

## Midterm 2 Solutions

1. (a) False. Consider a graph where the vertex  $n$  has a single edge incident on it that has weight  $m$ . The remaining  $(n - 1)$  vertices form a connected graph with  $(m - 1)$  edges that have distinct weights  $1, 2, \dots, (m - 1)$ . Since  $(m - 1) \geq (n - 2)$ , this is always possible. Now, any MST must contain the unique edge incident on vertex  $n$ .
- (b) True. In the greedy merging sequence, since we always choose to merge two symbols of smallest probability, symbol 1 will be merged for the first time only when there are 2 symbols remaining. The process terminates at this point, and hence symbol 1 is assigned a code of length 1.

2. (a) *Algorithm* Use BFS/DFS to find the number of connected components in  $G$ . If this number is  $K$  or larger, we can assign a distinct color to the vertices in each connected component. On the other hand, if this number is less than  $K$ , we output that there is no consistent coloring that uses  $K$  or more colors.

*Justification.*

Each vertex of  $G$  must get the same color in any consistent coloring. Vertices in the same connected component are connected by a path, and hence must have the same color. Vertices in different connected components of  $G$  can not use more than  $K$  colors.

*Runtime.* As

- (b) *Algorithm* Compute the strongly connected components of  $G$ . If  $G$  is strongly connected, then  $G$  is a single component. If  $G$  is not strongly connected, let  $E_{SCC}$  be the acyclic graph consisting of edges  $(u, v)$  such that  $u$  and  $v$  are in different strongly connected components. Let  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$  be the sequence of strongly connected components of  $G$ . For each  $c_k$ , choose a vertex  $v_k$  in  $c_k$  to be the root of  $c_k$ . For each  $c_k$ , output  $e$  as the desired edge.

*Justification.*

If  $G$  is strongly connected, we claim that  $G$  is a single component. If  $G$  is not strongly connected, there are no edges going from a strongly connected component  $c_i$  to a strongly connected component  $c_j$  for  $i < j$ . If  $G' = G + e$  is strongly connected, then  $e$  must be an edge from  $c_i$  to  $c_j$  for  $i < j$ . Moreover, if  $e$  is an edge from  $c_k$  to  $c_1$ , then  $G$  must have a path from  $c_k$  to  $c_1$ . Thus,  $G$  is already strongly connected. Thus,  $G$  is already strongly connected. Thus,  $G$  is already strongly connected.

*Runtime.* Computing the strongly connected component graph and topologically sorting it take  $O(|V| + |E|)$  time each. Adding the edge  $e$  takes constant time, and testing if  $G'$  is strongly connected also takes  $O(|V| + |E|)$ , so the entire algorithm takes  $O(|V| + |E|)$  time.

- (c) *Algorithm:* Let the edge  $e = (u, v)$ . Let  $G'$  be the graph obtained by deleting edge  $e$  from  $G$ . Run Dijkstra's algorithm on  $G'$  to find the shortest path from  $v$  to  $u$ . If Dijkstra's algorithm outputs "no feasible path", then output "none". Else, if  $T$  is the cost of the shortest path, then output  $T + \text{cost}(e)$  where  $\text{cost}(e)$  is the weight of the edge  $e$ .

*Justification:* Consider any cycle in  $G$  in which  $(u, v)$  is an edge. Tracing the edges of the cycle starting from  $v$ , we see that such a cycle yields a simple path from  $v$  to  $u$  (which of course does not include the edge  $(u, v)$ ). In the other direction, given any simple path from  $v$  to  $u$ , we can add the edge  $(u, v)$  to get a cycle. Thus, the cycles containing  $(u, v)$  are in one-to-one correspondence with simple paths from  $v$  to  $u$ . Further, the total weight of the cycle is exactly the same as the weight of the corresponding path + the weight of the edge  $(u, v)$ . This finishes the proof.

*Running Time:* Given the adjacency list representation of  $G$ , the adjacency list representation of  $G'$  can be computed in time  $O(m + n)$ . Subsequently, the cost of running Dijkstra is  $O(m \log n)$  which gives us total bound on the running time.

3. **Algorithm:** Let  $H = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i$  denote the total happiness associated with all  $n$  toys; note that  $H$  is an integer between 0 and  $nM$ . We now define our subproblems. For each integer  $0 \leq i \leq n$  and  $0 \leq j \leq nM$ , let  $T[i, j]$  be 1 if there is a subset of the first  $i$  items whose total happiness is exactly  $j$ , and 0 otherwise. The base case of this computation is as below:

$$T[0, j] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now for the inductive computation, we define as follows:

$$T[i, j] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } T[i-1, j] = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } j \geq h_i \text{ and } T[i-1, j-h_i] = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We will compute the entries in the table  $T$ , in increasing order of  $i$ , and for each  $i$ , we compute it in increasing order of  $j$ . In this manner, all subproblems on which it depends have already been computed.

Finally, we output

**Proof of correctness**

$i = 0$ , and  $T[0, j]$

only achievable happiness

Now, assume by

$0 \leq j \leq nM$ . The

happiness is exactly

subset does not include

includes toy  $i$ , it must

remaining happiness

cases occur, then

$T[i, j] = 0$ .

Finally, note that

is exactly  $H/2$ .

**Time complexity**

can be done in  $O(nM)$

can be computed

Finally, the last step

is  $O(n^2M)$ .



else not.

base case corresponds to

ing an empty set. The

all  $i' < i$ , and for all

the first  $i$  items whose

by  $i$  or it does. If such a

er hand, if such a subset

$(i-1)$  items that gives the

1. If neither of the two

and we can correctly set

$n$  toys whose happiness

$T[i, j]$  for all  $0 \leq j \leq nM$

$i' < i$ , the entry  $T[i, j]$

the table  $T$  is  $O(n^2 \cdot M)$ .

the total time complexity