

程序代写代做 CS编程辅导

FIT2014 Theory of Computation



Lecture 5

Proofs: the Good, the Bad and the Ugly

WeChat: cstutorcs

Assignment Project Exam Help

slides by Graham Farr

Email: tutorcs@163.com

QQ: 749389476

COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA

Copyright Regulations 1969

<https://tutorcs.com>

This material has been reproduced and communicated to you by or on behalf of Monash University in accordance with s113P of the Copyright Act 1968 (the Act).

The material in this communication may be subject to copyright under the Act.

Any further reproduction or communication of this material by you may be the subject of copyright protection under the Act.

Do not remove this notice.

Overview

程序代写代做 CS编程辅导



- ▶ Good proofs
 - ▶ three proofs from The Book

- ▶ Bad proofs

- ▶ Ugly proofs

WeChat: cstutorcs

Assignment Project Exam Help

Email: tutorcs@163.com

QQ: 749389476

<https://tutorcs.com>

Good proofs

Theorem. (Euclid)

There are infinitely many prime numbers.

Proof.

Suppose, by way of contradiction that there are only finitely many primes.

Let n be the number of primes.

Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n be all the primes.

Define: $q := p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n + 1$

This is bigger than every prime p_i . Therefore q must be composite.

Therefore q is a multiple of some prime.

But, for each prime p_i , if you divide q by p_i you get a remainder of 1.

So q cannot be a multiple of p_i .

So q cannot be a multiple of any prime. **This is a contradiction.**

So our initial assumption was wrong.

So there are infinitely many primes.

程序代写代做 CS编程辅导



WeChat: cstutorcs

Assignment Project Exam Help

Email: tutorcs@163.com

QQ: 749389476

<https://tutorcs.com>



Good proofs

Theorem. (Pythagoras)

$\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

程序代写代做 CS编程辅导



Proof.

Suppose, by way of contradiction that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational.

Then, by definition, there exist positive integers m, n such that $\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}$.

Among all such pairs m, n , choose a pair that has no common factors.

Squaring each side of our equation gives: $2 = \frac{m^2}{n^2}$.

Rewrite slightly: $2n^2 = m^2$.

This tells us that m^2 is even. Therefore m is even. Therefore $m = 2k$ for some k .

Substituting this back in gives: $2n^2 = (2k)^2$, i.e., $2n^2 = 4k^2$, i.e., $n^2 = 2k^2$.

This tells us that n^2 is even. Therefore n is even.

Since m and n are both even, they both have a common factor, namely 2.

But we chose them so that they have no common factors. **This is a contradiction.**

Therefore our initial assumption, that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational, must be wrong.

Therefore $\sqrt{2}$ is *irrational*.



Good proofs

Definition: A set is **countable** if either

- ▶ it is finite, or
- ▶ it can be put in one-to-one correspondence (i.e., bijection) with \mathbb{N} .



WeChat: cstutorcs

\mathbb{Z}

Σ^*

Assignment Project Exam Help

Email: tutorcs@163.com

QQ: 749389476

<https://tutorcs.com>

FIT2014
students

{Dakṣiṣputra, Muḥammad, Ramon,
Blaise, Gottfried, Nicole-Reine,
Maria, Charles, Ada, George,
Augustus, Annie, Henrietta,
Radhanath, Williamina, Kurt,
Rózsa, Alonzo, Alan, Konrad,
Bill, Tommy, Hedy, Trevor,
Maston, Noam, Winsome,
Stephen, Grace, John, Péisù,
Katherine, Margaret, Richard,
... }

finite

1 \longleftrightarrow 1
2 \longleftrightarrow 2
3 \longleftrightarrow 3
4 \longleftrightarrow 4
5 \longleftrightarrow 5
:
:
:

1 \longleftrightarrow 1
2 \longleftrightarrow 2
3 \longleftrightarrow 3
4 \longleftrightarrow 4
5 \longleftrightarrow 5
:
:
:

0
1
-1
2
-2
:
:
:

1 \longleftrightarrow 1
2 \longleftrightarrow 10
3 \longleftrightarrow 11
4 \longleftrightarrow 100
5 \longleftrightarrow 101
:
:
:

1 \longleftrightarrow ϵ
10 \longleftrightarrow a
11 \longleftrightarrow b
100 \longleftrightarrow aa
101 \longleftrightarrow ab
:
:
:

ϵ
a
b
aa
ab
:
:
:

Good proofs

Theorem. (Cantor)

The set of *all languages* is *uncountable*.

程序代写代做 CS编程辅导

Idea of proof: If {all languages} is countable ...



			ϵ	a	b	aa	ab	ba	bb	aaa	aab	...
1	\longleftrightarrow	L_1 :	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	...
2	\longleftrightarrow	L_2 :	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	...
3	\longleftrightarrow	L_3 :	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	...
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	...
m	\longleftrightarrow	L_m :	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	...
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	...

✗ ChatGPT ✗
 Assignment Project Exam Help
 Email: tutorcs@163.com

QQ: 749289476

<https://tutorcs.com>

\hat{L} : ✗ ✓ ✓ ...

Good proofs

Theorem. (Cantor)

The set of *all languages* is *uncountable*.

程序代写代做 CS编程辅导



Proof. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that the set of all languages is countable. Since we know it's not finite, there must be a bijection between \mathbb{N} and {all languages}. Let the members of the set of all languages be $L_m, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Recall that the set of all finite strings is countable, so we can list them as $x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the language \hat{L} as follows:

WhatsApp: estutorcs

Assignment Project Exam Help

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: x_n \in \hat{L} \Leftrightarrow x_n \notin L_n.$$

Email: tutorcs@163.com

We have constructed \hat{L} so that, for each n , it differs from L_n in whether or not it contains x_n .

QQ: 749389476

So it differs from all languages. Yet it is a language! **This is a contradiction.**

https://tutorcs.com

So our initial assumption was wrong.

So the set of languages is uncountable.



Bad proofs

From a falsehood, you can prove *anything*. 程序代写代做 CS编程辅导

Recall the truth table of $P \Rightarrow Q$. True when P is false, regardless of Q .



$$2+2 = 5$$

Therefore

$$4 = 5$$

Therefore

$$1 = 2$$

Now,

$$|\{ \text{McTaggart, The Pope} \}| = 2.$$

Therefore

$$|\{ \text{McTaggart, The Pope} \}| = 1.$$

Therefore

McTaggart is the Pope.

QQ: 749389476

https://tutorcs.com

attributed to G. H. Hardy in:
Harold Jeffreys, *Scientific Inference*,
Cambridge University Press, 1931/1957/1973.

Bad proofs

“Theorem”: Every graph has a cycle.

For all n : every graph on n vertices has a cycle.

This implies that trees do not contain cycles.

“Proof”. We prove this by induction on the number of vertices.

1. Assume that **every graph** **on n vertices has a cycle.**
2. Let G be any graph on $n + 1$ vertices.
3. Let v be a vertex of G . Obtain the graph $G - v$ by removing v , and all its incident edges, from G .
4. Now, the graph $G - v$ has n vertices.
5. By the **Inductive Hypothesis**, $G - v$ has a cycle.
6. But, since $G - v$ is a subgraph of G , any cycle in $G - v$ is also a cycle in G .
7. Therefore G has a cycle.
8. Therefore, by Mathematical Induction, the result is true for all n .
So every graph has a cycle.



程序代写代做 CS编程辅导

WeChat: cstutorcs

Assignment Project Exam Help

Email: tutorcs@163.com

QQ: 749389476

<https://tutorcs.com>

Bad proofs

程序代写代做 CS编程辅导



Definition: A string is *uniform* if all its letters are identical.

- ▶ i.e., it consists entirely of *a*s or entirely of *b*s
- ▶ i.e., it's either $aa \cdots a$ or $bb \cdots b$

WeChat: cstutorcs

Assignment Project Exam Help

Now, it is commonly thought that not all strings are uniform.

But we will now try to “prove”, by induction, that *all* strings are uniform!

Email: tutorcs@163.com

QQ: 749389476

<https://tutorcs.com>

Bad proofs

“Theorem”: Every string over the alphabet $\{a, b\}$ is uniform.

“Proof”. We prove this by induction on the string length n .

1. Inductive basis: when $n = 1$, any string can only be “a” or “b”, and these are each of the required form, so the “Theorem” is true in this case.
2. Now assume $n \geq 2$, and suppose every string of length n is uniform.
3. Let w be any string of length $n + 1$.
4. Let w_1 be the string obtained from w by deleting the *first* letter of w , and let w_2 be the string obtained from w by deleting the *last* letter of w .
5. Both w_1 and w_2 are of length n .
6. By the Inductive Hypothesis, both w_1 and w_2 must be uniform.
7. w_1 and w_2 overlap in $n - 1$ letters. Since $n - 1 > 0$, this means that the number of letters shared by w_1 and w_2 is nonzero. So w_1 and w_2 must each consist entirely of the *same letter*, i.e., either they both consist entirely of as or they both consist entirely of bs.
8. It follows that w also consists entirely of as or entirely of bs, so it is uniform too.
9. The result follows for all n , by Mathematical Induction.



WeChat: cstutorcs

Assignment Project Exam Help

Email: tutores@163.com

QQ: 749389476

https://tutores.com

Ugly proofs

Theorem.

$\text{DOUBLEWORD} \subseteq \text{EVEN-EVEN}$

程序代写代做 CS编程辅导



Proof. Let $w \in \text{DOUBLEWORD}$.

Assume w is not in EVEN-EVEN .

Then $w = xx$ for some word x .

So, $\# \text{ a's in } w = 2 \times (\# \text{ a's in } x)$, so it's even.

Also, $\# \text{ b's in } w = 2 \times (\# \text{ b's in } x)$, so it's even too.

This contradicts our assumption that w is not in EVEN-EVEN .

Therefore that assumption was wrong.

Therefore $w \in \text{EVEN-EVEN}$.

QQ: 749389476

<https://tutorcs.com>

When you have a *direct* proof of your theorem, there's no need to dress it up as a proof by contradiction!

Ugly proofs?

A **colouring** of a graph G is a function that assigns a colour to each vertex of G such that *adjacent* vertices receive *different* colours.

- i.e., a function $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{ \dots \}$ such that
 $\forall u, v \in V(G) : u \sim v \Rightarrow f(u) \neq f(v)$.



A colouring is a **k -colouring** if the number of colours used is $\leq k$.

WeChat: cstutorcs

Applications:

Assignment Project Exam Help

- scheduling (timetabling)
- compilers (register allocation)
- communications (frequency assignment)

Email: tutorcs@163.com

QQ: 749389476

<https://tutorcs.com>

Theorem.

If G is planar then it has a 4-colouring.

Ugly proofs?

Theorem.

程序代写代做 CS编程辅导

If G is planar then it has a 4-colouring



Proofs:

- ▶ very long proof using computer to check 1476 configurations spanning 400 pages.
 - ▶ K. Appel and W. Haken, Every planar map is four colorable. I. Discharging, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* **21** (3) (1977) 429–490.
 - ▶ K. Appel, W. Haken and J. Koch, Every planar map is four colorable. II. Reducibility, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* **21** (3) (1977) 491–567.
- ▶ long proof using computer to check 633 configurations
 - ▶ N. Robertson, D. Sanders, P. Seymour and R. Thomas, The four-colour theorem, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* **70** (1997) 2–44.
- ▶ proof by Robertson *et al.* (1997) formalised and formally verified by computer
 - ▶ G. Gonthier, Formal proof — the Four Color Theorem, *Notices of the American Mathematical Society*, **55** (11) (Dec. 2008) 1382–1393.

WeChat: csfutorcs

Assignment Project Exam Help

Email: tutorcs@163.com

QQ: 749389476

http://tutorcs.com

Ugly proofs?

Recall: to solve quadratic equations, ax² + bx + c = 0

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}.$$

Formulas also exist for cubic and quartic equations. But ...



Abel-Ruffini Theorem

There is no general algebraic formula (using arithmetic operations, powers & roots) for the roots of polynomials of degree ≥ 5 .

WeChat: cstutorcs

Assignment Project Exam Help

Incomplete proof, > 500 pages:

- Paolo Ruffini, *Teoria generale delle equazioni, in cui si dimostra impossibile la soluzione algebrica delle equazioni generali di grado superiore al quarto*, Stamperia di S. Tommaso d'Aquino, Bologna, 1799.

Email: tutorcs@163.com

QQ: 749389476

<https://tutorcs.com>

Complete proof, six pages:

- Niels Henrik Abel, *Mémoire sur les équations algébriques, ou l'on démontre l'impossibilité de la résolution de l'équation générale du cinquième degré*, Groendahl, Christiania (Oslo), 1824.