#### 程序代写代做 CS编程辅导

Candidate Number

G5029

THE UNIVERSITY OF SUSSEX

# MComp THIRD YEAR EXAMINATION May/June 2017 (A2) Assignment Project Exam Help

Assessment Feinai Jurtatoras @ 163.com

### DO NOT TORN 49/289 UNTIL INSTRUCTED TO BY THE LEAD INVIGILATOR

Candidates Att The Singular Company of The Company

The time allowed is TWO hours.

Each question is worth 50 marks.

At the end of the examination the question paper and any answer books/answer sheets, used or unused, will be collected from you before you leave the examination room.

#### G5029 LIMITE OF COMPUTATION CS编程辅导

- 1. This question is about various notions of effective computability.
  - (a) We us usus large to describe effective computability. What kind o make the large to describe effective computability. What will be ments and the large to describe effective computability. What kind o make the large to describe effective computability. What kind o make the large to describe effective computability. What kind o make the large to describe effective computability. What kind o make the large to describe effective computability. What kind o make the large to describe effective computability. What kind o make the large to describe effective computability. What kind o make the large to describe effective computability. What kind o make the large to describe effective computability. What kind o make the large to describe effective computability. What kind o make the large to describe effective computability. What kind o make the large to describe effective computability. What kind o make the large to describe effective computability. What is a make the large to describe effective computability is a make the large to describe effective computability. What is a make the large to describe effective computability is a make the large to describe effective computability. What is a make the large to describe effective computability is a make the large to describe effective computability is a make the large to describe effective computability is a make the large to describe effective computability is a make the large to describe effective computability is a make the large to describe effective computability is a make the large to describe effective computability is a make the large to describe effective computability is a make the large to describe effective computability is a make the large to describe effective computability is a make the large to describe effective computability is a make the large to describe effective computability is a make the large to describe effective computability is a make the large to describe effective computability is a make the large to describe effective computability is a

  - (c) Let the rogram myprog be as follows:

#### 

## Assignment Project Exam Help

write Z

- i. As turning that we state to divoting Gariables from O fine the programas-data representation of myprog. [13 marks]
- ii. What is  $\mathcal{E} \llbracket t1 X \rrbracket \{ X : \lceil 4 \rceil, Y : \lceil 0 \rceil \}$ ?

[3 marks]

- iii. What is  $\mathbb{N}$  proof to join any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ? Explain your result briefly, but you do *not* need to give a formal derivation. [5 marks]
- (d) Assume  $c_1$  is a compiler from S to T written in L. Assume further  $c_2$  is a compile trop S to M wilten C. We get if we compile  $c_1$  using  $c_2$ ? Be as precise as possible. [4 marks]
- (e) Assume that languages S and T have the same datatype. What is the correctness condition for a compiling function (i.e. the semantics of a compiler) c from language S to T? Provide either the formal definition or an equivalently *precise* explanation. [6 marks]
- (f) Can we add a new instruction to the instruction set of a standard Turing Machine, such that the resulting new Turing Machines, let's call them Turing Machines Plus, can decide more problems than the standard type of Turing Machines? Explain your answer. [5 marks]
- (g) Can we remove an instruction from the definition of standard Turing Machines, such that the resulting new Turing Machines, let's call them Turing Machines Minus, can decide fewer problems than the standard type of Turing Machines? Explain your answer. [5 marks]

#### 程序代写代数公编程辅

- 2. This guestion is about decidability and semi-decidability.
  - lacksquare means for a set  $A\subseteq \mathtt{WHILE} ext{-}\mathsf{data}$  to be  $\mathtt{WHILE} ext{-}$ (a) Define [7 marks]
  - oblems are WHILE-decidable? (No explanation (b) Which neede
    - of the Halting problem
    - iii. Travelling Salesman problem
    - iv. Power Collect: cstutorcs
    - v. Tiling problem
    - vi. The problem whether a natural number is a prime number
  - [6 marks] (c) Give a problem set) that is semi-decidable but not decidable. [3 marks]
  - (d) What proof technique (besides proof-by-contradiction) is used in the proof that the Halting Problem is Undicidable? No explanation is required. [2 marks]
  - (e) What proof technique (besides proof-by-contradiction) is used in the proof of Rice's Theolen ? Explain what this technique is applied to. You don't have to explain the technique itself. [4 marks]
  - (f) Explain for the following sets  $A \subseteq WHILE$ -data whether they are WHILEdecidable + For each case, explain your answer. In cases where A is decidable this explanation should consist of a description of the decision procedure. Here  $\lceil p \rceil$  denotes the encoding of a WHILE-program as WHILE-data.
    - i.  $A = \{ \lceil p \rceil \mid \forall \text{WHILE-program } p \text{ returns nil if its input encodes a} \}$ WHILE-program that contains one or more while loops } [6 marks]
    - ii.  $A = \{ \lceil p \rceil \mid \text{WHILE-program } p \text{ contains no while loops } \}$ [6 marks] iii.  $A = \{ \lceil p \rceil \mid \text{WHILE-program } p \text{ returns nil for finitely many inputs } \}$ [6 marks]
  - (g) Explain why an arbitrarily large and complicated but finite set  $A \subseteq \mathtt{WHILE}$ data is always WHILE-decidable. [4 marks]
  - (h) Recall that the set  $A \cup B$  contains the elements of A as well as the elements of B and nothing else. Let  $A \subseteq \mathtt{WHILE}$ -data and  $B \subseteq \mathtt{WHILE}$ data. If A and B are both semi-decidable, is their union,  $A \cup B$ , also semi-decidable? Give a reasonable argument (no full proof required) for your answer. [6 marks]

#### G5029 LIMES OF COMPUTATION CS编程辅号

- 3. This question is about complexity.
  - (a) Consider the control of the cont
    - i. Gitter it in ition of the class  $\mathtt{WHILE}^{time(f)}$ . [5 marks]
  - (b) What does the N in NP stand for? What does the P stand for? [4 marks]
  - (c) The Travelling Salesman problem (TSP) is **NP**-complete.
    - i. What does the statement and the mean exactly? [5 marks]
    - ii. Referring to TSP as example, explain to what extent approximation algorithms are a useful means to solve NP-complete problems (viewed as optimisation problems) ject Exam [8 marks]
  - (d) Which of the following problems are known to be **NP**-complete?
    - i. 0-1 Knapsack problem
    - ii. comman bi the the transfers of 163.com
    - iii. Graph Colouring problem
    - iv. Tiling problem,
    - v. Postmar problem 9389476
    - vi. Factorisation Problem

[6 marks]

- (e) In the **lateups** give **tartify! Gescrotons** how you would prove the statement in question. You do not have to give a proof, but you are supposed to sketch the required plan, i.e. which activity you would need to carry out and/or which theorems you would use in which way. Be as precise as possible.
  - i. There exists a problem that can be decided by a WHILE-program in exponential time but not in polynomial time. [5 marks]
  - ii. A certain (fixed) problem A, the details shall not be important, is in **LIN**<sup>WHILE</sup>. [5 marks]
  - iii. A certain (fixed) problem A, the details shall not be important, can't possibly be in  $\mathbf{P}^{\text{WHILE}}$  unless  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$ . [6 marks]