

Full Name: _____

Position: _____

Contact Number: _____

Company/Organization: _____

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

QUESTIONS		4	3	2	1
FUNCTIONAL SUITABILITY					
1. Functional Completeness	Does ResearchTree cover all the necessary modules and operations for managing research manuscripts effectively?				
2. Functional Correctness	Can you rely on ResearchTree to produce accurate and error-free results when managing research manuscripts?				
3. Functional Appropriateness	Do the functions of ResearchTree align well with the needs and objectives of managing research manuscripts?				
PERFORMANCE EFFICIENCY		4	3	2	1
4. Time Behavior	Does ResearchTree meet the expected response and processing times when handling research manuscript tasks?				
5. Resource Utilization	Does ResearchTree utilize system resources efficiently while managing research manuscripts?				
6. Capacity	Does ResearchTree accommodate varying loads and demands effectively in managing research manuscripts?				
COMPATIBILITY		4	3	2	1
7. Co-existence	Does ResearchTree operate smoothly alongside other applications without causing conflicts or issues?				
8. Interoperability	Can ResearchTree seamlessly interact with other tools or systems for sharing and utilizing research information?				
USABILITY		4	3	2	1
9. Appropriateness Recognizability	Is the purpose and significance of ResearchTree easily recognizable to users managing research manuscripts?				
10. Learnability	Do users find it easy to learn how to use ResearchTree for managing research manuscripts?				
11. Operability	Is ResearchTree user-friendly and straightforward to operate for managing research manuscripts?				
12. User Error Protection	Does ResearchTree provide clear instructions and safeguards to prevent user errors when managing research manuscripts?				
13. User Interface Aesthetics	Is the user interface of ResearchTree appealing and suitable for users managing research manuscripts?				
14. Accessibility	Is ResearchTree accessible to users with diverse needs and backgrounds in managing research manuscripts?				
RELIABILITY		4	3	2	1
15. Maturity	Has ResearchTree been refined and improved over time to minimize errors and faults when managing research manuscripts?				
16. Availability	Is ResearchTree consistently available and operational when needed for managing research manuscripts?				
17. Fault tolerance	Can ResearchTree effectively handle errors and continue functioning properly when managing research manuscripts?				
18. Recoverability	Does ResearchTree recover smoothly and resume normal operation after encountering errors when managing research manuscripts?				
SECURITY		4	3	2	1
19. Confidentiality	Does ResearchTree ensure the confidentiality of research data and information stored within the system?				
20. Integrity	Can users trust that all actions performed by ResearchTree maintain the integrity of research data and information?				
21. Non-repudiation	Does ResearchTree provide assurance that actions taken within the system cannot be denied by users?				
22. Accountability	Does ResearchTree ensure that all actions are traceable and accountable based on specified requirements?				
23. Authenticity	Are all functions performed by ResearchTree valid and accurate in managing research manuscripts?				
MAINTAINABILITY		4	3	2	1
24. Modularity	Is ResearchTree designed with modular components to facilitate easier maintenance and updates?				
25. Reusability	Can ResearchTree's features and functions be easily reused or repurposed for managing different research manuscripts?				
26. Analyzability	Are faults and errors within ResearchTree easily diagnosable and correctable?				
27. Modifiability	Can ResearchTree's features and functions be readily modified or customized to suit changing needs?				
28. Testability	Does ResearchTree consistently provide correct and accurate results when managing research manuscripts?				

Disclaimer:

“We will not publish any personal information it just needed for verification of the panelist”