COMP 440 Homework 1

Tony Chen(xc12) and Adam Wang(sw33) ${\rm August~2016}$

1 Modeling sentence segmentation as search

- \bullet Suppose the sentence length is L, we define the state space model as following:
 - $-S = s_0 \cup s_1 \cup s_2 \cup ... \cup s_L$, where, for i = 0, 1, 2, ...L, s_i represents the state that exactly i first characters have been segmented.
 - Action is defined as: for every word w in D that is a prefix from the i-th character in the sentence, $a_{s_i,w}$ is the action to jump to state $s_{s_i+len(w)}$.
 - $Successor(s_i, a_{i,w}) = s_{i+len(w)}$
 - $Cost(s_i, a_{i,w}) = 1$
 - $-s_{start} = s_0$
 - $-isGoal(s_i) = (s_i == s_L)$
- *Since the paths of unweighted graph of states are directed and never going "backward", there's no cycle in the graph.
 - Yes. BFS will be able to traverse the graph layer by layer from s_0 and once it reaches s_L , the current distance (number of layers traversed) is the minimum cost path. Time complexity will be $O(b^s)$ where b is the average number of neighbours and s is the number of layers from s_0 to s_L .
 - No. DFS will not guarantee the distance we have when we find s_L to be the minimum cost path.
 - Yes. UFS will be able to find a least cost path from s_0 to s_L in O(L).
 - Yes. A* with a consistent heuristic will be able to find a least cost path from s_0 to s_L in O(L).
 - Yes. Bellman-Ford will be able to find a least cost path from s_0 to s_L in $O(L^2|A|)$, where |A| is the number of edges.
- Modify Cost function so that $Cost(s_i, a_{i,w}) = len(w) 1$. BFS won't work now since it only works on unweighted graph. UFS, A*, and Bellman-Ford will still work since they all can generalize on weighted graph.
- Modify S so that for a state $s_{i,last}$ where i > 0, exactly i first characters have been segmented and the last segmented word is last.

Modify Cost function so that $Cost(s_0, a_{0,w}) = 0$ and $Cost(s_{i,last}, a_{i,w}) = fluency(last, w)$.

2 Searchable Maps

• We define the cost as the time required to travel from s to t. Since we need a heuristic that never overestimates the cost, we define it as the lowest possible cost, which is obtained by a traveling along a straight line from s to t at the highest possible speed:

$$h(s,t) = \frac{G(s,t)}{S_H}$$

• The heuristic is defined as following:

$$h(s,t) = |T(s,L) - T(L,t)|$$

• Suppose the goal node is t, it is trivial that $h(t) = h_1(t) = h_2(t) = 0$. Consider any pair of node n and m where there is an action to get m from n. Suppose $h_1(n) \ge h_2(n)$, then $h(n) = h_1(n)$ and there are two possibilities: first, $h_1(m) \ge h_2(m)$, which makes $h(m) = h_1(m)$ and obviously makes h consistent on n and m (h is exactly h_1); second, $h_1(m) < h_2(m)$, then since $h(n) = h_1(n) \le Cost(n, m) + h_1(m) < Cost(n, m) + h_2(m)$, h is also consistent on n and m. So h will always be consistent in this case

Since h_1 and h_2 are symmetric, the above conclusion will also hold for $h_1(n) < h_2(n)$. So h is always consistent.

• According to part c, the basic idea is to take the max of all heuristics:

$$h(s,t) = \max(|T(s,L_1) - T(L_1,t)|, |T(s,L_2) - T(L_2,t)|, ..., |T(s,L_K) - T(L_K,t)|, \frac{G(s,t)}{S_H})$$

• For adding edges, h will NOT remain consistent. Image the new edge draws a straight line from s to t, then as long as the original path estimate of h is not a straight line on its own, h will overestimate, which makes it not only inconsistent but also not admissible.

For removing edges, h will still remain consistent. Since for any edge remains in the graph, none of the three parts in the inequality $h(m) \leq Cost(m, n) + h(n)$ will change. So h will remain consistent.

3 Designing Search Algorithms: Protein Folding

• S contains s_{path} which is an ordered list recording all coordinates of residues that have been placed up to this point. $S_0 = s_{[(0,0)]}$

```
S_{Goal} is achieved when len(path) = len(input)
```

A contains a_{s_0} and $a_{s_{path},direction}$, where direction can be (1,0),(-1,0),(0,i),(0,-i) and path does not contain path.lastElement + direction

```
Successor(s_{path}, a_{path,direction}) = s_{append(path,(path.lastElement+direction))}
```

 $Cost(s_{path}, a_{path, direction}) = \text{sum of distances from the new residual generated by } a_{path, direction} \text{ to all existing H-type residual in } path.$

- A*, with heuristic $h(i) = k * i + \frac{k!}{2*(k-2)!}$ where i represents the i-th H-type residual has already been placed and k represents the number of remaining H-type residual in the sequence. Since the distance between a pair is at least 1, this heuristic is consistent.
 - Since the state space is too large, other types of searching algorithm will make a lot of "unguided" exploration and waste a lot of resources. A* will provide an idea of the general direction that should be followed, and thus decrease the actual searching time.
- *The heuristic used is described in the above section.

```
Function foldMinSearch
```

return not found

```
input sequence (String) frontier = PriorityQueue of residual nodes that orders them by Cost() + h() add (s_0, 0 + \text{heuristicHelper}(\text{number of H's in sequence}, 0) to frontier visited = empty list while frontier is not empty: current, cost = frontier.pop add (current, cost) to visited if (len(current.path) == len(sequence)): return current.path foreach neighbour n of current: if (n not in frontier) AND (n not in visited): add (n, cost + cost_n + h(n) - h(current)) to frontier else if cost_n > cost + Cost(current, n) + h(n) - h(current) to frontier add (cost_n > cost + Cost(current, n) + h(n) - h(current)) to frontier
```

Time Complexity: $O(number of state space) = O(l * log(l) * 3^l)$, where we assume the branching factor is about 3 and there are l acids in the input sequence; the presence of l and log(l) is to account for the innermost loop and the use of a priority queue, respectively.

Space Complexity is similar to time complexity: $O(l * log(l) * 3^l)$.

This algorithm is guaranteed to find an optimal solution because it's A* with a consistent heuristic.