INPUT:

Profits soared at Boeing Co., easily topping forecasts on Wall Street, as their CEO Alan Mulally announced first quarter results.

#### OUTPUT:

Profits/NA soared/NA at/NA Boeing/SC Co./CC ,/NA easily/NA topping/NA forecasts/NA on/NA Wall/SL Street/CL ,/NA as/NA their/NA CEO/NA Alan/SP Mulally/CP announced/NA first/NA quarter/NA results/NA ./NA

```
NA = No entity
```

SC = Start Company

CC = Continue Company

SL = Start Location

CL = Continue Location

#### Our Goal

#### Training set:

- 1 Pierre/NNP Vinken/NNP ,/, 61/CD years/NNS old/JJ ,/, will/MD join/VB the/DT board/NN as/IN a/DT nonexecutive/JJ director/NN Nov./NNP 29/CD ./.
  2 Mr./NNP Vinken/NNP is/VBZ chairman/NN of/IN Elsevier/NNP
- 2 Mr./NNP Vinken/NNP is/VBZ chairman/NN of/IN Elsevier/NNP N.V./NNP ,/, the/DT Dutch/NNP publishing/VBG group/NN ./.
- 3 Rudolph/NNP Agnew/NNP ,/, 55/CD years/NNS old/JJ and/CC chairman/NN of/IN Consolidated/NNP Gold/NNP Fields/NNP PLC/NNP ,/, was/VBD named/VBN a/DT nonexecutive/JJ director/NN of/IN this/DT British/JJ industrial/JJ conglomerate/NN ./.

. . .

- 38,219 It/PRP is/VBZ also/RB pulling/VBG 20/CD people/NNS out/IN of/IN Puerto/NNP Rico/NNP ,/, who/WP were/VBD helping/VBG Huricane/NNP Hugo/NNP victims/NNS ,/, and/CC sending/VBG them/PRP to/TO San/NNP Francisco/NNP instead/RB ./.
  - From the training set, induce a function/algorithm that maps new sentences to their tag sequences.

#### Overview

- ► Recap: The Tagging Problem
- ► Log-linear taggers

We have an input sentence w<sub>[1:n]</sub> = w<sub>1</sub>, w<sub>2</sub>,..., w<sub>n</sub>
 (w<sub>i</sub> is the i'th word in the sentence)

- We have an input sentence w<sub>[1:n]</sub> = w<sub>1</sub>, w<sub>2</sub>,..., w<sub>n</sub>
   (w<sub>i</sub> is the i'th word in the sentence)
- We have a tag sequence t<sub>[1:n]</sub> = t<sub>1</sub>, t<sub>2</sub>,..., t<sub>n</sub> (t<sub>i</sub> is the i'th tag in the sentence)

- We have an input sentence w<sub>[1:n]</sub> = w<sub>1</sub>, w<sub>2</sub>,..., w<sub>n</sub>
   (w<sub>i</sub> is the i'th word in the sentence)
- We have a tag sequence t<sub>[1:n]</sub> = t<sub>1</sub>, t<sub>2</sub>,..., t<sub>n</sub> (t<sub>i</sub> is the i'th tag in the sentence)
- We'll use an log-linear model to define

$$p(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n | w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n)$$

for any sentence  $w_{[1:n]}$  and tag sequence  $t_{[1:n]}$  of the same length. (Note: contrast with HMM that defines  $p(t_1 \dots t_n, w_1 \dots w_n)$ )

- We have an input sentence w<sub>[1:n]</sub> = w<sub>1</sub>, w<sub>2</sub>,..., w<sub>n</sub>
   (w<sub>i</sub> is the i'th word in the sentence)
- We have a tag sequence t<sub>[1:n]</sub> = t<sub>1</sub>, t<sub>2</sub>,..., t<sub>n</sub> (t<sub>i</sub> is the i'th tag in the sentence)
- We'll use an log-linear model to define

$$p(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n | w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$$

for any sentence  $w_{[1:n]}$  and tag sequence  $t_{[1:n]}$  of the same length. (Note: contrast with HMM that defines  $p(t_1 \dots t_n, w_1 \dots w_n)$ )

▶ Then the most likely tag sequence for  $w_{[1:n]}$  is

$$t_{[1:n]}^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{t_{[1:n]}} p(t_{[1:n]} | w_{[1:n]})$$

# How to model $p(t_{[1:n]}|w_{[1:n]})$ ?

#### A Trigram Log-Linear Tagger:

$$p(t_{[1:n]}|w_{[1:n]}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} p(t_j \mid w_1 \dots w_n, t_1 \dots t_{j-1})$$
 Chain rule

# How to model $p(t_{[1:n]}|w_{[1:n]})$ ?

#### A Trigram Log-Linear Tagger:

$$p(t_{[1:n]}|w_{[1:n]}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} p(t_j \mid w_1 \dots w_n, t_1 \dots t_{j-1})$$
 Chain rule

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{n} p(t_j \mid w_1, \dots, w_n, t_{j-2}, t_{j-1})$$

Independence assumptions

• We take  $t_0 = t_{-1} = *$ 

# How to model $p(t_{[1:n]}|w_{[1:n]})$ ?

#### A Trigram Log-Linear Tagger:

$$p(t_{[1:n]}|w_{[1:n]}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} p(t_j \mid w_1 \dots w_n, t_1 \dots t_{j-1})$$
 Chain rule

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{n} p(t_j \mid w_1, \dots, w_n, t_{j-2}, t_{j-1})$$

Independence assumptions

- ▶ We take  $t_0 = t_{-1} = *$
- Independence assumption: each tag only depends on previous two tags

$$p(t_j|w_1,\ldots,w_n,t_1,\ldots,t_{j-1})=p(t_j|w_1,\ldots,w_n,t_{j-2},t_{j-1})$$

#### An Example

Hispaniola/NNP quickly/RB became/VB an/DT important/JJ base/?? from which Spain expanded its empire into the rest of the Western Hemisphere .

• There are many possible tags in the position ??  $\mathcal{Y} = \{NN, NNS, Vt, Vi, IN, DT, ...\}$ 

#### Representation: Histories

- ▶ A history is a 4-tuple  $\langle t_{-2}, t_{-1}, w_{[1:n]}, i \rangle$
- ▶ t<sub>-2</sub>, t<sub>-1</sub> are the previous two tags.
- w<sub>[1:n]</sub> are the n words in the input sentence.
- i is the index of the word being tagged
- X is the set of all possible histories

Hispaniola/NNP quickly/RB became/VB an/DT important/JJ base/?? from which Spain expanded its empire into the rest of the Western Hemisphere .

- ▶  $t_{-2}, t_{-1} = DT$ , JJ
- $\blacktriangleright w_{[1:n]} = \langle Hispaniola, quickly, became, \dots, Hemisphere, . \rangle$
- i = 6

# Recap: Feature Vector Representations in Log-Linear Models

- We have some input domain X, and a finite label set Y. Aim is to provide a conditional probability p(y | x) for any x ∈ X and y ∈ Y.
- A feature is a function f : X × Y → R (Often binary features or indicator functions f : X × Y → {0,1}).
- Say we have m features f<sub>k</sub> for k = 1...m
  ⇒ A feature vector f(x, y) ∈ ℝ<sup>m</sup> for any x ∈ X and y ∈ Y.

## An Example (continued)

- X is the set of all possible histories of form \(\lambda t\_{-2}, t\_{-1}, w\_{[1:n]}, i \rangle\)
- ▶ Y = {NN, NNS, Vt, Vi, IN, DT, ...}
- ▶ We have m features  $f_k: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$  for  $k = 1 \dots m$

#### For example:

```
\begin{array}{ll} f_1(\textbf{h},\textbf{t}) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if current word } w_i \text{ is base and } t = \texttt{Vt} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ f_2(\textbf{h},\textbf{t}) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if current word } w_i \text{ ends in ing and } t = \texttt{VBG} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ & \cdots \end{array}
```

$$f_1(\langle \mathsf{JJ}, \mathsf{DT}, \langle \mathsf{Hispaniola}, \ldots \rangle, 6 \rangle, \mathsf{Vt}) = 1$$
  
 $f_2(\langle \mathsf{JJ}, \mathsf{DT}, \langle \mathsf{Hispaniola}, \ldots \rangle, 6 \rangle, \mathsf{Vt}) = 0$ 

# The Full Set of Features in [(Ratnaparkhi, 96)]

Word/tag features for all word/tag pairs, e.g.,

$$f_{100}(h,t) \ = \ \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \mbox{if current word } w_i \mbox{ is base and } t = \mbox{Vt} \\ 0 & \mbox{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

▶ Spelling features for all prefixes/suffixes of length ≤ 4, e.g.,

$$\begin{array}{ll} f_{101}(h,t) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 & \text{if current word } w_i \text{ ends in ing and } t = \mathtt{VBG} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ \\ f_{102}(h,t) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 & \text{if current word } w_i \text{ starts with pre and } t = \mathtt{NN} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

## The Full Set of Features in [(Ratnaparkhi, 96)]

Contextual Features, e.g.,

$$\begin{array}{lll} f_{103}(h,t) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } \langle t_{-2},t_{-1},t \rangle = \langle \mathsf{DT,\,JJ,\,Vt} \rangle \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ f_{104}(h,t) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } \langle t_{-1},t \rangle = \langle \mathsf{JJ,\,Vt} \rangle \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ f_{105}(h,t) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } \langle t \rangle = \langle \mathsf{Vt} \rangle \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ f_{106}(h,t) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if previous word } w_{i-1} = \textit{the } \text{and } t = \mathsf{Vt} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ f_{107}(h,t) &=& \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if next word } w_{i+1} = \textit{the } \text{and } t = \mathsf{Vt} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \end{array}$$

#### Log-Linear Models

- We have some input domain X, and a finite label set Y. Aim is to provide a conditional probability p(y | x) for any x ∈ X and y ∈ Y.
- A feature is a function f : X × Y → R (Often binary features or indicator functions f : X × Y → {0,1}).
- Say we have m features f<sub>k</sub> for k = 1...m
  ⇒ A feature vector f(x, y) ∈ R<sup>m</sup> for any x ∈ X and y ∈ Y.
- ▶ We also have a parameter vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$
- We define

$$p(y \mid x; v) = \frac{e^{v \cdot f(x,y)}}{\sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} e^{v \cdot f(x,y')}}$$

#### Training the Log-Linear Model

▶ To train a log-linear model, we need a training set  $(x_i, y_i)$  for  $i = 1 \dots n$ . Then search for

$$v^* = \operatorname{argmax}_v \left( \underbrace{\sum_{i} \log p(y_i | x_i; v) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k} v_k^2}_{Log-Likelihood} - \underbrace{\sum_{k} v_k^2}_{Regularizer} \right)$$

(see last lecture on log-linear models)

 Training set is simply all history/tag pairs seen in the training data

## The Viterbi Algorithm

Problem: for an input  $w_1 \dots w_n$ , find

$$\arg \max_{t_1...t_n} p(t_1 \dots t_n \mid w_1 \dots w_n)$$

We assume that p takes the form

$$p(t_1 \dots t_n \mid w_1 \dots w_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n q(t_i | t_{i-2}, t_{i-1}, w_{[1:n]}, i)$$

(In our case  $q(t_i|t_{i-2}, t_{i-1}, w_{[1:n]}, i)$  is the estimate from a log-linear model.)

#### The Viterbi Algorithm

- Define n to be the length of the sentence
- Define

$$r(t_1 \dots t_k) = \prod_{i=1}^k q(t_i|t_{i-2}, t_{i-1}, w_{[1:n]}, i)$$

Define a dynamic programming table

$$\pi(k,u,v)=\max \max probability of a tag sequence ending in tags  $u,v$  at position  $k$$$

that is,

$$\pi(k, u, v) = \max_{(t_1, \dots, t_{k-2})} r(t_1 \dots t_{k-2}, u, v)$$

#### A Recursive Definition

Base case:

$$\pi(0, *, *) = 1$$

#### Recursive definition:

For any  $k \in \{1 \dots n\}$ , for any  $u \in \mathcal{S}_{k-1}$  and  $v \in \mathcal{S}_k$ :

$$\pi(k, u, v) = \max_{t \in S_{k-2}} (\pi(k - 1, t, u) \times q(v|t, u, w_{[1:n]}, k))$$

where  $S_k$  is the set of possible tags at position k

#### The Viterbi Algorithm with Backpointers

**Input:** a sentence  $w_1 \dots w_n$ , log-linear model that provides  $q(v|t,u,w_{[1:n]},i)$  for any tag-trigram t,u,v, for any  $i \in \{1 \dots n\}$ 

Initialization: Set  $\pi(0, *, *) = 1$ .

#### Algorithm:

- ightharpoonup For  $k=1\ldots n$ ,
  - ▶ For  $u \in S_{k-1}$ ,  $v \in S_k$ ,

$$\pi(k, u, v) = \max_{t \in S_{k-2}} (\pi(k - 1, t, u) \times q(v|t, u, w_{[1:n]}, k))$$
  
 $bp(k, u, v) = \arg \max_{t \in S_{k-2}} (\pi(k - 1, t, u) \times q(v|t, u, w_{[1:n]}, k))$ 

- Set (t<sub>n-1</sub>, t<sub>n</sub>) = arg max<sub>(u,v)</sub> π(n, u, v)
- For  $k = (n-2) \dots 1$ ,  $t_k = bp(k+2, t_{k+1}, t_{k+2})$
- Return the tag sequence t<sub>1</sub>...t<sub>n</sub>

## FAQ Segmentation: McCallum et. al

- McCallum et. al compared HMM and log-linear taggers on a FAQ Segmentation task
- Main point: in an HMM, modeling

is difficult in this domain

## FAQ Segmentation: McCallum et. al

```
<head>X-NNTP-POSTER: NewsHound v1.33
   <head>
   <head>Archive name: acorn/faq/part2
   <head>Frequency: monthly
   <head>
<question>2.6) What configuration of serial cable should I use
 <answer>
            Here follows a diagram of the necessary connections
 <answer>
 <answer>programs to work properly. They are as far as I know t
 <answer>agreed upon by commercial comms software developers fo
 <answer>
 <answer> Pins 1, 4, and 8 must be connected together inside
 <answer>is to avoid the well known serial port chip bugs. The
```

## FAQ Segmentation: Line Features

```
begins-with-number
begins-with-ordinal
begins-with-punctuation
begins-with-question-word
begins-with-subject
blank
contains-alphanum
contains-bracketed-number
contains-http
contains-non-space
contains-number
contains-pipe
contains-question-mark
ends-with-question-mark
first-alpha-is-capitalized
indented-1-to-4
```

## FAQ Segmentation: The Log-Linear Tagger

"tag=question;prev=head;prev-is-blank"

```
<head>X-NNTP-POSTER: NewsHound v1.33
    <head>
    <head>Archive name: acorn/faq/part2
    <head>Frequency: monthly
    <head>
<question>2.6) What configuration of serial cable should I use
             Here follows a diagram of the necessary connections
⇒ "tag=question;prev=head;begins-with-number"
  "tag=question;prev=head;contains-alphanum"
  "tag=question;prev=head;contains-nonspace"
  "tag=question;prev=head;contains-number"
```

## FAQ Segmentation: An HMM Tagger

<question>2.6) What configuration of serial cable should I use

First solution for p(word | tag):

```
p("2.6) What configuration of serial cable should I use" | question) = e(2.6) | question)×e(What \mid \text{question}) \times e(configuration \mid \text{question}) \times e(of \mid \text{question}) \times e(serial \mid \text{question}) \times e(serial \mid \text{question}) \times \dots
```

▶ i.e. have a language model for each tag

## FAQ Segmentation: McCallum et. al

Second solution: first map each sentence to string of features:

```
<question>2.6) What configuration of serial cable should I use \Rightarrow <question>begins-with-number contains-alphanum contains-nonspace contains-number prev-is-blank
```

Use a language model again:

```
p("2.6) What configuration of serial cable should I use" | question) = e(\text{begins-with-number} \mid \text{question}) \times e(\text{contains-alphanum} \mid \text{question}) \times e(\text{contains-nonspace} \mid \text{question}) \times e(\text{contains-number} \mid \text{question}) \times e(\text{prev-is-blank} \mid \text{question}) \times
```

Method	Precision	Recall
ME-Stateless	0.038	0.362
TokenHMM	0.276	0.140
FeatureHMM	0.413	0.529
MEMM	0.867	0.681

Precision and recall results are for recovering segments

Method	Precision	Recall
ME-Stateless	0.038	0.362
TokenHMM	0.276	0.140
FeatureHMM	0.413	0.529
MEMM	0.867	0.681

- Precision and recall results are for recovering segments
- ME-stateless is a log-linear model that treats every sentence seperately (no dependence between adjacent tags)

Method	Precision	Recall
ME-Stateless	0.038	0.362
TokenHMM	0.276	0.140
FeatureHMM	0.413	0.529
MEMM	0.867	0.681

- Precision and recall results are for recovering segments
- ME-stateless is a log-linear model that treats every sentence seperately (no dependence between adjacent tags)
- TokenHMM is an HMM with first solution we've just seen

Method	Precision	Recall
ME-Stateless	0.038	0.362
TokenHMM	0.276	0.140
FeatureHMM	0.413	0.529
MEMM	0.867	0.681

- Precision and recall results are for recovering segments
- ME-stateless is a log-linear model that treats every sentence seperately (no dependence between adjacent tags)
- TokenHMM is an HMM with first solution we've just seen
- FeatureHMM is an HMM with second solution we've just seen

Method	Precision	Recall
ME-Stateless	0.038	0.362
TokenHMM	0.276	0.140
FeatureHMM	0.413	0.529
MEMM	0.867	0.681

- Precision and recall results are for recovering segments
- ME-stateless is a log-linear model that treats every sentence seperately (no dependence between adjacent tags)
- TokenHMM is an HMM with first solution we've just seen
- FeatureHMM is an HMM with second solution we've just seen
- MEMM is a log-linear trigram tagger (MEMM stands for "Maximum-Entropy Markov Model")

## Summary

- Key ideas in log-linear taggers:
  - Decompose

$$p(t_1 \dots t_n | w_1 \dots w_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(t_i | t_{i-2}, t_{i-1}, w_1 \dots w_n)$$

Estimate

$$p(t_i|t_{i-2}, t_{i-1}, w_1 \dots w_n)$$

using a log-linear model

For a test sentence  $w_1 \dots w_n$ , use the Viterbi algorithm to find

$$\arg \max_{t_1...t_n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n p(t_i|t_{i-2}, t_{i-1}, w_1 \dots w_n) \right)$$

 Key advantage over HMM taggers: flexibility in the features they can use