

## EEE8087 Coursework Marking Criteria

### Software

Precise, elegant, well structured, well presented and well-tested work, with clear and accurate documentation showing that the problem is understood in depth. Program fully working, with unusual eventualities, such as user errors, handled robustly. No significant errors or omissions. Somewhat more material than would be required at a minimal interpretation of the specification, with support for other appropriate functions including I/O waits and memory allocation.

80%-100%

Elegantly structured, well presented, well tested work, with clear and accurate documentation showing more than superficial depth of understanding. Program fully working, with unusual eventualities, such as user errors, handled robustly. Some aspects go beyond a basic interpretation of the specification. Possibly a few trivial omissions or scope for small improvements, but no substantive deficiencies.

70%-79%

Program substantially working, as shown by reasonable test results, a good programming style, and clear documentation. However, not as elegant as might be, testing not so thorough, or presentation less considered. Possible minor problems with program.

60%-69%

Program substantially working, as shown by test results, and basic consistency in the documentation. However, probably some outstanding problem areas, significant stylistic shortcomings, omissions, or careless presentation. Testing possibly rather cursory.

50%-59%

Program works to some extent, or looks as though it might work, but little or no test results presented. Alternatively, obvious problems with program that should be straightforward to correct. Probably also significant problems of style and some confused or missing aspects. Of a standard, however, that could reasonably be expected to improve to 50% with a certain amount of remedial effort.

45%-49%

Some attempt made, but confused or incomplete. Program not working, with no (or incoherent) test results. Will require significant reworking to reach an acceptable standard.

40%-44%

Poor attempt, largely incomplete or with widespread confusion and disorganisation. Probably reflects a lack of effort.

30%-39%

Little of significance.

0%-29%

### Individual presentation

Organisation and clarity of presentation

50%

Technical content

50%

### Total

The software mark will be weighted at 60%, and the presentation at 40%.