Version: 1.0

In the lecture, we have described an algorithm of Karatsuba that multiplies two n-digit integers using $O(n^{\lg 3})$ single-digit additions, subtractions, and multiplications. In this lab we'll look at some extensions and applications of this algorithm.

Describe an algorithm to compute the product of an n-digit number and an m-digit number, where m < n, in $O(m^{\lg 3-1}n)$ time.

Solution:

Split the larger number into $\lceil n/m \rceil$ chunks, each with m digits. Multiply the smaller number by each chunk in $O(m^{\lg 3})$ time using Karatsuba's algorithm, and then add the resulting partial products with appropriate shifts.

Each call to Satisfy in the control of the main loop requires O(m) time. Thus, the overall running time of the algorithm is $O(1) + \lceil n/m \rceil O(m^{\lg 3}) = O(m^{\lg 3-1}n)$ as required.

This is the standard in the Sr multiplying in the property in the standard in the Sr multiplying in the property in the standard in the Sr multiplication implemented using Karatsuba's algorithm.

Describe an algorithm to compute the decimal representation of 2^n in $O(n^{\lg 3})$ time. (The standard algorithm that computes one digit at a time requires $\Theta(n^2)$ time.)

Solution:

We compute 2^n via repeated squaring, implementing the following recurrence:

$$2^{n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0\\ (2^{n/2})^{2} & \text{if } n > 0 \text{ is even}\\ 2 \cdot (2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor})^{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

We use Karatsuba's algorithm to implement decimal multiplication for each square.

```
\begin{aligned} & \frac{\mathbf{TwoToThe}(n):}{\mathbf{if}\ n = 0} \\ & \mathbf{return}\ 1 \\ & m \leftarrow \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \\ & z \leftarrow \mathbf{TwoToThe}(m) \\ & z \leftarrow \mathbf{Multiply}(z,z) \\ & \mathbf{if}\ n\ \mathbf{is}\ \mathbf{odd} \\ & z \leftarrow \mathbf{Add}(z,z) \\ & \mathbf{return}\ z \end{aligned}
```

The running time of this algorithm satisfies the recurrence $T(n) = T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + O(n^{\lg 3})$. We can safely ignore the floor in the recursive argument. The recursion tree for this algorithm is just a path; the work done at recursion depth i is $O((n/2^i)^{\lg 3}) = O(n^{\lg 3}/3^i)$. Thus, the levels sums form a descending geometric series, which is dominated by the work at level 0, so the total running time is at most $O(n^{\lg 3})$.

Bescribe a divide-and-conquer algorithm to compute the decimal representation of an arbitrary *n*-bit binary number in $O(n^{\lg 3})$ time. (**Hint:** Let $x = a \cdot 2^{n/2} + b$. Watch out for an extra log factor in the running time.)

Solution:

Following the hint, we break the input x into two smaller numbers $x = a \cdot 2^{n/2} + b$; recursively convert a and b into decimal; convert $2^{n/2}$ into decimal using the solution to problem 2; multiply a and $2^{n/2}$ using Karatsuba's algorithm; and finally add the product to b to get the final result.

```
\frac{\text{Decimal}(x[0 \dots n-1]):}{\text{if } n < 100}
\text{use brute force}
m \leftarrow \lceil n/2 \rceil
a \leftarrow x[m \dots n-1]
b \leftarrow x[0 \dots m-1]
\mathbf{SGM-QARM-MIX}(\mathbf{Paniform})
```

The running time of this algorithm satisfies the recurrence $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n^{\lg 3})$; the $O(n^{\lg 3})$ term includes the running times of both Multiply and TwoToThe (as well as the final linear-time addition).

The recursion tree for this algorithm is a binary tree, with 2^i nodes at recursion depth i. Each recursive call at depth i converts an $n/2^i$ -bit binary number to decimal; the non-recursive work at the corresponding node of the current patter is $2^i \cdot O(n^{\lg 3}/3^i) = O(n^{\lg 3}/(3/2)^i)$. The level sums define a descending geometric series, which is dominated by its largest term $O(n^{\lg 3})$.

Notice that if we had converted $2^{n/2}$ to decimal recursively instead of calling **TwoToThe**, the recurrence would have been $T(n) = 3T(n/2) + O(n^{\lg 3})$. Every level of this recursion tree has the same sum, so the overall running time would be $O(n^{\lg 3} \log n)$.

Think about later:

4 Suppose we can multiply two *n*-digit numbers in O(M(n)) time. Describe an algorithm to compute the decimal representation of an arbitrary *n*-bit binary number in $O(M(n) \log n)$ time.

Solution:

We modify the solutions of problems 2 and 3 to use the faster multiplication algorithm instead of Karatsuba's algorithm. Let $T_2(n)$ and $T_3(n)$ denote the running times of **TwoToThe** and **Decimal**, respectively. We need to solve the recurrences

$$T_2(n) = T_2(n/2) + O(M(n))$$
 and $T_3(n) = 2T_3(n/2) + T_2(n) + O(M(n))$.

But how can we do that when we don't know M(n)?

For the moment, suppose $M(n) = O(n^c)$ for some constant c > 0. Since any algorithm to multiply two n-digit numbers must read all n digits, we have $M(n) = \Omega(n)$, and therefore $c \geq 1$. On the other hand, the grade-school lattice algorithm implies $M(n) = O(n^2)$, so we can safely assume $c \leq 2$. With this assumption, the recursion tree method implies

$$T_2(n) = T_2(n/2) + O(n^c) \qquad \Longrightarrow T_2(n) = O(n^c)$$

$$T_3(n) = 2T_3(n/2) + O(n^c) \qquad \Longrightarrow T_3(n) = \begin{cases} O(n \log n) & \text{if } c = 1, \\ O(n^c) & \text{if } c > 1. \end{cases}$$

So in this case, we have $T_3(n) = O(M(n) \log n)$ as required.

In reality, M(n) may not be a simple polynomial, but we can effectively ignore any sub-polynomial noise using the following trick. Suppose we can write $M(n) = n^c \cdot \mu(n)$ for some constant c and some arbitrary non-decreasing function $\mu(n)$.

To solve the recurrence $T_2(n) = T_2(n/2) + O(M(n))$, we define a new function $\tilde{T}_2(n) = T_2(n)/\mu(n)$. Then we have

$$\tilde{T}_2(n) = \frac{T_2(n/2)}{\mu(n)} + \frac{O(M(n))}{\mu(n)} \le \frac{T_2(n/2)}{\mu(n/2)} + \frac{O(M(n))}{\mu(n)} = \tilde{T}_2(n/2) + O(n^c).$$

Here we used the inequality $\mu(n) \geq \mu(n/2)$; this the only fact about μ that we actually need. The recursion the method implies $\tilde{T}_3(n) \leq P(n)$ and therefore $T_3(n) \leq O(M(n))$. Similarly, to solve the recurrence $T_3(n) = 2T_3(n/2) + O(M(n))$, we define $T_3(n) = T_3(n)/\mu(n)$, which

gives us the recurrence $\tilde{T}_3(n) \leq 2\tilde{T}_3(n/2) + O(n^c)$. The recursion tree method implies

https://tutorosecom
$$1$$
, $O(n^c)$ if $c > 1$.

In both cases, we have $\tilde{J}_3(n) = 10^c \log n$ which implies that $T_3(n) = O(M(n) \log n)$.