

COMP 440 Homework 1

August 2016

1 Modeling sentence segmentation as search

- Suppose the sentence length is L , we define the state space model as following:
 - $S = s_0 \cup s_1 \cup s_2 \cup \dots \cup s_L$, where, for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, L$, s_i represents the state that exactly i first characters have been segmented.
 - *Action* is defined as: for every word w in D that is a prefix from the i -th character in the sentence, $a_{s_i, w}$ is the action to jump to state $s_{i+len(w)}$.
 - $Successor(s_i, a_{i, w}) = s_{i+len(w)}$
 - $Cost(s_i, a_{i, w}) = 1$
 - $s_{start} = s_0$
 - $isGoal(s_i) = (s_i == s_L)$
- *Since the paths of unweighted graph of states are directed and never going "backward", there's no cycle in the graph.
 - Yes. BFS will be able to traverse the graph layer by layer from s_0 and once it reaches s_L , the current distance (number of layers traversed) is the minimum cost path. Time complexity will be $O(b^s)$ where b is the average number of neighbours and s is the number of layers from s_0 to s_L .
 - No. DFS will not guarantee the distance we have when we find s_L to be the minimum cost path.
 - Yes. UFS will be able to find a least cost path from s_0 to s_L in $O(L)$.
 - Yes. A* with a consistent heuristic will be able to find a least cost path from s_0 to s_L in $O(L)$.
 - Yes. Bellman-Ford will be able to find a least cost path from s_0 to s_L in $O(L^2|A|)$, where $|A|$ is the number of edges.
- Modify *Cost* function so that $Cost(s_i, a_{i, w}) = len(w) - 1$.
 BFS won't work now since it only works on unweighted graph. UFS, A*, and Bellman-Ford will still work since they all can generalize on weighted graph.
- Modify S so that for a state $s_{i, last}$ where $i > 0$, exactly i first characters have been segmented and the last segmented word is *last*.
 Modify *Cost* function so that $Cost(s_0, a_{0, w}) = 0$ and $Cost(s_{i, last}, a_{i, w}) = fluency(last, w)$.

2 Searchable Maps

- We define the cost as the time required to travel from s to t . Since we need a heuristic that never overestimates the cost, we define it as the lowest possible cost, which is obtained by a traveling along a straight line from s to t at the highest possible speed:

$$h(s, t) = \frac{G(s, t)}{S_H}$$

- The heuristic is defined as following:

$$h(s, t) = |T(s, L) - T(L, t)|$$

- Suppose the goal node is t , it is trivial that $h(t) = h_1(t) = h_2(t) = 0$. Consider any pair of node n and m where there is an action to get m from n . Suppose $h_1(n) \geq h_2(n)$, then $h(n) = h_1(n)$ and there are two possibilities: first, $h_1(m) \geq h_2(m)$, which makes $h(m) = h_1(m)$ and obviously makes h consistent on n and m (h is exactly h_1); second, $h_1(m) < h_2(m)$, then since $h(n) = h_1(n) \leq Cost(n, m) + h_1(m) < Cost(n, m) + h_2(m)$, h is also consistent on n and m . So h will always be consistent in this case

Since h_1 and h_2 are symmetric, the above conclusion will also hold for $h_1(n) < h_2(n)$. So h is always consistent.

- According to part c, the basic idea is to take the max of all heuristics:

$$h(s, t) = \max(|T(s, L_1) - T(L_1, t)|, |T(s, L_2) - T(L_2, t)|, \dots, |T(s, L_K) - T(L_K, t)|, \frac{G(s, t)}{S_H})$$

- For adding edges, h will NOT remain consistent. Image the new edge draws a straight line from s to t , then as long as the original path estimate of h is not a straight line on its own, h will overestimate, which makes it not only inconsistent but also not admissible.

For removing edges, h will still remain consistent. Since for any edge remains in the graph, none of the three parts in the inequality $h(m) \leq Cost(m, n) + h(n)$ will change. So h will remain consistent.

3 Designing Search Algorithms: Protein Folding

- S contains s_{path} which is an ordered list recording all coordinates of residues that have been placed up to this point. $S_0 = s_{[(0,0)]}$
 S_{Goal} is achieved when $len(path) = len(input)$
 A contains a_{s_0} and $a_{s_{path}, direction}$, where $direction$ can be $(1, 0), (-1, 0), (0, i), (0, -i)$ and $path$ does not contain $path.lastElement + direction$
 $Successor(s_{path}, a_{path, direction}) = s_{append(path, (path.lastElement + direction))}$
 $Cost(s_{path}, a_{path, direction}) = \text{sum of distances from the new residual generated by } a_{path, direction} \text{ to all existing H-type residual in } path.$
- A*, with heuristic $h(i) = k * i + \frac{k!}{2 * (k-2)!}$ where i represents the i -th H-type residual has already been placed and k represents the number of remaining H-type residual in the sequence. Since the distance between a pair is at least 1, this heuristic is consistent.
 Since the state space is too large, other types of searching algorithm will make a lot of "unguided" exploration and waste a lot of resources. A* will provide an idea of the general direction that should be followed, and thus decrease the actual searching time.
- *The heuristic used is described in the above section.

Function foldMinSearch

```

input sequence (String)
frontier = PriorityQueue of residual nodes that orders them by  $Cost() + h()$ 
add ( $s_0, 0 + \text{heuristicHelper}(\text{number of H's in sequence}, 0)$ ) to frontier
visited = empty list
while frontier is not empty:
    current, cost = frontier.pop
    add (current, cost) to visited
    if (len(current.path) == len(sequence)):
        return current.path
    foreach neighbour n of current:
        if (n not in frontier) AND (n not in visited):
            add ( $n, cost + cost_n + h(n) - h(current)$ ) to frontier
        else if  $cost_n > cost + Cost(current, n) + h(n) - h(current)$ :
            add ( $cost_n > cost + Cost(current, n) + h(n) - h(current)$ ) to frontier
return not found

```

Time Complexity: $O(\text{number of statespace}) = O(l * \log(l) * 3^l)$, where we assume the branching factor is about 3 and there are l acids in the input sequence; the presence of l and $\log(l)$ is to account for the innermost loop and the use of a priority queue, respectively.

Space Complexity is similar to time complexity: $O(l * \log(l) * 3^l)$.

This algorithm is guaranteed to find an optimal solution because it's A* with a consistent heuristic.