Version: 1.0

Here are several problems that are easy to solve in O(n) time, essentially by brute force. Your task is to design algorithms for these problems that are significantly faster.

- Suppose we are given an array A[1..n] of n distinct integers, which could be positive, negative, or zero, sorted in increasing order so that $A[1] < A[2] < \cdots < A[n]$.
 - **1.A.** Describe a fast algorithm that either computes an index i such that A[i] = i or correctly reports that no such index exists.

Solution:

Suppose we define a second array B[1..n] by setting B[i] = A[i] - i for all i. For every index i we have

$$B[i] = A[i] - i \le (A[i+1] - 1) - i = A[i+1] - (i+1) = B[i+1],$$

so this new array is sorted in increasing order. Clearly, A[i] = i if and only if B[i] = 0. So we can find an index i such that A[i] = i by performing a binary search in B. We don't actually need to compute B in advance; instead, whenever the binary search needs to access some value B[i], we can just compute A[i] - i on the fly instead!

Here are two formulations of the resulting algorithm, first recursive (keeping the array A as a global variable), and second iterative.

Project Exam Help

```
// Return any index i such that \ell \leq i \leq r and A[i] = i FindMatch(\ell, r):

if \ell > r

It if \ell > r

It if E if
```

In both formulations, the algorithm is binary search, so it runs in $O(\log n)$ time.

1.B. Suppose we know in advance that A[1] > 0. Describe an even faster algorithm that either computes an index i such that A[i] = i or correctly reports that no such index exists. (**Hint:** This is **really** easy.)

Solution:

The following algorithm solves this problem in O(1) time:

Again, the array B[1..n] defined by setting B[i] = A[i] - i is sorted in increasing order. It follows that if A[1] > 1 (that is, B[1] > 0), then A[i] > i (that is, B[i] > 0) for every index i. A[1] cannot be less than 1.

Suppose we are given an array A[1..n] such that $A[1] \ge A[2]$ and $A[n-1] \le A[n]$. We say that an element A[x] is a **local minimum** if both $A[x-1] \ge A[x]$ and $A[x] \le A[x+1]$. For example, there are exactly six local minima in the following array:

Assignment₁P₃roject Exam₆Help

Describe and analyze a fast algorithm that returns the index of one local minimum. For example, given the array above, your algorithm could return the integer 9, because A[9] is a local minimum. (Hint: With the given boundary conditions, any array must contain at least one local minimum. Why?)

Solution:

The following algorithm sever that the cistutorics

If n is less than 100, then a brute-force search runs in O(1) time. There's nothing special about 100 here; any other constant will do.

Otherwise, if A[n/2] < A[n/2+1], the subarray $A[1 \dots n/2+1]$ satisfies the precise boundary conditions of the original problem, so the recursion fairy will find local minimum inside that subarray.

Finally, if A[n/2] > A[n/2+1], the subarray A[n/2...n] satisfies the precise boundary conditions of the original problem, so the recursion fairy will find local minimum inside that subarray.

The running time satisfies the recurrence $T(n) \leq T(\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1) + O(1)$. Except for the +1 and the ceiling in the recursive argument, which we can ignore, this is the binary search recurrence, whose solution is $T(n) = O(\log n)$.

Alternatively, we can observe that $\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1 < 2n/3$ when $n \ge 100$, and therefore $T(n) \le T(2n/3) + O(1)$, which implies $T(n) = O(\log_{3/2} n) = O(\log n)$.

Suppose you are given two sorted arrays A[1..n] and B[1..n] containing distinct integers. Describe a fast algorithm to find the median (meaning the nth smallest element) of the union $A \cup B$. For example, given the input

$$A[1..8] = [0, 1, 6, 9, 12, 13, 18, 20]$$
 $B[1..8] = [2, 4, 5, 8, 17, 19, 21, 23]$

your algorithm should return the integer 9. (**Hint:** What can you learn by comparing one element of A with one element of B?)

Solution:

The following algorithm solves this problem in $O(\log n)$ time:

```
\frac{\mathbf{Median}(A[1 \dots n], B[1 \dots n]):}{\mathbf{if} \ n < 10^{100}} use brute force \mathbf{else} \ \mathbf{if} \ A[n/2] > B[n/2] \mathbf{return} \ \mathbf{Median}(A[1 \dots n/2], B[n/2+1 \dots n])
```

Assignment Project Exam Help

Suppose A[n/2] > B[n/2]. Then A[n/2+1] is larger than all n elements in $A[1 \dots n/2] \cup B[1 \dots n/2]$, and therefore larger than the median of $A \cup B$, so we can discard the upper half of A. Similarly, B[n/2-1] is smaller than all n the median of $A \cup B$, so we can discard the lower half of B. Because we discard the same number of elements from each array, the median of the remaining subarrays is the median of the original $A \cup B$.

WeChat: cstutorcs

To think about later:

4 Now suppose you are given two sorted arrays A[1 ... m] and B[1 ... n] and an integer k. Describe a fast algorithm to find the kth smallest element in the union $A \cup B$. For example, given the input

$$A[1...8] = [0, 1, 6, 9, 12, 13, 18, 20]$$
 $B[1...5] = [2, 5, 7, 17, 19]$ $k = 6$

your algorithm should return the integer 7.

Solution:

The following algorithm solves this problem in $O(\log \min\{k, m+n-k\}) = O(\log(m+n))$ time:

Here, MEDIAN is the algorithm from problem 3 with one minor tweak. If MEDIAN wants an entry in either A or B that is outside the bounds of the original arrays, it uses the value $-\infty$ if the index is too low, or ∞ if the index is too high, instead of creating a core dump.