## Question 1.

1. Initialize A = M and then, for  $j = 1, 2, ..., \log n$  do

$$A = A \circ A$$

It works because the fact that

$$M^{(2^{j+1})} = M^{(2^j)} \circ M^{(2^j)}$$

implies that, at the end of the kth iteration, we have  $A=M^{(2^k)}$ . Therefore after the last iteration we have  $A=M^{(2^{\log n})}=M^{(n)}$ .

2. First compute (as in the above) the sequence of  $\log n$  matrices  $M^{(2)}, M^{(4)}, M^{(8)}, \ldots, M^{(n)}$ , using  $\log n$  multiplications. Next, let the binary representation of k be  $b_q b_{q-1} \cdots b_1 b_0$  where  $b_0$  is the least significant bit and  $q = \log n$ . That is, we have

## Assignment Project Exam. Help

which implies that

$$\underset{M}{\text{https://tutorcs.com}} \underset{M}{\text{biss://tutorcs.com}} \underset{M}{\text{biss://tutorcs.com}}$$

with the convention that multiplying a matrix by  $M^0$  is a "do nothing" operation. We already have the matrices has partial for  $M^{(k)}$ , because we alreadt computed all of

$$\{M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, M^{(4)}, M^{(8)}, \dots, M^{(n)}\}$$

and therefore  $M^{(k)}$  can be obtained from these matrices with an additional (at most)  $\log n - 1$  multiplications.

Comment. It is possible to reduce the space to  $O(n^2)$  rather than  $O(n^2 \log n)$  by avoiding the storage of the  $\log n$  atrices of the form  $M^{(2^j)}$ . This is done by accumulating the terms of the above equation for  $M^{(k)}$  in left-to-right order during the computation of the successive  $M^{(2^j)}$  matrices (i.e., as soon as an  $M^{(2^j)}$  is computed it is included or not in the accumulated product based based on whether  $b_j$  is 1 or 0), hence there is no need to store all the  $\log n$  matrices of the form  $M^{(2^j)}$ .

3. The graph-theoretic interpretation of  $M^{(k)}[i,j]$  is that it is the length of a shortest i-to-j path in G that uses at most k edges. Therefore  $M^{(n)}[i,j]$  is the length of a shortest i-to-j path in G, and can be computed in  $O(n^3)$  time as explained in class, e.g., by using by using the dynamic programming solution for computing the all-pairs shortest paths matrix (or, somewhat less efficiently, by using Dijkstra's algorithm n times).

Question 2. In this problem  $M^{(k)}[i,j]$  has a similar meaning to the previous problem except that the cost of a path is now the max (rather than the sum) of the edge costs on it. As stated in class, in such a situation a least-cost path is one that follows the edges of a minimum cost spanning tree. As G is undirected, we can compute a minimum cost spanning tree of G by using Kruskal's algorithm; let T be such a tree, and recall from the class lectures that T can be computed in  $O(n+e\log n)$  time. Next, for  $i=1,\ldots,n$ , fill row i of matrix  $M^{(n)}$  by traversing T starting from vertex i and computing during the traversal, for every vertex j, the maximum edge cost (call it  $c_{i,j}$ ) on the i-to-j path in T; this traversal staring from i as root takes linear time because  $c_{i,j}$  is the max of  $c_{i,parent(j)}$  and the cost of edge (parent(j), j). Of course, when we are done with the traversal that starts at i, we set every  $M^{(n)}[i,j]$  equal to  $c_{i,j}$ . Because we have to do this for every row i, there is an additional  $O(n^2)$  term in the overall time complexity.

## Assignment Project Exam Help

https://tutorcs.com

WeChat: cstutorcs