

MACROECONOMIC THEORY

Winter 1 2022/23



Start: 18 January 2023, 2:00 pm

End: 20 January 2023, 2:00 pm

INSTRUCTIONS SPECIFIC TO THIS EXAM:

- Answer **ONE** question from Section A and **TWO** questions from Section B. Each section is worth 50 points.
- You must submit typed responses. Handwritten responses are **not** acceptable. Any equations must be typed. However, hand-drawn diagrams are acceptable, as long as they are included within the main document of your submission.
- You must submit your answers either as a Word document or as a PDF.
- Ensure that any included diagrams are oriented correctly. Marks will be deducted if your diagrams are rotated 90 degrees, upside down, etc.
- Do not submit an image or typeset answers. Do not include equations by inserting pictures of equations.
- Students are not permitted to discuss their answers with other students before submission.
- Candidates are expected to demonstrate to the examiners a competent knowledge of all computations.
- Candidates are also advised that the examiners attach considerable importance to the clarity with which answers are expressed.

# SECTION A

## 程序代写代做 CS编程辅导

### Answer ONE question

#### 1. Efficiency of the decentralized equilibrium in a search economy

Consider the search-matching Mortensen-Pissarides model. Suppose  $r > 0$  and assume that firms are the only source of profits. Therefore, total welfare can be measured as the discounted sum of profits per unit time:



$$W = \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} [y - (E(t) + V(t))c + b(1 - E(t))] dt.$$

Consider a social planner who chooses the path of  $V(t)$  to maximize  $W$ , subject to the constraint that  $\dot{E}(t) = M(1 - E(t), V(t)) - \lambda E(t)$ . The solution to this problem is the efficient allocation. Letting  $M_U$  and  $M_V$  denote the partial derivatives of  $M(U, V)$  with respect to  $U$  and  $V$ , respectively, the efficient allocation is then determined by the following first-order condition:

$$e^{-rt} [y - c - b] = \mu(t) [M_U(1 - E(t), V(t)) + \lambda] - \dot{\mu}(t)$$

$$\text{where } \mu(t) \equiv ce^{-rt}/M_V(1 - E(t), V(t)).$$

- (a) Using the first-order condition for the planner's problem, and imposing the steady state where  $\dot{E} = \dot{V} = 0$ , show that the equilibrium allocation will be constrained efficient when  $\gamma = 1 - \phi > \gamma$ . Show analytically whether equilibrium employment is above or below the efficient level when (i)  $1 - \phi > \gamma$  and (ii)  $1 - \phi < \gamma$ . Explain your steps clearly and give economic intuition. **(15 points)**
- (b) Suppose the government imposes a tax  $T_v$  (or subsidy if  $T_v < 0$ ) on firms to post vacancies and rebates the revenue back to workers as a lump-sum  $T$ . That is, the firm's profits are now  $y - w - c$  if the job is filled and  $-c - T_v$  if vacant. Workers receive  $w + T$  if employed and  $b + T$  if unemployed. Solve for the new equilibrium condition,  $rV_V(E) = 0$ . Find an expression for the optimal  $T_v$  (i.e., the value of  $T_v$  which shifts the equilibrium allocation to the efficient one). Explain and give economic intuition for what you find. **(10 points)**
- (c) Suppose there is an increase in  $y$ . How would the efficient level of employment respond? Can you tell what would happen to the optimal  $T_v$  from part (b)? Explain and give economic intuition for what you find. **(10 points)**
- (d) Assume  $k = 1$ ,  $\gamma = 1/2$ ,  $r = 0.05$ ,  $b = 0.2$ ,  $c = 0.5$ ,  $\lambda = 0.3$ ,  $\phi = 0.25$ . Plot  $rV_V(E)$  against  $E$  with and without the optimal  $T_v$  for two scenarios: (i)  $y = 1$  and (ii)  $y = 2$ . Report the value of  $T_v$  and the equilibrium  $E$  in each case. Explain what you find. **(15 points)**

## 2. Barro tax smoothing model

Consider the Barro tax-smoothing model. Suppose that output,  $Y$ , and the real interest rate,  $r > 0$ , are constant, and that the level of government debt outstanding at time 0 is  $D_0$ . There are two possible values of government purchases: either  $G_L$  or  $G_H$ . Assume distortion costs are quadratic.

- (a) Suppose there is no uncertainty in the path of government purchases. Suppose  $G_t = G_H$  when  $t$  is even and  $G_t = G_L$  when  $t$  is odd. What are the paths of taxes,  $T_t$ , the primary deficit,  $G_t - T_t$ , and government debt,  $D_t$ ? Give economic intuition for your answer. (10 points)

Now, for the rest of this question, suppose there is uncertainty over the path of government purchases,  $G_t$ . Specifically, if  $G_t = G_L$ , the probability that  $G_{t+1} = G_H$  is  $p_L \in (0, 1)$ . If  $G_t = G_H$ , the probability that  $G_{t+1} = G_L$  is  $p_H \in (0, 1)$ .

- (b) Solve for the optimal rule for taxes  $T_t$  as a function of existing debt  $D_t$  and government purchases  $G_t$ . Give economic intuition for what you find. (20 points)
- (c) Assume  $G_L = 5$ ,  $G_H = 10$ ,  $r = 0.04$ ,  $p_L = 1/5$  and  $p_H = 1/10$ . Plot the path of taxes  $T_t$ , the primary deficit  $G_t - T_t$  and government debt  $D_t$  over 60 periods, assuming that the *realized* path of  $G_t$  alternates with  $G_H$  for 10 periods, then  $G_L$  for 5 periods, starting with  $G_H$ . In other words,  $G_t = G_H$  for the first 10 periods,  $G_t = G_L$  for the next 5 periods,  $G_t = G_H$  for the next 10 periods, and so on. Give economic intuition for what you find. (10 points)
- (d) Suppose the *realized* value of  $G_t$  was  $G_H$  forever. What would happen to the path of government debt? Is the no-Ponzi game condition violated in this situation? Why or why not? (10 points)

# 程序代写代做 CS编程辅导

## SECTION B

Answer TWO questions

Word limit for each question: 500 words

1. Solve the log-linearized RBC model using the method of undetermined coefficients, where the model takes the following form:



$$y_{CK}\tilde{K}_t + a_{CA}\tilde{A}_t + a_{CG}\tilde{G}_t$$

$$y_{LK}\tilde{K}_t + a_{LA}\tilde{A}_t + a_{LG}\tilde{G}_t$$

$$y_{KK}\tilde{K}_t + b_{KA}\tilde{A}_t + b_{KG}\tilde{G}_t$$

Assume  $\alpha = 1/3$ ,  $g = 0.5\%$ ,  $n = 0.25\%$ ,  $\delta = 2.5\%$ ,  $(G/Y)^* = 0.2$ ,  $r^* = 1.5\%$ ,  $\ell^* = 1/3$ ,  $\rho_G = 0.7$  and  $\rho_A = 0.75$ . Explain carefully your solution technique and report the coefficients  $(a, b)$  for your solution. Then, trace out the impulse responses (over 60 periods) for capital, labor, consumption, output, the wage and the interest rate to a 1% technology shock. Give economic intuition for how the RBC economy responds. How does the persistence of productivity ( $\rho_A$ ) affect the dynamics? (25 points)

2. Suppose that output is determined by the Lucas supply curve,  $y = y^n + b(\pi - \pi^e)$ . Moreover, suppose that social welfare is quadratic in both output and inflation. In other words, the social loss function is

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(y - y^*)^2 + \frac{1}{2}a(\pi - \pi^*)^2, \quad y^* > y^n, a > 0.$$

Assume the policymaker operates under discretion and chooses inflation  $\pi$  to minimize  $L$  subject to the Lucas supply curve. Give economic interpretation for the parameters of this model, and show what happens to equilibrium social welfare when  $a$  falls. Give economic intuition for your answer. (25 points)

3. The average income of farmers is less than the average income of non-farmers, but fluctuates more from year to year. Given this, how does the permanent-income hypothesis predict that estimated consumption-income functions for farmers and non-farmers differ? Give economic intuition. (25 points)