PA4: SSA

Syllabus

Setup

USC Language Reference Useful Links USCC Compiler ard LLVM documentation, you should consult the slides and PA1: Recursive Desc PA2: Semantic Analy PA3: LLVM IR

Introduction PA4: SSA ters must use **SSA form** – meaning that they can only be assigned once. To work **PA5: Optimization** n, the LLVM bitcode also supports a stack frame via the alloca, load, and store PA6: Register Alloc ITP 439

s the approach taken in PA3, and is the same approach taken by Clang when it IR. Clang then later converts the IR to SSA form using the mem2reg pass, which nical Cytron algorithm to generate SSA form. de output for ssa01.usc is currently:

_unnamed_addr constant [4 x i8] c"%d\0A\00"

define i32 @main() { entry: %y = alloca i32, align 4 %x = alloca i32, align 4

%x1 = load i32, i32* %x, align 4

%tobool = icmp ne i32 %x1, 0

br i1 %tobool, label %if.then, label %if.else Assignation Project Exam Help if.then:

store i32 15, i32* %y, align 4 br label %if.end ; preds = %if.else, %if.then if.end:

 $Ema_{32}^{\text{syl}} = \text{load i32, i32* %y, align 4} \\ \text{180} = \text{sall } \frac{42}{12} \text{(i0*,4...)} \text{ aprint } f(\text{i3* gate/em/n}) \text{ in bounds } ([42\text{ i8}], [4 \times \text{i3}] * \text{in the i32 0}, \text{i32})$ Notice how %x and %y are pointing to allocations on the stack, and then store and load instructions

are used to write to these variables. One major issue with using the stack to store these variables is it hampers optimizations - stack pariables cannot be aggressively optimized, whereas virtual be efor it simper and engure the code is in SSA form before applying any optimizations. Furthermore, the above code has multiple useless assignments – for example, the address representing [8x] is written to three times in the entry block, but only the last write will actually see any use. In this programming assignment, you will implement the newer approach to generating SSA form as

stack for variables (other than arrays). Conveniently, the Braun algorithm also will eliminate most useless assignments. Braun's algorithm is simpler to implement than the Cytron algorithm because it does not require calculating dominance frontiers. Furthermore, because USCC does not support arbitrary jumps in control flow such as break, continue, or goto, it means that the USCC implementation of Braun's

Outlined in the Brain parer. Blaur sal writhm can directly generate SSA form from the high-level

algorithm can generate minimal SSA without the use of any of the additional passes described in Section 3 of the paper.

Most of the code for this assignment will be written in opt/SSABuilder.cpp. If you open

and one hash set. Both hash maps and the hash set are keyed on the pointer to a specific basic block. The mvarDefs map associates a basic block with the variable definitions in that basic block, while mIncompletePhis tracks the Phi nodes within a basic block that need to be completed at some point in the future. Finally, the mSealedBlocks set is used to track which blocks are **sealed** – meaning all predecessor blocks have been connected. **Helper Functions**

opt/SSABuilder.h, you will see that most of the member functions declared in the SSABuilder class

correspond to the functions discussed in the Braun paper. The member variables are two hash maps

There are two helper functions you should first implement in opt/SSABuilder.cpp. These functions do not correspond to functions outlined in the Braun SSA paper.

Implementation

reset The reset function should clear all of the data in the maps and set member variables. This is called every time a new function is emitted, since the SSA data is local to a specific function. Keep in mind

that since both mVarDefs and mIncompletePhis have maps as values, these nested maps need to be deleted before clearing the containing map.

sealBlock) on it.

addBlock The addBlock function is called every time a new basic block is added to the IR. This function should add an entry for the basic block to both mvarDefs and mIncompletePhis. Furthermore, the second parameter of addBlock states whether or not addBlock should immediately seal the block (by calling

Local Value Numbering

You will want to implement local value numbering as outlined in Section 2.1 of the Braun paper. The two functions used for local value numbering are writeVariable and readVariable, and mostly involve accessing the appropriate maps.

Global Value Numbering

Next, you must implement global value numbering as outlined in Section 2.2 of the Braun paper. This represents the bulk of the work for this programming assignment. The three functions to implement

are readVariableRecursive, addPhiOperands, and tryRemoveTrivialPhi. Tips for readVariableRecursive

When you create a Phi node, it must be added to the beginning of the basic block. This is a requirement enforced by LLVM. Thus, you cannot use the IRBuilder as used in PA3 to create

- these Phi nodes (as the IRBuilder always adds to the end of the basic block). Instead, you can use the PhiNode::Create factory method. • The BasicBlock member function getSinglePredecessor can be used to determine whether a block
- has only one predecessor, and if so, the pointer to said block.

Tips for addPhiOperands You can iterate over the predecessors of a BasicBlock by getting a pred_iterator using pred_begin

- and pred_end. To add operands to a PhiNode, use the addIncoming member function.
- Tips for tryRemoveTrivialPhi

To get the number of operands attached to a PhiNode, use the getNumIncomingValues function. To

access the value of a specific operand use getIncomingValue(int). You can get an undefined value using the UndefValue::get static method

You can iterate over the users of a node by getting a use_iterator via use_begin and use_end

- To replace a Phi node with the "same" value, you need to do two things. First use the replaceAllUsesWith member function. Second, you must update the variable definition map to use "same." This second step is not immediately apparent from the Braun paper, but without doing this you still reference Phi nodes that have been removed.
- Use eraseFromParent to delete the Phi node once it is replaced.

Sealing Blocks

The final function to implement in opt/SSABuilder.cpp is the sealBlock function as outlined in Section 2.3 of the Braun paper. This function is relatively straightforward. Once you finish the implementation of sealBlock, the next step is to actually hook up the SSABuilder

functions so they are used.

Integrating SSABuilder

There are a few different places in parse/Symbols.cpp and parse/ASTEmit.cpp that need to be edited in order to integrate the SSABuilder class. This section outlines what must be modified. You may have noticed before that the CodeContext that's passed around everywhere during emission

of the LLVM IR contains a member variable called mssa. This is the instance of the ssabuilder that will be used throughout the code to access the SSA functionality. Reading/Writing to Identifiers

The implementation of Identifier::readFrom and Identifier::writeTo in parse/Symbols.cpp can be

regular variables.

Adding/Sealing Blocks

greatly simplified now that SSABuilder is implemented. Their contents should be replaced with calls to readVariable and writeVariable, respectively – there is no need to have the separate checks for arrays in these functions anymore. **Initializing Variables**

Previously, all local variables had their stack space allocated in ScopeTable::emitIR. With SSA, only

arrays need to be allocated. This means you can eliminate the else case in this function that allocates

There's one more change required for function arguments in the ASTFunction emit code in parse/ASTEmit.cpp. Specifically, the loop that iterates through all of the function arguments has a call to setAddress that needs to be replaced with a call to writeTo. This is noted in comments within the function.

The last step to integrate SSABuilder is to ensure that whenever a basic block is created, it is added to the SSABuilder instance via addBlock. Then when a block will have no further predecessors added to it, it must be sealed via sealBlock. For convenience, it's also possible to add a block that's already sealed via the second parameter of the addBlock function. There were only two nodes you wrote emit code for in PA3 that create basic blocks - ASTIFStmt and

ASTWhileStmt. So these nodes will need to be updated to inform SSABuilder about the blocks. There are three other nodes that create blocks — ASTFunction, ASTLogicalAnd, and ASTLogicalOr. But the code for these nodes was provided for you, and so it already has the required calls to addBlock and sealBlock. **Testing Your Implementation**

Once you've implemented all the functionality as outlined, you will want to make sure that the testEmit.py test suite still works. To execute this suite, you run the following command from the tests directory:

Phi node in the %if.end block.

python3 testEmit.py However, the test suite only checks whether the emitted code is functional. You will also want to

generated. For example, the -p ssa01.usc test case discussed in the introduction should have a single

inspect the output of a variety of test cases and ensure that a minimal number of Phi nodes is

For a more complex example, take a look at the output of running -p quicksort.usc The partition function in the generated code should have three Phi nodes: two in %while.cond and

one in %if.end. Notice that there still are several load and store calls – this is in order to access indices in the array. But there should be no load or store calls outside of these array accesses.

In this assignment you will continue to use the testEmit.py test case. In addition to validating that

DANGER

you pass all the test cases, we will look at your output of quicksort.usc to confirm that you actually are generating SSA code. If you aren't generating any SSA code, you will get a 0 on the assignment regardless of whether or not you pass the test cases.

Testing on GitHub

you will get a grade of 0.

Once you pass all the tests locally, you should push your code to GitHub and manually run the PA4 unit tests. If they don't pass or your code doesn't compile, you should make the necessary fixes and try again. For this assignment, there are a total of 21 test cases. Each test failure is -4 points.

Additionally, the test case outputs the result of your quicksort.usc. If your code outputs SSA form, but it is not minimal SSA, you will lose 10 points on your submission.

As mentioned earlier, if you do not output any SSA whatsoever, even if you pass the test cases

Submitting Your Assignment Once you have a GitHub Actions run you are happy with, to submit the assignment you need to

submit this form on Gradescope. You will have to provide the full URL for the actions run you want us to grade, and also tell us if you are using any of your four slip days.

DANGER Make sure you submit this form, as otherwise we will not know that you have a submission you

want us to grade. We only grade based on your GitHub Actions run and code on GitHub, and we will not download everyone's repo. If your code does not compile or you do not submit the form, you will get a 0 on the assignment.

specific pa4 submission later, should you need to:

Making a Git Tag Once you've submitted your assignment, you should make a tag so it's easier to go back to the

git tag pa4 git push origin ——tags

Conclusion You now have a working implementation of static single assignment form. Since the vast majority of variables are now stored in virtual registers, this means that you can now implement a wide range of

optimizations to the code. In the subsequent programming assignment, you will write a handful of

optimization passes to that will be ran on the SSA code.